

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print) 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 3, No. 7, p. 30-35, 2013

# **RESEARCH PAPER**

# **OPEN ACCESS**

# An efficient DNA extraction protocol for medicinal plants

Ajmal Iqbal<sup>1</sup>, Israr Ahmad<sup>2</sup>, Habib Ahmad<sup>2\*</sup>, M. S. Nadeem<sup>2</sup>, Mohammad Nisar<sup>3</sup>, Huma Riaz<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, Hazara University, Mansehra, KP, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Genetics, Hazara University, Mansehra, KP, Pakistan <sup>3</sup>Department of Botany, University of Malakand, Chakdara KP, Pakistan

Key words: Berberis, Mentha, DNA Isolation, GPS, RAPD and PCR.

doi: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/3.7.30-35</u>

Article published on July 25, 2013

## Abstract

This paper communicates a simple and cost effective protocol for isolation of genomic DNA from dry parts of Berberis and Mentha. This protocol was applied to 5 species of Berberis and 4 species of Mentha collected from different locality of Kunhar Valley. In this protocol 5 M NaCl, 2% CTAB, 1% PVP and 0.1 % β-mercaptoethanol were used and incubated at 60°C for 25 minutes. Pure DNA extracted by this method was found sufficient and suitable for PCR amplification and Southern blot hybridization analyses, which are the important steps in crop improvement programme through marker development and genetic engineering techniques.

\* Corresponding Author: Habib Ahmad 🖂 drhahmad@gmail.com

## Introduction

The use of molecular markers in genome studies has greatly enhanced the speed of crop improvement in breeding programs. A prerequisite for taking advantage of this technology is the isolation of DNA for PCR amplification. For DNA isolation a rapid, simple and reliable method is generally needed. As the size, content, organization of genome and contents of metabolites of different plants vary to a great extent, a single DNA isolation protocol is not likely to be applicable for all the plant tissues (Loomis, 1974). Medicinal and aromatic plants contain secondary metabolites which affect the enzymatic reactions reducing yield and quality of the extracted DNA (Weising et al., 1995; Loomis, 1974; Porebski *et al.*, 1997). Polysaccharides and polyphenolic compounds causes difficulty in DNA extraction and purification (Fang et al., 1992 and Howland et al., 1991). Polysaccharides often react with DNA and thus reduce the action of DNA modifying enzymes i.e. restriction enzymes, DNA polymerase and ligase during DNA isolation (Sharma et. al., 2002). Several methods for extracting DNA from different parts of plant materials are available (Dellaporta et al., 1983; Keim et al., 1988; Doyle and Doyle et al., 1990; Khanujaet al., 1999; Kumar et al., 2003). The methods employed for extracting DNA from fresh and dried parts of medicinal plants are however time consuming and generally yield DNA in lesser quantity. This communication introduces as a simple, rapid, inexpensive and efficient protocol for DNA extraction from Berberis and Mentha species.

## Materials and methods

#### Plant materials

Nine species of two important genera of medicinal plants i.e. *Berberis* and *Mentha* were collected from different areas of Kunhar valley Mansehra, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pakistan. Five species of *Berberis i. e. Berberis kunwarensis* (Kapigali), *B. lyceum* (Balakot), *B. orthobotrys* (Shogra), *B. pachyacantha* (Saiful Maluk) & *B. parkeriana* (Kaghan) and four species of *Mentha* i.e. *Mentha arvensis* (Kaghan), *M. longifolia* (Balakot), *M. royleana* (Naran) & *M. spicata* (Mahandri). The specimens were identified with the help of Flora of Pakistan (Jafri, 1975 and Hedge, 1990). The DNA was extracted by the protocols of Barzegari, *et al.*, 2010; Khan *et al.*, 2007; Kumar *et al.*, 2003; Khanuja *et al.*, 1999; Lodhi *et al.*, 1994 and Doyle and Doyle, 1990 is in lesser quantity. Finally some modified procedure was used and best result was obtained.

#### Reagents

Sodium EDTA, Tris-HCl, NaCl,

Cyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), Polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVP), β-mercaptoethanol, Chloroform, Isoamylalcohol, Ethanol, RNAase A

## CTAB buffer with pH 8.0

Take 7.44g Sodium EDTA, 15.76g Tris-HCl, 81.82g NaCl, dissolve it in 1000ml of water and add 2.0% (w/v) i.e. 20g CTAB, CTAB will be dissolve by heating up to 60 °C, adjust the pH to 8.0. Add 0.1 % of βmercaptoethanol and 01% PVP just before use.

## Chloroform: Isoamyl alcohol 24:1 (v/v)

Take 24 ml of Chloroform and add with 1 ml of Isoamylalcohol.

## 5 M NaCl

Take 292.2 g of NaCl and dissolve in 1L of water that will form 5 M solution of NaCl.

### TE buffer

Dissolve 1 M Tris, 0.5 M EDTA in 100 ml of water and adjust pH to 8.0.

#### Plant sample treatment

Fresh plant sample were collected and sun dried.

## DNA isolation procedure

Grind 0.026g dry part of plant in pestle and mortar without liquid nitrogen. Transfer the powder material to 1.5 ml eppendorf tube and add 500  $\mu$ l of Cetyltrimethylammoniumbromide (CTAB) buffer, 01(w/v)% Polyvinylpolypyrrolidone (PVP) and 0.1 % (0.5 $\mu$ l)  $\beta$ -mercaptoethanol. Incubate the mixture for 35 minutes at 65 °C. Cool to room temperature and add one volume of Chloroform Isoamylalcohol and mix gently for 1-2 minute. Centrifuge at 6000 rpm for 15minutes. Transfer the supernatant to another tube, if impurities are present than again add one volume of Chloroform Isoamylalcohol and mix gently for 1-2 minute. Again centrifuge at 6000 rpm for 15minutes. Transfer the supernatant to another tube and add 0.5

volumes of 5M NaCl. Add one volumes of ice cold pure ethanol and kept at 6 – 7 °C for 15-20 minutes or even more for 12 hour. Centrifuge at 3000 rpm for 3 minutes and then Centrifuge at 8000 rpm for 5 minutes Discard the supernatant and wash the pellet with 70% ethanol. Dry the pellet and dissolve it in 15µl TE buffer. Keep at 6 - 7 °C for 1 hour or overnight to dissolve the DNA completely.

## Gel Electrophoresis

Quality and quantity of the DNA was checked on 1% agarose / TBE gel. For gel preparation 0.5 gram of agarose powder was dissolved in 50 mL TBE. The mixture was boiled on hot plate at 100°C. After agarose was dissolved completely, 5 µl ethidium bromide was added and gel was casted in a gel tray with comb. After solidifying, gel was placed in gel tank containing 1X TBE. 5 µl DNA from each samples was taken, mixed with 3 µl loading dye and loaded in the wells. Gel was then run at constant voltage of 70 volts for approximately 30 minutes. The gel was observed under UV light using "Uvitech" gel documentation system. If RNA is present than add 1µl "RNAse A" and incubate at 35 °C for 15 minutes to remove RNA.

## Results

In spite of several attempts we were unsuccessful to isolate a consistent amount of DNA with good quality and quantity suitable for amplification through PCR and restriction analyses from different species of Berberis and Mentha. The methods used include; Barzegari, et al., (2010); Kumar, et al., (2003); Khan et al., (2007); Khanuja et al., (1999) Lodhi, et al., (1994) and Doyle & Doyle (1990). The DNA of high quality and quantity was however extracted by a modified protocol. Details of modification made in the protocols are given in Table 1. The DNA isolated by this protocol from dried parts of plants belaying to both the genera is free of polysaccharides and secondary metabolites. The DNA isolated was checked by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis, the result showed that the DNA was neither contaminated nor degraded (Figure 1). The DNA of all specimens was run independently with a primer for PCR amplification, the result of PCR amplification is represented in figure 1.

Table 1. The following modifications have made in the protocols of Doyle and Doyle (1990) and Lodhi, et al. (1994).

| S.No | Doyle and Doyle (1990) protocol          | Lodhi, et al. (1994) protocol   | Present protocol                      |
|------|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1.   | Fresh leaves were used                   | Young unexpanded leaves were    | All parts of the plant (mature or     |
|      |  | used                            | immature)                             |
| 2.   | Liquid nitrogen is used for collection & | Liquid nitrogen is used for     | Materials is collected without liquid |
|      | preservation of material                 | collection & preservation of    | nitrogen & dried in sunlight          |
|      |  | material                        |                                       |
| 3    | 10 mM ammonium acetate is used           | Not used                        | Not used                              |
| 4.   | 0.2 % β-mercaptoethanol                  | 0.2 % β-mercaptoethanol         | 0.1 % β-mercaptoethanol               |
| 5.   | chloroform:Isoamylalcohol is used        | chloroform:octanol is used      | chloroform:Isoamylalcohol is used     |
| 6.   | Incubated the sample for 30 minutes      | Incubated the sample for 25     | Incubated the sample for 25           |
|      | at 60°C                                  | minutes at 60°C                 | minutes at 60°C                       |
| 7.   | Spin at 6000 rpm for 10                  | Spin at 3000 rpm for 3minutes   | Spin at 3000 rpm for three minutes    |
|      |  | and then increase speed to 5000 | and then increase speed to 8000       |
|      |  | rpm for an additional 3 minutes | rpm for an additional 5 minutes       |
| 8.   | Wash pellet with 70% ethanol at room     | Wash pellet with 76% cold       | Wash pellet with 70% ethanol at       |
|      | temperature.                             | ethanol                         | room temperature.                     |

## Discussion

The secondary metabolites produced by medicinal plants possess important medicinal properties and are used in food, pharmaceutical, cosmetics and pesticide industries (Khanuja et al., 1999). Secondary metabolites and polysaccharides cause great problems in DNA isolation (Puchooa and Venkatasamy 2005) and isolated DNA that contains secondary metabolites is not suitable for PCR amplification and restriction digestion Lodhi et al. (1994). For DNA extraction from Berberis and Mentha species different protocols were used. The results of these protocols were; Kumar et al. (2003) protocol is relatively simple and cheaper but not applicable in case of Berberis and Mentha species because the DNA extracted by this protocol was in brown color most probably of secondary metabolite polysaccharides, as suggested by Lodhi et al. (1994), which are considered to be a PCR blocker. Hence the extracted DNA was not suitable for PCR amplification. The DNA pellet obtained through using Khanuja et al. (1999) protocol was not single mass rather powdery in nature, which was not suitable for PCR amplification. The degraded nature of genomic DNA has also been reported by Puchooa and Venkatasamy (2005). The protocol adopted by Barzegari et al. (2010) was good enough for DNA extraction but was very lengthy and time consuming. It had at least 16 steps for DNA extraction and mostly the steps involved centrifugation and centrifugation damage the intact DNA. The DNA isolated with the protocol of Khan et al. (2007) was highly viscous, sticky and was difficult to be resolved on the agarose gel. Similar problems with using this protocol were also reported by Thakare et al. (2007).

The protocol of Doyle and Doyle (1990) was very simple and failed for DNA from *Berberis* and *Mentha* species. Lodhi *et al.* (1994), protocol was found best when partially expanded leaves were used for DNA isolation. In old/ mature leaves the yield was low and the DNA was not completely digestible. Later in the season DNA extraction was difficult and the DNA obtained was unstable for long term storage. The extracted DNA by such protocol was partially soluble in TE buffer and therefore the quality and quantity of the DNA was also not satisfactory. Hence it was imperative to develop a new protocol for DNA extraction from *Berberis* and *Mentha* species. Thus a modified protocol came up with some basic changes in the protocols of Lodhi, *et al.* (1994) and Doyle & Doyle (1990). Details of modification are represented in table 1. This protocol is useful for DNA isolation from dried parts of *Berberis* and *Mentha* species.

*Berberis* and *Mentha* species contain high polysaccharides which can easily remove by adding more than 0.5 M of NaCl with CTAB (Paterson et al., 1993). In this protocol high concentration (5 M) of NaCl is used to remove polysaccharides. The concentration range of NaCl used in DNA extraction protocol varies from 0.7 M (Clark, 1997) to 6 M (Aljanabi *et al.*, 1999) depending upon plant species.



**Fig. 1.** DNA extracted from root (AB), Stem (CD) and Leaves (EF) of *Berberis* (1.1). DNA extracted from Stem (GH) and Leaves (IJ) of *Mentha* (1.2). Plates 1.3 and 1.4 show the PCR amplification of Berberis and Mentha DNA respectively obtained through modified protocol.

This protocol is also easy as it avoids the use of liquid Nitrogen. The problem with liquid nitrogen is not only it's availability but its storage for long time in mountains during collection is nearly impossible. Secondly fresh leaves might not be available in every season so alternative to that one may use other parts of the plant i.e. shoots, roots etc. The modifications suggested here in this paper will not only facilitate researcher in extraction of DNA from genera like *Beberis* and *Mentha* but will also reduce the expenses of the research.

## References

**Aljanabi SM, Forget L, Dookun A**. 1999. An improved rapid protocol for the isolation of polysaccharide and polyphenol–free sugarcane DNA. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter **17**, 1–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1007692929505

**Barzegari A, Vahed SZ, Atashpaz S, Khani S, Omidi Y**. 2010. Rapid and simple methodology for isolation of high quality genomic DNA from coniferous tissues (Taxusbaccata). Molecular Biology Reports **37**, 833–837.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11033-009-9634-z

**Clark MS.** 1997. In: Plant Molecular Biology – A Laboratory Manual, p. 305–28, Springer–Verlog Berlin Heidelberg, New York.

**Dellaporta SL, Wood J, Hicks JB**. 1983. A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter **1**, 19-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02712670

**Doyle JJ**, **Doyle JL**. 1990. Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus **12**, 13-15.

**Fang G, Hammar S, Rebecca R.** 1992. A quick and inexpensive method for removing polysaccharides from plant genomic DNA. Biotechniques **13**, 52-56.

**Hedge IC.** 1990. Labiatae; in S. I. Ali and Y. J. Nasir (edt.) Flora of Pakistan. Department of Botany, Karachi University, Karachi. Pakistan **192**, 255-264.

Howland DE, Oliver RP, Davy AJ. 1991. A method of extraction of DNA from birch. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter **9**, 340-344. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02672010

Jafri SMH. 1975. Berberidaceae. In E. Nasir and S. I. Ali (edt.) Flora of West Pakistan. Department of Botany, Karachi University, Karachi. Pakistan **8**7, 1-31. Keim P, Olson TC, Shoemaker RC. 1988. A rapid protocol for isolating soybean DNA. Soybean Genet News **15**, 150-152.

Khan S, Qureshi MI, Kamaluddin, Alam T, Abdin MZ. 2007. Protocol for isolation of genomic DNA from dry and fresh roots of medicinal plants suitable for RAPD and restriction digestion. African Journal of Biotechnology **6**, 175-178.

Suman PS, Khanuja, Shasany AK, Darokar MP, Kumar S. 1999. Rapid isolation of DNA from dry and fresh samples of plants producing large amounts of secondary metabolites and essential oils. Plant molecular biology Reporter 17, 1 - 7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1007528101452

**Kumar A, Pushpangadan P, Mehrotra S.** 2003. Extraction of high molecular weight DNA from dry root tissue of *Berberis lycium* suitable for RAPD. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter **21**, 309a-309d. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02772807

Lodhi AM, Guang-Ning Ye, Norman F, Weeden, Bruce IR. 1994. A simple and efficient method for DNA extraction from grapevine cultivars, *Vitis* species and *Ampelopsis*. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter **12**, 6-13.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02668658

Loomis MD. 1974. Overcoming problems of phenolics and quinones in the isolation of plant enzymes and organelles. Methods Enzymol **31**, 528–544.

**Paterson AH, Brubaker CL, Wendel JF.** 1993. A rapid method for extraction of Cotton (Gossypium spp) genomic DNA suitable for RFLP or PCR analysis. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter **11**, 122–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02670470

**Porebski S, Bailey LG, Baum BR.** 1997. Modification of a CTAB DNA extraction protocol for plants containing high polysaccharide and polyphenol components. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter **15**, 8– 15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02772108

**Puchooa D, Venkatasamy K.** 2005. A Protocol for the isolation of DNA from Trochetia boutoniana, International Journal of Agriculture & Biology **07**, 82–85.

**Sharma AD, Kaur GP, Prabhjeet S**. 2002. DNA isolation from dry and fresh samples of polysaccharide-rich plants. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter **20**, 415a-415f.

## http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02772129

Deshmukh VP, Thakare PV, Choudhari US, Gawande PA. 2007. A simple method for isolation of genomic DNA from fresh and dry leaves of Terminalia arjuna (Roxb.) Wight and Arnot. Electronic Journal of Biotechnology **10**, 468-472. http://dx.doi.org/10.2225/vol10-issue3-fulltext-5

Weising K, Nybom H, Wolff K, Meyer W. 1995. DNA isolation and purification. In: DNA fingerprinting in plants and fungi. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida 44–59.