



Managing sesbania decomposition with urea and different tillage techniques in salt affected soil

Muhammad Rizwan¹, Khalil Ahmed^{1*}, Muhammad Sarfraz¹, Muhammad Qaisar Nawaz¹, Ghulam Qadir¹, Muhammad Usaman², Muhammad Wajid Ijaz³

¹Soil Salinity Research Institute (SSRI), Pindi Bhattian, Pakistan

²Department of land and water conservation engineering, PMSAS Arid Agriculture University

³Environmental protection agency, 54000, Punjab, Pakistan

Key words: Sesbania, urea, cultivator, disc harrow, rotavator.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/12.6.258-268>

Article published on June 24, 2018

Abstract

Green manuring is an integral component of sustainable agriculture and is an effective strategy in rehabilitation of salt affected soils. Also selection of tillage implements to be utilized under salt affected soils is very important for the seed bed preparation. For this purpose a study was carried out at Soil Salinity Research Institute, Pindi Bhattian, Pakistan to develop the best technique for decomposition of sesbania with urea fertilizer and tillage implements in saline sodic soil. Two urea fertilizer levels i.e. control (no urea) and 40 kg ha⁻¹ and three different tillage implements cultivator, disc harrow and rotavator were used in split plot arrangement. Tillage implements were allocated in main plots and urea levels in sub plots keeping sub-plot. A salt affected field {EC_e = 6.50 (d Sm⁻¹), pH_s = 8.90 and SAR = 45.70 (m mol L⁻¹)^{1/2}} was selected. Crop rotation rice-wheat was used. Sesbania crop was sown before the transplanting of every rice crop and it was incorporated after 45 days of sowing. Analysis of data indicated that different tillage implements with urea enhanced the decomposition of sesbania and significantly improved soil pH_s, EC_e, SAR, HC, BD and rice-wheat yield. Maximum paddy and grain yield was observed in treatment where disc harrow was used with fertilizer level of urea @ 40 kg ha⁻¹. So incorporation of sesbania with disc harrow along urea supply @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ is therefore seen as a potential agro ecological innovation that promote a better utilization of sesbania in salt affected.

* **Corresponding Author:** Khalil Ahmed ✉ khalilahmeduaf@gmail.com

Introduction

Soil degradation due to salinization is a major threat to global agriculture (Devkota *et al.*, 2015) which have negative impacts on crop growth and soil properties (Okur, 2002). Salt prone soils generally provide unfavorable condition for plant growth as they are typically coupled with excessive soluble salts and insufficient organic matter (Asmalodhi *et al.*, 2009). Improvement in physical and chemical properties of such problematic soils could be achieved by different methods, governed by available resources and local conditions (Elsharawy *et al.*, 2008). Deleterious consequences of salinization could be reduced by addition of organic materials in salt affected soil (Pang *et al.*, 2010). Organic amendments improve health due to long-lasting ameliorative effects on soil-quality characteristics (Hueso-González *et al.*, 2014; Baldi and Toselli, 2014). It not only precludes the salt prone degradation of soil but also preserves its productivity and fertility status (Rekhi *et al.*, 2000). Due to arid to semi-arid climate, Pakistani soils are generally poor in organic matter because of remineralization rates of organic matter rather than its addition in these soils. In current scenario, green manuring with leguminous crops can serve as a cheaper source of nutrient for establishment of plants on salt affected soils. At the same time green manuring maintain the status of soil N (Mohammad *et al.*, 2008), improves organic C contents of soil (Bakht *et al.*, 2009).

The sesbania, a high N₂ fixing legume is annuals, short-lived plant which have ability to tolerate salt stress (Evans and Rotar, 1987) and can fix upto 270 kg of nitrogen ha⁻¹ in 52 days (Yang *et al.*, 1998). On decomposition, it not only enhanced the fertility status of soil but also improves aeration, water holding capacity, colloidal complex and consequently the ability of soil to retain nutrients. Therefore inclusion of this short-lived plant in wheat-rice rotation helps in maintaining soil fertility and increased crop productivity (Mishra *et al.*, 2006; Shah *et al.*, 2011). Green manuring of sesbania significantly increase the rice and wheat crop yield with 50 to 60 % N saving and improve the soil health by up-lifting

physico-chemical properties (Mann *et al.*, 2000). Integration of fertilizers with green manuring of guar and sesbania had positive effects on rice and wheat crop yield (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2000). The involvement of sesbania and mung bean has shown positive impacts in rice-wheat cropping sequence (Mann, 2000). Furthermore, it has been widely reported that the application of organic material not only improve nutrients but also has positive impacts on other soil characteristics such as better porosity, aeration, temperature, water holding capacity, microbial activities and many others (Prakash *et al.*, 2007).

Soil compaction is a well-recognized problem in global agriculture (Hamza and Anderson 2005) and this situation is exacerbated by salt stressed degraded soils which pose a prompt threat to crop growth and economic yields, in addition to a long term hazard to future crop yields. Due to excess of Na⁺ in salt affected soils hydraulic conductivity is limited due to dispersion, translocation and deposition of clay particles in conducting pores (Mari *et al.*, 2011). The only proper way to improve soil physical properties and favourable crop growth conditions is management by tillage practices (Mosaddeghi *et al.* 2009). Tillage is soil manipulation for good seedbed preparation and root penetration and growth. Tillage not only considerably decreased penetration resistance and soil bulk density, but also improved soil water content and root length (Ji B., 2013). Hence it is indispensable to select a tillage system that sustains and improve the soil properties required for successful crop growth (Jabro *et al.*, 2009).

Keeping the above facts in view a study was planned to develop the best technique for decomposition of sesbania with urea fertilizer and tillage implements in saline sodic soil.

Materials and methods

A series of experiments was conducted during 2011 to 2014 adopting rice-wheat cropping i.e starting in mid-July 2011 (rice) and ending in April 2014 (wheat) at research farm of Soil Salinity Research Institute, Pindi Bhattian having coordinates, (altitude 184 m,

latitude 31.8950° N and longitude 73.2706° E). A salt affected field {EC_e = 6.50 (d Sm⁻¹), pH_s = 8.90, SAR = 45.70 (m mol L⁻¹)^{1/2} and soil gypsum requirement (SGR) = 11.04 t ha⁻¹ for 0-15 cm} was selected and prepared by using three tillage implements cultivator, disk harrow and rotavator according to the treatments, whereas two fertilizer levels i-e urea 40 kg ha⁻¹ and urea 0 kg ha⁻¹ were used to enhance the decomposition of sesbania. Experiment was designed in randomized complete block design having split plot arrangement with three repeats having subplot size 8m x 6m. Tillage implements were kept in main plots and fertilizer levels in sub-plots. The average weather conditions were: 11.8 ± 4.4°C minimum temperature, 42.6 ± 2.5°C maximum temperature, 36.2 ± 3.5% minimum relative humidity, 72.3 ± 4.8% maximum relative humidity, maximum sunshine hours, 14 h and 10 minute and minimum sunshine hours 7 h and 35 minute.

Sesbania crop @ 50 kg ha⁻¹ seed was sown before the transplanting of every rice crop and incorporated after 45 days of sowing. Recommended dose of fertilizers 110-90-60 NPK kg ha⁻¹ for rice (Shaheen Basmati) and 120-110-70 NPK kg ha⁻¹ for wheat (Inqlab-91) was applied as urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and sulphate of potash (SOP).

Observations Recorded

Composite soil samples were collected before sowing and after the harvest of each crop in each season and were analyzed for soil parameters like bulk density, hydraulic conductivity, pH_s, EC_e and SAR by adopting the protocol as reported by the US Salinity Lab. Staff (1954). Paddy/grain yield was recorded at time of harvesting of each crop.

Statistical Analysis

The data generated was subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique and the least significance difference (LSD) test was used to separate the differences among treatment means (Steel *et al.*, 1997) using STATISTIX 8.1 package software.

Results

Rice

In rice 2011, paddy yield (2.18 t. ha⁻¹) was significantly higher with application of urea @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ and with respect to tillage implements maximum paddy yield (2.07 t. ha⁻¹) was recorded when disc harrow was used for incorporation of sesbania and soil preparation (Table 1).

Table 1. Effect of urea and tillage implements on paddy yield (t ha⁻¹) 2011.

Treatments	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Mean
T ₁ : Cultivator + Planking	2.08 c	1.59 f	1.84 C
T ₂ : Disk harrow	2.32 a	1.82 d	2.07 A
T ₃ : Rotavator	2.15 b	1.63 e	1.89 B
Mean	2.18 A	1.68 B	
LSD for treatment=0.0222		LSD for fertilizer=0.0269	LSD for Interaction=0.0313

Any two means sharing the same letters are statistically similar at $P=0.05$.

Data regarding interactive effect of urea and tillage implements showed that urea application @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ with disk harrow yielded significantly higher grain yield of (2.32 t. ha⁻¹). Same trend was observed in successive years, maximum paddy yield (2.41 t. ha⁻¹) and (2.74 t. ha⁻¹) was obtained with application of urea @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ during 2012 and 2013 respectively

(Table 2 and 3). Further it was inferred that tillage implements have their own importance in salt affected soil and disc harrow enhanced the decomposition process and resultantly paddy yield was also improved as compared to other tillage implements. Paddy yield of rice 2012 was (2.46 t. ha⁻¹) and rice 2013 was (3.05 t. ha⁻¹) with disc harrow.

Minimum paddy yield was obtained by using the cultivator + planking, in all three consecutive seasons. Tillage system and urea interaction was also found significant it was observed that paddy yield was 2.70 t. ha⁻¹ in (2012) and 3.21 t. ha⁻¹ in (2013) using disc harrow and urea fertilizer @ 40 kg ha⁻¹.

Wheat

A perusal of data regarding the wheat grain yield it could be observed that urea levels, tillage implements

and their interactive effect significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) increased grain yield. For wheat 2011-12, grain yield (2.2t. ha⁻¹) was significantly higher with application of urea @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ and in respect to tillage systems maximum grain yield (2.17 t. ha⁻¹) was documented with disc harrow (Table 4). Interaction of urea levels and tillage implements showed that greater grain yield (2.40 t. ha⁻¹) was produced by urea @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ with disc harrow.

Table 2. Effect of urea and tillage implements on paddy yield (t ha⁻¹) 2012.

Treatments	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Mean
T ₁ : Cultivator + Planking	2.10 d	1.82 e	1.96 C
T ₂ : Disk harrow	2.70 a	2.22 c	2.46 A
T ₃ : Rotavator	2.45 b	2.07 d	2.26 B
Mean	2.41 A	2.04 B	
LSD for treatment=0.0904: LSD for fertilizer=0.0493: LSD for Interaction=0.0854			

Any two means sharing the same letters are statistically similar at $P = 0.05$.

In wheat 2012-13 and 2013-14, maximum grain yield (2.36 t. ha⁻¹) and (2.79 t. ha⁻¹) was obtained with application of urea @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ which is similar to 2011-12 results (Table 5 and 6). Among three tillage system it was observed that disc harrow yielded maximum grain yield (2.43 t. ha⁻¹) and (2.91 t. ha⁻¹) in 2012-13 and 2013-14 respectively. Interactive effect of

urea levels and tillage system showed that maximum grain yield was recorded using tillage implement disc harrow with urea fertilizer @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ in first, second and third wheat crop. Minimum grain yield was observed with cultivator + planking and urea @ 0 kg ha⁻¹ for all three consecutive seasons.

Table 3. Effect of urea and tillage implements on paddy yield (t. ha⁻¹) 2013.

Treatments	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Mean
T ₁ : Cultivator + Planking	2.22 c	1.98 d	2.10 C
T ₂ : Disk harrow	3.21 a	2.89 b	3.05 A
T ₃ : Rotavator	2.78 b	2.67 b	2.72 B
Mean	2.74 A	2.51 B	
LSD for treatment =0.2016 LSD for fertilizer = 0.1845 LSD for Interaction=0.2216			

Any two means sharing the same letters are statistically similar at $P = 0.05$.

Soil properties

Results revealed that irrespective of the tillage implements and urea levels used, soil chemical and physical properties were markedly improved by all the treatments after three years of experimentation

(Table 7). Results of soil analysis showed that pH_s value reduced to 1.57%, 2.25 % and 2.02 % with respect to their initial value by cultivator, disc harrow and rotavator respectively using urea @ 0 kg ha⁻¹ fertilizer. While using urea fertilizer @ 40 kg ha⁻¹

pH_s value reduced to 2.36 %, 2.70 % and 2.58 % with tillage implements cultivator, disc harrow and rotavator respectively.

There was a significant reduction in electrical conductivity values at the end of experiment. EC_e reduced to 20 %, 31 % and 26 % using cultivator, disc

harrow and rotavator with urea @ 0 kg ha⁻¹. While with application of urea @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ maximum reduction was observed in disc harrow (36 %) followed by rotavator (34 %) and minimum reduction was noted with cultivator (21 %) with respect to their initial values.

Table 4. Effect of urea and tillage implements on wheat grain yield (t. ha⁻¹) 2011-12.

Treatments	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Mean
T ₁ : Cultivator + Planking	1.96 c	1.71 e	1.83 C
T ₂ : Disk harrow	2.40 a	1.94 c	2.17 A
T ₃ : Rotavator	2.24 b	1.87 d	2.05 B
Mean	2.20 A	1.84 B	

LSD for treatment=0.0190; LSD for fertilizer=0.0126; LSD for Interaction=0.0268

Any two means sharing the same letters are statistically similar at $P = 0.05$.

Similarly SAR values reduced to 32 % by cultivator, 46% by disc harrow and 42 % by rotavator without using urea fertilizer. While using urea fertilizer @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ SAR values reduced to 38 %, 48 % and 45 % with tillage implements cultivator, disc harrow and rotavator respectively.

Sesbania green manure decomposition also improved physical properties of soil (Table 8). Maximum

increase in hydraulic conductivity of soil was observed with urea application @ 40 kg ha⁻¹. Disc harrow increased the hydraulic conductivity 81 % with respect to their initial value while increase was only 74 % with rotavator and 40 % with cultivator. Vice versa trend was observed in case of bulk density, disc harrow, rotavator and cultivator reduced bulk density value up to 16 %, 14 % and 8 %, with urea fertilizer @ 40 kg ha⁻¹.

Table 5. Effect of urea and tillage implements on wheat grain yield (t. ha⁻¹) 2012-13.

Treatments	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Mean
T ₁ : Cultivator + Planking	2.01 d	1.78 e	1.89 C
T ₂ : Disk harrow	2.66 a	2.22 c	2.43 A
T ₃ : Rotavator	2.42 b	2.00 d	2.21 B
Mean	2.36 A	2.00 B	

LSD for treatment=0.0574 LSD for fertilizer=0.0216 LSD for Interaction=0.0916

Any two means sharing the same letters are statistically similar at $P = 0.05$.

Discussion

Selection of an organic source is very important as incorporation of low quality organic source to soil may decrease crop yield as well as nutrient availability (Hemwong *et al.*, 2008; Gentile *et al.*, 2009). Mineralization of nutrients from an organic

source is functionally associated with different biotic and abiotic factors (Berg and Mc Clagherty, 2008) and is strongly dependent on its chemical composition, higher quality plant material with narrow C:N ratio will be mineralized fast while low-quality plant material with high C:N ratio will have a

lower mineralization rate and may immobilized by soil microbes which reduces plant available nitrogen contents (Gentile *et al.* 2009). Crops with low C:N ratio decomposed fast and easily and significantly increased the organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus contents in soil under rice-wheat cropping system (Shindo and Nishio, 2005).

Sesbaniabeing leguminous crop also decomposed easily, however in salt affected soils due to poor organic matter and nitrogen content (Ashraf and Rehman, 1999) nitrogen status of the sesoils may not be comparable to normal agricultural soils (Asmalodhi *et al.*, 2009).

Table 6. Effect of urea and tillage implements on wheat grain yield (t. ha⁻¹) 2013-14.

Treatments	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Mean
T ₁ : Cultivator + Planking	2.23 c	1.78 d	2.00 B
T ₂ : Disk harrow	3.19 a	2.64 b	2.91 A
T ₃ : Rotavator	2.95 a	2.42 bc	2.67 A
Mean	2.79 A	2.28 B	
LSD for treatment =0.2499 LSD for fertilizer = 0.0994 LSD for Interaction=0.1723			

Any two means sharing the same letters are statistically similar at $P = 0.05$.

Furthermore, excessive soluble salts inhibits mineralization of organic materials and soil enzyme activities which are essential for the decomposition of organic matter and release of nutrients required to sustain productivity (Nourbakhsh *et al.*, 2006). Hence under salinized environment, the role of N becomes vital and externally applied any inorganic nitrogen source will improve residues quality and alter its chemistry that may changes microbial community as residues are decomposed (Stemmer *et al.* 2007; Gentile *et al.* 2009) and consequently N availability

status also improved (Walpola and Arunakumara 2010). So Priming of organic material with nitrogen source is necessary to accelerate mineralization process and to avoid immobilization (Partey *et al.*, 2014). In our study more paddy/grain yield of wheat and rice in treatment where urea @ 40 kg/ha was applied to decompose sesbaian could be attributed to improve nitrogen composition in salt affected soil and low C/N ratios and supply sufficient N for decomposing microorganisms, thus eliminating any period of net N immobilization (Gentile *et al.* 2009; Qiu *et al.* 2016).

Table 7. Soil Analyses at the end of study.

Treatments	pH		EC _e (dS m ⁻¹)		SAR(mmol L ⁻¹) ^{1/2}	
	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)
Cultivator+ Planking	8.69	8.76	5.11	5.21	28.46	31.19
Disc Harrow	8.66	8.70	4.16	4.48	23.58	24.68
Rotavator	8.67	8.72	4.27	4.83	24.97	26.54

Inclusion of green manures crop in rice wheat rotation helps to improve organic C, mineralizable N contents, (Abbasi *et al.*, 2009) Zn and Cu (Mishra *et al.* 2006) microbial activities (Shah *et al.*, 2010) and increases uptake of P, K, Zn, Fe, Mn, Cu by rice plants (Srirama chandrasekharan, 2001) Mann *et al.* (2000)

stated that yield of rice crop increased considerably by green manuring of sesbania. They also reported that continuous use of green manuring of sesbania for three years not only improved the soil health in terms of its physical and chemical properties but also had beneficial residual effect on the

succeeding wheat crop. Similar findings were also reported by Ibrahim *et al.* (2000) that integration of fertilizers with green manuring of guar and sesbania had positive effects on rice and wheat crop yield. Beneficial effects of green manuring in wheat and rice crop has been reported by Boparai *et al.* (1992) which reinforced our findings. Green manuring of sesbania aculeate help to uplift soil characteristics of wet-land rice as its incorporation significantly increased root growth and grain yield of wheat grown after rice (Shah *et al.*, 2011). More crop yield with tillage by disc harrow may be justified as decomposition of organic source incorporated into soil is enhanced as compared to crop residue placement on soil surface

(Burgess *et al.* 2002) furthermore proper placement of crop residue in soil also affect the balance between gross nitrogen immobilization and gross nitrogen mineralization process (Burgess *et al.* 2002). Reduction in tillage practices may results accumulation of organic matters in upper layers of soil (Pekrun *et al.* 2003), may disturb microbial activities as well as microbial biomass and distribution and amounts of mineralizable nitrogen (Kandeler *et al.*, 1999). Deep ploughing altered soil climate in term of temperature and moisture and all these modifications accelerated the decomposition of sesbania in turn enhancing the soil nitrogen supply to crops.

Table 8. Soil Analyses at the end of study.

Treatments	HC (cm hr ⁻¹)		BD (Mg m ⁻³)	
	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 40 (kg ha ⁻¹)	Urea 0 (kg ha ⁻¹)
Cultivator + Planking	0.66	0.62	1.36	1.39
Disc Harrow	0.85	0.80	1.25	1.29
Rotavator	0.82	0.77	1.28	1.33

Soil qualities

Sesbania green manuring is a common practice on salt-affected soils in terms of their rehabilitation and an increase in nutrient availability status (Qadir *et al.*, 2002; Baiget *et al.* 2005a,b). In our study decreased in salinity indicators (pH_s, EC_e and SAR) were due to phytoremediation of salt affected soils (Ahmed, 1991) which occur during the release of root exudates and organic carbon (Treeby *et al.*, 1989) changes in soil pH (Liu *et al.*, 1989) due to acidic nature (cytoplasmic pH is about 4.01) of sesbania (Uppal, 1955) which decrease the soil pH value from (8.90) to (8.66) in treatment with disc harrow plus urea @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ at the end of study. Additionally when sesbania buried as green manure CO₂ evolved during decomposition and formed carbonic acid, which solubilizes lime and thus helps in the reclamation process (Quadir, *et al.*, 2001). Our results are reinforced by several researchers who reported sesbania helped in reclamation of sodic soil by lowering the adverse effects of Na⁺ (Baig *et al.*, 2005), help to balance nutrient supply and act as a buffer against

salinity/sodicity by lowering pH (Baig and Zia, 2006) also improve the soil structure, infiltration and hydraulic conductivity (Turgut *et al.*, 2005) better aeration, temperature, porosity, microbial activities, water holding capacity and many others (Khan *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, crop also benefited by better soil physical properties as improved by different tillage systems (Mosaddeghi *et al.* 2009). The lowest values of bulk density and hydraulic conductivity at end of study in treatment with disc harrow and urea @ 40 kg ha⁻¹ could be due to their ability in breaking, tearing and inverting the soil and well chopping and mixing of sesbania in soil consequently the most favorable soil conditions (i.e. low soil penetration resistance and bulk density, more soil moisture content and porosity). Similar findings were mentioned by (Ji, 2013; Amin *et al.*, 2014; Nayel *et al.*, 2016).

Abbreviations used

EC_e (electrical conductivity of soil extract); pH_s (pH of soil saturated past); SAR (sodium absorption ratio);

SGR (soil gypsum requirement); HC (hydraulic conductivity); BD (bulk density)

Conclusion

Incorporation of sesbania with disc harrow along urea supply @ 40 kg/ha is therefore seen as a potential agro ecological innovation that promote a better utilization of sesbania in salt affected which add organic matter and stimulate mineralization of essential nutrients to plant, thus enhancing soil fertility and productivity through improved soil physical, chemical and biological properties.

References

- Abbasi MK, Tahir MM, Shah AH, Batool F.** 2009. Mineral nutrient composition of different ecotypes of white clover and their nutrient credit to soil at Rawalkot Azad Jammu and Kashmir. Pakistan Journal of Botany **41(1)**, 41-51.
- Ahmed P.** 1991. Agro forestry: A viable use of alkali soils. In: Agro forestry Systems, 14: 23-37.
- Amin M, Muhammad JK, Muhammad TJ, Masood R, Javaid AT, Muhammad H, Zahir S.** 2014. Effect of different tillage practices on soil physical properties under wheat in semi-arid environment. Soil and Environment **33(1)**, 33-37.
- Ashraf M, Rehman HU.** 1999. Mineral nutrition of corn in relation to nitrate and long-term water logging. Journal of Plant Nutrition **22**, 1253-1258.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/019041699093657.10>
- Asmalodhi M, Arshad F, Sajjad MH.** 2009. Changes in mineral and mineralizable N of soil incubated at varying salinity, moisture and temperature regimes. Pakistan Journal of Botany **41(2)**, 967-980.
- Baig MB, ZIA MS.** 2006. Rehabilitation of problem soils through environmental friendly technologies - ii: role of sesbania (*Sesbania aculeata*) and gypsum. Agricultura Tropicaet Subtropica **39(1)**, 26-33.
- Baig MB, ZIA MS, Szombathova AN, Zaujec A.** 2005a. Rehabilitation of problem soils through environmental friendly technologies: Role of sesbania and farm yard manure. Agricultura Tropicaet Subtropica, **38**, 12-16.
- Baig MB, ZIAMS, Szombathova AN, Zaujec A.** 2005b. Rehabilitation of problem soils through environmental friendly technologies: Role of sesbania and phosphorus. Agricultura Tropicaet Subtropica, **38**, 17-21.
- Bakht J, Shafi M, Jan MT, Shah Z.** 2009. Influence of crop residue management, cropping system and N fertilizer on soil C and N dynamics and sustainable wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) production. Soil and Tillage Research **104**, 233-240.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2009.02.006>
- Baldi E, Toselli M.** 2014. Mineralization dynamics of different commercial organic fertilizers from agro-industry organic waste recycling: an incubation experiment, Plant Soil and Environment. **60**, 93-99.
- Berg B, Mc Clagherty C.** 2008. Plant litter: decomposition, humus formation, carbon sequestration. Springer.
- Beri V, Sidhu BS, Bahl GS, Bhat AK.** 1995. Nitrogen and phosphorus transformations as affected by crop residue management practices and their influence on crop yields. Soil Use Management. **11**, 51-54.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-2743.1995.tb00496.x>
- Boparai BS, Singh Y, Sharma BD.** 1992. Effect of green manure (*Sesbania aculeata*) on physical properties of soil and growth of wheat in rice-wheat and maize-wheat cropping systems. International Agrophysics, **6**, 95-101.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15324089209381306>
- Burgess MS, Mehuys GR, Madramootoo CA.** 2002. Nitrogen dynamics of decomposition corn residue components under three tillage systems. Soil

Science Society of America Journal **66**, 1350-1358.

Devkota M, MartiusC, GuptaRK, DevkotaKP, McDonald AJ, Lamers JPA. 2015. Managing soil salinity with permanent bed planting in irrigated production systems in central asia. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*. **202**, 90–97.

Elsharawy MAO, Elbordiny MM, Abdelwahed SA. 2008. Improvement of a salt affected soil on Bahr El- Bakar area using certain industrial byproducts: Effect on physical and chemical characteristics. *Journal of Applied Science and Research* **4(7)**, 839-846.

Evans DO, Rotar PP. 1987. *Sesbania in agriculture* Boulder, CO: Westview Press.

Farshid N, Sheikh-HosseiniAR. 2006. A kinetic approach to evaluate salinity effects on carbon mineralization in a plant residue-amended soil. *Journal of Zhejiang University SCIENCE* **7(10)**, 788-793.

Gentile R, Vanlauwe B, Van Kessel C, Six J. 2009. Managing N availability and losses by combining fertilizer-N with different quality residues in Kenya. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* **131**, 308–314.

Hamza MA, AndersonWK. 2005: Soil Compaction in Cropping Systems: A Review of the Nature, Causes and Possible Solutions *Soil and Tillage Research* **82**, 121– 145.

Hemwong S, CadischG, Toomsan B, Limpinuntana V, Vityakon P, Patanothai A. 2008. Dynamics of residue decomposition and N₂ fixation of grain legumes upon sugarcane residue retention as an alternative to burning. *Soil and Tillage Research* **99**, 84–97.

Hueso-González P, Martínez-Murillo JF, Ruiz-Sinoga JD. 2014. The impact of organic amendments on forest soil properties under

Mediterranean climatic conditions, *Land Degradation and Development* **25**, 604–612.

Ibrahim M, Rashid M, Nadeem MY, Mahmood K. 2000. Integrated use of green manuring, FYM, wheat straw and inorganic nutrients in rice-wheat crop rotation. *Proc. Symp. Integrated Plant Nutrition Management* (N. Ahmad and A. Hamid, eds) Nov. 8-10, 1999, 186-195. NFDC, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Jabro JD, Stevens WB, Evans RG, Iversen WM. 2009. Tillage Effects on Physical Properties in Two Soils of the Northern Great Plain. *Applied Engineering Agriculture* **25 (3)**, 377–382.

Ji B. 2013. Effects of Tillage on Soil Physical Properties and Root Growth of Maize in Loam and Clay in Central China. *Plant Soil and Environment* **59 (7)**, 295–302.

Kandeler E, TschirkoD, Spiegel H. 1999. Long-term monitoring of microbial biomass, N mineralization and enzymes activities of a Chernozem under different tillage management. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **28**, 343-351.

Liu WC, LundLJ, PageAL. 1989. Acidity produced by leguminous plants through symbiotic dinitrogen fixation. In: *Journal of Environmental Quality* **18**, 529-534.

Mafongoya PL, Barak P, Reed JD. 2000. Carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus mineralization of tree leaves and manure. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* **30(4)**, 298-305.

Mann RA, ZiaMS, Salim M. 2000. New dimensions in green manuring for sustaining the productivity of rice wheat system. *Proc. Symp. Integrated Plant Nutrition Management* (N. Ahmad and A. Hamid, eds) November 8- 10, 1999, p-166-185. NFDC, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Mari GR, Chandio FA, leghari N, Rajper AG, Shah RR. 2011. Performance evaluation of selected

tillage implements under saline sodic soils. *American-Eurasian Journal Of Agricultural & Environmental Sciences* **1**(1), 42-48.

Mishra BN, PrasadR, Gangaiah B, Shivakumar BG. 2006. Organic Manures for Increased Productivity and Sustained Supply of Micronutrients Zn and Cu in a Rice-Wheat Cropping System: Innovations for long-term and lasting maintenance and enhancement of agricultural resources, production and environmental quality. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, **28**(1), 55-66.

Mohammad W, ShahZ, Shah SM, Shehzadi S. 2008. Response of irrigated and N-fertilized wheat yield to legume –cereal and cereal-cereal rotation. *Soil and Environment* **27**, 148-154.

Mosaddeghi MR, Mahboubi AA, Safadoust A. 2009. Short-term Effects of Tillage and Manure on Some Soil Physical Properties and Maize Root Growth in a Sandy loam Soil in Western Iran. *Soil and Tillage Research* **104**, 173–179.

Nayel MH, FaridEAE, MohamedHD, Abdel-RahmanAE. 2016. The effect of tillage system on soil physical properties in eddammer locality of northern sudan. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental sciences* **1**(2),27-34.

Okur N. 2002. Response of soil biological and biochemical activity to salinization. *Ege Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi* **39**(1), 87-93.

Pang HC, LiYY, YangJS, LiangYS. 2010. Effect of brackish water irrigation and straw mulching on soil salinity and crop yields under monsoonal climatic conditions. *Agricultural Water Management* **97**, 1971–1977.

Partey ST, Preziosi RF, Robson GD. 2014. Improving maize residue use in soil fertility restoration by mixing with residues of low C-to-N ratio: effects on C and N mineralization and soil

microbial biomass. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* **14**(3), 518-531.

Pekrun C, Kaul HP, Claupein W. 2003. Soil tillage for sustainable nutrient management. In: El Titi, A. (Ed.), soil tillage in agrosystem. CRC Press, Boca Raton, 83-113 p.

Prakash V, BhattacharyyaR, SelvakumarG, KunduS, GuptaHS. 2007. Long-term effects of fertilization on some soil properties under rainfed soybean-wheat cropping in the Indian Himalayas. *Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* **17**(2), 224-233.

Qadir M, Schubert S. 2002. Degradation processes and nutrient constraints in sodic soils. *Land Degradation and Development* **13**, 275–294.

QiuQ, Lanfang W, Zhu O, Binbin L, Yanyan X, Shanshan W, Gregorich EG. 2016. Priming effect of maize residue and urea N on soil organic matter changes with time. *Applied Soil Ecology* **100**, 65–74.

Rekhi RS, Benbi DK, Singh B. 2000. Effect of fertilizers and organic manures on crop yields and soil properties in rice-wheat cropping system. p. 1-6. In: I.P. Abrol, K.F. Bronson, J.M. Duxbury and R.K. Gupta (eds.) Long-term soil fertility experiments in rice-wheat cropping systems. Rice-Wheat Consortium Paper Series 6. New Delhi, India: Rice-Wheat Consortium for the Indo-Gangetic Plains.

Shah Z, Ahmad SR, Rahman H. 2010. Soil microbial biomass and activities as influenced by green manure legumes in rice-wheat system. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* (submitted).

Shah Z, RashidSA, HidayatR, Latifa, ShahV. 2011. Rice and wheat yields in relation to biomass of green manure legumes. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture* **27**(1),12-19.

- Shindo H, Nishio T.** 2005. Immobilization and remineralization of N following addition of wheat straw into soil: determination of gross N transformation rates by ^{15}N -ammonium isotope dilution technique. *Soil Biology Biochemistry* **37**, 425–432.
- Srirama chandrasekharan MV.** 2001. Effect of organic manures on the nutrient uptake, yield and nutrient use efficiency in lowland rice. *International Journal of Ecobiology*, **13**, 143–147.
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA.** 1997. *Principles and Procedures of Statistic: A Biometrical Approach*. 3rd edition. McGraw Hill book Co. Inc. New York, USA. 400–428 p.
- Stemmer M, Watzinge rA, Blochberger K, Haberhauer G, Gerzabek MH.** 2007. Linking dynamics of soil microbial phospholipid fatty acids to carbon mineralization in a ^{13}C natural abundance experiment: impact of heavy metals and acid rain. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* **39**, 3177–3186.
- Treeby M, Marchner H, Romheld V.** 1989. Mobilization of iron and other micronutrient cations from a calcareous soil by plant-borne, microbial and synthetic metal chelaters. In: *Plant Soil*, **114**, 217–226.
- Trinsoutrot I, Recous S, Bentz B, Lineres M, Cheneby D, Nicolardot B.** 2000. Biochemical quality of crop residues and carbon and nitrogen mineralization kinetics under nonlimiting nitrogen conditions. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* **64(3)**, 918–926.
- Turgut I, Bilgili U, Duman A, Acikgoz E.** 2005. Effect of green manuring on the yield of sweet corn. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development* **25**, 433–438.
- Uppal HL.** 1955. Green manuring with special reference to *Sesbania aculeata* for treatment of alkali soils. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **25**, 211–235.
- US Salinity Lab Staff.** 1954. *Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils*. USDA Handbook 60, Washington DC, USA.
- Walpola BC, Arunakumara KKIU.** 2010. Effect of salt stress on decomposition of organic matter and nitrogen mineralization in animal manure amended soils. *Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **5(1)**, 9–18.
- Yang ZY, Yuan JG, Zhang HD.** 1998. Growth, nodulation, N-fixing and seed production of *Sesbania rostrata*-*Azorhizobium caulinodans* symbiosis in South China. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **9**, 91–95.