

Bacterial contamination of some medicinal plant materials sold at Omdurman local market, Khartoum state, Central Sudan

Ihsan Mosa Ewad EL-Kareem, Hatil Hashim EL-Kamali*, Ahlam Salih Eltahir

Botany Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, Omdurman Islamic University, Omdurman, Sudan

Keywords: Bacterial contamination, Medicinal plants, Omdurman market, Central Sudan

Publication date: September 30, 2017

Abstract

Plants have long been used as herbal medicines in many countries. However, microbial contamination of these medicines may affect human health. In the present study fifteen medicinal plants namely, *Acacia nilotica ssp. nilotica*, *Trigonella foenum-greacum*, *Nigella sativa*, *Hyphaene thebaica*, *Nauclea latifolia*, *Cyperus rotundus*, *Cymbopogon schoenanthus spp, proximus*, *Artemisia herba-alb*, *Cassia acutifolia*, *Solenostemma argel*, *Tamarindus indica*, *Ziziphus spina-christi*, *Lepidium sativum*, *Foeniculum vulgare* and *Coriandrum sativum* were evaluated for bacterial contamination. The identified bacterial isolates consists of fifteen bacterial species, the most dominant bacteria were *Leuconostoc pseudomes enteriodes*, *Sphingomonas paucimobilis* and *Staphylococcus lentus*. The highest total bacterial load was 53×10^5 cfu/g found in *Coriandrum sativum* exceeded maximum acceptable contamination limits set by the WHO (i.e 10^5 to $\leq 10^7$ cfu/g). The levels of contamination varied greatly between the commercially available plant samples investigated.

*Corresponding Author: Hatil Hashim EL-Kamali ✉ hatilhashim@gmail.com

Introduction

The use of medicinal plants is continually expanding worldwide. Despite of the modern advances achieved in the field of synthetic chemistry, the most efficient drugs available had derived directly or indirectly from herbs. The local uses of plants in healthcare are much higher than used modern synthetic drugs (Saeed *et al.*, 2004).

Herbal drugs are subjected to contamination by microorganisms from soil, air and water which may present potentially pathogenic microorganisms to human. The presence of microbial contaminant in non-sterile medicinal plant materials can reduce or even inactivate the therapeutic activity of the medicinal plants and has the potential to adversely affect patients taking the plants. The global and national markets for medicinal plants have been growing rapidly and significant economic gains are being realized with global sales of herbs (Araujo and Bauab, 2010). The microbial load of some medicinal plants sold in some local markets in Africa were investigated and the findings reiterate the need for constant quality assessment of herbal materials in the market in order to ensure that medicinal plant materials are suitable for human health (Mac Donald *et al.*, 2010). According to some reports, the consumers may possibly fall into illness because of taking herbs incriminated with pathogenic microorganisms (Khattak, 2012) and sometimes the presence of antibiotic resistant microbial isolates in the medicinal plants will lead to transfer of antibiotic resistance strains to consumers (Esimone *et al.*, 2007). Different studies that have been performed on herbal medicinal plants revealed the presence of bacterial pathogens with multiple drug resistance (Oluyege and Adelabu, 2010). The previous research on medicinal plant contamination by microbes has assessed fungal species and the aflatoxins that they produce (EL-Kamali *et al.*, 2016). Using those contaminated herbal medicines may lead to infection of other health related risks.

Therefore, this warrants urgent training of herbalists and management scale-up for quality and safety of medicinal plants (Abdalla *et al.*, 2016). This study, however, focuses on bacterial contamination by enumerating the types and levels of bacteria found on selected plants purchased in Omdurman market, Khartoum State, Central Sudan, to the best of our knowledge, not been studied before.

Materials and methods

Plant Materials

A total of fifteen different medicinal plant parts *Acacia nilotica* ssp. *nilotica*, *Trigonella foenum-greacum*, *Nigella sativa*, *Hyphaene thebaica*, *Nauclea latifolia*, *Cyperus rotundus*, *Cymbopogon schoenanthus* spp. *proximus*, *Artemisia herba alb*, *Cassia acutifolia*, *Solenostemma argel*, *Tamarindus indica*, *Ziziphus spina-christi*, *Lepidium sativum*, *Foeniculum vulgare* and *Coriandrum sativum* (Table 1) were purchased from a local market in Omdurman, Khartoum State, central Sudan (during the period September–October, 2016). The Plant parts were collected aseptically with gloves in to sterile pouches. The plants were identified at the Botany Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, Omdurman Islamic University.

Determination of the presence of Bacterial Contamination

The media

Nutrient agar, Baired–Parker agar, Xylose–Lysine deoxycholate agar and Macon key agar were used to enumerate the total bacteria population.

Sample preparation

The dried plant samples were cleaned to remove adhering polyethylene and other unwanted materials. The samples were stored at 4°C till further analysis.

Procedure for Determination

The determination of bacterial contamination was established for bacterial load, total bacterial

count and specific pathogen in the studied medicinal Plant samples. For the calculation of bacterial contents, the plant materials were tested to determine the number of microorganisms per gram samples. The standard plate count method was used for detecting and determining the number of microorganism in plant material. One gram of each of the studied plant parts were infused in 9ml of distilled water. Serial dilutions were made; viability assessed using Pour Plate method.

The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24h. The plates were placed on a colony counter and the number of Colony Forming Units was taken.

Then all isolates were identified by using colonies morphological characters: form, pigment, color and size, standard biochemical tests (Antonia *et al.*, 2010) and using Vitek2 Compact System (Biomere resistantux, USA).

Results and discussion

A total of fifteen bacterial strains were isolated from fifteen selected medicinal plant parts and then were identified. The distribution of the microbial load from the studied plant parts is shown in Table 2. The dominant bacteria in most of the tested samples belong to *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes*, *Sphingomonas paucimobilis* and *Staphylococcus lentus*.

Table 1: Total Bacterial Count of Selected Medicinal Plant materials obtained From Omdurman Local Market/Sudan

Plant sample	Count of bacteria	Predominant bacterial species isolated
<i>Cymbopogon schoenanthus</i> spp. <i>proximus</i>	52x10 ³	<i>Kocuria rosea</i> , <i>Enterococcus cesorum</i> , <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> two isolates, <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> spp <i>ozaenae</i> and <i>Pantoea</i> spp.
<i>Trigonella foenum-graecum</i>	40x10 ³	<i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> , <i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> and <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> two isolates.
<i>Artemisia herba-alba</i>	50x10 ²	<i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> two isolates, <i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> and <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> , two isolates and three isolates
<i>Lepidium sativum</i>	12x10 ²	<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i> , <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> two isolates, <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> spp <i>ozaenae</i> and <i>Pantoea</i> spp.
<i>Nigella sativa</i> .	44x10 ³	<i>Enterococcus cesorum</i> , <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> two isolates, <i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> , and <i>Pantoea</i> spp.
<i>Solenostemma argel</i>	26x10 ³	<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i> , two isolates and <i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i>
<i>Coriandrum sativum</i>	53x10 ⁵	<i>Kocuria rosea</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> spp <i>ozaenae</i> and <i>Pantoea</i> spp.
<i>Foeniculum vulgare</i>	88x10 ³	<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i> three isolates, <i>Elizabethkingia meningoseptica</i> and <i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> and two isolates.
<i>Cassia acutifolia</i>	44x10 ²	<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i> , three isolates, <i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> two isolates, <i>Kocuria rosea</i> . <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> .
<i>Hyphaene thebaica</i>	67x10 ³	<i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> two isolates, <i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> and <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> two isolates.
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i>	11x10 ⁵	<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i> three isolates, <i>Elizabethkingia meningoseptica</i> , <i>Enterococcus cesorum</i> and <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> , two isolates
<i>Acacia nilotica</i> ssp. <i>nilotica</i>	25x10 ¹	<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i> three isolates, <i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> and

Plant sample	Count of bacteria	Predominant bacterial species isolated
<i>Naucla latifolia</i>	30x10 ¹	<i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> spp <i>ozaenae</i> , <i>Staphylococcus lentus</i> three isolates, <i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> and <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> three isolates.
<i>Tamarindus indica</i>	20x10 ³	<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i> , <i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> two isolates and <i>Elizabethkingia meningoseptica</i> .
<i>Ziziphus spina-christi</i>	10x10 ⁴	<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i> , three isolates, <i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> spp <i>ozaenae</i> <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> two isolates three isolates and <i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i> , two isolates

Morphological examinations showed that several colonies have the same shape, color or size. The colonies were then observed chemically through Gram staining and different biochemical tests. Gram staining showed that 46.7% of the bacteria were Gram negative and 53.3% were Gram positive (Table 3).

Bacterial species identification was conducted using Vitek 2 Compact System which was based on the difference of biochemical tests measuring carbon utilization, inhibition, resistant and energy activation. Gram positive bacteria were, *Staphylococcus lentus*, *Leuconostoc pseudomes enteriodes*, *Enterococcus*.

cecorum and *Kocuria rosea*. Gram negative were *Pantoea* spp, *Sphingomonas paucimobilis*, *Klebsiella pneumonia* spp *rosea*, *Aeromonas salmonicida* and *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica*. The results of Vitek 2 Compact System biochemical test reaction are presented in (Table 4). Medicinal plant materials sold in marketplaces are always assumed to be safe and efficient, however, they can be potentially toxic because of poor hygienic practices in plant processing or storage (Sowza *et al.*, 2011).

It is evident that policies and regulations need to be develop and implemented in order to address possible contamination by opportunistic pathogens.

Table 2. Morphological and Microscopical characteristics of Colonial bacteria isolated on nutrient agar.

Colonial morphology	Motility	Gram stain	Spore	Proble identify
Small, pale cream to pale pink	-	s+	-	<i>Kocuria rosea</i>
small, white colonies yellow-white-pigmented	- +	r+ r-	- -	<i>Enterococcus cecorum</i> <i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i>
Smooth white to cream colonies	-	s+	-	<i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides</i>
Smooth Brown	-	r-	-	<i>Aromonas salmonicida</i>
Shiny and smooth, golden yellow	-	s+	-	<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i>
Mucoid shiny White or gray	-	r-	-	<i>Klebsiella pneumonia ssp ozaenae</i>
Smooth and pale pink	+	r-	-	<i>Pantoea ssp</i>
Rough Yellow to orange	-	-r	-	<i>Elizabethkingia meningoseptica</i>

r: rod ; s:spherical

Table 3. Biochemical tests of isolated bacteria in nutrient agar.

Bacteria	ca	Ox	Co	Ind	Mr	Vog	Cit	glu	Suc	Mal	Lac	Man
<i>Kocuria rosea</i>	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Enterococcus cecorum</i>	-		-	-	-	+		-	+		+	+
<i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i>	+	+		+	-	+	+	-	+	-		+
<i>Leuconostoc pseudomesenteroides</i>	-		-		+	-		+	+	+	+	+
<i>Aromonas salmonicida</i>	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Staphylococcus lentus</i>	+	+	-		+	-		+	+	+	+	
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae ssp ozaenae</i>	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	+		+
<i>Pantoea ssp Elizabethkingia meningoseptica</i>	+	+		+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+

Ca; catalase, ox; oxidase, co; coagulase, ind; indole, mr; methyl red, vog; vogas prosquer, cit citrate, glu; glucose, auc; sucrose, mal. maltose, lac. lactose, man; manose.

Table 4. Biochemical tests used for identification of (G +ve) bacterial strains.

Tests	Isolates						
	S.1	S.I (2)	S.I (3)	L.p	L.ps (2)	E.c	K.r
AMY	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
PIPLC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DXYL	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
ADH1	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
BGAL	+	-	-	+	+	+	-
AGLU	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
APPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CDEK	+	-	-	-	-	+	-
AsPA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BGAR	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
AMAN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PHOS	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LeuA	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
ProA	-	-	-	+	-	-	-
BGURr	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AGAL	+	+	-	+	+	+	-
PyrA	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
BGUR	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
AlaA	-	-	-	+	-	-	+
TyrA	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
DSOR	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
URE	-	-	+	-	-	-	-
POLYB	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
dGAL	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
dRIB	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
ILATK	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
LAC	+	-	+	+	-	-	-
NAG	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
dMAL	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
BACI	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
NOVO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NC6.5	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
dMAN	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
dMNE	+	+	+	+	+	-	-
MBdG	+	+	+	-	+	+	-
PUL	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
dRAF	+	-	-	+	-	+	-
0129R	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
SAL	+	+	+	-	-	+	-
SAC	+	+	+	+	+	+	-
dTRE	+	+	+	+	-	+	-
ADH2s	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
OPTO	+	+	+	+	-	+	-

Table 5. Biochemical tests used to identification of (G -ve) bacterial strains.

Tests	Isolates							
	S.p	S.p(2)	S.p(3)	A.S	A.S(2)	E.m	P.SSP	K.pn
APPA	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-
ADO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
PyrA	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
IARL	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
dCEL	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
BGAL	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
H ₂ S	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
BNAG	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
AGLTp	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
Dglu	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
GGT	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
OFF	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
BGLU	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
dMAL	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
dMAN	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
dMNE	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
BXYL	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
BALaP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ProA	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
LIP	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-
PLE	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
TyrA	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+
URE	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+
dSOR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
SAC	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+
dTAG	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
dTRE	+	+	+	-	+	-	+	+
CIT	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-
MNT	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
5KG	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ILATK	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+
AGULU	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	+
SUCT	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
NAGA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
AGAL	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
PHOS	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
GLYA	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+
ODC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LDC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IHISa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
CMT	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
BGUR	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
O129R	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
GGAA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IMLTa	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-
ELLM	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
ILATa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Isolates bacteria: *S. l*: *Staphylococcus lentus*, *S.l* (2): *Staphylococcus lentus* 1 and 2 isolates, *S.l* (3): *Staphylococcus lentus*, three isolates, *L. ps*: *Leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes*, *L.ps* (2): *leuconostoc pseudomesenteriodes* two isolates, *E.c*: *Enterococcus cecorum*, *K.r*: *Kocuria rosea*. *P*: *Spingomons paucimobilis*, *S.p* (2): *Spingomonsa paucimobilis* two isolates, *S.P* (3): *Spingomonsa p aucimobilis*, three isolates, *A.s*: *Aeromonas salmonicida*, *A.s* (2): *Aeromonas salmonicida* two isolates, *E.m*: *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica*, *P. spp* *Pantoea spp*, *K.pn*: *Klebiella pneumonia ssp ozaenae*.

Biochemical tests

AMY: D-Amy Gdalin, PIPLC: Phosph Atidylinositolphopholipase c, dXYL: D-xylose, ADH1: Arginine Di hydrolase1, BGAL: Beta Galactosidase, AGLU: Alpha Glucosidase, APPA: Ala-phe-pro Arylamidase, CDEX: cyclodextrine, As PA: I Aspartate Arylamydase, BGAR: Beta-Galactopyranosidase Resorufine, AMAN: Alphamanoaidase, PHOS: Phosphatase, Leu A: leucine Arylamidase, ProA: L-Proline Arylamidase, BGURr: Beta glucoRonidase, AGAL: Alpha

Galactosidase, PyrA: L-pyrrolydonyl Arylamidase, BGUR: Beta Glucuronidase, Ala A: Alanine arylamidase, TyrA: Tyro Arylamidase, dsor: D-sorbitol, URE: Urease, POLYB: Polymixin B-resistant, DGAL: D-Galactose, dRIB: d-Ribose, ILATK: L-lactate alklinistatin, Lac: Lactose, NAG: N-Acetylene N-Glucosamine, dMAL: D-Maltose, BACI: Bacitracin resistant, NOVO: Novobiocin resistant, Nc6.5: Growth in NC6.5, dMAN: D-Mannitol, dMNE: D-Mannose, MBdG: Methylene-B-d-Gluco-pyrimoside, PUL: Pullulane, dRAF: D-Rafinose, O129: resistant. (com-vibrio), SAL: Salicin, SAC: Sucrose, dTRE: D-Trehalose, ADH₂S: Arginine Dihydrolase-2- (thioglucose Sigma), OPTO: Optochin resistant. APPA: Ala-phe-pro Arylamidase, ADO: Adonitol, PyrA: L-pyrrolydonyl Arylamidase, IAR L: L-Apiratol, dCEL: d-cellobiose. BGAL: Beta Galactosidase, H₂S: H₂S Production, BNAG: Beta- N-Acetylene N-Glucosamine, AGLTP: Glucosyl Arylamidase PNA, dGlu d-Glucosidase, GGT: GAMA-Glutamyl -transferase, OFF: fermentation/ Glucose, BGLU: Beta-Glucosidase, dMAL: D-maltose, dMAN: D-Mannitol, dMNE: D-Mannose, BXYL: Beta-xylose, BAL ap: Beta Alanine arylamidase PNA, ProA: L-Proline Arylamidase, lip: Lipase, PLE: Palatinose, Tyr A: Tyro Arylamidase, URE: Urease, dsor: D-sorbitol, SAC: Sucrose, TAG: D-tagatose, dTRE: D-Trehalose, Cit: Citrate, MNT: Malonate: 5KG: 5-Keto-D-Gluconate: ILATK: L-lactate alklinistatin, AGLU: Alpha Glucosidase, SUCT: Succinate alklinisation, NAGA: Alpha- N-acetylene Glucosaminodase, AGAL: Alph—Glucosaminodase, PHOS: Phosphatase, GLYA: Glycine arylamidase, ODC: Ornithine decarboxylase, LDC: Lysine decarboxylase: LHISa: L-Histidine assimilation, CMT: Coumarate, BGUR: Beta Glucuronidase, O129: resistant. (com-vibrio), GGAA: GLU-GLY-Arginine arylamidase, IMLTA: L-malate assimilation, ELLM: Ellman, ILATa: -L- lactate assimilation.

Conclusion

Microbial contamination can lead to impaired performance of the medicinal plant materials due to disruption of the modification of physical characteristics and this lead to inactivation of the active ingredients in the materials.

References

Abdela Yesuf, Yitayih Wondimeneh, Teklay Gebrecherkos and Feleke Moges 2016. Occurrence of Potential Bacterial Pathogens and Their Antimicrobial Susceptibility Patterns Isolated from Herbal Medicinal Products Sold in Different Markets of Gondar Town, Northwest Ethiopia Int. J. Bacteriol.

Antonia P, Cristina T, Elvira G, Aprotosoia C, Ursula S, Monica H. 2010. The Microbial Level Contamination In Dried Plant Material Evaluated By The Standard Plate Count. Journal of Biological Sciences **2(2)**, 143-146.

Araujo and Bauab. 2010. Microbial Quality of Medicinal Plant Materials. www.de.org/10.5392/51072.

Esimone CO, Oleghe PO, Ibezim EC, Okeh CO, Iroha IR. 2007. Susceptibility-resistance profile of micro-organisms isolated from herbal medicine products sold in Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology. **6(24)**, 2766-2775.

Khattak F. 2012. Microbiological quality assessment of commercially available medicinal plants in Peshawar city, Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2012. **44(4)**, 1203-1208.

Oluyeye J, Adelabu D. 2010. Microbial contamination of some hawked herbal products in Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria. Continental Journal of Microbiology **4**, 8-14.

Saeed M, Arshad M, Ahmad E, Ishaque M. 2004. Ethnophytotherapies for the treatment of various diseases by the local people of selected areas of N.W.F.P. Pak J Biol Sci **7**, 1104-1108.