



Stimating long-term forage production using precipitation pattern in Dehshir Rangelands, Iran

Elham Fakhimi^{1*}, Seyed Akbar Javadi², HosseinArzani³, Mohammad Jafari⁴

¹*Department of Range Management, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran*

²*Department of Range Management, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran*

³*College of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran*

⁴*College of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran*

Article published on May 18, 2015

Key words: Precipitation pattern, forage production, Dehshir, Yazd.

Abstract

Knowledge on changes of the factors affecting range forage production is the main prerequisite for understanding the processes and optimal management of rangelands. In this research, the effects of precipitation variables, were studied on long-term forage production of some dominant range species, in Dehshir rangelands, Yazd province, during 9 years (2004 to 2012). Regression analysis was used to examine the relationship between annual production and different precipitation pattern. According to the results, rainfall of past year in addition to rainfall of growing season has the greatest impact on forage production in site of Dehshir. Plant with platability class (I) and platability class (III) correlated with previous rainfall, respectively ($r^2 = 0.9$ & 0.88), and platability class (II) with growing season rainfall ($r^2 = 0.70$). Long-term production is estimated at 243.15 (kg/ha).

*Corresponding Author: Seyed Akbar Javadi ✉ sadi.gholami@yahoo.com

Introduction

Our country's rangelands are mainly located in arid and semi-arid regions. Due to the low amount of precipitation and high rate of evapotranspiration, water stress is considered as the most crucial environmental stress for vegetation in this region.

Therefore, the use of indirect methods based on climate data would be useful for anticipating forage yield (Bagestani and Zare, 2007). Several studies have demonstrated the relationship between climatic fluctuation and forage yield (Duncan and Woodmansee, 1975, Pumphery, 1980, Fetcher and Trlica 1980, Hanson *et al.*, 1982, Wight *et al.*, 1984, Smoliak, 1986, George *et al.*, 1989, Hien 2006, Bets *et al.*, 2006, Ehsani *et al.*, 2007 and Mrzaali *et al.*, 2011). Weather variables, especially precipitation in arid and semiarid ecosystems are the principal environmental factors influencing plant growth (George *et al.*, 1989). Composition, function and productivity of rangeland ecosystem are largely driven by yearly fluctuations in primarily precipitation. However, other factors, such as high grazing do have influence on the ecosystem (Fynn and Oonnor 2000, Sullivan and Rohed 2002).

Precipitation pattern has a major influence on forage production on rangeland (McLean and Smith, 1973).

The establishment of quantitative relationships between weather variables and forage production has been expressed in regression models such as described by Murphy (1970), Shiflet and Dietz (1974), Duncan and Woodmansee (1975), Fetcher and Trlica (1980), Smoliak (1986), Georg *et al.* (1989), Khumalo and Holchek (2005), Andales *et al.* (2006) and Baghestani and Zare, (2007). They explored the relationship between forage production and precipitation and demonstrated a linear equation between forage production and Weather variables.

They suggested that the variations in forage production were more strongly affected by precipitation. George *et al.* (1989) reported that fall and winter precipitation, winter temperature and winter dry period patterns had

a strong influence on peak standing crop. Willey *et al.* (1992) found a linear model to estimate forage production from the annual rainfall in Nigeria. Fall and winter precipitation, winter temperature, and winter dry period patterns have a strong influence on peak standing crop. According to the results of the study conducted by Ghaemi (2001), a direct relationship was found between precipitation and forage production while an inverse relationship was reported between temperature and production. Ehsani *et al.* (2007) reported that rainfall indicator in growing season and previous season was a variable playing fundamental role in production. Munkhteseg *et al.* (2007) in review the effects of rainfall and high temperature in rangelands of Mongolia, stated that increasing temperature with decreasing temperature in June were the main factors reducing production in this region rangelands. Mirzaali *et al.* (2011) found forage production more closely related to seasonal period precipitation instead of annual precipitation.

The present investigation focused on the relationships between precipitation pattern and forage production of the four native rangeland species of Ali Abad, Iran. The objective was to improve the predictability of functions relating forage production by including various climatic factors. This paper presents the results of simple correlation, regression and stepwise multiple regression analysis between precipitation pattern and forage production.

Material and methods

Site description

The study was conducted at watershed of Abarkooh-Sirjan (Rangelands of Dehshir). This region is located 53° 47' E, 31° 11' N in center of Iranin Yazd province. Average altitude of region is 2350 m. Soil texture was silty loamy. The region has recognized as a semi-arid area. Average annual precipitation (January through December) based on 20-year period (1993 to 2012) is 230 mm. Most precipitation occurs as rain in the fall and winter, 70% of annual precipitations occur from October through April, 30% of annual precipitations occur in the growing season

(middle February through late July). Summer is warm and dry, but shower occurs in some years. Mean annual temperature and humidity are 14.4°C and 53%, respectively. The principal forage species include *Artemisia seiberi*, *Hertiaangustifolia*, *Lactucaserriola*, *Euphorbia connata*, *Convolvulus fruticosus*

Methods

Precipitation data were available in Dehshir synoptic station, about 9 km far from the Dehshir rangeland. Precipitation factors were collected during the growing season as well as different months of the year. Forage production data for species of plant were collected from 2003 to 2012 and classified to palatability. Sampling was done based on random – systematic method along 4 transects with 200 m length and 100 m distance from each other. Sixty plots (2m²) were sampled and 15 plots protected from grazing by the portable cages, were clipped, air-dried and weighed annually (Arzani and King, 1994).

The portable cages were randomly distributed in large fields that were grazed by goats. Linear regression method was used to investigate the relationships between forage production and precipitation pattern. This model was used in previous studies (Smoliak 1986, George *et al.*, 1989, Hien 2006, Baghestani and Zare 2007, Ehsani *et al.*, 2007). A total of 17 variables precipitation, used in the analysis. All independent variables and nine years forage yields were subjected to correlation analysis. Significant variables were regressed on forage yields. Stepwise multiple regressions were used to investigate the most effective variable and the most appropriate model to estimated forage yield. To estimate the long –term production, the production value is greater than or, equal to 70 of value of production for many years, as along term production.

Results

List, palatability classes and lif form of plant in Dehshir Rangelands in Table 1.

Table 1. Forage yield of palatability classes(kg/ha) at the Dehshir rangelands.

Name	Family	Palatability class	Lif form
<i>Artemisia seiberi</i>	Compositae	I	shrub
<i>Hertiaangustifolia</i>	Compositae	III	Herb
<i>Lactucaserriola</i>	Compositae	III	Herb
<i>Euphorbia connata</i>	Ephedraceae	III	Herb
<i>Convolvulus fruticosus</i>	Convolvulaceae	III	shrub
<i>Launaeacanthodes</i>	Compositae	III	Herb
<i>Stipabarbata</i>	Gramineae	II	Herb
<i>Astragalusinchredensis</i>	Compositae	III	shrub
<i>Euphorbia connata</i>	Ephedraceae	III	Herb
<i>Stachysinflata</i>	Labiatae	III	Herb
<i>Acantholimmonheratense</i>	Plumbaginaceae	III	shrub
<i>Echinopsceatophorus</i>	Compositae	III	shrub
<i>Cousiniadeserti</i>	Compositae	III	Herb
<i>Cousiniagedrosiaca</i>	Compositae	III	Herb
<i>Noaeamacronata</i>	Chenopodiaceae	II	shrub
<i>Aeluropuslittoralis</i>	Gramineae	II	Herb
<i>Bromustectorum</i>	Gramineae	II	Herb
<i>Boissierasquarrosa</i>	Gramineae	III	Herb

The annual dry weight forage production during study period is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. dry weight forage production during study period.

Year	Production of palatability class (I) (kg/ha)	Production of palatability class (II) (kg/ha)	Production of palatability class (III) (kg/ha)	Total yield (kg/ha)
2004	160	20	130	310
2005	160	5	155	320
2006	100	10	100	210
2007	145	15	100	260
2008	130	5	115	250
2009	120	15	105	240
2010	130	20	100	230
2011	150	15	85	250
2012	120	15	140	275

All precipitation pattern including monthly, annual, and seasonal rainfall as well as previous rainfall; monthly, annual rainfall are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Minimum (min), maximum (max) and mean precipitation (mm) and standard deviation (sd) at the Aliabad site on study period (2004 to 2012).

Month	Min	Max	Mean	Sd
October	0	0	0	0
November	0	10	3.7	4.9
December	0	52	17	18.2
January	0	48	10.43	14.2
February	0	20	9.17	7.30
March	2	34	6.15	11.27
April	0	33	13.33	19.71
May	0	30	8.98	9.73
June	2	54	6.07	13.87
July	0	26	2.99	8
August	0	2	1	1
September	0	230	0.1000	31
Annual rainfall (From October to December)	32	130	144.4	56.15
Rainy of growing season (March + April+May+June)	0	142	43.5	33.2
Winter rainfall (January+February +March)	0	135	54.7	40.65
Autumn rainfall (October +November + December)	0	62	40.68	33.1
Previous rainfall)				
Rainy of growing season+ Years ago)	71	220	135	39

The correlations between forage production and precipitation pattern are shown in Tables 4. The results showed that forage production in this region is influenced by rainfall patterns (Tables 4).

Table 4. Simple correlations (r) of forage yield with precipitation.

Month	Production of platability class (I)	Production of platability class (II)	Production of platability class (III)	Total production
October	0.18	0.31	0.23	0.37
November	0.34-	0.42	0.091	0.17
December	0.31-	0.52-	0.36-	0.26-
January	0.41	0.30	0.49	0.37
February	0.47	0.31	0.19	-0.43
March	0.26	0.57	0.43	0.47
April	0.009-	0.154-	0.52-	0.33-
May	0.52	0.41	0.43	0.55
June	0.41	0.44	0.12	0.33
July	0.28-	0.61-	0.32-	0.33-
August	0.14	0.14	0.18	0.214
September	0.14	0.331	0.193	0.16-
Annual rainfall (From October to September)	0.61	0.56	0.54	0.70*
Rainy of growing season (March +April+May +June)	0.48	0.76*	0.51	0.6
Winter rainfall (January+ February+ March)	0.54	0.17-	0.27	0.55
Autumn rainfall (October +November+ December)	0.42-	0.10-	0.32-	0.19-
Previous rainfall (Rainy of growing season +Years ago)	0.88**	0.59	0.70*	0.90**

Total production showed the correlation with annual rainfall and previous precipitation (rainfall of growing season + rainfall of years ago). But there was a stronger correlation between total production and previous precipitation ($R=90$). Regression equations

and the estimation of total production with mentioned parameters are presented in Table 5.

The Production of platability class (I) was correlated with previous rainfall. The results of simple and multiple regressions showed that previous rainfall could explain 88% of the variations observed in the production of platability class (I). A correlation was found between the production of platability class (II) and rainy of growing season. The regression equations are shown in Table 5.

The production of platability class (III) had a stronger correlation with the previous season's rainfall. Results equations are shown in Table 5. Stepwise regression showed that previous rainfall could explain about 70% of changes in production of these species (Table 5).

Table 5. Regression of forage yield (Y,kg⁻¹) on precipitation (mm) at Aliabad site.

	Regression equation	r ²	P
Total forage yield(Y, kg ⁻¹)	$Y=0.304 P_{\text{annual}} + 205.647$	0.7	0.023
	$92.45 \div Y=0.307 P_{\text{previous}} + 183.577$	0.9	0.00
	$Y=0.576 P_{\text{previous}}$	0.80	0.005
Palatability class (I) (Y, kg ⁻¹)	$254.45 \div Y=0.268 P_{\text{previous}}$	0.8	0.005
Palatability class (II) (Y, kg ⁻¹)	$Y=0.075 P_{\text{growing season}} + 9.53$	0.7	0.011
Palatability class (III)(Y, kg ⁻¹)	$82.55 \div Y=0.148 P_{\text{previous}}$	0.7	0.023

Long- term production is estimated at 243.15 kg/ha. Because for many production, more than 70% of this value (Table6).

Discussion

The result of the study revealed that increasing the length of the precipitation period improved the relationship between precipitation and yield. Precipitation pattern had more strong influence on the variations of annual forage production, and

various period of precipitation had different effects on annual yield of species. The various period of precipitation improved the relationship when correlated with forage production (Table4).

Table 6. Stimating long-term forage production using precipitation pattern in Dehshir Rangelands.

Year	Previous rainfall (mm)	Act production (kg/ha)	Estimated production (kg/ha)
1	159		241.16
1999	313.1		288.47
2000	225.7		261.63
2001	78.1		216.32
2002	368.6		305.5
2003	389.5	310	311.9
2004	400	320	315.15
2005	28	210	200.94
2006	300	260	284.45
2007	269	250	274.93
2008	99	240	222.74
2009	165.5	230	243.15
2010	211	250	257.12
2011	206	275	255.59
2012	360	345	302.87

Results of this research showed that rainfall of past year in addition to rainfall of growing season had the greatest impact on forage production in site of Dehshirof Yazd. The reason for a high correlation between plant with platability class (I), platability class (III) such as *Artemisia seiberi*, *Hertia-angutifolia*, *Lactucaserriola*, *Euphorbia connata* and total production is that the mentioned species and most species found in the study site were shrub with deep roots. Therefore, not only the rainfall of that same year, but rainfall in the previous year is able to be absorbed by their roots. Abdullahi *et al.* (2010) found that rainfall of previous season had impact on rangeland production. Ehsani *et al.* (2007) reported that rainfall indicator in growing season and previous season had the greatest impact on production. Because winter reduces the temperature and limits the growth of the species; therefore, plants are not

able to use the winter rainfall. Also, results showed that platability class (II) such as *Stipabarbata* had a robust correlation with growing season rainfall. Because roots level of this grass penetrates up to 30 cm of soil depth and can use the amount of water saturated in this depth. Therefore, much more rainfall outside of the growing season had no impact on plant growth. Studies of Abdullahi *et al.* (2010) confirmed the results of this research.

References

- Abdollahi J, Arzani H, Baghestani N, Askarshahi FSM.** 2006. Rainfall and ground water table changes influencing the *Seidlitziarosmarinous* Growth and development at the Chah-AfzalArdakan. Journal of Range and Desert Research **13**, 74-81.
- Andales AA, Derner JD, Ahuja LR, Hart RH.** 2006. Strategic and tactical prediction of forage production in northern mixed-grass prairie. Rangeland Ecology & Management **59**, 576-584.
- Arzani H, Kingh GW.** 1994. A double sampling method for estimating forage from cover measurement. 8th biennial rangeland conference. Australia Rangeland Society 201-202.
- Baghestani MN, Zare MT.** 2007. Investigation of relationship between annual precipitation and yield in Steppe range of Poosht- Kooch region of Yazed provience. Journal of Pajouhesh and Sazandegi **75**, 103-107.
- Bets JD, Svejcar T, Miller RF, Angell RA.** 2006. The effects of precipitation timing on sagebrush steppe vegetation. Journal of Arid Environ **64**, 670-697.
- Duncan DA, Woodmansee RG.** 1975. Forecasting forage yield from precipitation in California, annual rangeland. Journal of Range Management **28**, 327-329.
- Ehsani A, Arzani H, Farahpor M, Ahmadi H, Jafari M, Jalili A, Mirdavodi HR, Abasi HR, Azimi MS.** 2007. The effect of climatic condition on range forage production in steppe rangelands, A Khtarabad of Saveh. Iranian J. Range Desert Research **14**, 260-269.
- Fetcher N, Trlica MJ.** 1980. Influence of climate on annual production of seven clod desert forage species. Journal of Range Management **33**, 35-37.
- Fynn RWS, Oconnor TG.** 2002. Effect of stocking rate and rainfall on rangeland dynamics and cattle performance in semi-arid savanna. South Africa. Journal of applied Ecology **37**, 491-507.
- George MR, Williams WA, Macdouglaad NK, Clawson WJ, Murphy AH.** 1989. Prediciing peak standing crop on annual range using weather variable. Journal of Range Management **42**, 506-513.
- Ghaemi M.** 2001. The effect of aridity on condition, trend and vegetation variability in rangeland of Gardane Ghoshchi, west Azarbayjan province, papers collection of Second Range and Range Management Seminar 453-458.
- Hanson CL, Wight JR, Smith JP, Smoliak S.** 1982. Use of historical yield datd to forecasting range herbage production. Journal of Range Management **35**, 614-616.
- Hien L.** 2006. The impacts of grazing and rainfall variability on the dynamics of Sahelian rangeland. Journal of Arid Environ **64**, 488-504.
- Khumalo G, Holechek J.** 2005. Relationships between Chihuahuan desert perennial grass production and precipitation. Rangeland Ecology & Management **58**, 239-246.
- Mclean A, Smith JHG.** 1973. Effects of climate on forage yield and tree-ring widths in British Columbia. Journal of Range Management **26**, 416-419.
- Munkhtsetseg E, Kimura R, Wang J, Shinoda M.** 2007. Pasture yield response to precipitation and

high temperature in Mongolia. Journal of Arid environment **70**, 94-110.

Murphy AH. 1970. Predicted forage yield based on fall precipitation in California annual grasslands. Journal of Range Management **23**, 363-365.

Pumphery FV. 1980. Precipitation, temperature and herbage relationships for a pine woodland site in northeastern Oregon. Journal of Range Management **33**, 307-310.

Shiflet TN, Dietz HE. 1974. Relationship between precipitation and Annual rangeland herbage

production in southeastern Kansas. Journal of Range Management **27**, 272- 276.

Smoliak S. 1986. Influence of climatic on conditions on production of Stipabouteloua prairie over a 50– year period. Journal of Range Management **39**, 100-103.

Sullivan S, Rohed R. 2002. On non- equilibrium in arid and semi- arid grazing system. Journalof Biogeography **29**, 1595-1618.

Wiley BK, Pieper RD, Southward GM. 1992. Estimating herbage standing crop from rainfall data in Niger. Journal of Range Management **45**, 277-284.