



## Role of inorganic and organic amendments in ameliorating the effects of brackish water for raya-sunflower production

Muhammad Aslam Avais, Ghulam Qadir, Khalil Ahmed\*, Muhammad Ilyas, Amar Iqbal Saqib, Imtiaz Ahmad Warraich, Muhammad Qaisar Nawaz, Muhammad Sarfraz, Muhammad Anwar

*Soil salinity research institute Pindi Bhattian, Pakistan*

**Key words:** Gypsum, brackish water, sulfuric acid, poultry manure, raya and sunflower.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/12.6.117-122>

Article published on June 14, 2018

### Abstract

Arid to semi-arid climate of Pakistan necessitates the artificial irrigation to undertake the agricultural pursuits and farmers are being forced to use the underground water reserves which are 60 to 70 % brackish in nature. The blind use of this resource without any management practice is building up salinity even in the soil that has high potential for crops. Therefore a field study was conducted for three years to evaluate the deleterious effect of brackish water on growth and yield of raya and sunflower crops. Treatments included were; T<sub>1</sub>: Brackish water, T<sub>2</sub>: Gypsum @ 100% GR of RSC of water, T<sub>3</sub>: Gypsum @ 50% GR of RSC of water, T<sub>4</sub>: Sulfuric acid @ 100% GR of RSC of water, T<sub>5</sub>: Poultry Manure @ 10 t. ha<sup>-1</sup>, T<sub>6</sub>: Press mud @ 10 t. ha<sup>-1</sup>. A normal soil {(pH<sub>s</sub>= 8.09, EC<sub>e</sub> = 3.18 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and SAR= 8.65 (mmol L<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup>} was selected, prepared and leveled. Experiment was laid out in RCBD with three replications. Tube-well water EC<sub>iw</sub>= 1.34 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, RSC= 8.50 me L<sup>-1</sup> and SAR= 12.72 (mmol L<sup>-1</sup>)<sup>1/2</sup> was used for irrigation. Data regarding plant height grain/achene yield of raya and sunflower crops was recorded at maturity. Soil samples were collected after harvesting of each crop. Pooled data of three years showed that grain yield of raya(1.22 t. ha<sup>-1</sup>) and sunflower(2.19 t. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was significantly higher in T<sub>2</sub> (Gypsum @ 100% GR of RSC of water) followed by T<sub>5</sub> (Poultry Manure @ 10 t. ha<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest grain (1.02 t. ha<sup>-1</sup>) and achene(1.70t. ha<sup>-1</sup>) yield was recorded in control T<sub>1</sub> (Tube well water). In case of soil analysis pH<sub>s</sub>, EC<sub>e</sub> and SAR were substantially improved with all the applied organic and inorganic amendments as compared to control.

\* Corresponding Author: Khalil Ahmed ✉ [khalilahmeduaf@gmail.com](mailto:khalilahmeduaf@gmail.com)

## Introduction

In Pakistan, 86 million acre foot (MAF) of river water is diverted into irrigation canals (GOP, 2002). Due to increased cropping intensity, more agricultural demand and drought condition, seemingly enormous amount of irrigation water could not keep pace with the crop water requirement. This necessitated the development of unconventional water sources in addition to the efficient use of existing ones. Therefore, ground water is being pumped to meet crop water requirement. To overcome this problem, inadequate supplies of water can be augmented with tube well water, however, 70-80 % tube wells pumped the water of poor quality (Murtaza *et al.*, 2009; Naqushband *et al.*, 2014). So, it is imperative that agricultural user must rely on this poor-quality water to supply food and fiber for a growing population (Elagib, 2014; Guo *et al.*, 2014).

After cereal and sugar crops, oil seed crops are important component of human diet as these are sources of vegetable oil which supplies two times more energy than carbohydrates. It has some essential fatty acids and vitamins (D and E) which are desirable for human body. Vegetable oil is considered better for human health than ghee as it has higher percentage of mono and poly unsaturated fats (Amjad, 2014). In Pakistan, sunflower can be grown successfully in a wide range of climates. It is grown in two seasons, spring and summer. It fixes well in the local cropping systems (Shah *et al.*, 2013).

Poor quality water can be used for crop production provided proper agronomic techniques coupled with chemical amendments are followed like the use of gypsum, FYM and salt tolerant crops (Malik *et al.*, 2015). Saifullah *et al.*, (2002) concluded that gypsum (25- 50 %) with or without FYM was a pre-requisite as well as proved economical for most of the calcareous saline-sodic soils and brackish waters under the agro-climatic conditions of Pakistan. Yaduvanshi and Swarup (2006) suggested that gypsum, organic manures or press mud along with recommended doses of fertilizer must be used to improve production of rice-wheat cropping system in

areas having sodic ground water for irrigation. Incorporation of organic contents (Poultry Manure and Press Mud) had positive impacts on soil properties and crop yields and gypsum proved economical amendment for reclamation (Qadir *et al.*, 2017). Determination of optimised dose of organic amendments is important to avoid the risk of deficiency and/or toxicity by mineral elements associated excessive salts on one hand and to ensure the availability of all necessary elements for plant nutrition during the period of high needs and without compromising the environmental quality on the other hand (Oustani *et al.*, 2015).

Keeping in view, the possibility of using brackish water, the present study was planned to evaluate the comparative effectiveness of different inorganic and organic amendments in reducing the ill effects of brackish water used for the production of raya and sunflower crops.

## Materials and Methods:

The field trial was conducted at Soil Salinity Research Institute, Pindi Bhattian for connective three years followings Raya (Rabi) -sunflower (Kharif) crop rotation. The field experiment was carried out to utilize brackish water with  $EC_{iw} = 1.34 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ ,  $RSC = 8.50 \text{ me L}^{-1}$  and  $SAR = 12.72 \text{ (mmol L}^{-1})^{1/2}$ . A composite soil sample was collected before the start of experiment and analyzed for  $\{pH_s = 8.09, EC_e = 3.18 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$  and  $SAR = 8.65 \text{ (mmol L}^{-1})^{1/2}\}$  following the procedures described by Richard, 1954. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications. Recommended doses of fertilizers @  $100-85-45 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  and  $150-100-62 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  were applied to Raya and Sunflower respectively. The treatments were  $T_1 =$  Brackish water alone,  $T_2 =$  Brackish water + Gypsum @ 100% GR of water on RSC basis,  $T_3 =$  Brackish water + Gypsum @ 50% GR of water on RSC basis,  $T_4 =$  Brackish water + Sulfuric acid @ 100% GR of water on RSC basis,  $T_5 =$  Brackish water + Poultry Manure @  $10 \text{ t. ha}^{-1}$ ,  $T_6 =$  Brackish water + Press Mud @  $10 \text{ t. ha}^{-1}$ .

The amendments gypsum, poultry manure and press mud were applied one month before sowing of Raya crop (once in a year year). Raya and Sunflower crops were rotated each year. Sulfuric acid was applied with each irrigation. Standard cultural practices and plant protection measures were adopted. The soil samples were collected from each treatment plot after harvest of each crop and analyzed for pH<sub>s</sub>, EC<sub>e</sub> and SAR. The collected crop data (Raya and Sunflower) was statistically analyzed. The treatment mean comparison was made using Least Significant Difference Test @ 5% Probability (Steel *et al.*, 1997) using STATISTIX 8.1 package software.

## Results and discussions

### Effect of amendments on raya growth

The data showed that the continuous irrigation with brackish water had negative impact on raya plant growth while at the same time organic and inorganic amendments alleviated the detrimental effects of brackish water and remarkably improved the plant growth. Data regarding plant height showed that maximum mean value for plant height (166.53 cm) was observed with gypsum application@ 100% GR of RSC of water, however, it was statistically ( $P < 0.05$ ) non-significant with all other amendments(Table-1).

**Table 1.** Effect of amendments on raya plant height (cm).

Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	MEAN
Brackish water	107.50 c	191 a	169 a	155.83 B
Gypsum @ 100% GR of RSC of water	122.60 a	196 a	181 a	166.53 A
Gypsum @ 50% GR of RSC of water	122.20 a	196 a	175 a	164.40 A
Sulfuric acid @ 100% GR of RSC of water	119.80 a	194 a	172 a	161.93 AB
Poultry Manure @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	112.60 b	198 a	178 a	162.87 A
Press mud @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	110.90 bc	195 a	178 a	161.30 AB

Means sharing the same small letters are statistically similar at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

While lowest mean plant height(155.83 cm) was recorded with continuous irrigation of brackish water (control). As far as grain yield of Raya was concerned, brackish water significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) affected the

grain yield while simultaneously all the amendments showed their ameliorative effect in mitigating the ill effects of brackish water (Table-2).

**Table 2.** Effect of amendments on raya grain yield (t. ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	MEAN
Brackish water	1.52 c	0.77 b	0.79c	1.02 C
Gypsum @ 100% GR of RSC of water	1.66 a	0.96 a	1.06a	1.22 A
Gypsum @ 50% GR of RSC of water	1.60 abc	0.86 ab	0.89bc	1.11 B
Sulfuric acid @ 100% GR of RSC of water	1.63 ab	0.88 ab	0.86c	1.12 B
Poultry Manure @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.58 abc	0.94 a	1.02a	1.18 AB
Press mud @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.55 bc	0.90 ab	0.98ab	1.14 AB

Means sharing the same small letters are statistically similar at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

Maximum grain yield (1.22 t. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was produced by gypsum@ 100% GR of RSC of water followed by poultry manure and both treatments remain at par ( $P < 0.05$ ). Whereas minimum grain yield (1.02 t. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded in control (brackish water). Similar

findings were reported by Abro *et al.*(2007)they stated that inorganic (gypsum) and organic amendments (FYM, rice husk) had ameliorative effects on the soil properties, improving soil porosity and allowing more root penetration, more nutrients

available, more nutrient uptake resulting in better crop growth and yield. Oustani *et al.* (2015) suggested that supplementation with organic fertilizers can be effectively used as strategy to alleviate adverse effects of salinity and to support the growth of crops under saline conditions. Among organic amendments,

poultry manure showed its superiority over press mud in increasing plant height and grain yield. It might be attributed to rapid decomposition, more solubility and release of nutrients from poultry manure.

**Table 3.** Effect of amendments on sunflower plant height (cm).

Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	MEAN
Brackish water	108.70 a	109.20 b	107.37 d	108.42 C
Gypsum @ 100% GR of RSC of water	112.30 a	113.30 a	118.10 a	114.57 A
Gypsum @ 50% GR of RSC of water	110.90 a	112.10 a	110.23 c	111.08 BC
Sulfuric acid @ 100% GR of RSC of water	112.20 a	111.80 ab	110.17 c	111.39 BC
Poultry Manure @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	110.70 a	112.90 a	111.17 bc	111.59 AB
Press mud @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	111.40 a	110.80 ab	112.23 b	111.48 B

Means sharing the same small letters are statistically similar at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

#### *Effect of amendments on sunflower growth*

Data in (Table 3) displayed that continuous irrigation with brackish water had negative impact on sunflower growth and gypsum proved more superior among all the applied treatments in alleviating the adverse effect of this brackish water. Data regarding plant height showed that maximum plant height of 114.57 cm was produced by gypsum@ 100% GR of RSC of

water, which was statistically ( $P < 0.05$ ) alike with poultry manuring, and minimum plant height of 108.42cm was produced by brackish water. Similar trend was observed in achene yield, maximum achene yield of 2.19t. ha<sup>-1</sup> was produced with gypsum application @ 100% GR of RSC of water followed by poultry manure (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Effect of amendments on sunflower achene yield (t. ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup> Year	2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	MEAN
Brackish water	1.82 a	1.67 c	1.62 d	1.70 C
Gypsum @ 100% GR of RSC of water	2.23 a	2.08 a	2.26 a	2.19 A
Gypsum @ 50% GR of RSC of water	2.07 ab	1.75 bc	1.89 b	1.90 B
Sulfuric acid @ 100% GR of RSC of water	2.16 a	1.84 abc	1.92 b	1.97 B
Poultry Manure @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.20 a	1.96 ab	1.80 c	1.98 B
Press mud @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.09 ab	1.71 bc	1.85 bc	1.88 B

Means sharing the same small letters are statistically similar at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

Whereas minimum achene yield (1.70 t. ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed in control (brackish water). The use of amendments improved plant height and achene yield by reducing the ill effects of brackish water on soil physico-chemical properties and enhancing the availability of nutrients to the plants. Qadir *et al.* (2017) reported that gypsum application enhanced the grain yield, biomass yield in wheat and rice. Oustani *et al.* (2015) reported that the application of

poultry manure significantly increased yield and yield parameters as compared with control. The lowest plant height and achene yield were observed in the treatment with brackish water alone. Salts present in the brackish water might have affected plant growth and yield by reducing the ability of roots to absorb water due to osmotic pressure effects, their toxicity to the plants and nutritional imbalances (De Pascale *et al.*, 2013; Plaut *et al.*, 2013).

**Table 5.** Effect of amendments on soil chemical properties at the end of study (average of three years).

Treatments	pHs	ECe	SAR (mmol L <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>1/2</sup>
Brackish water	8.23 (-1.73)	3.68 (-15.72)	13.97 (-61.50)
Gypsum @ 100% GR of RSC of water	7.97 (1.48)	3.00 (5.66)	7.80 (9.82)
Gypsum @ 50% GR of RSC of water	8.06 (0.37)	3.15 (0.94)	8.6 (0.57)
Sulfuric acid @ 100% GR of RSC of water	8.07 (0.24)	3.16 (0.62)	8.62 (0.34)
Poultry Manure @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	8.00 (1.11)	3.17 (0.31)	8.3 (4.04)
Press mud @ 10 t. ha <sup>-1</sup>	8.02 (0.86)	3.17 (0.31)	8.4 (2.89)

The values in parenthesis represent the percent decrease (-)/increase (+) in the respective soil properties.

#### Soil Properties

Pool data of soil analysis after three years of experimentation showed that continuous use of brackish water negatively affect the soil properties while at the same time all the remedial strategies used substantially improved the soil chemical properties (Table 5).

Among all the treatments maximum reduction of 1.48% in pH was observed with gypsum application @ 100% GR of RSC of water followed by poultry manure application (1.11 %), whereas, press mud reduces the pH value by 0.86 %.

A slight increase (1.73 %) in pH was noted with brackish water. Similarly maximum reduction of 5.66% and 9.82 % was noted in EC<sub>e</sub> and SAR respectively with addition of gypsum @ 100% GR of RSC of water. Irrigation with brackish water negatively affected these properties and an increase of 15.72% and 61.50% was recorded in EC<sub>e</sub> and SAR respectively. Similar findings were reported by Qadir *et al.*, (2017) they observed reduction in soil pH with gypsum and organic amendments.

The increase in EC<sub>e</sub> and SAR with the use of brackish water was perhaps due to swelling and partial dispersion of clay particles by the excessive concentration of Na<sup>+</sup> in brackish water. Ameliorative effects of amendments on soil properties may be ascribed as amendments release higher concentration of ions like Ca<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> etc. which reduced the ill effects of brackish water due to leaching of Na<sup>+</sup> from the soil complex (Kahlon *et al.*, 2012).

#### Conclusion

When canal or good quality water is not available or in short supplies, brackish water can be used for subsequent crop production after neutralizing the negative effect of brackish water. Gypsum application @ 100% GR of RSC of water and poultry manure @ 10 t. ha<sup>-1</sup> found more efficient over others treatments in the term of plant height and grain/ achene yield of raya and sun flower, soil chemical properties and can be successfully used to control the development of salinity/ sodicity in soil due to continuous use of brackish water.

#### Abbreviations

Used: EC<sub>e</sub> (electrical conductivity of soil extract); EC<sub>iw</sub> (electrical conductivity of irrigation water); pH<sub>s</sub> (pH of soil saturated paste); RSC (residual sodium carbonate); SAR (sodium absorption ratio); GR (gypsum requirement).

#### References

- Abro SA, Mahar AR, Talpur KH.** 2007. Effectiveness use of brackish water on saline sodic soils for rice and wheat production. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **39 (7)**, 2601-2606.
- Amjad M.** 2014. Oil seed crops of Pakistan, PARC Islamabad. 2 p.
- De Pascale, Orsini SF, Pardossi A.** 2013. Irrigation water quality for greenhouse horticulture. In *Good Agricultural Practices for Greenhouse Vegetable Crops*; FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper 217; Food and Agriculture

Organization of the United Nations: Rome, Italy, 2013; 169–204 P.

**Elagib NA.** 2014. Development and application of a drought risk index for food crop yield in Eastern Sahel. *Ecology Indicators* **43**, 114–125.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.02.033>

**Government of Pakistan.** 2002. Pakistan Statistics Year Book 2002. Federal Bureau of Statistics Division, Government of Pakistan, Islamabad, Pakistan.

**Guo W, Fu Y, Ruan B, Ge H, Zhao N.** 2014. Agricultural non-point source pollution in the Yongding River Basin. *Ecology Indicators* **36**, 254–261.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.07.012>

**Kahlon UZ, Murtaza G, Ghafoor A, Murtaza B.** 2012. Amelioration of saline-sodic soil with amendments using brackish water, canal water and their combination. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* **14**, 38–46.

**Malik MA, Nadeem SM, Ibrahim M, Hussain S.** 2015. Effective use of brackish water for improving soil properties and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) growth through organic amendments. *Soil and Environment* **34(1)**, 65-74.

**Murtaza G, Ghafoor A, Owen G, Qadir M, Kahlon UZ.** 2009. Environmental and economic benefits of saline sodic soil reclamation using low quality water and soil amendments in conjunction with rice-wheat cropping system. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop science* **195**, 124-136.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2008.00350.x>

**Naqushband M, Niazi MT, Mehmood K, Dogar MA.** 2014. Tackling of problematic soil and brackish water in Pakistan and improvement in the efficiency

of urea and can fertilizers. *NFC-IEFR Journal of Engineering & Scientific Research* **2 (1)**, 58-66.

**Oustani M, Halilat MT, Chenchouni H.** 2015. Effect of poultry manure on the yield and nutrients uptake of potato under saline conditions of arid regions. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture* **27 (1)**, 106-120.

<https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.v27i1.17971>

**Plaut Z, Edelstein M, Ben-Hur M.** 2013. Overcoming salinity barriers to crop production using traditional methods. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences* **32**, 250–291.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/07352689.2012.752236>

**Qadir G, Ahmed K, Qureshi MA, Saqib AI, Zaka MA, Sarfraz M, Warraich IA, Ullah S.** 2017. Integrated use of inorganic and organic amendments for reclamation of salt affected soil. *International Journal of Biosciences* **11(2)**, 1-10.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/11.2.1-10>

**Saifullah, Ghafoor A, Murtaza G, Qadir M.** 2002. Brackish tube well water promotes growth of rice and wheat and reclamation of saline sodic soil. *Pakistan Journal of Soil Science* **21(4)**, 83-88.

**Shah NA, Aujla KM, Ishaq M, Farooq A.** 2013. Trends in Sunflower Production and its Potential in increasing domestic edible oil production in Punjab. *Pakistan Journal of Agriculture* **29(1)**, 7-13.

**Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA.** 1997. *Principles and Procedures of Statistics.* Mc Graw Hill Co. Inc. New York.

**Yaduvanshi NPS, Swarup A.** 2006. Long term effect of gypsum, farm yard manure, pressmud and fertilizer on soil properties and yields of rice and wheat under continuous use of sodic water. Paper presented in Inter. Conf. on sustainable crop production on salt affected land. Dec. 4-6. Univ. Agri. Faisalabad, Pakistan.