

# **OPEN ACCESS**

Isolation and characterization of sunflower associated bacterial

# strain with broad spectrum plant growth promoting traits

Afshan Majeed<sup>1,2</sup>, M. Kaleem Abbasi<sup>1</sup>, Sohail Hameed<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Asma Imran<sup>2\*</sup>, Tahir Naqqash<sup>2'5</sup>, Muhammad Kashif Hanif<sup>2,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, the University of Poonch, Rawalakot, Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup> National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (NIBGE), P.O. Box 577, Jhang Road, Faisalabad, Pakistan

<sup>s</sup>Pakistan Institute of Engineering and Applied Sciences (PIEAS), Islamabad, Pakistan

\*Department of Biosciences, University of Wah Research Lab. Complex, University of Wah, Wah Cantt, Pakistan

<sup>6</sup>Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Bahauddin Zakriya University, Multan, Pakistan <sup>6</sup>Department of Biotechnology, University of Sargodha, Sargodha, Pakistan

Key words: PGPR, Arthrobacter, Sunflower, P-solubilization, N2-fixation, IAA, Plant inoculation.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/13.2.111-125

Article published on August 18, 2018

# Abstract

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) based biofertilizers act as a natural driving force, allowing crops to deliver their full potential by providing a promising alternative to chemical fertilizers and pesticides. Despite its economic importance a little is known about the response of sunflower towards inoculation with PGPR. A potential PGPR was isolated from Chamyati, Azad Jammu and Kashmir, an unexplored area towards PGPR and the bacterial mechanisms related to plant growth promotion were evaluated and characterized. The bacterium was identified as *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163 through 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. This bacterium was found catalase and cytochrome oxidase positive, metabolically diverse by utilizing 54 out of 93 carbon sources in Biolog microplate analysis and resistant to a number of antibiotics in intrinsic antibiotic resistance assay. AF-163 showed nitrogenase activity (107.2 nmoles  $mg^{-1}$  protein  $h^{-1}$ ) in gas chromatographic analysis; produced 23.7  $\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup> indole-3-acetic acid (HPLC analysis) and solubilized 40.5  $\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup> insoluble phosphorus (spectrophotometric analysis) displaying significant decrease in pH (up to 2.3) due to the production of ascorbic acid, malic acid and gluconic acid and oxalic acid. Moreover AF-163 showed antagonistic activity against *Fusarium oxysporum* in *in vitro* dual culture assay. Inoculation with this bacterium to sunflower grown in soil-free culture showed a significant increase in sunflower growth parameters. This study concludes that *Arthrobacter* sp. strain AF-163 contains multiple plant growth promoting traits, recommended to be evaluated further under field conditions before using them as commercial bio-inoculant.

\* Corresponding Author: Asma Imran 🖂 asma@nibge.org

## Introduction

The rhizosphere and plant roots are aggressively colonized by the free-living bacteria called plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), which when applied to the crop, improve its growth and yield (Kumar et al., 2015). A bacterium meets the criteria of plant growth promoting agent when it is capable of producing positive effect on plant growth upon inoculation, representing good quality competitive abilities over the native microbial population present in the rhizosphere (Antoun and Prevost, 2005). Beneficial rhizobacteria are reported to support the plant growth by means of synthesis or altering the concentration of plant growth hormones like indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) (Palaniappan et al., 2010), enhancing nitrogen fixation (Shen et al., 2016), disease suppression (Pérez-Montaño et al., 2013) by antagonism against phytopathogens (Ali et al., 2014), converting the organic and inorganic phosphatic forms to a soluble form (Hanif et al., 2015), siderophores synthesis (Radzki et al., 2013), increasing photosynthetic rates (Singh et al., 2011), induced systemic resistance (ISR) in plants (Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009), antibiotic synthesis, production of enzymes and fungicidal compounds against harmful microorganisms (Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009).

Moreover, most of the PGPR also enhance plant tolerance against abiotic stresses like metal toxicity, salinity and drought (Dimpka *et al.*, 2009; Babalola, 2010). The PGPR inoculation have the potential to increase the seedling emergence rate (Hafeez *et al.*, 2004), nutrient (N, P, K, Zn, Mn, Cu) uptake (Biari, *et al.*, 2008; Shen *et al.*, 2016), nutrient use efficiency (Shahid *et al.*, 2014) and would aid to withstand environmental health and soil productivity ultimately result into decreased crop production costs (Sharma *et al.*, 2016).

Plants are well reported to affect the native soil microbial populations and each of the plant species is believed to select particular microbial community, which adds enough to their fitness by generating an appropriate and selective environment. This selective environment ultimately results in a narrow range of microbial diversity (de Weert *et al.* 2006; Berg and Smalla, 2009). Host plant specificity of PGPB have been well reported in several reviews and studies (Berg and Smalla, 2009; Buchan *et al.*, 2010; Majeed *et al.*, 2015).

So, it is important to search for native or regionspecific microbial strains which can be used as a potential plant growth promoter and nutrient solubilizer/mobilizer to achieve desired production levels. Studies are required to prove the nature of these native isolates and to harness their potential as bio-inoculants in agriculture.

Sunflower is one of the four chief oilseed crops in the world (Škorić et al., 2008; Nayidu et al., 2013) with great potential for producing the highest oil yield per hectare. Ideal combination of saturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids in sunflower oil helps in reduction of blood cholesterol level (Balasubramaniyan and Palaniappan, 2004). Pakistan has continual deficiency in edible oil production and is the third largest edible oil importer worldwide. One of the efforts could be the production of high yielding sunflower on large scale to shrink the gap between oil production and consumption (Ehsanullah et al., 2011, Hussain et al., 2010).

There have been very few studies of the microbial diversity associated with sunflower, and hence the bacterial diversity of sunflower in Pakistan soils remains largely unknown. We conducted this experiment to explore altitudes of Chamyati site of subdivision Dhirkot, Azad Jammu and Kashmir (AJK) to find efficient native PGPR, as the soils of this region are completely unexplored towards sunflower associated bacterial population.

## **Materials and Methods**

Soil sampling and processing for bacterial isolation For bacterial isolation, surface sterilized sunflower (cv. FH-331) seeds were sown in pots containing soil collected (0-15 cm depth) from Chamyati site of subdivision Dhirkot, Azad Jammu and Kashmir. A subsample was air-dried, milled, sieved and processed for analyzing physico-chemical properties and bacterial population count (Table 1).

After 3 weeks of germination, plants were uprooted and 1 g soil (tightly bound to roots) was used in a serial dilution plating technique for the isolation of rhizobacteria as described earlier (Somasegaran & Hoben, 1994). The bacteria were purified by subculturing of single colonies and maintained on LBagar/broth at  $28\pm2$  °C, preserved in 20 % glycerol and stored at -80 °C for subsequent use. Of many purified bacterial isolates (not given here), AF-163 was selected for present study on the basis of its basic plant beneficial traits.

Bacterial colony and cell morphology was studied through stereo-microscopy (BAUSCH & LOMB, ASZ30E, USA) and light microscope (Nikon LABOPHOTO-2, Japan) respectively. The Gram's reaction was performed according to the method described by Vincent and Humphrey (1970) and observed under light microscope.

### Molecular characterization of isolates

Alkaline lysis method was adopted to extract total genomic DNA as described by Maniatis et al. (1982). The DNA was quantified by ultraspec<sup>TM</sup> 3100 (OD<sub>260</sub>, 260/280). This DNA sample was used as a template in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify the 16S rRNA gene of the bacterial isolate with the help of primer set fD1 and rD1 (Weisburg et al., 1991). A reaction mixture (50 µL) was used for amplification and the conditions used in a thermal cycler (Pe QLab, advanced Primus 96) were described by Hanif et al. (2015). The amplified product was separated on 1.5 % (w/v)agarose Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) gel electrophoresis. Amplified PCR product was purified using the QIA quick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, USA), and sequenced by Macrogen, Korea. The sequenced products were analyzed using sequence scanner software package and examined by NCBI BLAST against the Gen Bank database. Multiple sequence alignment was performed and phylogeny

was determined by neighbor-joining using MEGA6 software package.

# Determination of PGPR characteristics of AF-163 Nitrogen fixation

Acetylene reduction assay (ARA) as described by Park et al. (2005) was adopted to screen bacterial isolate for nitrogenase activity. Bacterium was inoculated in semisolid nitrogen free malate media vials and incubated at  $28 \pm 2$  °C for 74 h and 10 % (v/v) air of vial was replaced with the same amount of acetylene gas. The vials were further incubated for 24 h. Acetylene reduction to ethylene was measured by gas chromatograph (Thermoquest, Trace GC, Model K, Rodon Milan, Italy) fitted with Porapak N column and flame ionization detector (FID). The experiment was conducted twice with three replicates.

#### Indole-3-acetic Acid Production (IAA)

AF-163 was grown in LB broth supplemented with 100 mgL<sup>-1</sup> tryptophan as IAA-precursor. Indole-3acetic Acid production ability of bacteria was qualitatively checked by spot test as described by Gordon and Weber, (1951). For the quantification of IAA produced, ethyl acetate oxidation method was adopted (Tien et al., 1979). Bacterial cells were harvested and supernatant was acidified with hydrochloric acid up to pH 2.8 and extracted twice with equal volume of ethyl acetate (Tien et al., 1979). The extract was evaporated to dryness and dissolved in ethanol and passed through 0.2 µm nylon filters (Millipore, USA). Samples were analyzed by highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC,  $\lambda = 260$ nm) equipped with Turbochrom software (Perkin Elmer, USA) and C-18 column at a constant flow rate of 0.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> using 30:70 (v/v) methanol: water as mobile phase. The experiment was conducted twice with three replicates.

# Phosphate solubilization and production of extracellular organic acids

Phosphate solubilizing ability of AF-163 was determined by the methods of Pikovskaya, (1948). For qualitative screening, plates containing Pikovskaya's agar (Sigma, USA) supplemented with tricalcium phosphate as insoluble P source were inoculated with aliquots (10  $\mu$ L) of pure bacterial culture grown in LB broth. Plates were incubated at 28±2 °C and observed daily for 7-10 days until formation of transparent "halos". The solubilization index was determined by the method of Edi-Premono *et al.* (1996).

For quantitative analysis, AF-163 was grown in Pikovskaya's broth for 10 days on continuous shaking. The cells were separated by centrifugation at 12000 rpm for 10 min and cell free supernatant was collected. Phospho-molybdate blue colour method as described by Murphy and Riley (1962) was adopted to quantify solubilized P using spectrophotometer (Camspec, M350-Double Beam UV-Visible Spectrophotometer, UK) at 882 nm.

For the detection of organic acid, the cell-free supernatant was filtered through 0.2  $\mu$ m nylon filters (Millipore, USA) and 20  $\mu$ L was injected to HPLC equipped with Turbo chrom software (Perkin Elmer, USA) and C-18 column at a flow rate of 0.6 mL min<sup>-1</sup> using 30:1:70 (v/v/v) methanol: acetic acid: water as mobile phase. Signals were detected at 210 nm. The organic acids including gluconic, malic, lactic, oxalic, tartaric, and ascorbic acid (Sigma-Aldrich) were used as standard. Experiments were performed in triplicate.

#### Intrinsic antibiotic resistance

To access intrinsic antibiotic resistance pattern by AF-163, disc diffusion method was adopted as described by Valverde *et al.* (2005). Fresh bacterial culture was spreaded on solid Antibiotic Sensitivity Sulphonamide agar (Merck, Germany) and ready-to-use antibiotic discs (Bioanalyse®, Turkey) were placed on these inoculated plates. Antibiogram (clear zone formation around the antibiotic disc) was observed after 24-48 h of incubation at  $28\pm2$  °C. Experiment was conducted in triplicate.

# Biocontrol activity

AF-163 was tested for *in vitro* antagonistic activity against fungal phytopathogen *Fusarium oxysporium* 

by using dual-culture assay as proposed by Sakthivel and Gnanamanickam, (1987) on potato dextrose agar plates. About 5 mm fungal disc placed in the center and bacterial colony was streaked 3 cm away from the fungal plug on the plate, while control plates were kept without bacterial streaking. The plates were incubated at 28  $\pm$ 2 °C for 3-5 days and observed for antifungal activity. This experiment was replicated thrice.

#### Phenotypic microarrays

BIOLOG GN2 micro-plate system was employed to access the metabolic potential of the isolate as reported by Müller and Ehlers, (2007). Bacterial culture was starved by inoculating to Eppendorf tubes containing 1 mL DEPC H<sub>2</sub>O and incubated at room temperature for 3 h. It was mixed with inoculation fluid (IF-oa) and redox indicators as instructed by the manufacturer. Then 100 mL mixture was added to each well micro-plate PM2A (Biolog, Hayward, CA) and incubated at  $28\pm2$  °C for 24 h. VERSA max micro-plate reader (Molecular Devices) with Softmax pro-software was used for qualitative analysis as described by Line *et al.* (2011).

## Catalase and oxidase activity

Commercially synthesized strips (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were used for cytochrome oxidase test. For catalase production, single bacterial colony was transferred to glass slide and one drop of  $H_2O_2$  was added. Bubble production was considered as positive reaction for catalase.

#### Acid or alkali production

Acid/alkali production was tested on LB agar plates containing 0.025% (w/v) bromothymol blue as pH indicator.

#### Plant inoculation test

The experiment was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163 on the respective crop Sunflower (cv. FH-331) under controlled conditions.

# Bacterial growth and seed inoculation

Surface sterilized (Shahid *et al.* 2014) seeds were germinated on water agar plates and seedlings were aseptically transferred to the autoclaved growth pouches (Waver and Frederick, 1982). Bacterial inoculum was adjusted to logarithmic phase (~ $10^9$ viable cells /mL) obtained at exponential growth phase in LB broth as described by Majeed *et al.* (2015). Inoculation doses were adjusted to 1mL per seedling.

The un-inoculated pouches were watered with full strength Hoagland while inoculated pouches were provided by phosphorus deficient Hoagland. Tricalcium phosphate (TCP, Sigma) @ 1.239 mL (g)/pouch was used as an insoluble form of P. An efficient phosphate solubilizing strain Fs-11 (*Enterobacter* sp.) obtained from the BIRCERN culture collection NIBGE, Faisalabad, Pakistan was used as positive control in this experiment. Seedlings were maintained for 30 days in a growth chamber with a photoperiod of 16 h light and 8 h darkness at day/night temperature 25/20 °C.

Four treatments were used: (1) non-inoculated seeds (control) in P-deficient Hoagland (2) seeds inoculated with *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163 in P-deficient Hoagland; (3) seeds inoculated with *Enterobacter* sp. Fs-11 in P-deficient Hoagland (4) ) non-inoculated seeds in Hoagland with 100% recommended P. The following parameters were measured as indicator of growth promotion: (a) shoot and root length; (b) shoot and root fresh weight (c); shoot and root dry weight.

#### Data analysis

To compare the difference between treatment means 'least significant difference (Fisher's LSD) test was used at 5 % probability. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) technique (Steel *et al.*, 1997) was used to analyze data regarding plant inoculation experiment using Statistix (version 8.1) software.

## Results

## Bacterial isolation and characterization

Identification of a putative plant growth-promoting bacterial strain isolated from sunflower rhizosphere through 16S rRNA sequence analysis indicated that AF-163 has high homology (99%) with *Arthrobacter* sp. strain M18-2. The microscopic examination revealed that bacterial strain AF-163 was a medium rod shaped motile bacterium with small round brown colored colony having smooth margins (Table 2).

#### Biochemical assays

*Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163 cells were Gram negative and positive for catalase and cytochrome oxidase activity and showed neutral reaction when screened for acid/alkali tested (Table 2).

Additionally, this isolate was positive for phosphate solubilization as discernible by the formation of halo zone on Pikoviskaya's agar plates (Fig. 1A) with solubilization index of 2.2.

Table 1. Meteorologica	l and soil pl	hysicocl	nemical	l properties o	of sampling	; site Chamyati.
------------------------	---------------	----------	---------	----------------	-------------	------------------

41.1.1	1. m . o	a 'l m	Meteorological Prope						
Altitude	Air Temp ⁰C	Soil Temp.	°C. Heat Index °C	Humidity (%)	Barometric pressure (kpa)		(kpa)		
		(20 cm)							
1565	26.4	23	25.8	51.7	839.4				
Physicochemical properties									
Textural	O.M	Total N	Available K(mg/kg)	Available P	ECe	Soil pH	CFU		
class	(%)	(%)		(mg/kg)	(dsm-1)				
Silt loam	2.36	0.181	72.19	13.48	0.64	6.59	9×10 <sup>6</sup>		

*ECe*= *electrical conductivity; OM*=*organic matter; CFU*=*colony formation unit.* 

It solubilized insoluble phosphorus (P) up to 40.5 µgmL<sup>-1</sup> in the culture medium with concomitant decrease in pH 7 to 4.7 after 10 days of inoculation (Table 2). High performance liquid chromatographic

analysis of the cell-free supernatant showed gluconic acid (12.4  $\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup>), ascorbic acid (1.69  $\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup>), malic acid (10.6  $\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup>) and oxalic acid (3.25  $\mu$ gmL<sup>-1</sup>) production by AF-163 (Table 2).

This bacterial strain was also able to produce another known phytohormone indole-3-acetic acid up to 12.77 µgmL<sup>-1</sup> along with the ability to exhibit nitrogenase activity in acetylene reduction assay (ARA) up to 107.24 nmoles mg<sup>-1</sup> protein h<sup>-1</sup>, as conformed by Gas chromatographic analysis (Table 2).

Table 2. Morphological, biochemical and plant beneficial traits of Arthrobacter sp. AF-163.

Morphological characters							
Colony morphology	Round, Smooth, S Brown	mall, Cell morphology	Short Rods, Mo	Short Rods, Motile			
Biochemical Characters							
Reaction/Test	Values	Reaction/Test	Values				
Gram's reaction	-	Catalase	+				
Cytochrome oxidase	+	Acid/Alkali reaction	Neutral				
Plant beneficial traits							
Phosphate solubilization (µg mL <sup>-1</sup> ) (Solubilization index)	40.50±1.6	Nitrogenase activity(nmoly protein h-1)	es mg <sup>-1</sup> 107.24±12.38				
	(2.2±0.3)	Indole-3-acetic acid produc mL <sup>-1</sup> )	etion(µg 12.77±1.11				
Organic Acids production (µg mL-1)							
Malic Acid 10.6	±1.96	Ascorbic Acid 1.69±0.02		*Change in pH	2.3		
Gluconic Acid 12.4±	1.4	Oxalic Acid		$3.25 \pm 0.21$			

- Shows the reaction/test is negative, + shows that reaction is positive, ± shows standard deviation.

\*pH of medium was adjusted at 7 initially and pH decrease represents the difference between initial and final pH.

Arthrobacter sp. AF-163 showed in vitro antifungal activity against a known phytopathogen Fusarium oxysporum on PDA plates after 5 days of inoculation in a dual cultural assay (Fig. 1C). Arthrobacter sp. AF-163 when screened for intrinsic antibiotic activity, showed resistance to Cephradine (30 μg), Erthromycin (15  $\mu$ g), Streptomycin (10  $\mu$ g), Ciprofloxacin (5 µg), Gentamicin (10 µg), Nalidixic acid (30 µg), Kanamycin (30 µg), Tetracycline (30 µg) but it was found highly sensitive to Aztreonam (30 μg), Carbenicillin (100 μg) Amikacin (10 μg), Cefixime(5 μg), Rifampicin (5 μg) and Chloramphenicol (30 µg), (Fig. 2B). Moreover, AF-163 was able to metabolize 54 out of 93 carbon sources revealed by phenotypic microarray analyses done using BIOLOG GNII micro plates system (Table 3).

## Inoculation studies

After physiological and biochemical screening of plant growth promoting traits, *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163 was evaluated in soil-less culture (growth pouches) for its plant growth promoting potential.

Comparisons were made with sunflower associated potential PGPR strain Fs-11 (*Enterobacter* sp.) used as positive control along with an un-inoculated positive control with recommended dose of nutrients, and a non-inoculated negative control.

Results revealed that *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163 inoculation significantly (P <0.05) enhanced sunflower growth characteristics in soil-less culture including plant height, plant fresh and dry weight, root length and root fresh and dry weight over uninoculated control treatments (data given in Fig. 3).

In case of plant height AF-163 inoculation resulted in shoot length was statically same as that of reference strain used as positive inoculated treatment (Fig. 3A).

While, in case of plant dry matter, *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163 inoculation resulted in statically improved shoot and root dry weight over reference strain.

The relative increase in shoot and root dry weight due to AF-163 inoculation over reference strain was 41 % and 45 % respectively, and root dry matter was statically at par with un-inoculated positive control supplemented with full dose recommended nutrients. Table 3. Metabolic profiling of Arthrobacter sp. AF-163 (Biolog PM2A Microplate analysis).

Carbon Source	AF-163	Carbon Source	AF-163	Carbon Source	AF-163	Carbon Source	AF-163	Carbon Source	AF-163
Glycine	-	Arbutin	+	L-Sorbose	-	γ-Hydroxy Butyric Acid	+	L-Tartaric Acid	-
α-Cyclodextrin	+	2-Deoxy-D-Ribose	-	Stachyose	-	α-Keto-Valeric Acid	+	L-Alaninamide	+
β-Cyclodextrin	+	i-Erythritol	+	D-Tagatose	-	Itaconic Acid	+	N-Acetyl-L-Glutamic Acid	+
γ-Cyclodextrin	-	D-Fucose	-	Turanose	-	5-Keto-D-Gluconic Acid	+	3-0-β-D-Galacto-pyranosyl-D-	+
								Arabinose	
Dextrin	+	L-Arginine	+	Xylitol	+	D-Lactic Acid Methyl Ester	+	Chondroitin Sulfate C	-
Gelatin	+	Gentiobiose	-	Acetamide	-	Malonic Acid	+	L-Histidine	+
Glycogen	-	L-Glucose	-	γ-Amino Butyric Acid	+	Melibionic Acid	+	L-Homoserine	-
Inulin	-	Lactitol	-	δ-Amino Valeric Acid	+	Oxalic Acid	+	Hydroxy-L-Proline	-
Laminarin	+	D-Melezitose	+	Butyric Acid	-	Oxalomalic Acid	+	L-Isoleucine	+
Mannan	-	Maltitol	-	Capric Acid	+	Quinic Acid	+	L-Leucine	+
Pectin	-	α-Methyl-D-Glucoside	-	Caproic Acid	-	D-Ribono-1,4-Lactone	+	L-Lysine	+
D-Raffinose	-	β-Methyl-D-Galactoside	+	Citraconic Acid	+	Sebacic Acid	-	L-Methionine	-
Salicin	+	3-Methyl Glucose	-	Citramalic Acid	+	Sorbic Acid	+	Glucosaminitol	-
β-D-Allose	+	2,3-Butanediol	+	D-Glucosamine	-	Succinamic Acid	+	N-Acetyl-D-Galactosamine	-
Amygdalin	+	$\alpha$ -Methyl-D-Mannoside	-	2-Hydroxy Benzoid	: +	D-Tartaric Acid	+	N-Acetyl-Neuraminic Acid	-
				Acid					
D-Arabinose	-	β-Methyl-D-Xyloside	+	4-Hydroxy Benzoid	: +	L-Ornithine	+	3-Hydroxy 2-Butanone	+
				Acid					
D-Arabitol	+	D.L-Octopamine	-	β-Hydroxy Butyric Acid	+	L-Phenylalanine	-	N-Acetyl-D-	-
D,L-Carnitine	-	Putrescine	+	Dihydroxy Acetone	+	L-Pyroglutamic Acid	+	β-Methyl-D-Glucuronic Acid	
Sec-Butylamine	+	2,3-Butanone	+	Sedoheptulosan	+	L-Valine	-		

+ = Substrate metabolized; - = substrate not metabolized

\*Water used as control.

# Discussion

# Bacterial isolation, characterization and screening of plant beneficial traits

In present study, we have isolated a sunflower associated rhizobacteria (AF-163) from an altitude of 1565 m, demonstrated its beneficial plant traits and its likely contribution in promoting growth of host crop. As the prevailing agriculture is largely dependent on extensive chemicals (fertilizers and pesticides), causing serious threats to soil and environment resulting in a significant decline in the organic matter and productivity of soils (Tilman et al., 2001). So, alternative strategies for crop fertilization and pathogen control with minimum effect on the environment are getting fame in the recent years. The ultimate benefit of the use of PGPR is not only their plant growth promoting attributes, but also their environment friendliness and their cost-effective nature (Kaymak, 2011).

The PGPR being potential tools for plant growth promotion, soil health, and ecosystem-friendly have

proved their worth in agriculture with decreased reliance on synthetic chemicals for crop growth (Adesemoye *et al.*, 2009; Souza *et al.*, 2015).

Pakistan meets only 34 % of edible oil requirement with local oil production; the rest has to import causing a huge burden on economy (GOP, 2011-12). We have targeted sunflower as it is one of the most important candidates of oil seed crops that can bridge up the gap between production and consumption in Pakistan (Škorić*et al.*, 2008; Nayidu *et al.*, 2013).

Microscopic observations revealed motile and Gram negative nature of AF-163. The dominance of Gramnegative short rod PRPRs in these soil conditions is also described (Ambrosini *et al.*, 2012).



**Fig. 1.** Inorganic tri-calcium phosphate solubilization and bio-control activity by *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163. *Halo zone formation as an indicator of inorganic P-solubilization on Pikovskaya's agar plate (panel A), fungal growth (Fusarium oxysporum) as a control treatment (panel B), in vitro bio-control activity on potato dextrose agar plate (panel C).* 

It was identified as *Arthrobacter* sp. strain through 16S rRNA gene analysis. The bacterium AF-163 was able to utilize a large number of carbon sources and substrates, confirming its metabolically diverse nature. Bacteria develop metabolic adaptations to inhabit special niches as individual plants produce specific carbon sources (Berg and Smalla, 2009); hence, metabolically versatile bacterial strains are the most successful competitors in plant microbe interaction (Wielbo *et al.*, 2007). AF-163 showed resistant to large number of antibiotics as well. These characteristics support the competency and adoptability of this bacterium in the rhizosphere of the host plant over other microbes, as reported earlier by Wielbo *et al.* (2007).



Fig. 2. Intrinsic antibiotic resistance pattern of Arthrobacter sp. AF-163.

Antibiosis disc pattern (panel A), antibiogram of AF-54 on antibiotic sensitivity sulphonamide agar (panel B). *AK: Amikacin (10 µg), PY: Carbenicillin (100 µg), CN: Gentamicin (10 µg) CIP: Ciprofloxacin (5 µg), CE: Cephradine (30 µg), ATM: Aztreonam (30 µg), CFM: Cefixime (5 µg), TE: Tetracycline (30 µg), NA: Nalidixic acid (30 µg), K: Kanamycin (30 µg), RA: Rifampicin (5 µg), S: Streptomycin (10 µg), E: Erthromycin (15 µg), C: Chloramphenicol (30 µg.)* 

Arthrobacter sp. AF-163 has shown nitrogenase activity up to 107.24 nmoles  $mg^{-1}$  protein  $h^{-1}$ . Adequate N supply is essential for plant metabolic processes involved in vegetative and reproductive plant growth enhancement (Lawlor, 2002). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria are very well reported agents of biotic conversion of inert N through biological nitrogen fixation (Dobbelaere *et al.*, 2003; Bashan and de-Bashan, 2010; Naqqash *et*  *al.*, 2016) as N availability to the plant is pointedly dependent on the microbial activity, even if applied as chemical fertilizer as it is not only fixed by microbes but its subsequent fate i.e., plant availability is highly dependent on microbial activity (Khan, 2005).The vast range of nitrogenase activity by nitrogen fixing bacterial isolates are documented in many studies (Islam *et al.*, 2016; Shen *et al.*, 2016).



**Fig. 3.** Effect of *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163 inoculation on different growth parameters of sunflower plant. Values are the mean of three replicates. The standard errors of the means are represented as bars. Values sharing same letter do not differ significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) according to Fisher's LSD. FS-11= reference bacterial strains (Enterobacter sp.) used as positive control.

Phosphorus is found to be the major limiting factors for crop productivity as its major fraction is present in fixed form of Ca-phosphates, Fe and Al-phosphates is soil (Ahemad and Kibret, 2014) resulting in its low bioavailability (Jorquera et al., 2011). PGPR called phosphor-bacteria are well documented microorganisms that can significantly change the soil P dynamics. Arthrobacter sp. AF-163 was able to solubilize 40.5 µgmL<sup>-1</sup> tri-calcium phosphate with a drop in pH (2.3). In soil ecosystem, mineral P solubilization is greatly accredited to the production of low molecular weight organic acids (Bianco and Defez, 2010; Lavania and Nautiyal, 2013). Thus, we also measured the nature and amount of organic acids produced by AF-163 and it was able to produced malic, ascorbic, oxalic and gluconic acid. These organic acids are known to have variable influence on P-solubilization mechanism (Patel et al., 2008) and the most prevalent one is gluconic acid which plays a prime role in inorganic P-solubilization (de Werra et al., 2009). A large body of scientists reported that inorganic forms of P solubilization is the result of pH decrease in combination with organic acids production (Sahin et al., 2004; Richardson et al., 2009; Hanif et al., 2015).

Moreover, phytohormone production is considered to be one of the most important mechanisms of plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria (Spaepen et al., 2007; Islam et al., 2016). Arthrobacter sp. AF-163 produced 12.7 µgmL-1 indole-3-acetic acid by the induction of tryptophan which acts as a precursor of IAA. Phytohormones are the key players in plant growth and yield promotion as these are the organic compounds which effect physiological, biochemical and morphological plant processes in extremely low concentrations and serve as chemical messengers (Fuentes-Ramírez and Caballero-Mellado, 2006). Most of the PGPR are well reported IAA producers (Ahmad et al., 2008; Shoebitz et al., 2009; Saharan and Nehra, 2011, Naqqash et al., 2016; Hariprasad and Niranjana, 2009).

In addition, phytopathogens being the cause of significant reduction of in crop yield, and usually,

chemical pesticides are used for their control. Unfortunately, this approach has led to serious environmental as well as human health concerns besides developing resistance against most of these chemical remedies over time (Fernando et al., 2006). This bacterium also possesses biocontrol activity against fungal phytopathogens (Fusarium sp.) which is a serious threat for crop production. Ali et al. (2014) also reported broad spectrum antifungal activity by Bacillus sp. RMB7 due to the production of antifungal metabolites. Antifungal metabolite production by PGPR is well reported phenomenon of biocontrol activity against phytopathogens (Haas and Defago, 2005; Medeiros et al., 2011).

# Plant inoculation studies

Most of the bacterial strains benefit plant growth as they exhibit multiple growth promoting properties but PGPR potential of the strains may cause differential growth responses in plants (Ghyselinck et al., 2013; Naqqash et al., 2016). The effect of AF-163 inoculation on host plant was evaluated in soil-less culture. The results of plant inoculation experiment showed that Arthrobacter sp., having multiple plant growth promoting traits, produced significant (P  $\leq 0.05$ ) positive effects on plant growth parameters like root/shoot length, root/shoot fresh weights, weights over root/shoot dry non-inoculated treatments. Differential specificity of a particular bacterial strain might be articulated by several growth promoting traits like plant growth hormone production, nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, disease suppression and biocontrol activity etc (Van Loon, 2007; Hussain et al., 2015; Imran et al., 2015; Hanif et al., 2015; Naqqash et al., 2016).

## Conclusion

The current study, characterized a promising PGPR strain *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163, from sunflower rhizosphere from an unexplored area of Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan. This PGPR strains augmented the growth of sunflower plants considerably after inoculation. Considering the harmful effects of synthetic fertilizers, their non-availability to farmers in hilly areas like Chamyati, Dhirkot in addition to the environmental pollution, *Arthrobacter* sp. AF-163can be used as bio-inoculants to supplement chemical fertilizers after confirming its potential under field condition.

# **Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Acknowledgments

This research work was kindly supported by the National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (NIBGE), Faisalabad and the University of Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan. The authors are grateful to the technical staff of the Department of Soil and Environmental sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Rawalakot-AJK for their technical assistance and help in collecting soil samples.

#### References

Adesemoye AO, Egamberdieva D. 2013. Beneficial effects of plant prowth-promoting phizobacteria on improved crop production. Journal of Developmental Economics **22**, 45-63.

**Ahemad M, Kibret M.** 2014. Mechanisms and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Current perspective. Journal of King Saud University. Science **26**, 1–20.

Ahmad F, Ahmad I, Khan M. 2008. Screening of free-living rhizospheric bacteria for their multiple plant growth promoting activities. Microbioogical Research **163(2)**, 173-181.

Ali S, Hameed S, Imran A, Iqbal M, Lazarovits G. 2014. Genetic, physiological and biochemical characterization of Bacillus sp. strain RMB7 exhibiting plant growth promoting and broad spectrum antifungal activities. Microbial Cell Factories **13(1)**, 144.

Ambrosini A, Beneduzi A, Stefanski T, Pinheiro FG, Vargas LK, Passaglia LMP. 2012. Screening of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria isolated from sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.). Plant and Soil **356**, 245-264.

**Antoun H, Prévost D.** 2005. Ecology of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria. PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization. Springer. p 1-38.

**Babalola OO.** 2010. Beneficial bacteria of agricultural importance. Biotechnology Letters **32(11)**, 1559-1570.

**Balasubramaniyan P, Palaniappan SP.** 2004. Principles and practices of agronomy. Agrobios, India.

**Bashan Y, De-Bashan LE.** 2010. How the plant growth promoting bacterium Azospirillum promotes plant growth-A critical assessment. Advances in Agronomy **108**, 77-136.

**Berg G, Smalla K.** 2009. Plant species and soil type cooperatively shape the structure and function of microbial communities in the rhizosphere. FEMS Microbiology Ecology **68(1)**, 1-13.

**Bianco C, Defez R.** 2010. Improvement of phosphate solubilization and Medicago plant yield by an indole-3-acetic acid-overproducing strain of Sinorhizobium meliloti. Appllied and Environmental Microbiology **76**, 4626–4632.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02756-09

**Buchan A, Crombie B, Alexandre GM.** 2010. Temporal dynamics and genetic diversity of chemotactic-competent microbial populations in the rhizosphere. Environmental Microbiology **12(12)**, 3171-3184.

de Weert S, Dekkers LC, Bitter W, Tuinman S, Wijfjes AHM, Van Boxtel R, Lugtenberg BJJ. 2006. The two component colR/S system of Pseudomonas fluorescens WCS365 plays a role in rhizosphere competence through maintaining the structure and function of the outer membrane. FEMS Microbiology Ecolpgy **58(2)**, 205-213.

**de Werra P, Péchy-Tarr M, Keel C, Maurhofer M.** 2009. Role of gluconic acid production in the regulation of biocontrol traits of Pseudomonas fluorescens CHAo. Appllied and Environmental Microbiology **75(12)**, 4162-4174.

Dimkpa C, Weinand T, Asch F. 2009. Plantrhizobacteria interactions alleviate abiotic stress conditions. Plant, Cell and Environment **32(12)**, 1682-1694.

**Dobbelaere S, Vanderleyden J, Okon Y.** 2003. Plant growth-promoting effects of diazotrophs in the rhizosphere. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences **22(2)**, 107-149.

Edi–Premono M, Moawad A,Vleck PLG. 1996. Effect of phosphate solubilizing Pseudmonas putida on the growth of maize and its survival in the rhizosphere. Indonasian Journal of Crop Sciences 11, 13–23.

Ehsanullah KJ, Ismail M, Hussain M, Zafar M, Zaman U. 2011. Hydroprimed sunflower achenes perform better than the salicylic acid primed achenes. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technololgy 7(6), 1561-1569.

**Fernando WGD, Nakkeeran S, Zhang Y.** 2006. Biosynthesis of antibiotics by PGPR and its relation in biocontrol of plant diseases. In PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization. Edited by Siddiqui ZA. Netherlands: Springer 67–109.

**Fuentes-Ramirez, LE. Caballero-Mellado J.** 2006. Bacterial biofertilizers. PGPR: Biocontrol and Biofertilization. Springer. p 143-172.

**Ghyselinck J, Velivelli SL, Heylen K, O'Herlihy E, Franco J, Rojas M.** 2013. Bioprospecting in potato fields in the central andean highlands: screening of rhizobacteria for plant growthpromoting properties. Systematic and Appllied Microbiology **36**, 116–127.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.syapm.2012.11.007

**GOP.** 2012. Economic Survey of Pakistan, 2011-2012. Finance Division, Economic Advisor's Wing, Islamabad, Pakistan.

Gordon SA, Weber RP. 1951. Colorimetric estimation of indoleacetic acid. Plant Physiology **26(1)**, 192-195.

**Haas D, Défago G.** 2005. Biological control of soilborne pathogens by fluorescent pseudomonads. Nature Reviews Microbiology **3(4)**, 307-319.

Hanif K, Hameed S, Imran A, Naqqash T, Shahid M, Van Elsas JD. 2015. Isolation and characterization of a  $\beta$ -propeller gene containing phosphobacterium Bacillussubtilis strain KPS-11 for growth promotion of potato (Solanum tuberosum L.). Frontiers in Microbiology **6**, 583.

**Hariprasad P, Niranjana S.** 2009. Isolation and characterization of phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria to improve plant health of tomato. Plant and Soil **316(1-2)**, 13-24.

Hussain K, Hameed Shahid SM, Ali A, Iqbal J, Hahn D. 2015. First report of Providencia vermicola strains characterized for enhanced rapeseed growth attributing parameters. Internatinal Journal Agriculture and Biology **17**, 1110–1116.

Hussain M, Farooq M, Jabran K, Wahid A. 2010. Foliar application of glycinebetaine and salicylic acid improves growth, yield and water productivity of hybrid sunflower planted by different sowing methods. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **196(2)**, 136-145.

Imran A, Mirza MS, Shah TM, Malik KA, Hafeez FY. 2015. Differential esponse of kabuli and desi chickpea genotypes toward inoculation with PGPR in different soils. Frontiers in Microbiology **6**, 859.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00859

Islam F, Yasmeen T, Arif MS, Ali S, Ali B, Hameed S, Zhou W. 2016. Plant growth promoting bacteria confer salt tolerance in Vignaradiata by upregulating antioxidant defense and biological soil fertility. Plant Growth Regulation **80(1)**, 23-36.

Jorquera MA, Crowley DE, Marschner P, Greiner R, Fernández MT, Romero D. 2011. Identification of  $\beta$ -propeller phytase-encoding genes in culturable Paenibacillus and Bacillus spp. from the rhizosphere of pasture plants on volcanic soils. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 75, 163–172.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00995.x

**Kaymak HC.** 2011. Potential of PGPR in agricultural innovations, in: Maheshwari, D.K. (Eds.), Plant Growth and Health Promoting Bacteria. Springer, Berlin, p 45–79.

**Khan AG.** 2005. Role of soil microbes in the rhizospheres of plants growing on trace metal contaminated soils in phytoremediation. Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology **18**, 355–364.

Kumar A, Guleria S, Mehta P, Walia A, Chauhan A, Shirkot CK. 2015. Plant growthpromoting traits of phosphate solubilizing bacteria isolated from Hippophae rhamnoides L. (Seabuckthorn) growing in cold desert Trans-Himalayan Lahul and Spiti regions of India. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum **37(3)**, 47-59.

**Lavania M, Nautiyal C.** 2013. Solubilization of tricalcium phosphate by temperature and salt tolerant Serratia marcescens NBRI1213 isolated from alkaline soils. African Journal of Microbiology Research 7, 4403–4413.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJMR2013.5773

**Lawlor DW.** 2002. Carbon and nitrogen assimilation in relation to yield: mechanisms are the key to understanding production systems. Journal of Experimental Botany **53(370)**, 773-787.

Line J, Hiett K, Guard J, Seal B. 2011. Temperature affects sole carbon utilization patterns of Campylobactercoli 49941. Current Microbiology **62(3)**, 821-825.

**Lugtenberg B, Kamilova F.** 2009. Plant-growthpromoting rhizobacteria. Annual Review of Microbiology **63**, 541-556.

Majeed A, Abbasi MK, Hameed S, Imran A. Rahim N. 2015. Isolation and characterization of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria from wheat rhizosphere and their effect on plant growth promotion. Frontiers in Microbiology **6**, 198.

**Maniatis T, Fritsch EF, Sambrook J.** 1982. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. New York, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.

Medeiros FH, Souza RM, Medeiros FC, Zhang H, Wheeler T, Payton P, Ferro HM, Paré PW. 2011. Transcriptional profiling in cotton associated with Bacillus subtilis (UFLA285) induced biotic-stress tolerance. Plant and Soil **347(1-2**) 327-337.

Müller EE, Ehlers MM. 2007. Biolog identification of non-sorbitol fermenting bacteria isolated on E. coliO157 selective CT-SMAC agar. Water SA **31**, 247– 252.

Naqqash T, Hameed S, Imran A, Hanif MK, Majeed A, van Elsas JD. 2016. Differential response of potato toward inoculation with taxonomically diverse plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Frontiers in Plant Sciences 7.

Nayidu N, Bollina V, Kagale S. 2013. Oilseed crop productivity under salt stress. In: Ahmad, P., Azooz, M. M., Prasad, M. N. V. (eds.), Ecophysiology and Responses of Plants Under Salt Stress. Springer

International Publishing, New York, USA, p 252-253.

Palaniappan P, Chauhan PS, Saravanan VS, Anandham R, Sa T. 2010. Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting endophytic bacterial isolates from root nodule of Lespedeza sp. Biology and Fertility of Soils 46(8), 807-816.

**Park M, Kim C, Yang J, Lee H, Shin W, Kim S.** 2005. Isolation and characterization of diazotrophic growth promoting bacteria from rhizosphere of agricultural crops of Korea. Microbiological Research **160**, 127–133.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2004.10.003

**Patel DK, Archana G, Kumar GN.** 2008. Variation in the nature of organic acid secretion and mineral phosphate solubilization by Citrobacter sp. DHRSS in the presence of different sugars. Current Microbiolgy **56(2)**, 168-174.

Pérez-Montaño F, Alías-Villegas C, Bellogín RA,Cerro PD, Espuny MR, Jiménez-Guerrero I. 2013. Plant growth promotion in cereal and leguminous agricultural important plants: from microorganism capacities to crop production. Microbiological Research **169**, 325–336. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013. 09.011

**Pikovskaya RI.** 1948. Metabolism of phosphorous in soil in connection with vital activity of some microbial species. Microbiologia **17**, 362-370.

Radzki W, Mañero FG, Algar E, García JL, García-Villaraco A, Solano BR. 2013. Bacterial siderophores efficiently provide iron to iron-starved tomato plants in hydroponics culture. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek **104(3)**, 321-330.

**Richardson AE, Barea JM, McNeill AM, Prigent-Combaret C.** 2009. Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. Plant and Soil **321(1-2)**, 305-339. **Saharan B, Nehra V.** 2011. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: a critical review. Life Sciences and Medicine Research **21**, 1-30.

Sahin F, Çakmakçi R, Kantar F. 2004. Sugar beet and barley yields in relation to inoculation with  $N_2$ fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. Plant and Soil **265(1)**, 123-129.

Sakthivel N, Gnanamanickam S. 1987. Evaluation of Pseudomonas fluorescens for suppression of sheath rot disease and for enhancement of grain yields in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Appllied and Environmental Microbiology **53(9)**, 2056-2059.

Shahid M, Hameed S, Tariq M, Zafar M, Ali A, Ahmad N. 2014. Characterization of mineral phosphate-solubilizing bacteria for enhanced sunflower growth and yield-attributing traits. Annals of Microbiology **65(3)**, 1525-1536.

**Shen H, He X, Liu Y, Chen Y, Tang J, Guo T.** 2016. A Complex Inoculant of N<sub>2</sub>-Fixing, P-and K-Solubilizing Bacteria from a Purple Soil Improves the Growth of Kiwifruit (Actinidia chinensis) Plantlets. Frontiers in Microbiology 7, 84.

**Shoebitz M, Ribaudo CM, Pardo MA, Cantore ML, Ciampi L, Curá JA.** 2009. Plant growth promoting properties of a strain of Enterobacter ludwigii isolated from Lolium perenne rhizosphere. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **41(9)**, 1768-1774.

**Singh H, Reddy MS.** 2011. Effect of inoculation with phosphate solubilizing fungus on growth and nutrient uptake of wheat and maize plants fertilized with rock phosphate in alkaline soils. European Journal of Soil Biology **47(1)**, 30-34.

Škorić D, Jocić S, Sakač Z, Lečić N. 2008. Genetic possibilities for altering sunflower oil quality to obtain novel oils. Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology **86(4)**, 215–221.

**Somasegaran P, Hoben HJ.** 1994. Handbook for rhizobia: methods in legume-Rhizobium technology Springer-Verlag New York Inc.

**Souza R, Ambrosini A, Passaglia LM.** 2015. Plant growth-promoting bacteria as inoculants in agricultural soils. Genetics and Molecuar Biology **38(4)**, 401-419.

**Spaepen S, Vanderleyden J, Remans R.** 2007. Indole-3-acetic acid in microbial and microorganismplant signaling. FEMS Microbiology Reviews **31(4)**, 425-448.

**Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA**. 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics, A biometrical approach. (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.). McGraw Hill Book Int. Co., New York. p 172-177.

**Tien T, Gaskins M, Hubbell D.** 1979. Plant growth substances produced by Azospirillum brasilense and their effect on the growth of Pearl Millet (Pennisetum americanum L.), Appllied and Environmental Microbiology **37**, 1016-1024.

Tilman D, Fargione J, Wolff B, Antonio CD', Dobson A, Howarth R, Schindler D, Schlesinger W, Simberloff D, Swackhamer D. 2001. Forecasting agriculturally driven global environmental change. Science **292(5515)**, 281-284.

Valverde A, Velazquez E, Fernandez-Santos F, Vizcaino N, Rivas R, Mateos PF, Martinez-Molina E, Igual JM, Willems A. 2005. Phyllobacterium trifolii sp. nov., nodulating Trifolium and Lupinus in Spanish soils. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology **55**, 1985-1989.

**Van Loon L.** 2007. Plant responses to plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria. European Journal of Plant Pathology **119(3)**, 243-254.

**Vincent JM, Humphrey B.** 1970. Taxonomically significant group antigens in Rhizobium. Journal of Genenal Microbiology **63**, 379–382. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00221 287-63-3-379

Waver RW, Frederick LR. 1982. Rhizobium, in: Page A. L., Miller, R. H., Keeney D. R.(Eds.), Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 2. Chemical and Microbiological Properties.Madison, WI: SSSA, p. 1043–1067.

Weisburg WG, Barns SM, Pelletier DA, Lane DJ. 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. Journal of Bacteriology **173(2)**, 697-703.

Wielbo J, Marek-Kozaczuk M, Kubik-Komar A, Skorupska A. 2007. Increased metabolic potential of Rhizobium spp. is associated with bacterial competitiveness. Canadian Journal of Microbiology **53(8)**, 957-967.