INNSPUB

Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences (JBES)
ISSN: 2220-6663 (Print) 2222-3045 (Online)
Vol. 13, No. 5, p. 71-82, 2018
http://www.innspub.net

RESEARCH PAPER OPEN ACCESS

Exploitation of *Acacia sieberiana*, *Allophylus africanus* and *Flacourtia indica* flowers by *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera: Apidae) at Dang (Ngaoundéré, Cameroon)

Fernand-Nestor Tchuenguem Fohouo[1], Christian Wékéré[*1], Delphine Nguemo Dongock[1], Sidonie Fameni Tope[2]

[1]*Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Ngaoundéré, Ngaoundéré, Cameroon*

[2]*Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Maroua, Maroua, Cameroon*

Article published on August 15, 2018

Key words: *Acacia sieberiana*, *Allophylus africanus*, *Flacourtia indica*, *Apis mellifera*, Bee plant

Abstract

To date, in Cameroon, the demand for honey and other hive products is very high, partly because of the absent of the knowledge of the apicultural value of many plant species. This research was conducted to evaluate the apicultural value of *Acacia sieberiana* DC., *Allophylus africanus* P. Beauv. and *Flacourtia indica* (Burm. F.) Merr. *Apis mellifera* Linnaeus workers foraging activity was studied on the flowers of these plant species, from March to July 2015 and 2016. At Dang, the flowers of each plant species were observed two days per week, between 7am and 18pm, for recording the pollen and/or nectar foraging behaviour of *A. mellifera* workers. Results showed that, *A. mellifera* harvested intensely and regularly the nectar of each plant species. In addition, *F. indica* was visited intensely for pollen; *Al. africanus* and *Ac. sieberiana* were also visited for pollen but slightly. The greatest mean number of workers foraging simultaneously in activity per 1000 flowers varied from 210 (*Al. africanus*) to 634 (*Ac. sieberiana*). The mean foraging speed varied from 6.53 flowers/min (*Ac. sieberiana*) to 30.44 flowers/min (*Al. africanus*) in 2015 and 5.87 flowers/min (*Ac. sieberiana*) to 23.94 flowers/min (*Al. africanus*) in 2016. Thus, *Ac. sieberiana*, *Al. africanus* and *F. indica* could be cultivated and protected to increase honey production. *Flacourtia indica* could enable beekeepers to increase their pollen production as a hive product. During foraging, *A. mellifera* workers improved pollination possibilities of each plant species.

***Corresponding Author:** Christian Wékéré ✉ christian.wekere@yahoo.fr

Introduction

Honeybee (*Apis mellifera* Linnaeus) is a social insect that builds its nest in a cavity, by connecting cones to the upper wall (Michel *et al*., 1994). In nests also called hives, the bees live in colonies containing about 50000 to 60000 individuals (Michel *et al*., 1994). *Acacia sieberiana* (Fabaceae) DC., *Allophylus africanus* (Sapindaceae) P. Beauv. and *Flacourtia indica* (Flacourtiaceae) (Burm. F.) Merr. are the plant species who provide the alimentary resources (nectar et pollen) for honeybees. The plant species flowers are visited by these bees for the nectar and the pollen collection. The basic foods of each *A. mellifera* colony are nectar and pollen (Villières, 1987; Jha and Vandermeer, 2009) collected from many plant species (Morse and Calderone, 2000). The nectar is transformed into honey which is stored together with pollen in the hive for future use (Crane, 1999). These substances have been exploited by humans for thousands of years (Crane, 1999). The production of these two substances depends on the abundance of some plant species in the environment of the apiary and their attractiveness to honeybees (Afik *et al*., 2006). Thus sustainable beekeeping in a given Region requires a detailed knowledge of the apicultural value of the plant species that grow in the environment of the Apiaries (Leven *et al*., 2005).

Before this study, there was no literature on the relationship between the honeybees and many plant species (including *Acacia sieberiana*, *Allophylus africanus* and *Flacourtia indica*) in Cameroon. Yet, in this country, because of the increasing demand for hive products such as honey and pollen, beekeeping needs to be developed (INADES, 2000).

The main objective of this work was to study of the relationships between *A. mellifera* Linnaeus, *Acacia sieberiana* (Fabaceae) DC., *Allophylus africanus* (Sapindaceae) P. Beauv. and *Flacourtia indica* (Burm. F.) Murr. for their optimal exploitation. For each plant species, we recorded the activity of *A. mellifera* on flowers, evaluated the apicultural value and registered the pollination behaviour of *A. mellifera*.

Materials and methods

Study site and biological material

The present study was carried out from March to July 2015 and 2016, at Dang a village located in the north of the city of Ngaoundéré in the Adamawa Region of Cameroon. This Region is situated between the 6th and 8th degrees of latitude North and between the 11th and 15th degrees of longitude East; it belongs to the high-altitude Guinean Savannah agro-ecological zone (Djoufack *et al*., 2012). The climate is tropical type and characterized by two seasons: a rainy season (April to October) and a dry season (November to March). The annual rainfall is about 1500 mm. The mean annual temperature is 22°C. The mean annual relative humidity is 70%.

Plants chosen for observations were located in an area of three kilometer in diameter, centered on a kenyan top-hive inhabited by an *A. mellifera* colony. The hive was located at latitude 7°42.266' N, at longitude of 13°53.944' E and at altitude of 1114 m a.s.l.

The animal material included many insect species naturally present in the environment. The number of honeybee colonies located in the area varied from 47 in April 2015 to 56 in July 2015 and from 46 in May 2016 to 78 in July 2016. The vegetation was represented by crops, ornamental plants, hedge plants and native plants of savannah and gallery forests. Table 1 describes plant species studied. Table 2 gives the relative abundance of opened flowers per month for each of these plants during the two observation periods.

Study of the foraging activity of Apis mellifera on flowers

From March to July 2015 and 2016, the foraging behaviour of *A. mellifera* worker was recorded on flowers of different plant species. Data were taken during two days per week, between 7am and 18pm, with three time periods per day: 7am-11am, 11am-15pm and 15pm-18pm. Table 3 shows the number of observation per days for each plant species. For a given plant species visited by the honeybees and for each investigation date, the following parameters

were registered for each daily time period and, whenever possible: floral products (nectar or pollen) harvested during each floral visit, abundance of foragers (highest number of individual bees foraging simultaneously on a flower, an individual plant or on 1000 flowers (Tchuenguem, 2005), duration of individual flower visit, influence of fauna (disruption of the forager in activity by competitors and/or predators (Tchuenguem *et al*., 2010) and impact of the surrounding flora (attractiveness of other plant species to *A. mellifera* workers). The influence of the competitive flora was determined by two methods: (a) direct observation of the nectar and/or pollen collection behaviour of the *A. mellifera* workers on a given plant and other flowering plant species under observation and (b) analysis of the pollen loads carried by honeybee workers captured on flowers. During each of the three days of full flowering, two pollen foragers were captured on the flowers of each plant species; pollen loads of each worker was then removed from pollen baskets and submitted to the microscopic analysis for the evaluation of the pollen profile (Tchuenguem *et al*., 2010).

Evaluation of the concentration in total sugars of the nectar of different plant species

The concentration in total sugars of the nectar is an important parameter for the attractiveness of the honeybee with respect to many flowers (Philippe, 1991). This parameter was determined with a handheld refract meter (0-90% Brix) and a thermometer that gave the ambient temperature, from March to July 2015 and from March to July 2016. *Apis mellifera* workers in full activity of nectar harvest were captured on flowers and anesthetized by introduction in a small bottle containing cotton moistened with chloroform. Nectar was then removed from honeybee crop by exerting a pressure on the bee abdomen placed between the thumb and the forefinger of the experimenter; the nectar in the mouth was then expelled and its concentration in total sugars (in g/100 dry matter) measured. The registered values obtained were corrected according to the ambient temperature, using a table provided by the device leaflet (Djonwangwé *et al*., 2011a).

Evaluation of the apicultural value of different plant species

As for other plant species, the apicultural value of each plant species studied was assessed using data on the flowering intensity and, the degree of attractiveness of *A. mellifera* workers with respect of nectar and/or pollen (Villières, 1987; Tchuenguem, 2005; Djakbé *et al*., 2017; Pharaon *et al*., 2018).

Evaluation of the influence of Apis mellifera on pollination

To measure the ability of *A. mellifera* to act as pollinator of each plant species, during the nectar or pollen harvest, the number of times a forager comes into contact with stigma of the visited flower was noted (Jacob-Remacle, 1989; Freitas, 1997; Fameni *et al*., 2012). This approach allows highlighting the involvement of *A. mellifera* in self-pollination and cross-pollination (Zumba *et al*., 2013; Potts *et al*., 2015).

Data analysis

Data were subjected to descriptive statistics (means, standard deviations and percentages), Student's *t*-test for the comparison of the mean of two samples, Pearson correlation coefficient (*r*) for the study of association between two variables and chi-square (X^2) for the comparison of percentages, using Microsoft Excel 2010.

Results and discussion

Floral products harvested, intensity and frequency of collection of different products

The identity of the food harvested by *A. mellifera* workers from the flowers of each investigated plant species and the intensity and frequency of the collection of different foods are presented in Table 3 and 4. The main results are as follows: a) *A. mellifera* workers harvested intensely and regularly the nectar of each plant species (Fig. 1); b) *F. indica* was visited intensely for pollen; c) *Al. africanus* and *Ac. sieberiana* were also visited for pollen but slightly; d) in general, the intensity (very low, low, high and very high) of nectar or pollen collection varied with plant species and time; e) in the plant species were

honeybees harvested the nectar, the harvesting frequency (percentage of the number of days were collection of nectar was observed, compared with the number of investigation days) was 100% for each plant species; f) in the plant species were honeybees harvested the pollen, the harvesting frequency was from 100% for all studied plant species. The type of substance harvested from flowers (nectar or pollen) by *A. mellifera* in a given plant species varied with hourly brackets.

Table 1. Scientific name, botanic family, biotope, some characteristics and strength (in the observation station) of different plants studied.

Scientific name	Family	Biotope	FP	DCOF	Strength*	
					2015	2016
Acacia sieberiana DC. (+; tr)	Fabaceae	savannah	March-May	White	73	69
Allophylus africanus P. Beauv. (+; tr)	Sapindaceae	savannah	May-July	White greenish	567	589
Flacourtia indica (Burm. F.) Merr. (+; tr)	Flacourtiaceae	savannah	May-July	White greenish	121	138

+: spontaneous plant; tr: tree; FP: flowering period; DCOF: dominant colour of open flower

* Number of individuals in bloom.

The present results are in line with that of Dongock *et al.* (2017b) who found that honeybees harvested nectar and pollen on *Ac. sieberiana* in Tchad. In Ethiopia, Abebe *et al.* (2014) have observed that *A. mellifera* also collected nectar and pollen from *Ac. sieberiana* flowers. In Nigeria, Kwaga *et al.* (2016) have observed that *A. mellifera* also collected nectar and pollen from *Ac. sieberiana*. In Tchad, Dongock *et al.* (2017a) have observed that *A. mellifera* only harvested the pollen on *Al. africanus*.

Table 2. Relative abundance of opened flowers on each plant species per month during the two investigation periods.

Plant species	March 2015 to July 2015					March 2016 to July 2016				
	M	A	Ma	J	Ju	M	A	Ma	J	Ju
Acacia sieberiana	**	****	*			**	****	*		
Allophylus africanus			*	***	****			*	***	****
Flacourtia indica			**	****	*			**	****	*

M: March; A: April; Ma: May; Jn: June; Ju: July; *: ≤ 100 flowers = rare; **: > 100 and ≤ 500 flowers = little abundant; ***: > 500 and ≤ 1000 flowers = abundant; ****: > 1000 flowers = very abundant.

The collection of the nectar and pollen of *F. indica* have also being observed by Yédomonhan *et al.* (2009) in Benin. Thus the type of substance harvested by *A. mellifera* from flowers (nectar or pollen) of a given plant species can vary with regions. The high nectar and pollen harvest could mainly be explained by the carbohydrate and protein needs of colonies from which originated honeybee workers.

Density of foragers

The highest number of *A. mellifera* workers foraging simultaneously per flower was one for each plant species. The abundance of *A. mellifera* workers per 1000 flowers and per individual plant varied from 35 to 634 on *Ac. sieberiana*, 5 to 570 on *Al. allophylus* and from 29 to 593 on *F. indica* (Table 5).

The abundance per individual plant varied from 3 to 398 on *Ac. sieberiana*, 4 to 378 on *Al. africanus* and from 5 to 531 on *F. indica* (Table 6).

The observed high densities of foragers per 1000 flowers recorded in this study could be attributed to the ability of honeybees to recruit a great number of workers for the exploitation of high-yield food sources (Frisch, 1969; Kajobe, 2006).

Table 3. Floral products harvested by *Apis mellifera* from the flowers of various plant species according to time, harvesting intensity and frequency of each food.

Plant species	Food harvested														
	March 2015 to July 2015					March 2016 to July 2016									
	M	A	Ma	Jn	Ju	M	A	Ma	Jn	Ju	TD	nDNe	pDNe	nDPo	pDPo
Ac. sieberiana	Ne^3Po^2	Ne^4Po^2	Ne1Po			Ne^3Po^2	Ne^4Po^2	Ne^1Po^1			24	24	100.00	24	100.00
Al. africanus			Ne^1Po^1	Ne^3Po^1	Po^1			Ne^2Po^1	Ne^4Po^1	Po^1	24	24	100.00	24	100.00
F. indica			Ne^1Po^1	Ne^4Po^3	Ne^1Po^1			Ne^1Po^1	Ne^4Po^2	Ne^1Po^1	24	24	100.00	24	100.00

M: March; A: April; Ma: May; Jn: June: Ju: July; TD: Total number of observation days; nDNe: number of days where collection of nectar was observed; pDNe: percentage of days were collection of nectar was observed; nDPo: number of days where collection of pollen was observed; pDPo: percentage of days where collection of pollen was observed; Ne: Nectar; Po: Pollen; 1, 2, 3 and 4 given as superscripts indicate very low, low, high and very high collections, respectively.

Table 4. Products harvested by *Apis mellifera* from the flowers of the three plant species according to daily time brackets.

Plant species	Hourly brackets		
	7am-11am	11am-15pm	15pm-18pm
Acacia sieberiana	Nectar and pollen	Nectar	Nectar
Allophylus africanus	Nectar and pollen	Nectar	Nectar
Flacourtia indica	Nectar and pollen	Nectar et pollen	Nectar

Duration of visits per flower

The mean duration of a flower visit varied with plant species and for a given plant species with the type of floral product collected; the mean duration of a visit per flower varied significantly from one year to another year (Table 7).

The difference between the mean duration of a flower visit for nectar collection and that for pollen collection was very highly significant in *Ac. sieberiana* (2015: $t = 81.40$, $df = 232$, $P < 0.001$; 2016: $t = 167.96$, $df = 312$, $P < 0.001$), *Al. africanus* (2015: $t = 33.75$, $df = 236$, $P < 0.001$; 2016: $t = 51.10$, $df = 368$, $P < 0.001$) and *F. indica* (2015: $t = 37.77$, $df = 292$, $P < 0.001$; 2016: $t = 21.08$, $df = 325$, $P < 0.001$). Therefore, on each of the three plant species, *A. mellifera* spent more time on a flower for nectar collection than for pollen harvest.

Table 5. Abundance of *Apis mellifera* workers per 1000 flowers (maximum of individuals simultaneously in activity on opened 1000 flowers for two observation periods) according to plant species and month.

Plant species	March 2015 to July 2015					March 2016 to July 2016				
	M	A	Ma	Jn	Ju	M	A	Ma	Jn	Ju
Acacia sieberiana	363	415	272			214	567	328		
Allophylus africanus			165	367	29			47	285	165
Flacourtia indica			193	241	3			373	108	1

M: March; A: April; Ma: May; Jn: June; Ju: July;

This fact could be explained by the abundance and/or the accessibility of each of these floral products.

The duration of visits was partially influenced by disruptions due to other anthophilous insects.

Thus for 157 honeybees visits registered on *Ac. sieberiana* flowers in 2015, 68 were disrupted by other *A. mellifera* workers (41 visits), *Camponotus flavomarginatus* Mayr (Hymenoptera: Formicidae; 16 visits) and *Lasioglossum* sp. (Hymenoptera Halictidae; 11 visits).

Among 142 visits registered on *Al. africanus* flowers in 2015, 57 were disrupted by other *A. mellifera* workers (36 visits), *Belonogaster juncea* Fabricius (Hymenoptera: Vespidae; 10 visits) and *Calliphora* sp. (Diptera: Calliphoridae; 11 visits). For 161 visits registered on *F. indica* flowers in 2015, 52 were disrupted by other *A. mellifera* workers (37 visits), *B. juncea* (13 visits), *C. flavomarginatus* (12 visits). The disruptions of visits by other insects reduced the duration of certain *A. mellifera* visits. This obliged some workers to visit more flowers during a foraging trip, in order to maximize their nectar or pollen loads (Tchuenguem, 2005).

Table 6. Abundance of *Apis mellifera* workers per plant (maximum of individuals simultaneously in activity on opened flowers for two observation periods) according to plant species and month.

Plant species	March 2015 to July 2015					March 2016 to July 2016				
	M	A	Ma	Jn	Ju	M	A	Ma	Jn	Ju
Ac. sieberiana	374	519	3			236	601	259		
Al. africanus			162	219	4			7	293	124
F. indica			68	246	5			51	323	4

M: March; A: April; Ma: May; Jn: June; Ju: July;

Influence of neighboring flora

In 2015 and 2016, throughout the observation periods of each of the plant species under investigation, *A. mellifera* workers were observed visiting flowers of many other plant species growing in the study area for nectar (ne) and/or pollen (po). Among these plants were *Voacanga africana* O. Stapf (Apocynaceae; ne), *Sida rhombifolia* Linnaeus (Malvaceae; ne and po), *Bixa orellana* Linnaeus (Bixaceae; po), *Asystasia gangetica* Linnaeus (Acanthaceae: ne and po). During one foraging trip, an individual bee foraging on these plant species scarcely visited another plant species (for each plant species studied, not more than three observations of such behavior, for the study periods).

Table 7. Duration of *Apis mellifera* visits on flowers of the three plant species according to the study periods and harvested products.

Plant species	March 2015 to July 2015				March 2016 to July 2016				Comparison of means		
	Visiting time per flower (sec)				Visiting time per flower (sec)				of the two study periods (*t*- test)		
	n	*m* ± *sd*	*mini*	*maxi*	*n*	*m* ± *sd*	*mini*	*maxi*	*t*- value	*df*	*p*- value
Ac. sieberiana (Ne)	157	3.03 ± 1.18	1	6	207	19.00 ± 2.94	16	22	605.43	362	< 0.001^{VHS}
Ac. sieberiana (Po)	77	9.61 ± 7.05	2	34	107	9.61 ± 5.39	3	24	0.00	182	> 0.05^{NS}
Al. africanus (Ne)	142	1.66 ± 0.72	1	5	246	1.68 ± 0.70	1	5	4.01	284	< 0.001^{VHS}
Al. africanus (Po)	96	1.29 ± 0.45	1	2	124	1.29 ± 0.45	1	2	0.00	214	> 0.05^{NS}
F. indica (Ne)	161	2.66 ± 1.73	1	10	150	2.52 ± 0.91	1	5	7.76	309	< 0.001^{VHS}
F. indica (Po)	133	1.94 ± 0.75	1	4	177	2.77 ± 1.00	1	5	68.87	308	< 0.001^{VHS}

n: number of visits studied; *m*: mean; *sd*: standard deviation; *mini*: minimum; *maxi*: maximum; *df*: degree of freedom; Ne: Nectar collection visits; Po: Pollen collection visits; VHS: Very highly significant difference; NS: Non significant difference.

The analysis of the pollen loads collected from pollen baskets of worker honeybees showed that the percentages of foreign pollen were 0.17% in *Ac. sieberiana*, 0.12% in *Al. africanus* and 0.13% in *F. indica* in 2015 and 0.80% in *Ac. sieberiana*, 0.60% in *Al. africanus* and 0.11% in *F. indica* in 2016 (Table 8).

Table 8. Pollen profile of pollen loads collected in the corbiculae of sampled *Apis mellifera* workers (09) foraging on flowers of three plants species according to the study periods.

Plant species	Pollen profile of pollen loads									
	March 2015 to July 2015					March 2016 to July 2016				
	Number of pollen grains					Number of pollen grains				
	Total	Host plant	Other plants	% foreign pollen	Identity of other plants	Total	Host plant	Other plants	% foreign pollen	Identity of other plants
Ac. sieberiana	11318	11121	197	0.17	Va, Bi, Sp	10673	9841	832	0.80	Bi, Sp, Nd
Al. africanus	9876	8597	1279	0.12	Mp, Td, Nd	11235	10589	646	0.60	Mp, Td
F. indica	8356	4348	11	0.13	Bo, Sp	7234	2228	8	0.11	Bo, Va

Td: *Tithonia diversifolia* (Hamsley) Gray (Asteraceae); Sr: *Sida rhombifolia* Linnaeus (Malvaceae); Bo: *Bixa orellana* Linnaeus (Bixaceae); Pg: *Psidium guajava* Linnaeus (Myrtaceae); Sp: *Senegalia polyacantha* (Willd.) Seigler and Ebinger (Fabaceae); Va: *Voacanga africana* O. Stapf (Apocynaceae).

Table 9. Apicultural value of various plant species and the most favorable period to harvest honey and/or pollen from *Apis mellifera* hives.

Plant species	Apicultural value		Period of honey and/or pollen collection	
	Nectar	Pollen	Honey	Pollen
Acacia sieberiana	****	**	April	-
Allophylus africanus	****	*	June	-
Flacourtia indica	****	***	June	June

2nd column: **** = very high nectariferous value; 3rd column: * = very low polliniferous value; ** = low polliniferous value; *** = high polliniferous value

This result indicates that *A. mellifera* shows flower constancy (Louveaux**,** 1984; Montgomery, 2009) for the flowers of each of the three plant species studied. This floral constancy in honeybees is due to the fact that an individual forager is generally capable of memorizing and recognizing the shape, colour and odour of the flowers visited during previous foraging trips (Hill *et al.*, 1997; Wright *et al.*, 2002).

Table 10. Concentration in total sugar of the nectar of studied plants species at Dang in 2015 and 2016.

Plant species	Concentration in total sugar of the nectar								Comparison of means		
	March 2015 to July 2015				March 2016 to July 2016				of the two study periods (*t*- test)		
	n	*m* ± *s*	*mini*	*maxi*	*n*	*m* ± *s*	*mini*	*maxi*	*t-value*	*df*	*p-value*
Ac. sieberiana	63	45.74 ± 4.77	37	54	78	46.85 ± 3.67	37	53	9.15	139	< 0.001^{VHS}
Al. africanus	58	27.67 ± 7.52	12	38	67	27.75 ± 7.42	12	38	0.33	123	> 0.05^{NS}
F. indica	31	36.84 ± 3.95	29.81	47.14	37	37.62 ± 4.55	30.73	48.23	3.10	66	< 0.01^{HS}

The fidelity of *A. mellifera* has been demonstrated on flowers of several other plant species among which *Persea americana* Mill. (Lauraceae) (Valdeyron, 1984), *Helianthus annuus* L. (Asteraceae) (Basualdo *et al.*, 2000; Tchuenguem *et al.*, 2009a), *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp. (Fabaceae) (Tchuenguem *et al.*, 2009b), *Combretum nigricans* Lepr. ex Guill. & Perr. (Combretaceae), *Erythrina sigmoidea* Hua (Fabaceae), and *Vernonia amygdalina* Delile (Asteraceae) (Tchuenguem *et al.*, 2010), *Ximenia americana* L. (Olacaceae) (Djonwangwé *et al.*, 2011a), *Syzygium guineense* (Willd.) DC var. *guineense* (Myrtaceae) (Tchuenguem *et al.*, 2008; Djonwangwé *et al.*, 2011b); *Callistemon rigidus* R. Br. (Myrtaceae) (Fameni *et al.*, 2012); *Croton macrostachyus* Ochst. ex Delile (Euphorbiaceae) (Népidé and Tchuenguem, 2017) and *Sesamum indicum* L. (Tchuenguem and Népidé, 2018; Pharaon *et al.*, 2018).

Table 11. Number and frequency of contacts between *Apis mellifera* and the stigma during the floral visits to three plant species.

Plant species	March 2015 to July 2015			March 2016 to July 2016			$Total_{2015/2016}$		
	Number of studied visits	Visits with stigmatic contacts		Number of studied visits	Visits with stigmatic contacts		Number of studied visits	Visits with stigmatic contacts	
		Number	%		Number	%		Number	%
Acacia sieberiana	234	234	100.00	314	314	100.00	548	548	100.00
Allophylus africanus	238	238	100.00	370	370	100.00	608	608	100.00
Flacourtia indica	215	215	100.00	269	269	100.00	484	484	100.00

Concentration in total sugar of the nectar of studied plants species

The concentration in total sugar of the nectar of studied plants species has evaluated the March to July in 2015 and March to July in 2016 at Dang (Table 10).

For *Ac. sieberiana* and *F. indica,* the above mentioned flower constancy could be partially due to the high sugar content of their nectar.

Apicultural value of the plant species

During the flowering period of each studied plant species, we recorded distinct levels of activity of *A. mellifera* workers on flowers. There were a high density of workers per tree, good nectar collection on all plant species, low pollen collection on *Al. africanus* and *F. indica*, high pollen harvest on *Ac. sieberiana*. Moreover, in the dry season, which is the main period of honey flow, individual tree of each investigated plant species can produce more than 39.000 flowers.

Considering these data, the plant species studied can be classified based on their apicultural value as follows: a) highly nectariferous: *Ac. sieberiana*, *Al. africanus* and *F. indica*; b) highly polliniferous: *F. indica*; c) slightly polliniferous: *Al. africanus* and *Ac. sieberiana*. Table 9 summarizes the appropriate period for honey or pollen harvest from hives installed in area of at last 3 km in diameter where flora in bloom is mainly made up of a strong population of each of the plant species with a high apicultural value according to the investigations done in the period 2015-2016.

Thus in the Adamawa Region of Cameroon, honey can be harvested in April, June and June, if the environment of the apiary is dominated by strong populations of *Ac. sieberiana*, *Al. africanus* and *F. indica* respectively. Pollen can be harvested in the hives in June, if the surrounding environment of the apiary is characterized by many individuals of *F. indica*. Besides, *Ac. sieberiana* pollen has been identified in nine of the fourteen honey samples collected in the study area in 2006-2008 at Dang and Tchabal-Bambi (Djonwangwé, 2013). In Benin, *Al. africanus* pollen has been identified in fourty seven honey samples studied (Tossou *et al.*, 2011). In Nigeria, Nnamani and Ugunu (2013) have been identified the *Al. africanus* pollen in five honey samples studied.

Impact of Apis mellifera activity on pollination of the plant species

When collecting pollen and/or nectar on the flowers of the three studied plant species, *A. mellifera* was frequently in contact with the anthers and stigma (Jacob-Remacle, 1989). They could therefore be directly involved in self-pollination, by putting pollen grains of one flower on the stigma of the same flower.

The individual bee passing from flower to flower on different plants were seen carrying pollen from one plant to another. They could therefore allowed xenogamy (Lobreau-Callen and Coutin, 1987) by putting the pollen of a giving plant species on the stigma of another plant species.

The percentage of the total number of visits during which worker honeybees came into contact with the stigma of the visited flower was 100% for each studied plant species (Table 11).

Consequently, *A. mellifera* workers strongly increase the pollination possibilities of *Ac. sieberiana*, *Al. africanus* and *F. indica*. The impact of *A. mellifera* of fruit or grain yields of each of these plant species via its pollination efficiency will be studied in future work.

Fig. 1. *Apis mellifera* collecting nectar on flowers of *Acacia sieberiana* (A), *Allophylus africanus* (B) and *Flacourtia indica* (C).

Conclusion

At Dang, *A. mellifera* workers harvested intensely and regularly the nectar in the flowers of *Acacia sieberiana*, *Allophylus africanus* and *Flacourtia indica*. This bee slightly collected the pollen of *Al. africanus* and *Ac sieberiana*; it strongly harvested pollen on *F. indica* flowers. All these plant species contributed more or less to the feeding and therefore to the strengthening of the honeybee colonies. *Apis mellifera* workers increased the pollination possibilities of each plant species. Based on our results, we recommend: (a) the installation of *A. mellifera* colonies in environments where one or more of the studied plant species occur abundantly and (b) the plantation and/or protection of each plant species in the surrounding of *A. mellifera* apiaries.

Acknowledgements

This study was partly funded by the Minister of the Scientific Research and Innovation, via C2D-PAR (AMOAPI project). We thank Mapongmetsem P. M. and Tchobsala for the identification of plant species.

References

Abebe JW, Amssalu B, Kefelegn K. 2014. Floral phenology and pollen potential of honey bee plants in North-East dry land areas of Amhara region, Ethiopia. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science **7**, 36- 49.
www.iosrjournals.org

Afik O, Dag A, Shafir S. 2006. The effect of avocado (Persea americana) nectar composition on its attractiveness to honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). Apidologie **37,** 317- 325.

Basualdo M, Bedascarrasbure E, De Jong D. 2000. Africanized honeybees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) have a greater fidelity to sunflowers than European bees. Journal of Economic Entomology **93**, 304- 307.

Crane E. 1999. The world history of beekeeping and honey hunting. Duckworth, London, 682.

Djakbé JD, Ngakou A, Wékéré C, Faïbawa E, Tchuenguem FFN. 2017. Pollination and yield components of *Physalis minima* (Solanaceae) as affected by the foraging activity of Apis mellifera (Hymenoptera: Apidae) and compost at Dang (Ngaoundéré, Cameroon). International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research **11**, 43-60.

Djonwangwé D. 2013. Activité de butinage et de pollinisation de *Apis mellifera* adansonii Latreille (Apidae, Apinae) sur les fleurs de trois plantes à Ngaoundéré (Cameroun). Thèse de Doctorat/Ph.D., Faculté des Sciences, Université de Yaoundé I, 171.

Djonwangwé D, Tchuenguem FFN, Messi J. 2011a. Foraging and pollination activities of Apis mellifera adansonii (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on *Ximenia americana* (Olacaceae) flowers at Ngaoundéré (Cameroon). International Research Journal of Plant Science **2,** 170- 178.

Djonwangwé D, Tchuenguem FFN, Messi J, Brückner D. 2011b. Foraging and pollination activities of *Apis mellifera* adansonii (Apidae) on Syzygium guineense var. guineense (Myrtaceae) flowers at Ngaoundéré (Cameroon). Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences **3**, 1325- 1333.

Djoufack V, Fontaine B, Martiny N, Tsalefac M. 2012. Climatic and demographic determinants of vegetation cover in northern Cameroon. International Journal of Remote Sensing **21**, 6904- 6926.

Dongock ND, Zra E, Tchuenguem FFN. 2017a**.** Bee plant potentials and characteristics in the Ngaoundal subdivision, Adamawa-Cameroon. Agricultural Science Research Journal **7**, 285- 296.

Dongock ND, Tientcheu MLA, Mbaiti D, Saradoum G, Pinta JY. 2017b**.** Importance écologique et potentialité apicole à la périphérie du Parc national de Manda en zone soudanienne du Moyen - Chari (Tchad), International Journal of Environmental Studies **74**, 443- 457.

Fameni ST, Tchuenguem FFN, Brückner D. 2012. Pollination efficiency of Apis mellifera (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on Callistemon rigidus (Myrtaceae) flowers at Dang (Ngaoundéré, Cameroon). International Journal of Tropical Insect Science **32**, 2- 11.
https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758412000033

Freitas BM. 1997. Number and distribution of cashew (Anacardium occidentale) pollen grains on the bodies of its pollinators, Apis mellifera and Centris tarsata. Journal of Apicultural Research **36**, 15-22.

Frisch KV. 1969. Vie et mœurs des abeilles. A. Michel (ed.), Paris, 556.

Jacob-Remacle A. 1989. Comportement de butinage de l'abeille domestique et des abeilles sauvages dans des vergers de pommiers en Belgique. Apidologie **20**, 271- 285.

Leven L, Boot VWJ, Mutsaers M, Segeren P, Velthuis H. 2005. Beekeeping in the Tropics [Agrodock 32] (6th edn). Agromisa Foundation and CTA, Wageningen, 87.

Lobreau-Callen D, Coutin R. 1987. Ressources florales exploitées par quelques Apoïdes des zones cultivées en savane arborée sénégalaise durant la saison des pluies. Agronomie **7**, 231- 246.

Louveaux J. 1984. L'abeille domestique dans ses relations avec les plantes cultivées. In: "Pollinisation et productions végétales", Pesson P. & Louveaux J. (Eds), INRA, Paris, 527- 555.

Hill PSM, Wells PH, Wells H. 1997. Spontanoues flower constancy and learning in honeybees as a function of colour. Animal Behaviour **54**, 615- 627.

INADES. 2000. Rapport des ateliers avec les Apiculteurs de'Adamaoua. INADES-Formation Cameroun, Antenne de Maroua (ed), Maroua, 29.

Jha S, Vandermeer JH. 2009. Contrasting bee foraging in response to resource scale and local habitat management. Oikos **118**, 1174- 1180.

Kajobe R. 2006. Pollen foraging by Apis mellifera and stingless bees Meliponula bocandei and Meliponula nebulata in Bwindi Impenetrable National Park, Uganda. African Journal of Ecology **45**, 265- 274.

Kwaga BT, Akosim C, Dishan EE, Khobe D. 2016. Potentials of flora species on the yield of honey in Dakka forest reserve, Bali local government area of Taraba state, Nigeria. Journal of Research in Forestry, Wildlife & Environment **8**, 2141-1778.

María R, José QE. 2013. Pollinators in biofuel agricultural systems: the diversity and performance of bees (Hymenoptera: Apoidea) on Jatropha curcas in Mexico. Apidologie **44**, 419- 429. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13592-013-0193-x

Michel N, Bierna M, Greuse MJ, Decot B, Cloes G, Rafamatamantsoa LE. 1994. L'apiculture. Echos du cota n° 65, 15.

Montgomery BR. 2009. Do pollen carryover and pollinator constancy mitigate effects of competition for pollination? Oikos **118**, 1084- 1092.

Morse RA, Calderone NW. 2000. The value of honeybees as pollinators of US crops. Science of Bee culture 1- 15.

Népidé NC, Tchuenguem FFN. 2016. Pollination efficiency of Apis mellifera adansonii (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on *Croton macrostachyus* (Euphorbiaceae) flowers at Dang, Ngaoundéré, Cameroon. International Journal of Biosciences **3**, 75-88. http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/9.3.75-88

Nnamani CV, Uguru AN. 2013. Diversity of honey producing plants of Southern Nigeria : basic prerequisite for conservation and sustainability. Journal of Sustainability Science and Management **8**, 103- 112.

Pharaon MA, Dounia, Douka C, Otiobo AEN, Tchuenguem FFN. 2018. Pollination efficiency of Apis mellifera L. (Hymenoptera : Apidae) on flowers of *Sesamum indicum* L. (Pedaliaceae) at Bilone (Obala, Cameroon). International Journal of Research Studies in Agricultural Sciences **4,** 12- 20. http://dx.doi.org/10.20431/2454-6224.0403003

Philippe JM. 1991. La pollinisation par les abeilles: pose des colonies dans les cultures en floraison en vue d´accroître les rendements des productions végétales. EDISUD, Aix-en-Provence, 178.

Potts S, Biesmeijer K, Bommarco R, Breeze T, Carvalheiro L, Franzén M. 2015. Status and trends of European pollinators. Key findings of the STEP project. Pensoft Publishers, Sofia., 72.

Tchuenguem FFN. 2005. Activité de butinage et de pollinisation de Apis mellifera adansonii (Hymenoptera: Apidae, Apinae) sur les fleurs de trois plantes à Ngaoundéré (Cameroun): Callistemon rigidus (Myrtaceae), Syzygium guineense var. macrocarpum (Myrtaceae) et Voacanga africana (Apocynaceae). Thèse de Doctorat d'État, Université de Yaoundé I., 103.

Tchuenguem FFN, Djonwangwé D, Brückner D. 2008. Foraging behaviour of the african honeybee (Apis mellifera adansonii) on Annona senegalensis, Croton macrostachyus, Psorospermum febrifugum and Syzygium guineense var. guineense at Ngaoundéré (Cameroon). Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences **11**, 719- 725. http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/pjbs.2008.719.725

Tchuenguem FFN, Ngakou A, Kengni BS. 2009a. Pollination and yield responses of cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L. Walp.) to the foraging activity of Apis mellifera adansonii (Hymenoptera: Apidae) at Ngaoundéré (Cameroon). African Journal of Biotechnology **8**, 1988- 1996.

Tchuenguem FFN, Djonwangwé D, Messi J, Brückner D. 2009b. Activité de butinage et de pollinisation de Apis mellifera adansonii (Hymenoptera, Apidae) sur les fleurs de Helianthus annuus (Asteraceae) à Ngaoundéré (Cameroun). Cameroon Journal of Experimental Biology **5**, 1- 9.

Tchuenguem FFN, Fameni T, Pharaon MA, Messi J, Brückner D. 2010. Foraging behaviour of *Apis mellifera* adansonii (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on Combretum nigricans, Erythrina sigmoidea, Lannea kerstingii and Vernonia amygdalina flowers at Dang (Ngaoundéré, Cameroon). International Journal of Tropical Insect Science **30**, 40- 47. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758410000019

Tchuenguem FFN, Népidé NC. 2018. Efficacité pollinisatrice de *Apis mellifera* L. (Hymenoptera : Apidae) sur *Sesamum indicum* (Pedaliaceae) var. Graine Blanche et Lisse à Dang (Ngaoundéré, Cameroun). International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences **12**, 446- 461.

Tossou GM, Yedomonhan H, Azokpoda P, Akoegnonou A, Doubogan P, Akapagana K. 2011. Analyse pollinique et caractérisation phytogéographique des miels vendus à Cotonou (Bénin). Cahiers Agricultures **20**, 500- 508. http://dx.doi.org/10.1684/agr.2011.0527

Valdeyron G. 1984. Production des semences pour quelques plantes de grandes cultures: céréales, graminées fourragères, betterave à sucre. In: "Pollinisation et productions végétales", Pesson P. & Louveaux J. (Eds), INRA, Paris, 143- 330.

Villières B. 1987. L'apiculture en Afrique Tropicale. Dossier "Le point sur" n°11, GRET, Paris, 220.

Wright GA, Skinner BD, Smith BH. 2002. Ability of honeybee, Apis mellifera, to detect and discriminate odors of varieties of canola (*Brassica rapa* and *Brassica napus*) and snapgragon flowers (Antirrhinum majus). Journal of Chemical Ecology **28**, 721- 740. http://dx.doi.org/0098-0331/02/0400-0721/0

Yédomonhan H, Tossou MG, Akoègninou A, Demènou BB, Traoré D. 2009. Diversité des plantes mellifères de la zone soudano-guinéenne: cas de l'arrondissement de Manigri (Centre-Ouest du Bénin). International Journal of Biological and Chemical Sciences **3**, 355- 366.

Zumba JX, Myers GO, Clawson EL, Miller DK, Danka RG, Blanche SB. 2013. Developing hybrid cotton (*Gossypium* spp.) using honeybees as pollinators and the roundup ready phenotype as the selection trait. Journal of Cotton Science **17**, 293- 301.