



## RESEARCH PAPER

## OPEN ACCESS

## Symbiotic relationship of chickpea genotypes and pgpr consortium for nodulation, yield productivity and grain protein contents

Muhammad Aslam<sup>1\*</sup>, Muhammad Ajmal<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Anayat Ullah<sup>2</sup>, Abdul Ghaffar<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Ramzan<sup>2</sup>, Khalid Hussain<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Barani Agricultural Research Institute, Chakwal, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Arid Zone Research Institute, Bhakkar, Punjab, Pakistan

**Key words:** *Cicer arietinum*, Chickpea varieties, Inoculation, PGPR consortium, Grain yield.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/15.1.411-422>

Article published on July 18, 2019

### Abstract

Four chickpea genotypes viz. Bittle-2016 (V<sub>1</sub>), TG1218 (V<sub>2</sub>), Bhakkar-2011 (V<sub>3</sub>), TG1221 (V<sub>4</sub>) and five PGPR strains viz. *Enterobacter cloacae* (Z<sub>11</sub>), *Bacillus subtilis* (Z<sub>22</sub>), *Providencia vermicola* (P<sub>1</sub>), *Bacillus majavensis* (P<sub>8</sub>), *Bacillus zhangzouensis* (S<sub>14</sub>) and *Bacillus majavansis* (S<sub>19</sub>) in five different consortium C<sub>1</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>11</sub>), C<sub>2</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>), C<sub>3</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>14</sub>), C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>) and C<sub>5</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>) along with uninoculated (C<sub>0</sub>), were studied in split plot arrangements. Chickpea varieties/lines and PGPR consortiums constituted main plot and subplots, respectively. This study was carried out at Arid Zone Research Institute, Thal area, Punjab, Pakistan in Rabi (winter) season 2018-19 aimed to determine the symbiotic efficiency of chickpea genotypes with PGPR consortia to exploit its natural symbiosis to enhance nodulation and thereby increasing yield and protein contents of chickpea grains under arid to semiarid conditions of Thal region, Pakistan. Strong symbiosis was found among chickpea and PGPR consortium which significantly increased the yield and yield components. Maximum number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (113.3) and 100 grain weight (28.73 g) was recorded in V<sub>4</sub> × C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>). Inoculation with PGPR consortium increased grain yield by 30 % (1665 to 2196 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> was also encouraged from 37.33 to 87.83 by seed inoculation. Inoculation with C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>) endorsed higher yield from 1590 to 2491 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. It was concluded that, rhizobium consortium showed a specific symbiotic relationship with genotypes and inoculation is recommended for better yield and protein contents in chickpea.

\*Corresponding Author: Muhammad Aslam ✉ [dr.m.aslam2065@gmail.com](mailto:dr.m.aslam2065@gmail.com)

## Introduction

The natural success of chickpea is its ability to fix atmospheric N in symbiosis with rhizobia, contributing directly to grain protein and reducing the need for N fertilizer for the crops to follow after it and thereby, has great potential to improve soil N status (Ben Romdhane *et al.*, 2008; Funga *et al.*, 2016; Khaitov *et al.*, 2016; Tena *et al.*, 2016) and also plays an important role in human diet (Siddiqi and Mahmood, 2001; Kantar *et al.*, 2007). There are many factors contributing to achieve good crop harvest. Crop nutritional imbalance and poor nodulation appear to be the major issue, which can be managed during crop season. Farmers have false perception that chickpea, being a legume crop, does not need any nutrition and it can grow on marginal soil (Aslam *et al.*, 2010; Chianu *et al.*, 2011). It is proved that poor grain yields in legumes are often the outcome of poor soils (Franke *et al.*, 2016), this might be a major reason for its low grain yield in Pakistan. Inorganic fertilizers are not generally applied to chickpea; however, some progressive farmers apply a little amount of N and P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fertilizer through broadcast as a starter dose. Application of phosphorus to legume crops improves grain yield considerably (Hussain, 1983). Grain yield of chickpea was increased significantly with PGPR and phosphorus application (Raut and Kohire, 1991 and Aslam *et al.* 2010). Legume yields and nitrogen fixation depends upon the legume genotype or variety (V), the PGPR strain/consortium (C) and the interactions of these with the bio-physical environment and management practices (Giller *et al.*, 2013).

The phosphorus application improves crop growth with efficient root system alongwith active nodulation. Supplement phosphorus improves pod filling and ultimately enhances the grain yield (Gupta *et al.*, 1998; Reddy *et al.*, 1993). Most of the soils in Pakistan are alkaline and calcareous in nature which binds phosphorus tightly. Therefore, Phosphatic fertilizer is required almost everywhere to support efficient plant growth. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria can play a vital role to save the resources; increase the

availability of phosphorus and ultimately the grain yield.

Chickpea can fix 60–80% of its nitrogen requirement (Giller, 2001; SPG, 2016), amounting to 60–176 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (Beck *et al.*, 1991; Shiferaw *et al.*, 2004). It was witnessed that inoculation enhanced the nodulation of chickpea, stimulated more nitrogen fixation and increased N uptake (Endalkachew *et al.* 2018). It is selective in its symbiotic requirement, nodulating with only a specific group of rhizobium species (Tena *et al.*, 2016; SPG, 2016). The absence of compatible strains and the small rhizobial population in the soil are major limitations for nodule formation in chickpea (Kantar *et al.*, 2010). Inoculation with effective strains at planting time is recommended if the soil population density of compatible rhizobia is less than 50 cells per gram of soil (Thies *et al.*, 1991). There is an evidence to suggest that inoculation enhances plant growth, grain and biomass yield in chickpea (Ben Romdhane *et al.*, 2008; Funga *et al.*, 2016; Khaitov *et al.*, 2016; Tena *et al.*, 2016).

In addition chickpea requires about 13 to 41 kg Nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup> for better growth and development. Nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) fixing *Rhizobium* could increase yield at a low cost (Kucuk and Kivanc, 2008). Nitrogenous fertilizer applications are generally considered not necessary as chickpea plant fixes (60-80 percent of its requirements) atmospheric N through symbiosis with nitrogen fixing bacteria (*Rhizobium cicer*) provided that proper conditions of soil moisture, temperature and soil pH. The bacteria, however, must be present in the soil for N fixation (Rhinhart *et al.*, 2003). If full benefit from grain legume crop is to be harvested in terms of maximum yield and soil improvement, the seed should be inoculated with its own specific and suitable *Rhizobium* strain before planting. El-Hadi and Sheikh (1999) and Aslam *et al.*, 2010 reported that *Rhizobium* inoculation application significantly increased total nodule numbers plant<sup>-1</sup>, 100 grain weight, yield (70-72%) and protein contents of chickpea grains. *Rhizobium* plus phosphate-solubilizing bacteria significantly improved crop yield components (Meena *et al.*, 2001). Grain yield and

quality was improved up to 50% in chickpea with seed inoculation (Kyei -Boahen *et al.*, 2002). Karadavut and Ozdemir (2001) reported that *Rhizobium* significantly increased seed yield (20% higher than control), total above ground dry matter and number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> in chickpea. Fatima, *et al.* (2008) narrated that *Rhizobium* inoculum generally increased plant growth, yield and yield components and nitrogen fixation in chickpea.

So that a hypothesis was formulated that chickpea cultivars together with PGPR consortium should be evaluated for their ability to produce nodules and nitrogen fixation potential of produced nodules. The *Rhizobium* strain should be of such a nature to colonize in soil, tolerate environmental stresses, form effective nodules and should have no deleterious effects on ecosystem. Therefore, the following experiment was conducted to sort out varieties/lines of chickpea having better symbiotic relationship with *Rhizobium* inoculation strains for higher yield and improved grain protein content.

## Materials and methods

### Location

The experimental site located within an elevation range of (31° .37/ N latitude and 71° .02/ East longitude) above the sea level with annual mean temperature 19.04–33.8 °C, and annual average rainfall of 150–350 mm. A field experiment was conducted at the Arid Zone Research Institute, Bhakkar Punjab, Pakistan during Rabi (winter) season 2018-19 on sandy loam soil with field capacity and permanent wilting point values of 14.67 and 5.40 % on volume basis, respectively.

### Soil analysis

Composite soil samples were collected at depth of 0–15 and 16–30cm before planting. The samples were weighed, air-dried and ground to pass through a 2 mm sieve before analysis. The soil profile was a sandy loam and the 0–30cm layer had 0.27% organic matter, 0.014% nitrogen (N), 3.34ppm available phosphate (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>-3</sup>) and 166ppm available potassium (K) with soil pH 8.2. The objectives of the study were

to probe the nodulation, yield productivity and grain protein contents of chickpea as affected by symbiosis of chickpea varieties/lines and PGPR consortium. The sandy loam soil (Table 1) was well prepared before sowing of crop. The chickpea varieties/lines [(Bittle-2016 (V<sub>1</sub>), TG1218 (V<sub>2</sub>), Bhakkar-2011 (V<sub>3</sub>), TG1221 (V<sub>4</sub>)] were kept in main plot while six bacterial strains (Table 2) were randomly mixed to make five PGPR consortiums [C<sub>1</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>11</sub>), C<sub>2</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>), C<sub>3</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>14</sub>), C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>) and C<sub>5</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>)] alongwith control were randomized in subplots. The sub plot size was 7 m x 1.2 m. Seeds were inoculated at a rate of 5 g of PGPR consortium per kg of seed using sugar solution as a sticker. Inoculation was done under shade and the inoculated seeds were kept for few minutes until air drying before planting. The graded seed at the rate of 75 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was sown with a single row drill.

The recommended dose of fertilizer (22 Kg N and 57 Kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ha<sup>-1</sup>) was applied at the time of seed bed preparation.

The crop was inter-cultured twice at 35 and 70 days after sowing. Ten randomly selected plants were uprooted carefully, washed and the number of nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> were recorded on days 100, 120 and 140 after sowing from each plot and the average number of grains pod<sup>-1</sup> were recorded from 20 randomly selected pods taken from ten randomly selected plants. Average weights of three samples were recorded for 100 grain randomly taken from grain yield of each treatment where as grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded on plot basis.

### Grain protein value

The seed N content was determined by Kjeldahl's method and the estimated N content was multiplied by a factor of 6.25 to compute the total protein content (Jackson, 1962). Data were subjected to analysis of variance (Steel *et al.*, 1997) to determine the significance of differences between treatments. The Least significant difference (LSD) test was applied for comparison of means of individual treatments (Statistics 8.1).

## Results and discussion

### *Nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>*

Count of nodules, fresh and dry weight of nodules  $\text{plant}^{-1}$  are presented in Table 3, 4 and 5. It is evident that differences between the means of treatments were significant. Nodules count ranged from 37.33 to 87.83 per plant while dry weight of nodules varied

from 0.203 to 0.677 g  $\text{plant}^{-1}$ . Maximum number of nodules  $\text{plant}^{-1}$  were counted as 87.83 with 2.445g fresh and 0.677 g dry weight per plant in treatment combination  $V_4$  (TG1221)  $\times$   $C_4$  ( $Z_{22}+P_8+S_{19}$ ) thus showing the specific symbiotic relationship among the genotype and PGPR consortium.

**Table 1.** Physiochemical characteristic of soil.

Property	Units	Value	
		Before sowing	After harvesting
pH	-	8.2	8.00
ECe	dSm <sup>-1</sup>	0.44	-
P	Ppm	3.34	4.75
K	Ppm	66	60
Texture	-	Sandy loam	-
Organic matter	%	0.27	0.34
N	%	0.014	0.017
Field capacity	% by volume	14.60	14.67
Bulk density	g <sup>-3</sup>	1.26	1.26
Permanent wilting point	% by volume	5.4	5.4

Source: Soil and Water Testing Laboratory Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad.

**Table 2.** Summary of N-fixing bacteria, PSB and PGPR isolated from chickpea nodules, rhizoplane and rhizospheric soil of Thal desert.

Accession #	Strain's Name	Isolation source	Functions
MK880587	<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	Nodules	N-fixing
MK880588	<i>Bacillus subtilis</i>	Nodules	N-fixing
MK880582	<i>Providencia vermicola</i>	Rhizoplane soil	PSB
MK880584	<i>Bacillus mojavensis</i>	Rhizoplane soil	PSB
MK880590	<i>Bacillus zhangzhouensis</i>	Rhizospheric soil	Growth Promoter
MK880589	<i>Bacillus mojavensis</i>	Rhizospheric soil	Growth Promoter

Thus PGPR consortium increased nodules  $\text{plant}^{-1}$  by 135 % over control. The different PGPR consortium behaved differently regarding nodules count, fresh and dry weight of nodules  $\text{plant}^{-1}$ . The nodules  $\text{plant}^{-1}$  were increased by 103, 106, 107, 114 and 135 % over the control by treatment interaction  $V_4 \times C_2$ ,  $V_3 \times C_4$ ,  $V_1 \times C_4$ ,  $V_2 \times C_4$  and  $V_4 \times C_4$ , respectively. PGPR consortium, a combination of nitrogen fixing bacteria, phosphate solubilizing and growth promoting bacteria showed a good symbiotic relationship with genotype TG1221 and gave maximum increase of 135 % in

number of nodules  $\text{plant}^{-1}$ . Similarly increments in fresh and dry weight of nodules  $\text{plant}^{-1}$  were observed in treated plots with PGPR consortium against untreated plots. The yield components were also increased in similar fashion and ultimately the grain yield was increased from 1496 to 2491 kg  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ . With the increase in fresh and dry weight of nodules  $\text{plant}^{-1}$ , nodules count was also increased which showed the enhanced microbial activities increased N uptake by the crop plants and thus the yield as well. Tippannavar and Desai (1992), Shah *et al.* (1994),

Biswas *et al.* (2003) and Aslam *et al.* (2010) reported that seed inoculation increased the number of nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>, fresh and dry weight of nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>. These results are also in conformity with the findings of El-Hadi and Sheikh (1999) as they reported Rhizobium inoculation significantly increased total number of nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>. Yadav *et al.* (1994) observed an increase in the number of nodules and grain yield due to grain inoculation. Roy *et al.* (1995) also reported that grains inoculation increased

the nodules number plant<sup>-1</sup> and gave the highest harvest index and 100 grain weight. Chickpea is well acknowledged for its specificity towards rhizobial supplies (SPG, 2016; Tena *et al.*, 2016). A positive response of chickpea to inoculation was reported by Ben Romdhane *et al.* (2008), Funga *et al.* (2016), and Khaitov *et al.* (2016). Moreover, legumes are seriously affected by drought for attachment of bacteria to root hair, nodulation and N fixation (Zahran, 1999).

**Table 3.** Nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> affected by symbiotic relationship of chickpea genotypes and PGPR Consortium.

PGPR Consortium	Chickpea genotypes				Mean
	Bittle- 2016 (V <sub>1</sub> )	TG1218 (V <sub>2</sub> )	Bhakkar 2011 (V <sub>3</sub> )	TG1221 (V <sub>4</sub> )	
Control (C <sub>0</sub> )	37.33	40.00	42.83	40.50	40.17
C <sub>1</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>11</sub> )	48.06	55.50	47.00	74.50	56.26
C <sub>2</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	64.49	71.37	60.56	75.70	68.03
C <sub>3</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>14</sub> )	57.44	69.97	58.22	68.87	63.63
C <sub>4</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	77.44	80.00	76.83	87.83	80.53
C <sub>5</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	62.22	62.89	51.44	64.33	60.22
Mean	57.83	63.29	56.15	68.62	

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines) = 3.866, LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (PGPR Consortium) = 3.414

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines × PGPR Consortium) = 6.827

**Table 4.** Fresh weight of nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> affected by symbiotic relationship of chickpea genotypes and PGPR Consortium.

PGPR Consortium	Chickpea genotypes				Mean
	Bittle- 2016 (V <sub>1</sub> )	TG1218 (V <sub>2</sub> )	Bhakkar 2011 (V <sub>3</sub> )	TG1221 (V <sub>4</sub> )	
Control (C <sub>0</sub> )	0.927	1.060	0.823	0.953	0.941
C <sub>1</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>11</sub> )	1.660	1.363	0.960	1.483	1.367
C <sub>2</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	1.493	1.520	1.540	1.857	1.603
C <sub>3</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>14</sub> )	1.253	1.893	1.560	1.227	1.483
C <sub>4</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	1.337	1.417	1.380	2.443	1.644
C <sub>5</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	1.567	1.543	1.300	1.293	1.426
Mean	1.373	1.467	1.261	1.543	

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines) = 0.161, LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (PGPR Consortium) = 0.188

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines × PGPR Consortium) = 0.376.

#### Pods plant<sup>-1</sup>

Number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> is a primitive determinant in pulse crops. Data regarding number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> are presented in Table 6. The analysis of variance showed that the differences among the means of treatments were significant. Pods plant<sup>-1</sup> ranged from

74.7 to 113.3 in control and treatment interaction V<sub>4</sub> × C<sub>4</sub>. Thus PGPR consortium yielded an increase of 52% in number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> over control. This may be due to more availability of nutrients in the form of nitrogen and phosphorus due to the enhanced activities of nitrogen fixing, phosphate solubilizing

bacteria along with growth promoting bacteria included in PGPR consortium. PGPR consortium significantly increased the number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>. Maximum number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (109.42) were recorded in C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>) followed by C<sub>2</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>) with an average of 104.83 pods plant<sup>-1</sup>.

Minimum number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> (76.58) was recorded in uninoculated plots. On an average, the number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> were increased by 27, 29, 30, 37, and 43% over control by inoculating the seeds with PGPR consortium treatments viz. C<sub>5</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub>, respectively.

**Table 5.** Dry weight of nodules plant<sup>-1</sup> affected by symbiotic relationship of chickpea genotypes and PGPR Consortium.

PGPR Consortium	Chickpea genotypes				Mean
	Bittle- 2016 (V <sub>1</sub> )	TG1218 (V <sub>2</sub> )	Bhakkar 2011 (V <sub>3</sub> )	TG1221 (V <sub>4</sub> )	
Control (C <sub>0</sub> )	0.280	0.227	0.203	0.393	0.276
C <sub>1</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>11</sub> )	0.373	0.250	0.257	0.550	0.358
C <sub>2</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	0.297	0.433	0.320	0.553	0.401
C <sub>3</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>14</sub> )	0.253	0.370	0.450	0.273	0.337
C <sub>4</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	0.400	0.373	0.263	0.677	0.428
C <sub>5</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	0.363	0.313	0.277	0.537	0.373
Mean	0.328	0.328	0.295	0.497	

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines) = 0.041, LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (PGPR Consortium) = 0.052

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines × PGPR Consortium) = 0.104.

**Table 6.** Pods Plant<sup>-1</sup> affected by symbiotic relationship of chickpea varieties/lines and PGPR consortium.

PGPR Consortium	Chickpea genotypes				Mean
	Bittle- 2016 (V <sub>1</sub> )	TG1218 (V <sub>2</sub> )	Bhakkar 2011 (V <sub>3</sub> )	TG1221 (V <sub>4</sub> )	
Control (C <sub>0</sub> )	74.7	80.0	76.0	75.7	76.58
C <sub>1</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>11</sub> )	97.7	94.3	101.0	104.3	99.33
C <sub>2</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	109.7	110.7	96.7	102.3	104.83
C <sub>3</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>14</sub> )	86.7	103.3	104.7	101.7	99.08
C <sub>4</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	110.7	102.7	111.0	113.3	109.42
C <sub>5</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	93.3	98.7	98.7	94.7	96.33
Mean	95.44	98.28	98.00	98.67	

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines) = 1.59, LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (PGPR Consortium) = 1.64

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines × PGPR Consortium) = 3.28.

These results are in accordance with that of Karadavut and Ozdemir (2001), Fatima *et al.* (2008) and Aslam *et al.* (2010) who reported that inoculation significantly increased grain yield over control, total above ground dry matter and number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> in chickpea.

Seed inoculation with PGPR consortium might have increased nitrogen and phosphorus supply to crop plants, which ultimately resulted in more number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>. These results are in line with those of Ali

*et al.* (2003) and Tena *et al.* (2016) who achieved increased number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup> by seed inoculation.

#### Grains pod<sup>-1</sup>

Grains pod<sup>-1</sup> were affected significantly by interaction of PGPR consortium and genotypes (Table 7). The maximum number of grains pod<sup>-1</sup> were recorded as 2.33 in V<sub>4</sub> (TG1221) × PGPR consortium C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>) closely followed by V<sub>3</sub> (Bhakkar 2011) × C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>) while minimum grains pod<sup>-1</sup> were recorded as 1.60 in untreated plot. Inoculation with

PGPR consortium increased grains pod<sup>-1</sup> from 1.68 to 2.13 while genotypes also significantly affected the grain pod<sup>-1</sup> which ranged from 1.7 to 1.97. The maximum number of grains pod<sup>-1</sup> (2.33) was recorded in treatment combination V<sub>4</sub> x C<sub>4</sub> closely followed by

V<sub>4</sub> x C<sub>2</sub> (2.20). The minimum number of grains pod<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in uninoculated treatment (1.60). Inoculation with PGPR consortium C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>5</sub>, C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>, and C<sub>4</sub> increased the number of grains pod<sup>-1</sup> by 7, 9, 9, 15, and 27%, respectively over the control.

**Table 7.** Grains pod<sup>-1</sup> affected by symbiotic relationship of chickpea varieties/lines and PGPR inoculation strains.

PGPR Consortium	Chickpea genotypes				Mean
	Bittle- 2016 (V <sub>1</sub> )	TG1218 (V <sub>2</sub> )	Bhakkar 2011 (V <sub>3</sub> )	TG1221 (V <sub>4</sub> )	
Control (C <sub>0</sub> )	1.73	1.73	1.60	1.67	1.68
C <sub>1</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>11</sub> )	2.00	1.87	1.60	1.87	1.83
C <sub>2</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	1.87	1.87	1.80	2.20	1.93
C <sub>3</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>14</sub> )	1.80	1.93	1.53	1.93	1.80
C <sub>4</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	2.07	2.07	2.07	2.33	2.13
C <sub>5</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	1.73	2.07	1.73	1.80	1.83
Mean	1.87	1.92	1.72	1.97	

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines) = 0.206, LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (PGPR Consortium) = 0.172

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines x PGPR Consortium) = 0.344.

The interaction between genotypes and inoculation consortium were also significant and the maximum number of grains pod<sup>-1</sup> (2.13) was recorded in V<sub>2</sub> (TG1218) with PGPR consortium C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>), while the minimum number of grains pod<sup>-1</sup> (1.60) was recorded in V<sub>3</sub> (Bhakkar 2011) x C<sub>0</sub> (control). The

treatment V<sub>4</sub> x C<sub>4</sub> yielded 45 % increase over control treatment (V<sub>3</sub> x C<sub>0</sub>).

Increase in the number of grains pod<sup>-1</sup> was probably due to more availability of nitrogen and phosphorus due to microbial activities in treated plots.

**Table 8.** 100-Grain weight affected by symbiotic relationship of chickpea varieties/lines and PGPR inoculation strains.

PGPR Consortium	Chickpea genotypes				Mean
	Bittle- 2016 (V <sub>1</sub> )	TG1218 (V <sub>2</sub> )	Bhakkar 2011 (V <sub>3</sub> )	TG1221 (V <sub>4</sub> )	
Control (C <sub>0</sub> )	21.60	20.45	21.67	22.32	21.51
C <sub>1</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>11</sub> )	24.47	23.35	22.75	25.42	24.00
C <sub>2</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	22.09	23.99	23.35	25.63	23.76
C <sub>3</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>14</sub> )	23.28	24.05	24.23	24.47	24.01
C <sub>4</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	26.51	27.48	26.25	28.73	27.724
C <sub>5</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	24.04	24.27	23.46	23.72	23.87
Mean	23.67	23.93	23.62	25.04	

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines) = 0.88, LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (PGPR Consortium) = 0.55

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines x PGPR Consortium) = 1.11.

The accelerated effects of seed inoculation on number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> were also observed by Malhur *et al.* (2003) and Aslam *et al.* (2010), they reported increased number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup> by seed inoculation. These results are also confirm the findings of Zai *et al.* (1999) who concluded that Rhizobium inoculation had a significant effect on growth, N contents and

uptake in shoots, yield and yield attributes, and grain protein content in chickpea.

#### 100-grain weight

The data regarding 100 grain weight of chickpea as affected by genotypes and PGPR consortium inoculation are presented in Table 8. It is obvious that

different treatments significantly affected the 100 grain weight. Maximum 100 grain weight of 28.73g was recorded in  $V_4 \times C_4$  compared to 20.45g in  $V_2 \times C_0$  (uninoculated). 100 grain weight was increased by 40% over uninoculated plots. The interaction between inoculation and genotypes showed significant differences and interaction of genotype  $V_4$  with  $C_4$  gave 100 grains weight of 27.24 g closely followed by

$V_2 \times C_4$  as 27.48g while minimum 100 grain weight of 20.45 g was recorded in check. On average,  $V_4 \times C_4$  treatment gave the maximum 100 seed weight of 27.73 g depicting 29% increase over control ( $V_4 \times C_0$ ). This increase in 100 grains weight may be due to the more availability of nutrients like nitrogen and phosphorus in the rhizosphere due to microbial activities.

**Table 9.** Grain protein contents affected by symbiotic relationship of chickpea varieties/lines and PGPR inoculation strains.

PGPR Consortium	Chickpea genotypes				Mean
	Bittle- 2016 ( $V_1$ )	TG1218 ( $V_2$ )	Bhakkar 2011 ( $V_3$ )	TG1221 ( $V_4$ )	
Control ( $C_0$ )	20.37	19.73	19.77	20.20	20.02
$C_1$ ( $Z_{11}+P_1+S_{11}$ )	23.43	22.57	22.40	22.50	22.73
$C_2$ ( $Z_{11}+P_8+S_{19}$ )	21.57	22.47	22.73	23.27	22.51
$C_3$ ( $Z_{22}+P_1+S_{14}$ )	23.63	23.73	23.80	22.47	23.41
$C_4$ ( $Z_{22}+P_8+S_{19}$ )	22.57	23.90	23.77	23.13	23.34
$C_5$ ( $Z_{11}+P_1+S_{19}$ )	21.47	21.80	21.80	22.57	21.91
Mean	22.17	22.37	22.38	22.36	

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines) = 151, LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (PGPR Consortium) = 99.81

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines  $\times$  PGPR Consortium) = 199.62.

Inoculation with PGPR consortium also increased the 100 grain weight in chickpea. Maximum 100 grains weight of 27.24g was recorded in  $C_4$  followed by  $C_3$  with 100 grain weight of 24.01g whereas the minimum 100 grain weight of 21.51g was recorded in the control treatment. On average, 100 grains weight was increased by 10, 11, 11, 12, and 27 % by inoculating seeds with  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$ ,  $C_5$ ,  $C_1$  and  $C_4$ , respectively.

Better growth and development of crop plants due to seed inoculation might have affected the nutrient supply (N&P) to plants resulting in producing more assimilates which might have partitioned more efficiently from source to sink and ultimately gained more seed weight. Similar results were reported by Alam *et al.* (1999), El-Hadi and Sheikh (1999), Meena *et al.* (2001), Kyei-Boahen *et al.* (2002), Aslam *et al.* (2010) and Tena *et al.* (2016) who stated that PGPR inoculation significantly increased 100 seed weight and yield. The results suggest that consortium contributed much to increase the efficiency of major

nutrient and thus led to the higher grains weight and yield.

#### Grain protein content

Grain protein content is considered to be an important character in chickpea. Data regarding grain protein content are presented in Table 9 wherein, it is apparent that different treatments affected the grain protein content of chickpea significantly. It can be assumed that availability of more nitrogen and phosphorous in the root zone might have increased grain protein content. Seed inoculation with PGPR Consortium significantly influenced the protein content of chickpea.

It increased from 20.02 to 23.41%. Similarly mild increase in protein contents from 22.17 to 22.38 % in different chickpea genotypes which showed similarity in genotypes while interaction of PGRP consortium and genotypes increased protein contents from 19.73 to 23.90% which advocates that PGPR consortium significantly increased the protein contents in chickpea. As nitrogen is a constituent of protein, seed inoculation might have enhanced the nitrogen supply



to seed which resulted in higher protein content. Our findings are supported by reports of Ibrahim and Mahmood (1989), who stated that seed inoculation with different strains of bacteria significantly improved pod yield, N content of the plant and average nitrogen recovery. Alam *et al.* (1999), Aslam

*et al.* (2010) and Giller *et al.* (2013) also reported that inoculums significantly increased grains protein content. Kyei-Boahen *et al.* (2002) reported that grain yield and quality were improved up to 50 % in chickpea with inoculation.

**Table 10.** Grain Yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) affected by symbiotic relationship of chickpea varieties/lines and PGPR inoculation strains.

PGPR Consortium	Chickpea genotypes				Mean
	Bittle- 2016 (V <sub>1</sub> )	TG1218 (V <sub>2</sub> )	Bhakkar 2011 (V <sub>3</sub> )	TG1221 (V <sub>4</sub> )	
Control (C <sub>0</sub> )	1880	1496	1692	1590	1665
C <sub>1</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>11</sub> )	1949	1620	1945	2180	1923
C <sub>2</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	2145	2128	1957	2444	2169
C <sub>3</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>14</sub> )	1970	1868	1876	2299	2003
C <sub>4</sub> (Z <sub>22</sub> +P <sub>8</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	1927	1855	1970	2491	2061
C <sub>5</sub> (Z <sub>11</sub> +P <sub>1</sub> +S <sub>19</sub> )	2333	1838	1701	2320	2048
Mean	2034	1801	1857	2221	

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines) = 151, LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (PGPR Consortium) = 99.81

LSD<sub>0.05</sub> (Varieties/Lines × PGPR Consortium) = 199.62.

#### Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)

It was observed that different treatments significantly affected the grain yield in chickpea (Table 10). Maximum grain yield of 2221 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in V<sub>4</sub> (TG1221) as compared to V<sub>2</sub> (TG1218) kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. On average, V<sub>4</sub> gave 24 % edge in yield of chickpea over V<sub>2</sub>.

It might be due to different yield potential of genotypes. Seed inoculation also significantly affected the grain yield and, on average, increased 15, 20, 23, 24 and 30 % over control with inoculating the seed with PGPR consortiums C<sub>1</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>11</sub>), C<sub>3</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>14</sub>), C<sub>5</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>1</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>), C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>) and C<sub>2</sub> (Z<sub>11</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>), respectively. This increase in yield may be due to effective nodulation which in turn enhanced the utilization of atmospheric nitrogen and availability of phosphorus towards higher yield.

These findings are in line with those of Sharma *et al.* (2001) who reported 13 % increase in seed yield by inoculation. Our findings are in conformity with that of Fatima *et al.* (2008) and Aslam *et al.* (2010), who reported that application of *Rhizobium* inoculum,

generally increased growth, yield components and nitrogen fixation.

The interaction of genotypes plus PGPR consortium (C<sub>4</sub> (Z<sub>22</sub>+P<sub>8</sub>+S<sub>19</sub>)) gave the maximum average yield of 2491 kg/ha against 1590 kg/ha in uninoculated plot. These results support the idea that inoculum could be more effective and beneficial in enhancing grain yield and agreed with reports published by Meena *et al.* (2001) and Endalkachew *et al.* (2018) who concluded that inoculum singly or in combination with fertilizer increased grain yield in chickpea ( Karadavut and Ozdemir, 2001, Khaitov *et al.* 2016). Resultantly, the microbial activities were optimum which increased the nodules N-supply to crop plants and hence increased crop growth, number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, grains pod<sup>-1</sup> and grain yield. It may be concluded from the present study that the use of PGPR consortiums should be encouraged in order to get profitable yield of chickpea under prevailing agro-climatic conditions.

#### Conclusion

From the foregoing accounts, it may be concluded that the application of specific PGPR consortium improved grain yield and quality of chickpea through

a positive effect on agronomic parameters like nodules plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of pods plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds pod<sup>-1</sup>, 100 grains weight and grain protein contents. Therefore, use of PGPR consortium is recommended for better chickpea crop production and soil improvement. It could be recommended that grain quality, being an important character, can be improved by inoculation in chickpea.

### Acknowledgement

Financial supports from Pakistan Agricultural Research Center, Islamabad under Agriculture Linkage Programme (ALP) is highly acknowledged. We will also extend our gratitude to Mr Azhar Mahmood Aulakh, PhD scholar, PMAS Arid Agricultural University, Rawalpindi for providing identified PGPR consortium for testing under field conditions.

### References

- Alam MJ, Solaiman ARM, Karim AJMS.** 1999. Nutrient uptake, yield attributes and protein content of chickpea as influenced by some Rhizobium strains. *Annals Bangladesh Agriculture* **9(2)**, 131-138.
- Ali A, Ishtiaq M, Jan NE.** 2003. Effect of *Rhizobium Leguminosarum* inoculum on the growth and yield of different pea cultivars. *Sarhad Journal Agriculture* **19(1)**, 55-59.
- Aslam M, Ahmad HK, Ayaz M, Ahmad E, Ahmad HK, Himayatullah, Hussain A.** 2010. Nodulation, grain yield and grain protein contents as affected by rhizobium inoculation and fertilizer placement in chickpea cultivar Bittle-98. *Sarhad Journal Agriculture* **26(4)**, 467-474.
- Beck DP, Wery J, Saxena MC, Ayadi A.** 1991. Dinitrogen fixation and nitrogen balance in cool season food legumes. *Agronomy Journal* **83**, 334-341.  
<https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1991.00021962008300020015x>
- Biswas P, Hosain D, Ullah M, Akter N, Bhuiya MAA.** 2003. Performance of groundnut under different levels of bradyrhizobial inoculum and nitrogen fertilizer. *SAARC Journal of Agriculture* **1**, 61-68.
- Ben Romdhane S, Aouani M, Trabelsi M, De Lajudie, P, Mhamdi R.** 2008. Selection of high nitrogen-fixing rhizobia nodulating chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) for semi-arid Tunisia. *Journal of Agronomy and Crop Sciences* **194(6)**, 413-420.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037x.2008.00328.x>
- Chianu JN, Nkonya EM, Mairura FS, Akinnifesi FK.** 2011. Biological nitrogen fixation and socioeconomic factors for legume production in sub-Saharan Africa: a review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* **31**, 139-154.
- El-Hadi EA, El-Sheikh EA.** 1999. Effect of Rhizobium inoculation and nitrogen fertilization on yield and protein contents of six chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars in marginal soils under irrigation Nutrient Cycling in Agro ecosystem **54(1)**, 57-63.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009778727102>
- Endalkachew Wolde-meskela, Joost Van Heerwaardenb, Birhan Abdulkadira, Sofia Kassac, Ibsa Aliyid, Tulu Degefue, Kissi Wakweyaf, Fred Kanampiang, Ken E. Giller.** 2018. Additive yield response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to rhizobium inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer across smallholder farms in Ethiopia. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* **261**, 144-152.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2018.01.035>
- Fatima Z, Bano A, Sial R, Aslam M.** 2008. Response of chickpea to plant growth regulators on nitrogen fixation and yield. *Pakistan Journal Botany* **40(5)**, 2005-2013.  
[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5232-7\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5232-7_13)

- Franke AC, Baijukya F, Kantengwa S, Reckling M, Vanlauwe B, Giller KE.** 2016. Poor farmers–poor yields: socio-economic, soil fertility and crop management indicators affecting climbing bean productivity in northern Rwanda. *Experimental Agriculture* 1-21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0014479716000.028>.
- Funga A, Chris O, Ojiewo OC, Turoop L, Githiri Stephen Mwangi SG.** 2016. Symbiotic effectiveness of elite rhizobia strains nodulating Desi type chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties. *Journal of Plant Sciences* **4**, (4), 88–94.
- Giller KE.** 2001. Nitrogen Fixation in Tropical Cropping Systems. CAB International, Wallingford. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9780851994178.0000>
- Giller KE, Franke AC, Abaidoo R, Baijukya F, Bala A, Boahen S, Dashiell K, Kantengwa S, Sanginga JM, Sanginga N, Simmons AJ, Turner A, De Wolf J, Woomer P, Vanlauwe B.** (2013). N2Africa: Putting nitrogen fixation to work for smallholder farmers in Africa. In *Agro-ecological Intensification of Agricultural Systems in the African Highlands*, 156-174. (Eds B. Vanlauwe, P. J. A. Van Asten and G. Blomme). London: Routledge.
- Gupta SC, Sukhlal, Namdeo Paliwal KK.** 1998. Effect of phosphorus levels and microbial inoculants on symbiotic traits, N and P uptake, quality and yield of rainfed chickpea. All India Coordinated project improvement of pulse. R.A.K. College of Agriculture, Sehore. 3<sup>rd</sup> Europe Conference in grain legumes. 418-419.
- Hussain A.** 1983. Isolation and identification of effective root nodule bacteria for important legumes of Pakistan. *Project report*. Department of Soil Science, University of Agriculture., Faisalabad.
- Ibrahim SA, Mahmood SA.** 1989. Effect of inoculation on growth, yield and Nutrient uptake of some soybean varieties. *Egyptian Journal of Soil Sciences* **29(2)**, 133-142. (*Soil and Fertilizer* **54(9)**, 12145; 1991).
- Jackson ML.** 1962. Nitrogen determination from soils and plant tissues. In: *Soil chemical Analysis*. Constable and Company.Ltd. London, p 183-204.
- Kantar F, Hafeez FY, Shivakumar BG, Sundaram SP, Tejera NA, Alsam A, Bano A, Raja P. 2007. Chickpea: Rhizobium management and nitrogen fixation. *Chickpea Breeding Management*, p 179-192.
- Kantar F, Shivakumar BG, Arrese-Igor C, Hafeez F, González EM, Imran A, Larrainzar E.** 2010. Efficient biological nitrogen fixation under warming climates. In: Yadav, Shyam S., McNeil, David L., Redden, Robert, Patil, Sharanagouda A. (Eds.), *Climate Change and Management of Cool Season Grain Legume Crops*. Springer, New York, p 283-306. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-3709-1>.
- Karadavut U, Ozdemir S.** 2001. Effect of Rhizobium inoculation and nitrogen application on yield and yield characters of chickpea. *Anadolu*. **11** (1), 14-22.
- Khaitov B, Kurbonov A, Abdiev A, Adilov M.** 2016. Effect of chickpea in association with Rhizobium to crop productivity and soil fertility. *Eurasian Journal of Soil Science* **5 (2)**, 105–112. <http://dx.doi.org/10.18393/ejss.2016.2.105-112>
- Kucuk C, Kivanc M.** 2008. Preliminary characterization of *Rhizobium* strains isolated from chickpea nodules. *African Journal of Biotech* **7 (6)**, 772-775. ISSN 1684–5315.
- Kyei-Boahen S, Slinkard AE, Walley FL.** 2002. Evaluation of Rhizobial Inoculation methods for chickpea. *Journal of Agronomy* 94:851-859. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj2002.0851>

- Malhur K, Singh H, Singh VP, Singh BP.** 2003. Effect of sources of starter nitrogen and Rhizobium inoculation on grain yield and economics of summer mungbean cultivation. *Research on Crops* **4(2)**, 186-189.
- Meena KN, Pareek RG, Jat RS.** 2001. The effect of phosphorus and biofertilizer on yield and quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Annals of Agriculture Research* **22(3)**, 388-390. Accession: 003732471
- Raut RS, Kohire OD.** 1991. Phosphorus response in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) with Rhizobium inoculation. *Legume Research* **14(2)**, 78-82.
- Reddy Y, Bheemaiah SG, Shantaram MV, Raju AS.** 1993. Phosphorus nutrition of red gram in red sandy loam soil under intercropping condition. *Fertilizer News* **38(5)**, 37-43.
- Rhinhart K, Petrie S, Blake N, Jacobson E, Correa R, Coppock L, Hulick D.** 2003. Growing chickpea in Eastern Oregon. Oregon Department of Agriculture, P 1-24.
- Roy SK, Rahaman SML, Salahuddin ABM.** 1995. Effect of Rhizobium inoculum and nitrogen on nodulation, growth and grains yield of gram (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Indian Journal of Agronomy* **65(12)**, 853-857.
- Shah SH, Khan DF, Madani MS.** 1994. Effect of different Rhizobial strains on the performance of two chickpea cultivars and field conditions. *Sarhad Journal Agriculture* **10(1)**, 103-107.
- Shiferaw B, Bantilan MCS, Serraj R.** 2004. Harnessing the potentials of BNF for poor farmers: technological, Policy and Institutional Constraints and Research needs. In: Serraj, R. (Ed.), *Symbiotic Nitrogen Fixation: Prospects for Enhanced Application in Tropical Agriculture*. Oxford & IBH Publishing, New Delhi, p 3-27.
- Siddiqi ZA, Mahmood I.** 2001. Effects of rhizobacteria and root symbionts on the reproduction of *Meloidogyne javanica* and growth of chickpea. *Bioresearch Technology* **79**, 41-45.  
[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524\(01\)00036-0](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-8524(01)00036-0)
- SPG/Saskatchewan Pulse Growers.** 2016. Retrieved on Nov. 10, 2016 from:  
<http://saskpulse.com/growing/chickpeasbeans/inoculation-and-fertility/>
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey D.** 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach. 3rd Edition, McGraw Hill Book Co. Inc., New York.,  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/bimj.1062004.0313>
- Tena W, Wolde-Meskel E, Walley F.** 2016. Response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to inoculation with native and exotic Mesorhizobium strains in Southern Ethiopia. *African Journal of Biotechnology* **15 (35)**, 1920-1929.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJB2015.150.60>.
- Thies JE, Singleton PW, Bohlool BB.** 1991. Influence of the size of indigenous rhizobial populations on establishment and symbiotic performance of introduced rhizobia on field-grown legumes. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* **57**, 19-28.
- Tippannavar CM, Desai SA.** 1992. Effect of Rhizobium with cultural practices on Bengal gram production. *Journal Maharashtra Agricultural University* **17(2)**, 326-327 (*Field Crop Abstracts* **40(90)**, 4398; 1993).
- Yadav KS, Suneja S, Sharma HR.** 1994. Effect of dual inoculation *Rhizobium* and *Azotobacter* in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Environment and Ecology* **12(4)**, 865-868.
- Zai AKE, Solaiman ARM, Karim AJMS, Ahmed JU.** 1999. Performance of some chickpea varieties to Rhizobium inoculation in respect of growth, N uptake, yield and seed protein content. *Annals Bangladesh Agriculture* **9(2)**, 121-130.