

# International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR)

ISSN: 2223-7054 (Print) 2225-3610 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 12, No. 3, p. 1-8, 2018

# **RESEARCH PAPER**

# OPEN ACCESS

Host plants for oviposition in *Rhynocoris albopilosus* Signoret (Heteroptera: Reduviidae) in southern Côte d'Ivoire

Koffi Eric Kwadjo<sup>\*1</sup>, Kouadio Dagobert Kra<sup>1</sup>, Mamadou Doumbia<sup>1</sup>, Bleu GondoDouan<sup>2</sup>, YaoTano<sup>3</sup>, Eric Haubruge<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University Nangui Abrogoua, 02 BP 801 Abidjan 02, Cote d'Ivoire <sup>2</sup>University Peleforo Gbon Coulibaly of Korhogo, BP 1328, Côte d'Ivoire <sup>3</sup>University Felix Houphouët Boigny, 22 BP 582 Abidjan 22, Côte d'Ivoire <sup>4</sup>University of Liege, Gembloux Agro Bio Tech, Passage des Déportés 2, B-5030 Gembloux, Belgium

# Article published on March 28, 2018

Key words: Host plants, Natural enemy, *Rhynocoris albopilosus*, Biological control.

## Abstract

Conservation of natural enemies is one of the most important concepts in practice of biological control. The experiment was carried out to know the noncultivated host plants on which the predator *Rhynocoris albopilosus* could establish and reproduce. Three different types of vegetation were identified and all plants in a given plot were carefully examined for the presence of any batch of eggs of *R. albopilosus*. As result, four plant species have been identified as host plants for laying in *R. albopilosus* in all plots surveyed: *Passiflora foetida, Pueraria phaseoloides, Laportea aestuans* and *Erigeron floribundus*. More batches (75%) were detected in vegetable crops and most *R. albopilosus* eggs were laidon *P. foetida*. Females lay eggs usually on the underside of leaves and predator males were observed keeping 56 (73.68%) egg batches individually. So, the conservation *R. albopilosus* in areas of crop production in Côte d'Ivoire is possible, by using *P. foetida*, its main host plant for oviposition.

\* Corresponding Author: Koffi Eric Kwadjo 🖂 kokoferic@gmail.com

#### Introduction

Conservation of natural enemies is one of the most important concept in practice of biological control. Conservation of natural enemies means avoiding practices that are harmful to them and implementing practices that benefit them. Natural enemies require more than just pests to eat to complete their life cycles. Predators and parasitoids may need overwintering sites, protection from heat and dessication, plant food sources and early-season prey to sustain themselves if pests are not present (Lee et Landis, 2001).

Among the factors that affect the conservation of natural enemies of insects in agricultural habitats is the presence of noncultivated host plants that naturally grow near the fields.

The enhancement by non-crop plants may occur by providing alternative food resources (pollen, nectar, prey or host) that support the reproduction, fecundity and longevity of natural enemies, as well as supplying them with refuges and places for courtship (van Emden, 1990; Landis *et al.*, 2000; Altieri and Nicholls, 2004; Lykouressis *et al.*, 2008).

Information regarding the relationships between noncultivated plants and natural enemies must be understood before effective habitat manipulation to enhance predator persistence in the agro ecosystem. In Côte d'Ivoire, one of the common native natural is Rhynocoris albopilosus enemies Signoret (Heteroptera, Reduviidae, Harpactorinae) (Kwadjo et al., 2008). Different studies on this predator show that it could be used as a biological control agent against crop pests (Kwadjo et al., 2010, 2012, 2013; Sahayaraj et al., 2015). So, to ensure the conservation of R. albopilosus in areas of crop production, it would be interesting to know the noncultivated host plants on which it could establish and to reproduce.

Through this study, we intended to target the plants on which *R. albopilosus* frequently lays its eggs, in order touse them as indicators to locate the assassin bug during surveys and for their conservation in field.

#### Materials and methods

#### Study site

This work was carried out at a dozen kilometers of Grand-Lahou, along the Bandama River (5° 17' North latitude 4° 57' West longitude). Vegetation of Grand-Lahouis that of coastal wetlands of Côte d'Ivoire. It ischaracterized bv swampyforests and vegetationassociated with lagoons and estuaries as mangroves and water meadows. Our study site is bordered to the south by an oil palm plantation and cocoaflap; to the west by the Bandama River having on board a banana belt; north and east by marshy areas with mainly raffia. The vegetation of the study site is essentially composed of Imperata cylindrica (L.), Chromoleana odorata (L.) and Pueraria phaseloides (Roxb.).

#### Host plants for oviposition

Three different types of vegetation were identified in our study site at Grand-Lahou. They were Fabaceae, Gramineae and Vegetable crops. Five 25 m<sup>2</sup> plots were selected for each type of vegetation cover. These plots were chosen and randomly distributed. All plants in a given plot were carefully examined for the presence of any batch of eggs of R. albopilosus. The following information was noted: number and species of plants carrying batch of eggs; number of batch per plant; the number of eggs per batch; position of the batch on the plant (limb, petiole, stem, flower or inflorescence); presence of an individual on eggs (male, female, couple). Apart from the areas defined for observations, we examined the plants on which a male was detected to have a broader view of the host plants for laying.

#### Statistical analysis

The collected data on various parameters under study were statistically analyzed using STATISTICA 7.1 package program. The means for all treatments were calculated and analyses of variance of all the characters were performed by F-test.

### Results

Four plant species have been identified as host plants for laying in *R. albopilosus* on all plots surveyed in this study.

(Violales: They are: Passiflora foetida L. Passifloraceae), (Roxb.) Pueraria phaseoloides (Fabales: Fabaceae), Laportea aestuans (L.) (Urticales: Urticaceae) and Erigeron floribundus (Kunth) Schultz-Bip (Asterales: Asteraceae).

Four other plant species were recorded wearing *R*. *albopilosus*egg batches outside plant coverage areas

defined for thisstudy. They were 2 Cyperales Gramineae: *Rottboellia cochinchinensis* (Lour.) and *Zea mays* L.; and 2 Asterales Asteraceae: *Ageratum conyzoides* L. and *C. odorata* (L.) (Table 1). Females lay eggs usually on the underside of leaves, on the stem and on the upper leaf surface. This latter case was observed on Gramineae. The only case of laying on the inflorescences was found on *L. aestuans*.

|--|

| Plant species                 | Families       | Oviposition sites |           |              |               |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
|                               |                | Stem              | Underside | Upperside of | Inflorescence |
|                               |                |                   | of leaves | leaves       |               |
| Ageratum conyzoides L.        | Asteraceae     | —                 | +         | —            | —             |
| Chromolaena odorata L.        | Asteraceae     | +                 | —         | —            | —             |
| Erigeron floribundus (Kunth)  | Asteraceae     | _                 | +         | _            | _             |
| Schultz-Bip                   |                |                   |           |              |               |
| LaporteaaestuansL.            | Urticaceae     | _                 | _         | _            | +             |
| Passiflora foetida L.         | Passifloraceae | +                 | +         | _            | _             |
| Pueraria phaseoloides (Roxb.) | Fabaceae       | _                 | +         | _            | _             |
| Rottboellia cochinchinensis   | Gramineae      | _                 | _         | +            | _             |
| (Lour.)                       |                |                   |           |              |               |
| Zea mais L.                   | Gramineae      | —                 | _         | +            | —             |
| + :Presence ; - :Absence      |                |                   |           |              |               |

Distribution of eggs according to vegetation type

A total of 76 egg batches were detected. They were distributed as follows: 57 batches (75%) in vegetable crops and 19 (25%) in Gramineae. No eggbatches were found in Fabaceae, although some adults and larvae were observed on leaves.

In vegetable growing plots, 52 egg batches were found on *P. foetida*, 1 on *P. phaseoloides* and 4 on *L. aestuans*. For Gramineae plots, 12 batches were observed on *P. foetida* and 7 on *E. floribundus*.

The number of eggbatches collected in vegetable crops and grasses did not differ significantly (p = 0.478). This was the same trend for the number of in those two plant coverings (p = 0.365). There was a significant correlation between the number of batches and the number of eggs in a given plot (r = 0.99, p < 0.05) (Fig. 1).

Distribution of eggs according to plant species Most *R. albopilosus* eggs were detected *P. foetida* (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3.).

*Distribution of eggs according to plant organs* Females of *A. albopilosus* preferablylay their eggs on the underside of leaves (Fig. 4. 5.).

On the erected plant species (*L. aestuans* and *E. floribundus*), of the 11 eggbatches recorded, 10 (91%) were located at the upper third of plants and 1 in the middle part.

#### Egg Protection

Predator males were observed keeping 56 (73.68%) egg batches individually. No female was seen alone on a batch. However, a couple was seen, the female being laying.



Fig. 1. Relationship between the number of batches and the number of eggs in *R. albopilosus* per plot.



Fig. 2. Distribution of *R. albopilosus* eggbatches according to host plants for oviposition.

The rest of the recorded egg batches (19) were abandoned. The abandoned batches with eggs that had not yet hatched were 12 (15.79% of the total number of batches).

### Discussion

Plants identified as hosts for oviposition in *R*. *albopilosus* are characterized by a more or less dense coating of hair. In *P. foetida*, the main host plant, this coat of hair is more important. In fact, the leaves and stems of many plants are covered with small hairs, hooks, thorns or scales. These epidermal or trichome markings, which may give a plant a characteristic

pubescent appearance or abrasive sensation, are generally thought to be defensive (Eisner *et al.*, 1998; Fordyce and Agrawal 2005; Styrsky *et al.*, 2006; Horgan *et al.*, 2007 and Júnior *et al.*, 2007). In addition to the deterrent action against herbivorous insects, trichomes can also inhibit the activity of natural enemies, mainly by reducing their mobility on the one hand (Belcher and Thurston, 1982; Treacy *et al.*, 1986; Treacy *et al.*, 1987; Sutterlin and Van Lenteren, 1997; Krips *et al.*, 1999 and Lovinger *et al.*, 2000), and secondly their potential as a biological agent (Treacy *et al.*, 1985; Barbour *et al.*, 1993, Mc Auslane *et al.*, 1995).



Fig. 3. Distribution of *R. Albopilosus* eggs according to host plants for oviposition.



Fig. 4. Distribution of R. albopilosus eggbatches according to oviposition sites.

Taking into account the characteristics mentioned above and associated with the pubescent plants could explain the choice of this type of plants by the females of *R. albopilosus* for egg-laying.

According to Sharma and Singh (2002), the presence and abundance of bristles on plant organs influence the choice of a nesting site for several insects.

The choice of the underside of the leaves and the upper part of the plant for egg-laying would be motivated by the concern of the female to put her offspring in a safe environment with prey. The work of Wheeler et al. (1998) and those of Al-

Wahaibi and Walker (2000) reveal that the choice of a host plant and the location of eggs on it is of paramount importance for the success of the offspring of laying females, especially against predators and parasitoids.

According to Styrsky *et al.* (2006) and Vasconcellos-Neto *et al.* (2007), in some predators, trichomes increase the ability for the search and predation on prey.

The knowledge of the main host plants for oviposition in *R. albopilosus* is essential for the implementation of an in situ conservation strategy for this predator, as indicated by several authors (Francis *et al.* 2000, Landis *et al.*, 2000, Francis 2001, Mignon *et al.*, 2003, Colignon *et al.*, 2004, Corrales and Campos 2004, Francis *et al.*, 2005). In fact, the identification of host plants for oviposition makes it possible to consider the establishment of the phenology of an insect. In fact, the interpretation of the ecology of a predatory insect requires a good knowledge of its host plants, in particular those on which it lays (Chinajariyawong and Walter, 1990).



Fig. 5. Distribution R. albopilosus eggs according to oviposition sites.

### Conclusion

Through this study, we intended to target the plants on which *R. albopilosus* frequently lays its eggs, in order to use them as indicators to locate the assassin bug during surveys and for their conservation in field. Then, it has been showed that *R. albopilosus* females lay their eggs on four plant species, preferentialy on *P. foetida*. Moreover, batches of eggs were distributed in vegetable crops. On those plants, females lay eggs usually on the underside of leaves and only the male protected the eggs.

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the University Agency for Francophony through its mobility program which enables us to achieve this study.

#### References

**Al-Wahaibi AK, Walker GP.** 2000. Searching and oviposition behavior of a mymarid egg parasitoid, *Anagrus nigriventris*, on five host plant species of its leafhopper host, *Circulifer tenellus*. Entomologia Experimentaliset Applicata **96**, 9-25.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.15707458.2000.00674.x.

Altieri MA, Nicholls CI. 2004. Biodiversity and Pest Management in Agroecosystems, second ed. Haw thorn Press, New York.

**Barbour JD, Farrar Jr RR, Kennedy GG.** 1993. Interaction of Manducas exta resistance in tomato with insect predators of Helicoverpazea. Entomologia Experimentali set Applicata **68**, 143-155.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.15707458.1993.tb01697.x.

**Belcher DW, Thurston R.** 1982. Inhibition of movement of larvae of the convergent lady beetle by leaf trichomes of tobacco. Environmental entomology **11**, 91-94.

**Chinajariyawong A, Walter GH.** 1990. Feeding biology of *Campylomma livida reuter* (Hemiptera: Miridae) on cotton, and some host plant records. Journal of Australian Entomology Society **29**, 177-181. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.14406055.1990.tb00343.x

**Colignon P, Francis F, Fadeur G.** 2004. Aménagement de la composition floristique des mélanges agri-environnementaux afin d'augmenter les populations d'insectes auxiliaires. Parasitica**60**, 3-18. **Corrales N, Campos M.** 2004. Populations, longevity, mortality and fecundity of *Chryso perlacarnea* (Neuroptera, Chrysopidae) from oliveorchards with different agricultural management systems. Chemosphere**57**, 1613-1619.

www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.09.019

**Eisner T, Eisner M, Hoebeke ER.** 1998. When defense backfires: Detrimental effect of a plant's protective trichomes on an insect beneficial to the plant. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **95**, 4410-4414.

**Fordyce JA, Agrawal AA.** 2005. The role of plant trichomes and caterpillar group size on growth and defence of the pipevine swallowtail Battusphilenor. Journal of Animal Ecology **70**, 997-1005.

**Francis F, Haubruge E, Gaspar C.** 2000. Influence of host plants on specialist/generalist aphids and on the development of Adaliabipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). European Journal of Entomology **97**, 481-485.

http://dx.doi.org/10.14411/eje.2000.074.

**Francis F, Fadeur G, Haubruge E.** 2005. Effet des tournières enherbées sur les populations de syrphes en grandes cultures. Notes fauniques de Gembloux **56**, 7-10.

**Francis F.** 2001. Etude de la diversité et des plantes hôtes des Coccinellidae de Belgique. Notes Fauniques de Gembloux**44**, 3-11.

Horgan FG, Quiring DT, Lagnaoui A, Salas AR, Pelletier Y. 2007. Periderm and cortex-based resistance to tuber-feeding Phthorimaea operculella in two wild potato species. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata **125**, 249-258.

Júnior ALB, Campos ZR, Lourenção AL, Campos AR. 2007. Adult attractiveness and oviposition preference of Bemisiatabaci (GENN.) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) B-Biotype in cotton genotypes. Sciences of Agriculture64, 147-151. www.dx.doi.org/10.1590/S010390162007000200007 Kaufman WC, Kennedy GG. 1989. Relationship between trichome density in tomato and parasitism of Heliothisspp. (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) eggs by *Trichogramma* spp. (Hymenoptera: Trichogrammatidae). Environmental entomology **18**, 698-704.

Krips OE, Kleijn PW, Willems PEL, Gols GJZ, Dicke M. 1999. Leaf hairs infuence searching efficiency and predation rate of the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* (Acari: Phytoseiidae). Experimental and Applied Acarology**23**, 119-131.

Kwadjo KE, Doumbia M, Haubruge E. 2012. Description et distinction des larves et des exuvies de *Rhynocoris albopilosus* Signoret (Hétéroptères: Reduviidae). Faunistic Entomology-Entomologie faunistique**65**, 15-23.

Kwadjo KE, Doumbia M, Haubruge E, Kra KD, Tano Y. 2010. Dimorphisme sexuel chez les adultes de *Rhynocoris albopilosus* Signoret (Hétéroptères: Reduviidae). Journal of Applied Biosciences**30**, 1873-1877.

Kwadjo KE, Doumbia M, Ishikawa T, Tano Y, Haubruge E. 2008. Morphometrical changes and description of eggs of *Rhynocoris albopilosus* Signoret (Heteroptera: Reduviidae) during their development. Faunistic Entomology-Entomologie faunistique **61 (4)**, 151-155.

Kwadjo KE, Doumbia M, Tano Y, Kra KD, Douan BG, Haubruge E. 2013. Voracity of *Rhynocoris albopilosus* Signoret (Heteroptera: Reduviidae) nymphs reared on *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) young ones. Journal of Biopesticides **6(2)**, 204-206.

Landis DA, Wratten SD, Gurr GM. 2000. Habitat management to conserve natural enemies of arthropod pests in agriculture. Annual Review of Entomology **45**, 175-201.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ento.45.1.175

**Lee JC, Landis DA.** 2001. Natural enemies in fildcrops : A guide to biological control. Extension bulletin 2721, new, Michigan University Extension, 65 p.

**Lovinger A, Liewehr D, Lamp WO.** 2000. Glandular trichomes on Alfalfa impede.

Lykouressis D, Giatropoulos A, Perdikis D, Favas C. 2008. Assessing the suitability of noncultivated plants and associated insect prey as food sources for the omnivorouspredator *Macrolophus pygmaeus* (Hemiptera: Miridae). Biological Control **44**, 142-148.

McAuslane HJ, Johnson A, Colvin DL, Sojack B. 1995. Infuence of foliar pubescence on abundance and parasitism of *Bemesia argentifolia* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) on soybean and peanut. Environmental entomology **24**, 1135-1143.

Mignon J, Colignon P, Haubruge E, Francis F. 2003. Effet des bordures de champs sur les populations de chrysopes (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) en cultures maraîchères. Phytoprotection**84**: 121-128. www.dx.doi.org/10.7202/007815aradressecopiéeu neerre

Sahayaraj K, Sundarapandiyan N, Krishnaveni C, Princy RJ, Anbu Radhika SS. 2015. Laboratory culture of early life stages of *Rhynocoris albopilosus* (F.) (Hemiptera: Reduviidae) using early life stages of Erisilk worm (Lepidoptera: Saturniidae). FaunisticEntomology**68**, 141-147.

Sharma A, Singh R. 2002. Oviposition preference of cotton leafhopper in relation to leaf-vein morphology. Journal of Applied Entomology **126**, 538-544.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.14390418.2002.00697.x.

**Styrsky JD, Kaplan I, Eubanks MD.** 2006. Plant trichomes indirectly enhance tritrophic interactions involving a generalist predator, the red imported fire ant. Biological Control **36**, 375-384.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocontrol.2005.10.003.

**Sutterlin S, Van Lenteren JC.** 1997. Influence of hairiness of *Gerbera jamesonii* leaves on the searching efficiency of the *Parasitoid encarsia formosa*. Biological Control **9**, 157-165.

**Treacy MF, Zummo GR, Benedict JH.** 1985. Interactions of host plant resistance in cotton with predators and parasites. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment **13**, 151-157. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809(85)90057-X

**Treacy MF, Benedict JH, Segers JC, Morrison RK, Lopez JD.** 1986. Role of cotton trichome density in bollworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) egg parasitism. Environmental entomology **15**, 365-368. https://doi.org/10.1093/ee/15.2.365

**Treacy MF, Benedict JH, Lopez JD, Morrison RK.** 1987. Functional response of a predator (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) to bollworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) eggs on smoothleaf, hirsute, and pilose cottons. Journal of Economic Entomology**80**, 376-379.

**Van Emden HF.** 1990. Plant diversity and naturalenemyefficiency in agroecosystems. In: Mackauer, M., Ehler, L., Ronald, J. (Eds.), Critical Issues in Biological Control. Intercept, Andover, p. 63-80.

Vasconcellos-Neto J, Romero GQ, Santos AJ, Dippenaar-Schoeman AS. 2007. Associations of spiders of the genus *Peucetia* (Oxyopidae) with plants bearing glandular hairs. Biotropica **39**, 221-226. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2006.00250.x

Wheeler GS, Van TK, Center TD. 1998. Fecundity and egg distribution of the herbivore *Spodoptera pectinicornisas* influenced by quality of the floating aquatic plant Pistiastratiotes. Entomologia Experimentaliset Applicata **86**, 295-304.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1570-7458.1998.00292.x