

International Journal of Biosciences (IJB) ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print) 2222-5234 (Online) Vol. 2, No. 6, p. 49-58, 2012 http://www.innspub.net

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Comparison of autumn and spring sowing on performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) varieties

Omid Sadeghipour^{*}, Parviz Aghaei

Department of Agronomy, Shahre-Rey Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Received: 18 May 2012 Revised: 08 June 2012 Accepted: 09 June 2012

Key words: Chickpea, sowing date, variety, seed yield, yield components.

Abstract

Sowing time and variety are two important factors which influence crop performance including chickpea. In order to investigate the effects of autumn and spring sowing dates on yield and yield components of chickpea varieties a field experiment was carried out in Shahre-Rey region, in south of Tehran, Iran during 2010/2011. The experiment was laid out in factorial arrangement on the basis of randomized complete block design with four replications which five sowing dates (October 12, November 02 and November 22 as autumn sowing dates and March 16 and April 06 as spring sowing dates) and five chickpea varieties (Arman, Azad, Hashem, ILC1799 and ILC482) were treatments. Results showed that the longer growing period of autumn-sown chickpeas affected positively characters contributing to yield such as biomass, pods per plant, seeds per pod, 100-seeds weight and harvest index, which in turn contributed to increased seed yield. Varieties had significant effects on all measured traits. Except ILC482 other varieties gave higher seed yield in autumn sowing. The degree of superiority of seed yield in autumn sowing as compared to spring was strongly affected by the environmental conditions and tolerance of varieties to cold stress. The maximum seed yield (612.8 g m⁻²) was recorded with ILC1799 sown on November 02.

*Corresponding Author: Omid Sadeghipour 🖂 osadeghipour@yahoo.com

Introduction

Chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) is one of the world's most important grain legumes, and the seed is a major source of plant-based dietary protein for humans. This annual legume is a significant contributor to agricultural sustainability through N2-fixation and as a rotation crop allowing the diversification of agricultural production systems (Gan et al., 2006). It is the second major pulses after dry bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) which is grown on over 11.9 million hectares worldwide with production over 10.9 million tones. In Iran, chickpea is the most important grain legume crop which is grown on an area of 508313 hectares with total production of 239768 tons making an average of 471 kg ha-1. This average yield is much lower than most of the leading countries of the world (Anonymous, 2010).

Sowing time and variety are two important factors which affecting the yield of field crops such as chickpea. The most important step towards maximizing yield of chickpea is to ensure that the phenology of the crop or cultivar is well matched to resources and constraints of the production environment (Summerfield et al., 1990). Flowering time is important because environmental conditions during the reproductive phase have a major impact on final yield. The onset of flowering often determines the entire crop duration (Egli, 1998). Traditionally, the chickpea is sown in spring in order to avoid Ascochyta blight (Ascochyta rabiei Pass) and frost, both in Mediterranean and temperate regions (Ozdemir and Karadavut, 2003). Autumn sowing was not possible in the past, since the varieties cultivated by farmers were susceptible to low winter temperatures and were infected much more under autumn sowing from diseases such as Ascochyta blight and Sclerotinia sp. which are difficult to control by existing commercial fungicides. For these reasons chickpeas were sown in spring, when the crop meets heat and drought stress towards maturity resulting in low and inconsistent yields (Iliadis, 2001). One method to increase seed yield in chickpea would be to change the sowing time

from spring to autumn using available breeding materials resistant to the above biotic and abiotic disorders (Hawtin and Singh, 1981; Janneli and Bozzini, 1987). It has been well documented that if the chickpea is sown in autumn, higher yield can be obtained than with traditional spring sowing in Mediterranean and dry regions. Calcagno et al. (1987) reported a 60% yield increase in autumn sowing over spring sowing in Italy. Singh et al. (1997) reported that winter-sown chickpea produced seed yield as 70% higher than spring-sown crop in Syria. Ozdemir and Karadavut (2003) revealed a 102% yield increase in autumn sowing over spring sowing in Turkey. Iliadis (2001) calculated 23-188% more seed yield for winter over spring sowing in Greece. In most of these studies the high yield potential of winter crop has been attributed to the extended growing period and favorable rainfall during winter and early spring. Low winter temperatures restrict the seedling growth of the chickpea; however, it enters a phase of rapid growth when temperatures increase in spring, the time when the soil allows spring sowing (Siddique et al., 1999; O'Toole et al., 2001). Rapid leaf area development allows greater photosynthesis under favorable conditions and it partitions into seed yield (Leport et al., 1999). Increased biomass yield, contributed by plant height, increased branches and pods per plant, was positively correlated with seed yield (Singh et al., 1990). Genetic improvement of resistance to blight and cold has been extensively studied by national and international breeding programs, and high yielding, blight-resistant cultivars have been developed for the Mediterranean region (Singh, 1997). Most experiments on chickpea adaptation to autumn sowing have also been performed in winter-dominated rainfall Mediterranean-type environments. The temperature in temperate regions is lower than in the Mediterranean region and rainfall is rather balanced during the vegetation period (Ozdemir and Karadavut, 2003). Cold tolerance is an important prerequisite for sowing the chickpea in autumn in temperate regions and there is a wide genetic variation among genotypes (Singh et *al.*, 1995). Optimum sowing time of chickpea vary from one variety to another and also from one region to another due to variation of agro-ecological conditions, so the aim of present study was to investigate the response of different genotypes of chickpea to autumn and spring sowing in Tehran, Iran.

Materials and methods

This study was conducted at the experimental farm of the Islamic Azad University of Shahre-Rey, in south of Tehran, Iran during 2010/2011. The research field is located in an arid climate where the summer is hot and dry and the winter is cool and dry. Longitude, latitude and altitude of Shahre-Rey are 51° 28' E, 35° 35' N, and 1000 m, respectively. Monthly temperatures and rainfall of region are presented in Table 1. The soil of experimental field was sandy clay loam with pH 7.9, nitrogen 0.11%, available phosphorus 8.4 ppm, exchangeable potassium 335 ppm and EC 2.9 mmohs cm-1. The experiment was laid out in factorial arrangement on the basis of randomized complete block design with four replications which five sowing dates (October 12, November 02 and November 22 as autumn sowing dates and March 16 and April 06 as spring sowing dates) and five chickpea varieties (Arman, Azad, Hashem, ILC1799 and ILC482) were treatments. Seeds were dressed with the fungicide Bavistin before sowing to prevent seedling loss. At the same time plots were fertilized with 200 kg ha-1 ammonium phosphate. Each plot consisted of 6 rows 6 m long, 50 cm apart, with an intra-row distance of 10 cm. Size of each plot was 18 m². In each sowing date planting was down with the help of a single row hand drill on rows in depth of 3-4 cm. Crop management practices such as hand weeding, thinning and plant protection measures were done as per requirement. The autumn-sown crop matured by late May 2011 and the spring-sown crop by late June 2011 almost 1 month later. At physiological maturity, 10 plants from each plot were selected randomly from second and fifth rows, sun dried and then numbers of pods, number of seeds per pod and 100-seeds weight were determined.

To determine seed yield, biomass and harvest index, plants were harvested by hand from 5 m^2 in two middle rows of each plot. Biomass was determined after drying at 75° c for 48 h. Harvest index was calculated by dividing seed yield with total biomass. Data were analyzed by MSTAT-C statistical software and the means were compared by Duncan's Multiple Range Test at the 5% probability level (Steel and Torrie, 1980).

Results

Biomass

All varieties varied significantly with regard to aboveground biomass production. ILC1799 variety produced highest biological yield (691.2 g m⁻²) while ILC482 variety produced lowest biological yield (515.4 g m⁻²) (Table 2). Effect of sowing date on biomass production was significant. The highest biomass (721.8 g m⁻²) was recorded on November 02 sowing date while the lowest biomass (426.6 g m⁻²) was observed on sowing date of October 12 (Table 2). Interaction effect between varieties and sowing dates was found as statistically significant for biomass. The maximum biomass (1234.0 g m⁻²) was observed with ILC1799 variety sown on November 02 against the minimum biological yield (306.1 g m⁻²) was produced in ILC482 variety sown on October 12.

Number of pods per plant

Data indicated that pods/plant was significantly affected by varieties. The highest pods/plant (42.45) were achieved in ILC482 which it was at par with Arman and ILC1799. The lowest pods/plant (34.42) also were recorded in Hashem variety (Table 2). Sowing date had significant effect on pods/plant. The maximum and minimum pods/plant (53.69 and 30.73) were observed on November 02 and October 12 sowing dates respectively (Table 2). Significant interaction was found between varieties and sowing dates with regard to pods/plant. The highest pods/plant (80.28) were produced with ILC1799 variety sown on November 02

while the lowest pods/plant (13.43) were produced with Azad variety sown on March 16.

Number of seeds per pod

Different varieties had significant effect on seeds/pod. Hashem variety produced maximum seeds/pod (1.064) while ILC482 produced minimum seeds/pod (0.945) (Table 2). Seeds/pod was influenced by sowing date. Crop sown on November 02 produced highest seeds/pod (1.101) against crop sown on november 22 produced lowest seeds/pod (0.948) which was statistically at par with october 12 (Table 2). Interaction effect between varieties and sowing dates was significant for seeds/pod. The maximum seeds/pod (1.24) were observed with Hashem variety sown on November 02 against the minimum seeds/pod (0.702) were produced with ILC482 variety sown on November 22.

	Treatments	Biomass	Pods per	Seeds per	Seeds	vield	Harvest
		(gm-=)	plant	pod	weight (g)	(gm-=)	index (%)
	Variety						
	Arman	540.1 C	42.34 a	1.018 b	25.07b	212.8 b	40.34 a
	Azad	600.5 b	40.65 b	1032 b	25.73 b	218.2 D	34-42 C
	Hashem	592.5 D	34.42 C	1.064 a	22.18 C	174.4 d	27.88 e
	ILC1799	6912a	42.19 a	0.995 C	27.12 a	249.5 a	33. 09 đ
	1LC482	515.4 d	42.45 a	0.945 đ	22.61 C	188.5 C	35-59 b
	Sowing date						
	October 12	426.6 e	30.73 d	0.948 c	23.79 C	141.1d	32.22 C
	November 02	7218 a	53.69 a	1.101 a	29.28 a	333-5 a	45.20 a
	November 22	696.6 b	52.58 b	0.951 C	25.73 b	258.6 D	37.14 b
	March 16	489.8 đ	32.89 c	1.036 b	22.32 d	165.8 c	32.80 C
	April o6	604.9 C	32.17 C	1018 b	21.60 d	144.4 d	23.96 d

Table 1. Mean comparisons of agronomic traits of chickpea as affected by variety and sowing date.

Means with the same letter (s) in each column and treatment are not significantly different at probability level of 5% using DMRT.

100-Seeds weight

Effect of variety on 100-seeds weight was significant. The highest and lowest 100-seeds weight (27.12 g and 22.18 g) were recorded in ILC1799 and Hashem varieties respectively (Table 2). Sowing date had significant effect on 100-seeds weight. The maximum 100-seeds weight (29.28 g) was achieved in chickpea sown on November 02 while the minimum 100-seeds weight (21.60 g) was obtained in crop sown on April 06 which was statistically similar with March 12 (Table 2). Significant interaction was observed between varieties and sowing dates with regard to 100-seeds weight. The highest 100-seeds weight (35.99 g) was recorded with ILC1799 variety sown on November 02 while the lowest 100-seeds weight (18.76 g) was produced with ILC482 variety sown on April 06.

		Biomass	Pods per	Seeds per pod	100-Seeds weight (g)	Seed yield	Harvest
Variety	sowing date	(g m ⁻²)	plant			(g m ²)	index (%)
Arman	October 12	527.1h	44.59 f	0.997 fgh	24.27 def	215.3 gh	40.79 ef
	November 02	447.3 k	34.78 jk	0.950 hij	30.47 b	201.3 hi	45.01 c
	November 22	586.4 fg	62.17 c	0.920 j	26.18 cd	299.2 e	51.00 b
	March 16	462.9 jk	36.10 jk	1.175 bc	23.36 e-h	197.1 hi	42.70 cde
	A pril 06	677.0 e	34.06 k	1.050 def	21.05 hi	150.9 jk	22.20 p
Azad	October 12	403.21	22.83 n	0.843 k	26.95 c	103.7 I	25.70 no
	November 02	615.5 f	40.81 gh	0.930 ij	31.84 b	239.4 f	38.92 fg
	November 22	1172.1 b	78.67 a	1.155 c	24.15 def	438.5 b	37.39 gh
	March 16	231.6 n	13.43 p	1.222 ab	20.14 ij	65.0 m	28.06 m
	A pril 06	579.9 fg	47.52 de	1.00d-h	25.55 cde	244.2 f	42.06 de
Hashem	October 12	328.9 m	23.30 n	1.058 def	23.32 e-h	115.8 I	34.93 ij
	November 02	798.5 c	67.24 b	1.240 a	23.32 e-h	389.0 c	48.71 b
	November 22	762.1 d	49.83 d	1.035 d-g	23.04 fgh	237.6 f	31.18 kl
	March 16	479.0 ijk	16.01 o	0.985 ghi	21.50 ghi	67.5 m	14.11 q
	A pril 06	594.2 fg	15.74 op	1.00d-h	19.74 ij	62.2 m	10.46 r
ILC1799	October 12	587.7 g	39.16 hi	1.062 de	22.92 fgh	190.4 i	33.42 jk
	November 02	1234.0 a	80.28 a	1.060 def	35.99 a	612.8 a	49.75 b
	November 22	470.6 jk	30.251	0.930 ij	29.98 b	168.5 j	35.84 hi
	March 16	660.3 e	34.53 jk	0.962 hij	23.81 d-g	158.5 jk	23.97 op
	A pril 06	523.3 h	28.73 m	0.962 hij	22.90 fgh	117.5	22.47 p
ILC482	October 12	306.1 m	23.77 n	0.798 k	21.47 ghi	80.4 m	26.27 no
	November 02	513.3 hi	45.33 ef	1.00e-h	24.80 o-f	225.0 fg	43.63 cd
	November 22	492.1 hij	41.95 g	0.7021	25.28 o-f	149.3 jk	30.30 lm
	March 16	615.2 f	64.36 c	1.16c	22.77 fgh	340.8 d	55.16 a
	A pril 06	650.2 e	36.82 ij	1.065 d	18.76 j	146.8 k	22.60 p

Table 2. Interaction effects of variety and sowing date on agronomic traits of chickpea.

Means with the same letter (s) in each column are not significantly different at probability level of 5% using DMRT.

Harvest index

Significant variation in harvest index was found among varieties of chickpea. Arman variety produced maximum harvest index (40.34%) against Hashem variety produced minimum harvest index (27.88%) (Table 2). Harvest index was affected by sowing date. Crop sown on November 02 and April 06 produced highest (45.20%) and lowest (23.96%) harvest index

respectively (Table 2). Interaction effect between varieties and sowing dates was significant for harvest index. The maximum harvest index (55.16%) was obtained with ILC482 variety sown on March 16 while the minimum harvest index (10.46%) was recorded with Hashem variety sown on April 06.

Seed yield

Different varieties had significant effect on seed yield. The highest seed yield (249.5 g m⁻²) and the lowest seed yield (174.4 g m⁻²) were obtained by ILC1799 and Hashem varieties respectively (Table 2). Effect of sowing date on seed yield was significant. The maximum seed yield (333.5 g m⁻²) was recorded on November 02 sowing date against the minimum seed yield (141.1 g m⁻²) was observed on October 12 sowing date which was at par with April 06 (Table 2). Significant interaction was found between varieties and sowing dates with regard to seed yield. The highest seed yield (612.8 g m⁻²) was produced with ILC1799 variety sown on November 02 while the lowest seed yield (62.2 g m⁻²) was produced with Hashem variety sown on April 06.

Discussion

The present study showed that different chickpea varieties had significant effect on all measured traits. Variability in chickpea genotypes for yield and yield components also had been reported by other researchers (Yucel and Anlarsal, 2008; Iliadis, 2001; Chaitanya and Chandrika, 2006; Rajin Anwar et al., 2003). We also found that all characteristics were affected by sowing dates. The highest biomass production (721.8 g m⁻²), pods per plant (53.69), seeds per pod (1.101), 100-seeds weight (29.28 g), harvest index (45.20%) and seed yield (333.5 g m⁻²) were recorded on November 02 sowing date as mid autumn sowing (Table 2). The highest number of pods per plant in the mid autumn sowing was as a result of extended vegetative and reproductive growth stages. This result is agreement with findings of Ozdemir and Karadavut (2003), Singh and Bejiga (1990) and

Valimohammadi *et al.* (2007). The lower number of seeds per pod in spring sowing, early and late autumn sowing dates was because of encounter of flowering and fertilization stages with high and low temperatures respectively. Similarly Chaitanya and Chandrika (2006) also reported higher number of seeds per pod in November 01 as compared to other sowing times.

Similar to our results, Ozdemir and Karadavut (2003) found that autumn sowing increased 100-seeds weight of chickpea by an average of 10% over spring sowing and revealed that this result was due to the moderate temperature regime during the seed filling stage. Chickpea sown on November 02 produced highest biomass and harvest index. These results are in agreement with the findings of Chaitanya and Chandrika (2006). In any given environment, grain yield in any crop is a function of biomass and harvest index. Hence the grain yield can be increased either by increasing the biomass or harvest index or both. On the other hand for maximum yield to be attained a pulse crop should have a high biomass with a high harvest index (Hedge et al., 2007). Optimization of inputs at the farm level would maximize biomass production as well as increasing harvest index (Pandey et al., 2000). Shoot biomass accumulation is considered an important trait to attain high seed yield in grain legumes (Rosales-Serna et al., 2004). Pulses productivity is relatively low for their poor dry matter partitioning into grains as compared with vegetative matter (Ur-Rehman et al., 2005). High harvest index is very important for increasing yield potential in crops because it is sensitive to environmental variations (Ghafoor et al., 2000). However, a high vegetative growth is not always a symptom for high chickpea yield. Dry matter redistribution is highly variable in different chickpea genotypes (Leport et al., 1999). We observed that some of the varieties which had lower biomass had higher harvest index than the others. These varieties such as Arman and ILC482 have a greater ability to forward photosynthates into the seeds.

The higher yields given by the autumn-sown chickpea, as compared with the traditional spring-sown crop, appear to be the result of increased biomass production occurring as the result of a longer period of vegetative growth (Keatinge and Cooper, 1983; Saxena, 1984). They also reported that, the reproductive phase (flowering and grain filling) of autumn-sown chickpea is longer than that of spring-sown chickpea. This allows the crop to match with more favorable thermal and moisture conditions, which contributes to higher biomass and seed yields. Nonetheless we observed that in very early autumn sowing date (October 12) in addition to plant lodging, flowering was encountered with low temperature and so biomass, yield and yield components were reduced (Table 2). In late autumn sowing date (November 22) also we found that growth and yield of crop decreased due to frost damage. We concluded that the advantages associated with autumn sowing can be lost if environmental conditions are unfavorable. Under the temperate climate, frost could be detrimental to late autumn sowing, because it usually occurs during the early stages of vegetative growth. Spring sowing usually allows a crop to escape frost danger, unless there is a late frost. Both spring and autumn-sown chickpea may experience water shortages in the later part of the crop's growth. However, rained autumn-sown chickpea is less likely to face drought during flowering and grain filling than spring-sown chickpea. This may be due to the fact that earlier crop growth results in a higher leaf area, and therefore, more photosynthesis, biomass production and growth during the period when there is a lower vapor pressure deficit, so allowing earlier maturity and escape from the later drought (Oweis et al., 2004). Previous researchers reported that temperatures below the optimum delayed the emergence and sometimes caused poor crop stand in the chickpea (Auld et al., 1988; O'Toole et al., 2001).

Under autumn sowing the varieties started to flowering earlier than under spring sowing. It seems that variety plays a role in the degree in which the change of sowing season from spring to autumn influenced flowering initiation. Autumn sowing increased the flowering duration of the varieties in comparison with spring sowing. Plant maturity of all the varieties averaged 30 days earlier in autumn sowing than in spring. Above results are comparable to the findings of Iliadis (2001). All the varieties except ILC482 in autumn sowing gave higher seed yields than in spring, indicating ILC482 variety was susceptible to low temperature and is suitable for spring sowing but other varieties are appropriate for autumn sowing. However the highest seed yield was produced from autumn sowing (November 02) with ILC1799 variety. Among the varieties the greatest response to autumn sowing was by 'Azad, Hashem and ILC1799' although 'ILC1799' was the most productive. Such differing reactions of chickpea varieties for seed yield in autumn sowing has also been reported by Calcagno et al. (1987) and Saxena (1984).

For getting success with the winter sowing of chickpea it is necessary that the cultivars have high level of tolerance to cold and resistance to *Aschochyta* blight (Saxena and Singh, 1987; Reddy and Singh, 1990; Singh and Reddy, 1996; Toker and Cagiran, 2003; Gan *et al.*, 2006).

The superiority of the autumn-sown crops is mainly due to the matching crop phenology with the availability of optimum temperature and moisture regimes. Ozdemir and Karadavut (2003) reported that autumn-sown chickpea genotypes were at their midvegetative stages while the spring-sown seeds were emerging from the soil. After a long wait at the seedling stage, autumn-sown crops quickly started to develop photosynthetic surfaces, a larger crop canopy, and more branches and reproductive nodes in favorable temperature on a very moist soil over a longer duration. On the other hand, our results showed that for the spring-sown crop, plants produced fewer yield components, biomass and harvest index, causing a yield decrease. Improved cultivars as those in the

present experiment except ILC482 were resistant to cold. The influence of temperature on growth and development varies according to genotype. In spring sowing, temperature increases during June restricted adequate reproductive growth, shortened flowering and the seed-filling stage and caused shorter harvest index and yield. The higher seed yields in autumn sowing clearly showed that changing the sowing time of chickpeas from spring to autumn is profitable (Iliadis, 2001; Ozdemir and Karadavut, 2003; Sarno et al., 1987; Calcagno et al., 1987; Singh et al., 1997). In fully agreement with the present experiment Chaitanya and Chandrika (2006) among 4 sowing dates (October 15, November 01, November 15 and December 01) found that November 01 sown-chickpea produced higher yield attributes and yield in India.

Conclusion

The present study confirms previous results showing that mid autumn sowing improves the productivity of chickpea, as compared with spring and very early and late autumn sowing. Autumn sowing in comparison with spring increased seed yield due to higher biomass, harvest index and yield components. The degree of superiority of seed yield in autumn sowing over spring was strongly influenced by the climatic conditions and tolerance of varieties to cold stress. It became obvious from the results that only with resistant varieties can autumn sowing seed yield superiority be ensured. All varieties except ILC482 gave higher seed yield in autumn sowing. The highest seed yield was obtained with ILC1799 sown on November 02.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Shahre-Rey Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran for providing funds for the project.

References

Anonymous, 2010. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). http://www.fao.org

Auld DL, Bettis BL, Crock JE, Kephart KD. 1988. Planting date and temperature effects on germination, emergence and seed yield of chickpea. Agronomy Journal **80**, 909-914.

Calcagno F, Gallo G, Raimonda J, Venora G, Jatani M. 1987. Early planting to increase and stabilize chickpea crop in hot dry environments. ENED La Coltura Del Cece in Italia, pp. 130-131.

Chaitanya SK, Chandrika V. 2006. Performance of chickpea varieties under varied dates of sowing in chittoor district of andhra Pradesh. Legume Research **29 (2),** 137 – 139.

Egli, DB. 1998. Seed biology and the yield of grain crops. CAB International, New York. ISBN-10: 0851992412

Gan YT, Siddique KHM, MacLeod WJ, Jayakumar P. 2006. Management options for minimizing the damage by *ascochyta* blight (*Ascochyta rabiei*) in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Field Crops Research 97, 121–134.

Ghafoor A, Zahid MA, Ahmad A, Afzal M, Zubair M. 2000. Selecting superior mungbean lines on the basis of genetic diversity and harvest index. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences **3**, 1270-1273.

Hawtin GG, Singh KB. 1984. Prospect and potential of winter sowing of chickpea in Mediterranean region. In: *Ascochyta* blight and winter sowing of chickpea, Saxena, M.C. and K.B. Singh (Eds.). The Netherlands, pp: 7-16.

Hedge VS, Yadav SS, Kumar J. 2007. Heterosis and combining ability for biomass and harvest index in chickpea under a drought-prone, short-duration environment. Euphytica **157**, 223-230.

Iliadis C. 2001. Evaluation of six chickpea varieties for seed yield under autumn and spring sowing. Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge **137**, 439-444.

Janneli P, Bozzini A. 1987. Chickpea breeding for winter and spring sowing. ENED La Coltura Del Cece in Italia, pp. 96-106.

Keatinge JDH, Cooper PJM. 1983. Kabuli chickpea as a winter-sown crop in northern Syria: moisture relations and crop productivity. Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge **100**, 667–680.

Leport L, Turner NC, French RJ, Barr MD, Duda R, Davies SL, Tennant D, Siddique KHM. 1999. Physiological responses of chickpea genotypes to terminal drought in a Mediterranean-type environment. European Journal of Agronomy 11, 279-291.

O'Toole N, Stoddard FL, O'Brien L. 2001. Screening of chickpea for adaptation to autumn sowing. Journal of Agronomy & Crop Science **186**, 193-207.

Oweis T, Hachum A, Pala M. 2004. Water use efficiency of winter-sown chickpea under supplemental irrigation in a Mediterranean environment. Agricultural Water Management **66**, 163–179.

Ozdemir S, Karadavut U. 2003. Comparison of the performance of autumn and spring sowing of chickpeas in a temperate region. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry **27**, 345-352.

Pandey RK, Maranville JW, Chetima MM. 2000. Deficit irrigation and nitrogen effects on maize in a Sahelian environment. II. Shoot growth, nitrogen uptake and water extraction. Agricultural Water Management **46**, 15-27. **Rajin Anwar M, Mckenzie BA, Hill GD. 2003.** The effect of irrigation and sowing date on crop yield and yield components of Kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) in a cool-temperate subhumid climate. Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge **141**, 259– 271.

Reddy MN, Singh KB. 1990. Relationship between *ascochyta* blight severity and yield losses in chickpea. Phytopatholgia Mediterranea **31**, 59-66.

Rosales-Serna R, Kohashi-Shibata J, Acosta-Gallegos JA, Trejo-Lo-Peza C, Ortiz-Cereceresc J. 2004. Biomass distribution and yield in droughtstressed common bean cultivars. Field Crops Research **85,** 203-211.

Sarno R, Stringi L, Amato G, Crivello M. 1987. Effects of sowing time on yield and its components in some chickpea genotypes. ENED La Coltura Del Cece in Italia, pp. 132–139.

Saxena MC. 1984. Agronomic studies on winter chickpeas. In: Saxena, M.C., Singh, K.B. (Eds.), Proceedings of the Workshop on Ascochyta Blight and Winter Sowing of Chickpeas, ICARDA, 4–7 May 1981, Aleppo, Syria, pp. 123–137.

Saxena MC, Singh KB. 1987. Prospects for chickpea cultivation in the Mediterranean area. La Coltura del Cece in Italia. Atti dalla Giornata tenutasi press ilcentro Research Energia della Casaccia, Roma, pp. 79-81.

Siddique KHM, Loss SP, Regan KL, Jettner RL. 1999. Adaptation and seed yield of cool season grain legumes in Mediterranean environments of southwestern Australia. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research **50**, 375-387.

Singh KB. 1997. Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). Field Crops Research 53, 161-170.

Singh KB, Bejiga G. 1990. Analysis of stability for some characters in kabuli chickpea. Euphytica **49**, 227-233.

Singh KB, Bejiga G, Malhotra RS. 1990. Associations of some characters with seed yield in chickpea collections. Euphytica **49**, 83-88.

Singh KB, Malhotra RS, Saxena MC. 1995. Additional sources of tolerance to cold in cultivated and wild Cicer species. Crop Science **35**, 1491-1497.

Singh KB, Reddy MV. 1996. Improving chickpea yield by incorporating resistance to *ascochyta* blight. Theoretical and Applied Genetics **92**, 509-515.

Singh KB, Malhotra RS, Saxena MC, Bejiga G. 1997. Superiority of winter sowing over traditional spring sowing of chickpea in the Mediterranean region. Agronomy Journal **89**, 112-118.

Steel RGD, Torrie JH. 1980. Principles and procedure of statistics. Mc Graw Hill Book Co. Inc., N.Y., USA. ISBN: 07-060925-X

Summerfield RJ, Virmani SM, Roberts EH, ELLIS RH. 1990. Adaptation of chickpea to agroclimatic constraints. In Chickpea in the Nineties: Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Chickpea Improvement (Eds B. J. Walby & S. D. Hall), pp. 61–72. Patancheru, India: ICRISAT.

Toker C, Cagiran MI. 2003. Selection criterion in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Acta* Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B - Soil & Plant Science **53**, 42-45.

Ur-Rehman A, Saleem M, Naveed A. 2005. Genetic analysis of harvest index in mungbean. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences **42**, 66-70.

Valimohammadi F, Tajbakhsh M, Saeid A. 2007. Comparison winter and spring sowing dates and effect of plant density on yield, yield components and some quality, morphological traits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under environmental condition of Urmia. Journal of Agronomy **6 (4)**, 571-575.

Yucel D, Anlarsal AE. 2008. Performance of some winter chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes in Mediterranean conditions. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici *Cluj*-Napoca **36 (2)**, 35-41.