

OPEN ACCESS

Heritability and interrelationship among traits of twenty Brassica genotypes

Shahab Ahmad¹, Haneef Raza^{*1}, Abdul Saboor Khan³, Fawad Ali¹, Naila Nawaz Khan², Murad Khan², Muhammad Nauman¹, Shahid Ali¹, Naimat Ullah³, Sohail Ahmad¹, Khalid Khan¹

¹Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

²Department of Agriculture, The University of Haripur, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan ³Department of Agriculture, The University of Swabi, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

Key words: Genetic potential, Heritability, Correlation, Selection response, Brassica cultivars

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/10.5.1-7

Article published on May 14, 2017

Abstract

The genetic potential, heritability, selection response and correlation were studied during 2011-12 in brassica cultivars at the University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan. Data were recorded on days to maturity, plant height, pods per main raceme, pod width and 100-seed weight. Analysis of variance showed highly significant ($P \le 0.01$) differences for all the traits. Mean ranges were days to maturity (178 to 205 days), plant height (174.7 to 215 cm), pods per main raceme length (27.0 to 56.3), pod width (0.3 to 0.5 cm) and 100-seed weight (0.25 to 0.41 g). Heritability and selection response were; days to maturity (0.70; 8.11), plant height (0.35; 8.7), pods main raceme⁻¹, (0.68; 9.11), pod width (0.60; 0.06) and 100-seed weight (0.57; 0.04), respectively. Days to maturity revealed significant positive with plant height (r= 0.661**), pods per main raceme (r= 0.302*) and non-significant with 100-seed weight. Plant height revealed positive significant correlation with 100-grain weight (r= 0.375**) and non-significant correlation with 100-grain weight naceme exhibited positive non-significant correlation with 100-grain weight. Results revealed that the studied genotypes with larger genetic potential, positive relationship between yield and yield contributing traits and moderate to high heritability could guide intensive selection and improvement and could further be studied to identify better performing lines for Peshawar division.

*Corresponding Author: Haneef Raza 🖂 rhanif5@gmail.com

Introduction

The genus Brassica L. holds the most economically valuable position in the tribe Brassiceae, which is a part of family Brassicaceae (Rakow, 2004). This genus consists of a versatile batch of species that includes major oilseed crops and vegetables (Rich, 1991; Christopher et al., 2005). This family comprises of 338 genera and 3709 species (Warwick et al., 2006). The species of genus Brassica are generally classified into two main groups commonly known as rapeseed and mustard. It also contains six economically valuable species with huge genetic and morphological variation and is cultivated in all over the world (Shah et al., 2008). Among these, three species are diploid (Brassica oleracea, 2n = 18; Brassica rapa, 2n = 20; Brassica nigra, 2n = 16), and three are amphidiploid (Brassica napus, 2n = 38; Brassica juncea, 2n = 36; Brassica carinata, 2n = 34). Rapeseed-mustard (Brassica napus, Brassica campestris and Brassica juncea) are grown all over the world as an important source of edible oil (Downey and Rakow, 1987).

Genetic parameters i.e variability, heritability and correlation analysis are very important for plant breeders to move in a right direction. To run a progressive breeding program, the locally available germplasm are genetically evaluated.

The local germplasm have more chance of variability than others and can be easily manipulated in a varietal developmental process. These genetic estimations could be on various yield and yield related traits to understand its potential (Ali *et al.*, 2013; Azam *et al.*, 2013). These yield and yield related traits are not only controlled by its genetics but are also greatly influenced by its environment in which they survive because of polygenic inheritance (Gaines *et al.*, 1996; Novoselovic *et al.*, 2004).

Thus heritability is a tool which could easily differentiate between the genetic and environmental influences and also helps in the rate of transmission of a trait into next generation. So through heritability estimation, we may predict and select in coming generations easily (Khan *et al.*, 2003). Selection response tells us the rate of increase in a trait under various selection pressures and have direct relationship with heritability. So, selection response is an important tool for a breeder in the isolation and selection process in a breeding program (Shukla *et al.*, 2004). Correlation analysis tells us the magnitude of interrelationship between various sets of traits and thus there is a little possibility for any sets of traits to be separately controlled. In view of the above facts and figures the present research was conducted to estimate heritability and correlation between yield and yield components of brassica.

Materials and methods

The current study consisted twenty Brassica genotypes sown at the University of Agriculture, Peshawar in a randomized completely block design with three replications in 2011-12 with an objective to evaluate its genetic potential through various yield and yield related traits. Ten plants per genotype in a single replication were selected for data recording. Data were recorded for each trait on its proper time and all agronomic practices were done at specified time.

Statistical analysis

Estimation of genetic parameters and correlation Genotypic and phenotypic variances, heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance were computed according to Burton and Devane (1953), Johnson *et al.* (1955) and Singh and Chaudhary (1985).

Data were recorded on days to maturity, plant height, pods per main raceme, pod width and 100-seed weight. Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) according to Steel *et al.* (1997).

After getting the significant variations among genotypes performance for various parameters, the means for each trait were further separated and compared by using the least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% level of probability. The correlation of 100-seed weight with other traits was worked out according to Kwon and Torrie (1964).

2017

$$\label{eq:Genetic variance} \textit{Genetic variance}\left(\textit{Vg}\right) = \frac{\textit{Genotypes mean squares}(\textit{GMS}) - \textit{Error mean squares}(\textit{EMS})}{\textit{Number of replications}(r)}$$

Phenotypic variance (Vp)= Vg + Ve

Heritability broad sense (H^2) on entry mean basis was calculated as:

$$H^2 = \frac{Vg}{Vp}$$

The expected response to selection (Re) for each trait

was calculated as under:

$$\operatorname{Re} = k \sqrt{vp} H^2$$

Where:

k = 1.40 at 20% selection intensity for a trait.

 V_P = Phenotypic variance for a trait.

 h^2 = Broad sense Heritability for a trait.

Results and discussion

Analysis of variance showed highly significant $(P \le 0.01)$ differences for days to maturity, plant height, pods per main raceme, pod width and 100-seed weight (Table 1).

Fable 1. Mean squares table for yield an	nd yield related traits in	a twenty Brassica genotypes.
---	----------------------------	------------------------------

Traits	Replication	Genotypes	Error	CV %
Days to maturity	110.15	156.505**	19.887	2.29
Plant height	187.8	515.308**	199.168	7.28
Pods per main raceme	25.317	202.372**	27.001	11.88
Pod width	0.058	0.011**	0.002	11.12
100-seed weight	0.008	0.005**	0.001	11.9

For days to maturity and plant height significant differences in brassica genotypes which were confirmed by (Sinha *et al.*, 2001; Zare and Sharafzadeh 2012; Samad and Khaleque, 2000; Ali *et al.*, 2003). Similarly pods per main raceme and pod length revealed significant differences for the said attributes in brassica germplasm (AytacandKinaci 2009; Nazeer *et al.*, 2003and Tahir *et al.*, 2006). Finally 100-seed weight exhibited results which were in close conformity with (Akbar *et al.*, 2007; Aytaçand Kinaci, 2009; Sadat *et al.*, 2010; Nasim *et al.*, 2013).

Mean performance of brassica genotypes

Days to maturity ranged from 178 to 205 days. Maximum days to maturity were observed in genotype J.615 (205 days), whereas minimum days were found in genotype J.602 (178 days)(Table 2). Plant height ranged from 174.7 to 215 cm. Genotype J.603 exhibited maximum plant height (215 cm), while genotype J.611 revealed lowest value (174.7 cm)(Table 2).Pods per main raceme ranged from 27.0 to 56.3. Maximum pods per main raceme were observed in genotype J.610 (56.3), whereas genotype J.618 exhibited least number of pods per main raceme (27.0) (Table 2).

Table 2.	Ranges with	best genotype	s table for vie	ld and vield	related traits i	in twenty Brassic	a genotypes.
		······································					

Traits	Ranges	Best Genotype
Days to maturity	178-205	J.602
Plant height (cm)	174.7-215	J.610
Pods Main Receme-1	27-56	J.610
Pod Width (cm)	0.3-0.5	J.606
100-Seed Weight (g)	0.25-0.41	J.608

Pod width ranged from 0.3 to 0.5 cm. Widest pods were recorded ingenotypesJ.606, J.602 and J.604 (0.5), however thinnest pods (0.3) were revealed by genotypes J.600, J.611, J.612, J.615, J.616 and J.619 (Table 2).

100-seed weight ranged from 0.25 to 0.41 g. Maximum 100-seed weight was observed in genotype J.608 (0.41 g), whereas minimum weight was found in genotypesJ.611 and J.615 (0.25 g) (Table 2).

Table 3. Variances, broad-sense heritability and selection response table for yield and yield related traits in twenty Brassica genotypes.

Trait	Ve	Vg	Vp	h^2	Re
Days to maturity	19.89	45.54	65.43	0.70	8.11
Plant height (cm)	199.17	105.38	304.55	0.35	8.7
Pods Main Receme-1	27	58.46	85.46	0.68	9.11
Pod Width (cm)	0.002	0.003	0.005	0.60	0.06
100-Seed Weight (g)	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.57	0.04

Heritability

Genetic variance (45.54) was greater than environmental variance (19.89) for days to maturity. Moderate broad-sense heritability (0.70) with moderate selection response (8.11) was recorded for the said trait, which clearly manifested the importance of genetic variance in the inheritance and improvement of days to maturity (Table 3) (Bilal et al., 2015). Genetic variance (105.38)was muchlowerin magnitude than environmental variance (199.17) for plant height with moderate broad-sense heritability and selection response (0.35 and 8.70) (Ali et al., 2013; Nasim et al., 2013).

For pods main raceme genetic variance (58.46) was higher than environmental variance (27.00) for pods per main raceme. Moderate broad-sense heritability (0.68) and selection response (9.11) were recorded for pods per main raceme. These results for high broadsense heritability are similar with the findings of Mahmood *et al.*, 2003 who also reported high heritability for pods main raceme⁻¹. Genetic variance (0.00) was equal in amount with environmental variance (0.00) for pod width. Moderate broad-sense heritability (0.60) and low selection response (0.06) were obtained for the said trait. Genetic variance (0.00) was equal in amount with environmental variance (0.00) for 100-seed weight. Moderate broadsense heritability (0.57) and low selection response (0.04) were found for 100-seed weight (Ali *et al.*, 2003; Nazeer *et al.*, 2003; Zhang and Zhou, 2006).

Table 4.	Correlations	table for yie	ld and yie	ld related	traits in	twenty Brassica	a genotypes.
----------	--------------	---------------	------------	------------	-----------	-----------------	--------------

Traits.	Plant height	Pod main raceme	Pod width	100-Seed Weight
Days to maturity	0.661**	0.302*	-0.267*	0.146
Plant height		0.026	0.075	0.375**
Pods Main Receme-1			-0.003	0.022
Pod Width				-0.006

Correlation

Days to maturity showed significant and positive correlation with all the traits but was negatively correlated with pod width (Table 4). Positive correlation were resulted by Bilal *et al.*, 2015 among days to maturity and 100-seed weight which strengthen our findings. Plant height showed highly significant positive correlation with 100-seed weight ($r = 0.375^{**}$). Our current results are strongly supported by the findings of (Nasim *et al.*, 2013; Azam *et al.*, 2013) by reporting positive correlation among plant height and 100-seed weight. Pods per main raceme showed highly significant positive correlation with days to maturity (r = 0.302*), while non-significant positive with 100-seed weight. Ali et al., (2013) resulted non-significant positive correlation between pods per main raceme and 100-seed weight and strengthen our findings for the said trait. Pod width showed significant negative correlation with days to maturity ($r = -0.267^*$). Nasim et al., (2013) obtained highly significant differences and moderate heritability for pod width which elaborated our findings. 100-seed weight showed highly significant positive correlation with plant height (r = 0.3759^{**}), while non-significant positive correlation with days to maturity (r = 0.146). Bilal *et* al., (2015) obtained positive correlation among 100seed weight and days to maturity.

Conclusions

It is concluded that sufficient amount of variability is present in the studied germplasm. Days to maturity, plant height and pods per main racemes hould be used as a selection criteria to improve 100-seed weight. Based on mean performance and genetic parameters, genotypes J.608 and J.610 are recommended to be used in future breeding programs.

References

Akbar M, Mahmood T, Yaqub M, Anwar M, Ali M, Iqbal N. 2003. Variability, correlation and path coefficient studies in summer mustard (*Brassica Juncea* L.). Asian Journal of Plant Science **2(9)**, 696-698.

Akbar M, Tahira M, Hussain. 2007. Heterosis for seed yield and its components in rapeseed. Journal of Agriculture Research **45(2)**, 95-104.

Ali N, Javidfar F, Elmira JY, Mirza MY. 2003. Relationship among yield components and selection criteria for yield improvement in winter rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Pakistan Journal of Botany, **35(2)**, 167-174.

Ali Y, Farhatullah, RahmanH, Nasim A, Azam SM, Khan A. 2013. Heritability and correlation analysis for morphological and biochemical traits in *Brassica carinata*. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture, **29(3)**, 359-369.

Aytaç Z, Kinaci G. 2009. Genetic variability and association studies of some quantitative characters in winter rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) African Journal of Biotechnology **8(15)**, 3547-3554. http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJB2009.000-9350

Azadgoleh MA, Zamani M, Yasari E. 2009. Agronomical important traits correlation in rapeseed (*B. napus* L.) genotypes. Research Journal of Biological Sciences **5(5)**, 798-802.

Azam SM, Farhatullah, Nasim A, Shah S, Iqbal S. 2013. Correlation studies for some agronomic and quality traits in *Brassica napus* L. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture **29(4)**, 547-550.

Bilal M, Khan SA, Raza H, Ali F, Khan SM, Ali N, Hussain I, Khan J. 2015. Evaluation of some indigenous rapeseed genotypes for adaptability and yield traits in the agro-climatic conditions of Mansehra. International journal of Bioscience **7(5)**, 127-135.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/7.5.127-135

Burton GW, Devane EH. 1953, Estimating heritability in tall fesque (*Festuca arundinacea*) from replicated clonal material. Agronomy Journal **45**, 478-481.

http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/agronj1953.00021962004 500100005

Christopher GL, Andrew JR, Geraldine ACL, Clare JH, Jacqueline B, Gary B, German C, David S. 2005. Brassica ASTRA: an integrated database for *Brassica* genomic research. Nucleic Acids Research **33(2)**, 656-659.

Downey RK, Rakow GF. 1987. Rapeseed and mustard in principles of cultivar developments. (Ed.): W.R. Fehr. Mac. Pub. Co., New York, **2**, 437-486.

Fehr WR. 1993. Principles of cultivar development: Vol. I. Theory and techniques. McMillan Pub. Co., USA.

Int. J. Biosci.

Gaines CS, Finney PL, Raubenthaler G. 1996.

Milling and baking qualities of some wheat developed for Eastern or North-Western Regions of the United States and grown at both locations. Cereal Chemistry, **73**, 521-525.

Khan AS, Salim I, Ali Z. 2003. Heritability of various morphological traits in wheat. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 138-140.

Kwon, SH, Torrie JH. 1964. Heritability and interrelationship among traits of two soybean population. Crop Science **4**, 194-198.

Mahmood T, 'Ali M, Iqbal S, Anwar M. 2003. Genetic variability and heritability estimates in Summer Mustard (*B. juncea* L.). Asian Journal of Plant Sciences **2(1)**, 77-79.

Nasim A, Farhatullah, Iqbal S, Shah S, Azam SM. 2013. Genetic variability and correlation studies for morpho-physiological traits in *Brassica napus* L. Pakistan Journal Botany **45(4)**, 1229-1234.

Nazeer A, Javidfar F, Elmira JY, Mirza M. 2003.Relationship among yield components and selection criteria for yield improvement in winter rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Pakistan Journal of Botany, **35**, 167-174.

Novoselovic D, Baric M, Drezner G, Gunjaca J, Lalic A. 2004. Quantitative inheritance of some wheat plant traits. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 27(1), 92-98.

Panse VG, Sukhatme PV. 1967. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.

Rakow G. 2004. Species origin and economicimportance of Brassica. In: Biotechnology in Agriculture and Forestry. (Eds.): E.C. Pua and C. J. Douglas. **54**, 3-11. **Rich TCG.** 1991. Crucifers of Great Britain and Ireland. Botanical Society of the British Isles (BSBI), London. 336.

Sadat HA, Nematzadeh GA, Jelodar NB, Chapi OG. 2010. Genetic evaluation of yield and yield components at advanced generations in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). African journal of agricultural research **5(15)**, 1958-1964.

Samad A, Khaleque MA. 2000. Diversity estimates of yield and some of the agronomical characters in rapeseed (*B. campestris* L.). Bangladesh Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research **35(1-4)**, 20-26.

Shukla S, Bhargava A, Chatterjee A Singh SP. 2004. Estimates of genetic parameters to determine variability for foliage yield and its different quantitative and qualitative traits in vegetable amaranth (*A. tricolor*). Journal of Genetics and Breeding, **58**, 169-176.

Singh RK, Chaudhary BD. 1985. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetic analysis. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, Ludhiana, India, 39-78 P.

Sinha P, Singh SP, Andey IDP. 2001. Character isolation and path analysis in brassica species. Indian Journal Agriculture Research, **35(1)**, 63-65.

Steel RGD, Torrie JH, Dickey DA. 1997. Principles and procedures of statistics. A biometrical approach. 3rd Ed. McGraw-Hill, New York, NY.

Tahir MHN, Bashir S, Bibi A. 2006. Genetic potential of canola (*Brassica napus* L.) varieties under water stress conditions. Caderno de Pesquisasér. Biology **18(2)**, 127-135.

Warwick SI, Francis A, Al-Shehbaz IA. 2006. Brassicaceae species checklist and database on CD Rom. Plant Systematics and Evolution, **259**, 249-258. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00606-006-0422-0.

Int. J. Biosci.

Zare M, Sharafzadeh S. 2012. Genetic

Variability of some rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivars in Southern Iran. African Journal of Agriculture Research. **7(2)**, 224-229 http://dx.doi.org/10.5897/AJAR11.1025. **Zhang G, Zhou W.** 2006. Genetic analyses of agronomic and seed quality traits of synthetic oilseed *brassica napus* produced from inter specific hybridization of *Brassica campestris* and *Brassica olearacea*. Journal of Genetics **85(1)**, 45-51.