



## Effects of dietary supplement of dried *Chlorella* powder as an alternative to antibiotic on growth performance and health status of broiler chicken

Md. Zahir Uddin Rubel\*, Md. Anwarul Haque Beg, Maksuda Begum, Noushin Anjum

Department of Poultry Science, Sher-e-Bangla agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

**Key words:** Broiler chicks, Growth performance, Microbial population, Haematological parameter, *Chlorella* powder.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/14.4.255-263>

Article published on April 15, 2019

### Abstract

The objectives of the present study was to investigate the effect of different levels of Dried *Chlorella* Powder (DCP) on growth performance, microbial, biochemical and haematological parameters of broiler chicken from one day old to 4 weeks of age. A total of 240 days old Cobb 500 broiler chicken were randomly assigned to four treatment groups (T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>), 60 birds per treatment group and were respectively fed with diets containing 0.25% and 0.50% of DCP. At 4 weeks of age, 36 birds per group were slaughtered to collect blood, gizzard, spleen, bursa, and liver. Results showed no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) in feed intake, body weight, feed conversion ratio (FCR) and relative internal organ weight. In addition, total cholesterol, sugar level, and CBC count has no significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) in broiler chickens fed DCP as compared to control. However, significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in faecal microbial load was found between groups. In conclusion, the results of this study demonstrate that DCP supplementation positively affected the growth performance and health status in broilers, indicating that dried *chlorella* powder can be safely used to replace antibiotic as a growth promoter, thereby reducing the risk of antibiotic resistance issues.

\* Corresponding Author: Zahir Uddin Rubel ✉ [mzurubel.vet@sau.edu.bd](mailto:mzurubel.vet@sau.edu.bd)

## Introduction

The term "antibiotic growth promoter" is used to describe any medicine that destroys or inhibits bacteria and is administered at a low, subtherapeutic dose. The mechanism of action of antibiotics as growth promoters is related to interactions with intestinal microbial population (Dibner and Richards, 2005). The ban on using antibiotics as feed additives has accelerated research into the use of alternative feed additives in poultry production (Kiczorowska *et al.*, 2016). Phytogetic additives are plant-derived products used in animal feed to improve the performance of agricultural livestock (Windisch *et al.*, 2008; Hashemi and Davoodi 2010). This class of additives has recently gained increasing interest, especially for use in modern poultry production (Al-Yasiry *et al.*, 2017). Sub-therapeutic levels of antibiotics given to poultry as growth enhancer may result to the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria, which are hazardous to animal and human health (Sarica *et al.*, 2005).

Meanwhile, the use of organic supplements such as herbs and *Chlorella* are generally believed to be safer, healthier, and less subject to hazards. In the developed countries peoples use *Chlorella* in diet of human, livestock, poultry as herbal medicinal product. Thus, *Chlorella* and its products could be incorporated in poultry feed or water instead of antibiotic in order to stimulate or promote effective use of feed nutrients which result in more rapid gain, higher production and better feed efficiency. Moreover, *Chlorella* contains active substances that can improve digestion and metabolism and possess bacterial and immune-stimulant activities (Ghazalah and Ali, 2008). Therefore, alternatives to AGP need to be proposed to poultry producers in order to maintain animal health, productivity and carcass quality. Yoshizawa (1993) reported that algae extract activated the macrophages and increased the proinflammatory cytokine production of laboratory animals. However, huge numbers of algae species are available that produce novel compounds. It has been reported that supplementation of *Chlorella* in human and animal diets performed numerous biochemical and physiological functions, such as growth

promotion, antioxidant functions and immunomodulation. In addition, antimicrobial properties of *Chlorella* are considered to be an effective alternative to AGP in the diets to maintain optimum health and productivity of the animal. Another microalga studied to a lesser extent is *Schizochytrium*. Supplementing layer diets with a commercial *Schizochytrium*-containing product was shown to increase the DHA (docosahexaenoic acid) content of the eggs (Abril and Barclay, 1998). DHA is an essential omega-3 fatty acid that is important, among others, in the formation of the brain and nervous system of infants. Similarly, supplementation of layer diets with *Porphyridium* (a red microalga) has been shown to reduce cholesterol and increase the omega-3 content of eggs (Ginzberg *et al.*, 2000).

Research has been conducted to the possibility of using *Chlorella* in poultry diets. Moreover, very limited information is available about the use of *Chlorella* as an alternative to antibiotic feed supplement to promote growth, immune characteristics, and intestinal microbial population of broiler chicken. Therefore, the present study was conducted to determine the effect of dietary supplementation of *Chlorella* on the growth performance, immune characteristics, and intestinal microflora population of broiler chickens compared with an AGP.

## Materials and methods

### Experimental design

The experiment was conducted at Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Poultry Farm, Dhaka Bangladesh. During 4 weeks, 1 day-old chicks Cobb 500 broiler chicken were fed with two types of diets containing Dried *Chlorella* Powder (DCP). *Chlorella* were collected from commercial source. Two hundred and forty day-old Cobb broiler chicks were randomly assigned into four groups (T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>) with 3 replications of 60 chicks in each treatment group. They were fed basal diet supplemented with Dried *Chlorella* Powder (DCP) at the levels of 0.25 (T<sub>1</sub>) and 0.50% (T<sub>2</sub>), antibiotic (T<sub>3</sub>) and only basal diet (T<sub>4</sub>) respectively. Each diet was formulated to fit crude protein (CP) and metabolisable energy (ME) (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Gross composition of experimental diets (%).

Feed Ingredients	Treatment			
	T <sub>1</sub>	T <sub>2</sub>	T <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>
Maize	55	55.8	55.64	55.48
Wheat bran	12	11.4	11.66	11.5
Fish meal	8	7.6	7.8	7.82
Soya seed	20	19.7	19.9	20.2
Concentrate	3.75	4	4	4
Oyster shell	1	1	1	1
Dried <i>Chlorella</i> Powder	.25	.50	0	0
Total	100	100	100	100
Calculated analysis				
ME (kcal kgG <sup>1</sup> )	2962.50	2956.00	2942.81	2955.12
CP (%)	20.11	19.88	19.58	19.81
Calcium (%)	0.97	0.95	0.93	0.94
Available phosphorus (%)	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Sodium (%)	0.35	0.34	0.34	0.34
Lysine total (%)	1.09	1.06	1.01	1.04
Methionine total (%)	0.48	0.47	0.46	.48
CF (%)	4.99	4.97	4.89	4.88

CP: Crude protein, ME: Metabolizable energy, CF: Crude fibre

#### Data collection

#### Production parameters

The amount of feed offered and refused per pen was recorded daily. The amount of feed consumed was determined as the difference between feed offered and remaining feed. Birds were weighed each week individually and pen average was calculated. Body weight change was calculated as the difference between the final and initial body weight. Average daily body gain was calculated as body weight change divided by the number of experimental days. These data were used to determine feed conversion ratio by dividing feed intake by body weight gain. Internal organs weight: At 4 weeks of age, 3 randomly selected birds from each replication were weighed and slaughtered. After slaughtering, the birds were de-feathered and eviscerated. The gizzard, heart, pancreas and liver were weighed. The organ weights were used to determine their proportion of body weight.

#### Haematological and Bio-chemical analysis

To determine haematological profile, blood was obtained from the wing veins and collected in vacutainers containing ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) at day 25. The rest of the blood was collected in the vacutainers with no anticoagulant, let to clot at room temperature, and centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 15 minutes to produce serum. The serum was

frozen until serum biochemistry (Sugiharto *et al.*, 2017a). Complete blood counts were determined using a hematology analyser (Hycount 5 Plus Vet - 5-Part differential analyzer for veterinary applications.) Total cholesterol and sugar in serum were measured with the enzymatic colorimetric/colour method.

#### Feecal microbial sample analysis

At day 28, a total of 36 chicks were slaughtered, de-feathered and eviscerated. The internal organs were immediately taken out and weighed. Digesta were collected from the caecum of broilers to determine microbiological analyses. The counts of certain bacteria in the intestinal digesta of broilers were determined according to Sugiharto *et al.*, (2017b) with few modification. For enumeration of *E coli* and salmonella bacteria, samples were cultured on EMB and SS agar. After aerobic incubation at 38°C for 24 h, *E coli* and salmonella bacteria were counted as metallic sheen and colorless colonies with black center, respectively.

#### Statistical analysis

The data was subjected to statistical analysis by applying one way ANOVA using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 16. Differences between means were tested using Duncan's multiple comparison test, LSD and significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ .

## Results

### Production performance

Feed consumption (FC), live weight (LW), Feed conversion ratio (FCR): The average highest feed was consumed by control group and lowest in *Chlorella* treatment group, but no significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference was found among *Chlorella* treated groups with control and antibiotic treated group (Table 2). No significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference was found in final

live weight among *chlorella* treated groups with control and antibiotic treated group, but the highest LW was found in *chlorella* treated group and lowest in control group. Which LW would be better can be found out by FCR. No significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference was found in final live weight among *chlorella* treated groups with control and antibiotic treated group, but the highest LW was found in *chlorella* treated group and lowest in control group.

**Table 2.** Production parameter of different treatment.

Treatment	FC (g)/Bird	Live weight (g)/Bird	FCR	DP%	Survivability
T <sub>1</sub> (0.25% DSP)	2084.57± 4.53	1632.33± 6.21	1.28± 0.12	65.68± 1.32	100.00± 0.00
T <sub>2</sub> (0.50% DSP)	2124.10± 6.22	1623.33± 8.45	1.31± 0.32	64.03± 2.21	100.00± 0.00
T <sub>3</sub> (Antibiotic)	2091.23± 7.34	1571.67± 7.32	1.33± 0.23	60.44± 1.16	100.00± 0.00
T <sub>4</sub> (Control)	2104.57± 5.43	1560.00± 9.53	1.35± 0.21	64.82± 3.22	98.00± 0.02
Mean±SE	2101.18±10.52	1596.83±21.28	1.32±0.015	63.74±1.37	99.58±0.42
LSD (0.05)	31.44 <sup>NS</sup>	63.18 <sup>NS</sup>	0.04 <sup>NS</sup>	4.08 <sup>NS</sup>	1.18 <sup>NS</sup>

The values are mean ± SE of 60 chicks in each treatment group. Means with different superscripts (a, b, c, and d) within a column are significantly different from each other at  $P < 0.05$  as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.

Dressing percent (DP) and Survivability percent (SP): The highest DP was found in *chlorella* treated group and the lowest in antibiotic treated group. But, DP of all the treatment groups were not affected by *chlorella* and antibiotic comparing with control group. The highest survivability was found in antibiotic group which showed no significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference with *chlorella* treated and control group (Table 2).

### Organ relative weights

The treatment groups were not affected significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) either by *chlorella* or antibiotic in comparison with control group. Although, the highest weight was found in *chlorella* treatment group, but statistical difference was not significant ( $P>0.05$ ).

Using different levels of algae in broiler finisher diets had no significant effect on gizzard and spleen percentages. The average gizzard weight of different treatment groups shown in Table 3, were not affected significantly ( $P>0.05$ ). The height gizzard weight was found in antibiotic group (T<sub>3</sub>- 40.0g) and lowest in *chlorella* treated group. Using different levels of algae in broiler finisher diets had insignificant effect on gizzard and spleen percentages. The mean bursa weight of different treatment groups were presented in Table 3, but no significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference was found among different treatment groups.

The *chlorella* treatment group showed height weight, whereas antibiotic group showed the lowest weight.

### Weight of some important internal organs

**Table 3.** Weight of internal organs under different treatment.

Treatment	Liver weight (g)	Spleen Weight(g)	Gizzard Weight(g)	Bursa Weight(g)
T <sub>1</sub> (0.25% DSP)	38± 0.13	1.83± 0.19	32.83± 1.13	2.50± 0.15
T <sub>2</sub> (0.50% DSP)	37± 0.21	2.17± 0.14	34.83± 1.03	2.17± 0.13
T <sub>3</sub> (Antibiotic)	36.67± 0.11	1.8± 0.18	40.00± 1.10	1.67± 0.16
T <sub>4</sub> (Control)	40.67± 0.18	2.00± 0.10	33± 1.19	2.17± 0.19
Mean±SE	38.08±0.96	1.96±0.16	35.17±1.41	2.12±0.20
LSD (0.05)	2.79 <sup>NS</sup>	0.50 <sup>NS</sup>	3.66 <sup>NS</sup>	0.58 <sup>NS</sup>

The values are mean ± SE of 60 chicks in each treatment group. Means with different superscripts (a, b, c, and d) within a column are significantly different from each other at  $P<0.05$  as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.

*Blood serum bio-chemical parameters*

Effects of dietary Dried *Chlorella* Powder (DCP) supplementation on concentration of sugar of broiler chickens are presented in Table 4. Feeding dietary *Chlorella* had no significant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference among the treatment. Although the highest amount ( $10.40\pm 0.85$ ) of plasma sugar are found in T<sub>3</sub> (1.5%

*Chlorella*) but this was not statistically difference with control and other groups. Total cholesterol concentration (mg/dl) in the serum of different groups ranged from  $117.44\pm 4.74$  to  $132.89\pm 4.81$ .

Statistical analysis revealed a nonsignificant ( $P>0.05$ ) difference among the group. (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Effect of Dried *Chlorella* Powder (DCP) on Serum biochemical level of different broiler chicken under different treatment.

Parameters	T <sub>1</sub> (0.25% DSP)	T <sub>2</sub> (0.50% DSP)	T <sub>3</sub> (Antibiotic)	T <sub>4</sub> (Control)	Mean $\pm$ SE	LSD (0.05)
Sugar mmol/L	10.18 $\pm$ 0.25	9.37 $\pm$ 0.27	10.40 $\pm$ 0.85	10.08 $\pm$ 0.60	10.07 $\pm$ 0.218	0.726 <sup>NS</sup>
Cholesterol mg/dl	119.00 $\pm$ 1.07	117.44 $\pm$ 4.74	132.00 $\pm$ 12.81	130.00 $\pm$ 11.64	126.27 $\pm$ 3.615	11.775 <sup>NS</sup>

The values are mean  $\pm$  SE of 60 chicks in each treatment group. Means with different superscripts (a, b, c, and d) within a column are significantly different from each other at  $P < 0.05$  as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.

**Table 5.** Bacterial colony count in *Spirulina* experiment in broiler chicken.

Treatment	<i>E. coli</i> (EMB) $\times 10^4$ (CFU/ml)	<i>Salmonella</i> (SS) $\times 10^4$ (CFU/ml)
T <sub>1</sub> (0.25% DSP)	13.08 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 2.79	12.42 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.55
T <sub>2</sub> (0.50% DSP)	14.88 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 2.45	12.35 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 2.38
T <sub>3</sub> (Antibiotic)	11.98 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.72	15.71 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.21
T <sub>4</sub> (Control)	20.25 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 3.19	22.54 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.18
Mean $\pm$ SE	14.15 $\pm$ 1.24	15.33 $\pm$ 1.20
LSD (0.05)	3.28*	2.33*

The values are mean  $\pm$  SE of 60 chicks in each treatment group. Means with different superscripts (a, b, c, and d) within a column are significantly different from each other at  $P < 0.05$  as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.

**Table 6.** The hematological report of blood sample treated by chlorella and antibiotic.

Treatments	Hb (Gm/dl)	RBC (mill/cum m)	WBC (mill/cum)	Neutrophils(%)	Lymphocytes (%)	Mono-cytes (%)	Eosinophils (%)	HCT/PCV (%)	MCV (fl)	MCH (Pg)	MCHC (g/dl)
T <sub>1</sub> (0.25% DSP)	13.17 $\pm$ 0.31	4.57 <sup>a</sup>	11800.00 3063.49	65.00 <sup>a</sup>	29.33 <sup>a</sup>	2.67 <sup>a</sup>	2.67 <sup>a</sup>	39.96 <sup>a</sup>	88.58 <sup>a</sup>	30.17 <sup>a</sup>	32.68 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>2</sub> (0.50% DSP)	12.21 $\pm$ 0.36	4.59 <sup>a</sup>	9233.333 066.49	65.67 <sup>a</sup>	35.33 <sup>a</sup>	1.67 <sup>a</sup>	3.67 <sup>a</sup>	37.20 <sup>a</sup>	87.90 <sup>a</sup>	30.85 <sup>a</sup>	32.80 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>3</sub> (Antibiotic)	13.13 $\pm$ 0.33	4.57 <sup>a</sup>	9666.623 064.59	68.33 <sup>a</sup>	27.67 <sup>a</sup>	2.67 <sup>a</sup>	3.67 <sup>a</sup>	39.71 <sup>a</sup>	88.22 <sup>a</sup>	30.20 <sup>a</sup>	32.68 <sup>a</sup>
T <sub>4</sub> (Control)	12.67 $\pm$ 0.36	4.47 <sup>a</sup>	10166.673 074.49	66.33 <sup>a</sup>	30.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.33 <sup>a</sup>	2.67 <sup>a</sup>	37.71 <sup>a</sup>	88.21 <sup>a</sup>	30.17 <sup>a</sup>	32.77 <sup>a</sup>
Mean $\pm$ SE	12.79 $\pm$ 0.35	4.55 $\pm$ 0.08	9666.67 $\pm$ 3064.49	66.33 $\pm$ 2.94	30.58 $\pm$ 2.74	2.33 $\pm$ 0.19	3.17 $\pm$ 1.62	38.64 $\pm$ 1.05	88.23 $\pm$ 0.51	30.33 $\pm$ 0.16	32.75 $\pm$ 0.15
LSD (0.05)	1.08 <sup>NS</sup>	0.25 <sup>NS</sup>	3531.76 <sup>NS</sup>	9.66 <sup>NS</sup>	8.64 <sup>NS</sup>	0.471 <sup>NS</sup>	1.49 <sup>NS</sup>	3.24 <sup>NS</sup>	1.69 <sup>NS</sup>	0.46 <sup>NS</sup>	0.48 <sup>NS</sup>

The values are mean  $\pm$  SE of 60 chicks in each treatment group. Means with different superscripts (a, b, c, and d) within a column are significantly different from each other at  $P < 0.05$  as determined by Duncan's multiple range test.

*Hematological parameters*

The hemoglobin, RBC, WBC, Neutrophils, Lymphocytes, Monocytes, Eosinophils, HCT/PCV, MCV, MCH and MCHC of different blood sample were not affected significantly ( $P>0.05$ ) treated by *Chlorella* and antibiotic in comparison with control group. But, the highest hemoglobin (T<sub>1</sub>-13.17gm/dl), RBC (T<sub>2</sub>-4.59mill/cum), WBC (T<sub>1</sub>-11800 mill/cum), lymphocytes (T<sub>2</sub>-35.33%),

Monocytes (T<sub>1</sub>-2.67%) PCV (39.96%), MCV (T<sub>1</sub>-88.58), MCH (T<sub>2</sub>-30.85Pg) and MCHC (T<sub>2</sub>-32.80) were found in the *Chlorella* treated groups, which is an indication of good health (Table 6).

*Faecal microflora*

The microbial load in broilers fed different levels of dried *Chlorella* powder is given in Table 5, *E. coli* count was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) decreased in birds

fed 0.25%, and 0.50% dried *Chlorella* powder and antibiotic than the control birds. *Salmonella sp.* count was significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) decreased in birds fed 0.25% and 0.50% dried *Chlorella* powder and antibiotic) than the control birds.

### Discussion

In this study, feed intake was not comparable among the three groups, serum and production parameters were also non-significantly affected. In contrast to our finding, dietary supplementation of 0.50 % biomass of *Chlorella* did not affect final body weight in broiler chicks in study of Kotrbáček *et al.*, (1994). On the other hand, Kang *et al.*, (2013) reported that several *Chlorella*-based supplements including DCP, liquid media or CGF added into the diets of broiler chicks enhanced body weight, but did not affect feed intake and feed conversion ratio. The above results are supported by Peiretti and Meineri (2008). They reported that final body weight (BW), weight gain, and feed efficiency were not affected by dietary supplementation of microalgae at different levels in the rabbit diet. In addition, Kotrbáček *et al.* (1994) concluded that combination of *Chlorella* with other biological feed additives did not affect the live weight of broilers. Kang *et al.*, (2013) observed a opposite result, they found that use of 1% *Chlorella* significantly increase the final live weight of the broiler chicken. Thus, the improvement of chicken growth may be attributed to those essential nutrients contained in dried *Chlorella* powder.

In case of DP % and survivability % these results agree in part with those reported by Venkataramanan *et al.*, (1994) found that broiler dressing percentage and the weights of different organs were not affected by the addition of *Spirulina* algae dried powder to broiler diet. El Deek *et al.*, (1987) and El Deek and Brikaa (2009) also found that using different levels of seaweed had insignificant effect on ducks carcass quality. But dissimilar results were found by El Deek *et al.*, (2011). Regardless of thermal or enzymatic treatments, using different levels of algae in broiler finisher diets had significant effect on dressing percentages (ranged between 73.1 to 73.8%) at 39 days of age. These results are in agreement in part

with those reported by El Deek and Brikaa (2009) who found that the levels (0, 4, 8, 12%) of seaweed did not affect the performance of the ducks. Schaivone *et al.*, (2007) found that using of 5g algae / kg feed insignificantly affected on the slaughter characteristics, chemical structure, color and stability of oxidation properties and sensory of the Muscovy ducks. These results are corresponding with El Deek *et al.*, (2011) experiment. They accomplished that using different levels of algae in broiler finisher diets had insignificant effect on gizzard and spleen percentages (ranged between 2.12 to 2.35% and 0.12 to 0.15%, respectively), regardless of thermal or enzymatic treatments. These findings are partially supported by Venkataramanan *et al.*, (1994). They found that broiler dressing percentage and the weights of different organs were not affected by the addition of *Spirulina* algae dried powder to broiler diet. Schaivone *et al.*, (2007) found that using of 5g algae / kg feed insignificantly affected on the slaughter characteristics, chemical structure, color and stability of oxidation properties and sensory of the Muscovy ducks. The increase in plasma glucose concentration of hens fed dietary *Chlorella* may be attributed to its excellent nutritional profile and high carotenoid content. In this regard, El-Khimsawy (1985) reported that vitamin A plays an important role for synthesis glucose molecule in the body.

The present study give similar findings with the results of Kanagaraju and Omprakash (2016) and SweeWeng *et al.*, (2016), found that the addition of 1% *Spirulina* had significantly lower serum cholesterol level than that of the control group in quails. These results are contradictory with the findings of Kannan *et al.*, (2005), Abdel-Daim *et al.*, (2013) and AbouGabal *et al.*, (2015). Also, *Spirulina platensis* supplementation at level of 1% significantly improved the blood parameters (Shanmugapriya and SaravanaBabu, 2014). This contradictory result was found due to some adverse environmental effect and heat stress during the summer season. Furthermore, Jamil *et al.*, (2015) concluded that, ALT and AST decreased significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) when fed with *Spirulina platensis* compared with the control group. In the current study, the total blood leucocytes

of broiler chickens were not affected by dietary *Chlorella* supplementation compared with the control. In contrast to our results, An *et al.*, (2010) reported that total protein, albumin, glucose, and interferon- $\gamma$  were increased in blood serum of mice fed hot water extract of *Chlorella*. They suggested that this extract may be useful in improving the immune function of animals. Similarly, Kotrbáček *et al.*, (1994) reported that 0.5% biomass of fresh water *Chlorella* significantly enhanced the phagocytic activity of leucocytes and lymphatic tissue development of broiler chickens. Therefore, our blood leucocytes results were not consistent with the report of An *et al.*, (2010) and Kotrbáček *et al.*, (1994). However, the numbers of WBC and lymphocytes were higher in broilers fed with dried *Chlorella* powder (DCP) in the present study, maybe due to the processing technique and the nutritional value of *Chlorella* forms (Robinson *et al.* and Komaki *et al.*). These results are in accordance with the earlier findings of Wakwak *et al.*, (2003), Kabir *et al.*, (2004) and Kulshreshtha *et al.*, (2008). In addition, the current results confirmed those of Baojiang (1994) who found that *Spirulina* is useful for the beneficial intestinal flora.

However, due to the potential health benefits of dried *Chlorella* powder it helps to improve the growth and productivity of birds by increasing digestibility and prevent the growth of harmful microbial population.

### Conclusion

It can be concluded that Dried *Chlorella* powder (DCP) supplementation up to 0.5% in chick's diet has no effect on growth performance, relative internal organ weight, biochemical analysis and haematological analysis data. The DCP supplementation also had a positive effect on total cholesterol and sugar levels. Therefore, DCP can be used by farmers to enhance bird's performance. Further studies are required to observe the dose dependent effect of DCP on global gene expression profiling in chicken liver.

### Acknowledgement

Authors are grateful to Ministry of science and Technology (MOST), Dhaka, Bangladesh for funding to conduct the research project.

### References

- AbouGabal A, Aboul-Ela HM, Ali E, Ahemd E, Shalaby OK.** 2015. Hepatoprotective, DNA Damage Prevention and Antioxidant Potential of *Spirulina platensis* on CCL<sub>4</sub>-Induced Hepatotoxicity in Mice. *American Journal of Biomedical Research* **3**(2), 29-34. doi: [10.12691/ajbr-3-2-3](https://doi.org/10.12691/ajbr-3-2-3)
- Abriil R, Barclay W.** 1998. Production of docosahexaenoic acid-enriched poultry eggs and meat using an algae-based feed ingredient. p. 77–88. In Simopoulos, A. P. (ed.) *The return of  $\omega$ -3 fatty acids into the food supply. I. Land-based animal food products and their health effects.* *World Review of Nutrition and Dietetics* **83**.
- Al-Yasiry ARM, Kiczorowska B, Samolińska W, Kowalczyk- Vasilev E, Kowalczyk-Pecka D.** 2017. The effect of *Boswelliaserrata* resin diets supplementation on production, hematological, biochemical and immunological parameters in broiler chickens. *Animal Science journal* **11**, 1890-1898. doi: [10.1007/s12011-017-0966-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-017-0966-6)
- An HJ Rim HK, Jeong HJ, Hong SH, Um JY, Kim HM.** 2010. Hot water extracts of *Chlorella vulgaris* improve immune function in protein-deficient weanling mice and immune cells. *Immunopharmacol. Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol* **32**, 585-592.
- Dibner JJ, Richards JD.** 2005. Antibiotic growth promoters in agriculture: History and mode of action. *Poultry Science Journal* **84**, 634-643. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/84.4.634>
- El-Deek AA, Al-Harathi MA, Abdalla AA, Elbanoby MM.** 2011. The use of brown algae meal in finisher broiler diets. *Egyptian Poultry Science Journal* **31**(IV), (767-781).
- El-Deek AA, Al-Harathi MA, Abdalla AA, Elbanoby MM.** 2011. The use of brown algae meal in finisher broiler diets. *Egyptian Poultry Science Journal* **31**IV, (767-781).

- El-Deek AA, Asar MA, SafaaHamdy, MA, Kosba MA, Osman M.** 1987. Nutritional value of marine seaweed in broiler diets. *Journal of agricultural Science* **12**, 707-717.
- El-Deek AA, Mervat, Brikaa A.** 2009. The effect of different levels of seaweed in starter and finisher diets in pellet and mash form on performance and carcass quality of ducks. *International Journal of Poultry Science* **8(10)**, 1014-1021. DOI: [10.3923/ijps.2009.1014.1021](https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2009.1014.1021)
- El-Khimsawy KA.** 1985. Feed additive in poultry feeds. Dar. El-Hwda for publication. Cairo, Egypt (In Arabic).
- Ghazalah AA, Ali AM.** 2008. Rosemary Leaves as a Dietary Supplement for Growth in Broiler Chickens. *International Journal of Poultry Science* **7(3)**, 234-239.
- Ginberg A, Cohen M, Sod-Mariah UA, Shany S, Rosenshtrauch A, Arad S.** 2000. Chickens fed with biomass of the red microalga *porphyridium* sp. Have reduced blood cholesterol level and modified fatty acid composition in egg yolk. *Journal of Applied Phycology* **12**, 325-330. doi: [10.1186/2049-1891-5-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/2049-1891-5-3)
- Hashemi SR, Davoodi H.** 2010. Phyto-genics as new class off eed additive in poultry industry. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* **9**, 2295-2304. 2010. DOI: [10.3923/javaa.2010.2295.2304](https://doi.org/10.3923/javaa.2010.2295.2304)
- Jamil AR, Akanda MR, Rahman MM, Hossain MA, Islam MS.** 2015. Prebiotic competence of spirulina on the production performance of broiler chickens. *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research* **2(3)**, 304-309. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5455/javar.2015.b94>
- Kabir SML, Rahman MM, Rahman MB, Ahmed SU.** 2004. The dynamics of probiotics on growth performance and immune response in broilers. *International Journal of Poultry Science* **3(5)**, 361-364. DOI: [10.3923/ijps.2004.361.364](https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2004.361.364)
- Kanagaraju P, Omprakash AV.** 2016. Effect of Spirulina platensis algae powder supplementation as a feed additive on the growth performance of Japanese quails. *Indian Veterinary Journal* **93**, 31-33.
- KangHK, Salim HM, Akter N, Kim DW, Kim JH, Bang HT, Kim MJ, Na JC, wangbo JH, Choi HC, Suh OS.** 2013. Effect of various forms of dietary Chlorella supplementation on growth performance, immune characteristics, and intestinal microflora population of broiler chickens. *Journal of Applied Poultry Science Research* **22**, 100-108.
- Kannan M, Karunakaran R, Balakrishnan V, Prabhakar T.** 2005. Influence of prebiotics supplementation on lipid profile of broilers. *International Journal of Poultry Science* **4(12)**, 994-997. DOI: [10.3923/ijps.2005.994.997](https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2005.994.997)
- Kiczorowski B, Al-Yasiry ARM, Samolińska W, Pyzik E, Marek A.** 2016. The effect of dietary supplementation of the broiler chicken diet with Boswelliaserrata resin on growth performance, digestibility, and gastrointestinal characteristics, morphology, and microbiota. *Livestock Science* **191**, 117-124.
- Komaki HM, Yamashita Y, Niwa Y, Tanaka N, Kamiya Y, Ando M, Furuse.** 1998. The effect of processing of Chlorella vulgaris: K-5 on in vitro and in vivo digestibility in rats. *Animal Feed Science Technology* **70**, 363-366. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401\(97\)00089-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8401(97)00089-8)
- Kotrbaček V, Halouzka R, Jurajda V, Knotkova Z, Filka J.** 1994. Increased immune response in broilers after administration of natural food supplements. *Veterinary Medical (Praha)* **39**, 321-328. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3382/japr.2012-00622>
- Kulshreshtha A, Jarouliya U, Bhadauriya P, Prasad G, Bisen P.** 2008. Spirulina in health care management. *Current Pharmaceutical Biotechnology* **9(5)**, 400-405. PMID:1885569

- Peiretti PG, Meineri G.** 2008. Effects of diets with increasing levels of *Spirulina platensis* on the performance and apparent digestibility in growing rabbits. *Livestock Science* **118**, 173-177. DOI: [10.3923/ajava.2012.521.527](https://doi.org/10.3923/ajava.2012.521.527)
- Robinson RK, DF Toerien.** 1982. The algae—A future source of protein. Pages 289–335 in *Development in Food Proteins-1*. B. J. F. Hudson, ed. Applied Science Publishers London, UK, and New York, NY.
- Sarica S, Ciftci A, Demir E, Kilinc K, Yildirim Y.** 2005. Use of an antibiotic growth promoter and two herbal natural feed additives with and without exogenous enzymes in wheat based broiler diets. *South African Journal of Animal Science* **35**, 61-72.
- Schaivone A, Chiarini R, Marzoni M, Castillo A, Tassone S, Romboli I.** 2007. Breast meat traits of Muscovy ducks fed on a microalgae (*Cryptocodinium cohnii*) meal supplemented diet. *British Poultry Science* **48**, 573-579. <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC94430>
- Sugiharto S, Yudiarti T, Isroli I, Widiastuti E, and Putra FD.** 2017b. Effect of dietary supplementation with *Rhizopus oryzae* or *Chrysonilia crassa* on growth performance, blood profile, intestinal microbial population, and carcass traits in broilers exposed to heat stress. *Archives Animal Breeding* **60**, 347-356.
- Sugiharto S, Yudiarti T, Isroli I, Widiastuti E, Putra FD.** 2017a. Intestinal microbial ecology and hematological parameters of broiler fed cassava waste pulp fermented with *Acremonium charticola*. *Veterinary World* **10**, 324-330. doi: [10.14202/vetworld.2017.324-330](https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2017.324-330).
- SweeWeng Cheong D, Kasim A, Sazili AQ, Omar H, Teoh JY.** 2016. Effect of Supplementing *Spirulina* on Live Performance, Carcass Composition and Meat Quality of Japanese Quail. *Walailak Journal of Science and Technology* **13(2)**.
- Takekoshi HG, Suzuki, Chubachi H.** 2005. Effect of *Chlorella pyrenoidosa* fecal excretion and liver accumulation of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin in mice. *Chemosphere* **59**, 297-304.
- Venkataraman LV, Somasekaran T, Becker EW.** 1994. Replacement value of blue green algae (*Spirulina platensis*) for fish meal and a vitamin-mineral premix for broiler chicks. *British Poultry Science journal* **35**, 373-381.
- Windisch WM, Schedle K, Plitzner C, Kroismayr A.** 2008. Use of phytogenic products as feed additives for swine and poultry. *Journal of Animal Science* **86**, 140-148. DOI: [10.2527/jas.2007-0459](https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2007-0459)
- Yoshizawa YA, Enomoto H, Todoh A, Amentani, Kaminogawa S.** 1993. Activation of Murine macrophages by polysaccharide fractions from marine algae (*Porphyra yezoensis*) *Bioscience Biotechnology Biochemistry* **57**, 1862-1866. PMID:7764336.