



## RESEARCH PAPER

## OPEN ACCESS

## Effect of different irrigation treatments and plant density on yield and yield components of Dragon's head (*Lallemantia iberica* Fish. et Mey.)

Parisa Aghaei-Gharachorlou, Safar Nasrollahzadeh\*, Jalil Shafagh-Kolvanagh

*Department of Plant Eco-physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran*

**Key words:** Dragon's head, irrigation intervals, plant density, yield.

doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/3.8.144-149>

Article published on August 20, 2013

### Abstract

In order to investigate the effect of different irrigation treatments and plant density on yield and yield components of Dragon's head (*Lallemantia iberica* Fish. et Mey.), an experiment was carried out as split-plot based on randomized complete block design with four replications at the Research Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Iran in 2012. Irrigation treatments (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, I<sub>3</sub>, I<sub>4</sub> and I<sub>5</sub>: irrigation after 70, 100, 130, 160 and 190 mm evaporation from class A pan, respectively) were assigned to main plots and four plant density levels (D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>4</sub>: 200, 300, 400, 500 plant/m<sup>2</sup>) were allocated to the sub plots. Biological and grain yield, number of lateral stem, number of grain and capsule per plant, number of capsule per lateral stem, 1000 grain weight and harvest index were recorded. Results showed that irrigation treatments had significant effects on all of the characteristics with the exception of harvest index and 1000 grain weight. Means comparison showed that highest biological and grain yield, numbers of lateral stem, numbers of capsule per plant, number of capsule per lateral stem, number of grain per plant were achieved under I<sub>1</sub> treatments. Plant density did not have significant effects on biological yield and 1000 grain weight. Irrigation after 70 mm evaporation from class A pan produced more grain yield, but difference between I<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>2</sub> treatments was not significant. The greatest grain yield was obtained from 400 plant/m<sup>2</sup>. According to the results obtained, irrigation after 100 mm evaporation from class a pan and density of 400 plant/m<sup>2</sup> is the best combination for Dragon's head grain production.

\*Corresponding Author: Safar Nasrollahzadeh ✉ [nasr.tbu@gmail.com](mailto:nasr.tbu@gmail.com)

## Introduction

Medicinal plants are valuable sources in Iranian natural resources whose understanding and scientific cultivation can play an important role in people's health and job creation. Dragon's head (*Lallemantia iberica* Fish. et Mey.) is an important annual medical plant that belongs to the Labiatae family (Naghibi, 2005). Dragon's head originates in the Caucasian region and may be locally naturalized in East and Central East Europe. *Lallemantia iberica* cultivated for its oil seeds, the seed contains up to 30% of a drying oil (Usher, 1994).

In dry areas, the major factor limiting agricultural production is water. Drought stress is one of the most important environmental stresses affecting agricultural productivity around the world and may result in considerable yield reductions (Ludlow and Muchow, 1990). Decreasing the growth trend of roots and shoots, leaf area, photosynthesis, transpiration, plant height and dry weight are some the drought-induced losses reported by Jiang and Huang (2000). Plant responses to drought stress are very complex and include adaptive changes or deleterious effects (Chaves *et al.*, 2002). The effects of drought stress are observed in the form of phenological responses, morphological adaptations, physiological changes and biochemical adaptations. Plant reactions are affected by the amount of soil water directly or indirectly. All physiological processes like photosynthesis, transpiration, cell turgidity, and cell and tissue growth in plants are directly affected by water availability (Sarker *et al.*, 2005). For achieve high yield, an adequate water supply is required during the growing season. The period at the beginning of the flowering stage is most sensitive to water shortage, while maximum yield and yield components were obtained with full irrigation, almost the maximum yield generally were obtained when irrigation was made to provide adequate water during flowering and fruit formation periods (Blum, 2005).

On the other hand, Plant density is one of the main factors determining seed yield (Long *et al.*, 2001). In fact, the yield of plant is the result of the competition

within and outside of the plant on the environmental factors and the maximum yield will be obtained when, this competition has decreased and the plant has the maximum using of these environmental factors. Several reports indicate that yield and yield components of Chicory (Taheri *et al.*, 2006), and pumpkin (Babayee *et al.*, 2010), change under the effect of the different densities of planting. The better understanding effects of irrigation frequency and planting density on local and neglected crops can help to determine optimal irrigation scheduling. The question that also needs to be resolved is if different plant populations are relevant factors determining the final crop yield under different irrigation frequencies. Therefore, this investigation was undertaken to evaluate the influence of irrigation intervals and plant density on yield and yield components of Dragon's head.

## Material and methods

### *Site description and experimental design*

The field experiment was conducted in 2012 at the Research Farm of the University of Tabriz, Iran (latitude 38°05'\_N, longitude 46°17'\_E, altitude 1360 m above sea level). The climate of research area is characterized by mean annual precipitation of 285 mm, mean annual temperature of 10°C, mean annual maximum temperature of 16.6°C and mean annual minimum temperature of 4.2°C. The experiment was arranged as split plot design with three replications. Irrigation treatments (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, I<sub>3</sub>, I<sub>4</sub> and I<sub>5</sub>: irrigation after 70, 100, 130, 160 and 190 mm evaporation from class A pan, respectively) were assigned to main plots and four plant density levels (D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>4</sub>: 200, 300, 400, 500 plant/ m<sup>2</sup>) were allocated to the sub plots. All plots were irrigated immediately after sowing. Irrigation treatments were applied after seedling establishment. Hand weeding of the experimental area was performed as required.

### *Measurement of traits*

To specify capsule number per plant and number of capsule per lateral stem ten plants were selected from the middle of the plots and then, they were measured. Also to determine of grain yield and

biological yield an area equal to 1 m<sup>2</sup> was harvested from middle part of each plot considering marginal effect. Harvested plants were dried in 25°C and under shadow and air flow then grains were separated from their remains by threshing.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the data was performed with MSTAT-C software. Duncan multiple range test was applied to compare means of each trait at 5% probability.

#### Results and discussion

The biological yield of dragon's head was significantly affected by irrigation ( $P < 0.01$ ), but plant density and interaction between irrigation and plant density was not significant (Table 1). Highest biological yield (504.7gr/m<sup>2</sup>) was obtained in I<sub>1</sub> treatment, but different between I<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>2</sub> was not significant (Table 2). Effect of drought stress on decreasing of biological yield was reported by Pezeshkpour *et al.*, (2008) and Fallah *et al.*, (2005). Effects of irrigation and plant density treatments on grain yield and lateral stem number were significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) (Table 1), but their interaction was not significant for this traits. Highest grain yield (158.1 gr/m<sup>2</sup>) were achieved under I<sub>1</sub>

treatment (Table 3). Lowest lateral stem number (1.237) obtained in I<sub>5</sub> treatment and between other treatments had not significantly different (Table 2). Highest grain yield (123.0 gr/m<sup>2</sup>) and lateral stem number (2.442 stem/plant) were achieved under planting density of 400 and 200 plant/m<sup>2</sup> respectively. But different between D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> treatments was not significant for the lateral stem number (Table 2). Decreasing of grain yield by drought stress, also were reported by Yang and Wang (2001) and Jaleel *et al.*, (2008). The effect of low or high plant density on decreasing grain yield was also reported by Binaco., *et al* (1994) and El-Gengai and Abdollah (1987). Also plant density had a significant effect on harvest index (Table 1). Highest harvest index was achieved under planting density of 400 plant/m<sup>2</sup> (Table 4). Interaction of irrigation and planting density treatments had significantly affected grain per plant, number of capsule per plant and number of capsule per lateral steam (Table 1). Highest grain per plant (294.5), number of capsule per plant (63.30) and number of capsule per lateral steam was achieved under I<sub>1</sub> irrigation treatment and planting density of 200 plant/m<sup>2</sup> (Table 4). I<sub>1</sub>D<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>2</sub>D<sub>1</sub> treatments had similar results for these traits.

**Table 1.** Analysis of variance of selected parameters of Dragon's head affected by irrigation and plant density treatments.

S.O.V	df	Biological yield	Grain yield	Lateral stem	number of Capsule per plant	number of Capsule per lateral steam	Grain per plant	1000 grain weight	Harvest index
Block	3	232.942	15.339	0.057	14.177	0.684	36.658	0.166	0.141
Irrigation	4	364255.501**	34582.660**	1.834**	2382.358**	521.121**	59406.778**	0.2	2.936
Error	12	966.385	45.683	0.163	14.906	6.385	53.506	0.107	2.044
Plant density	3	900.277	1552.410**	10.389**	387.617**	1140.246**	30968.801**	0.034	81.928**
Interaction	12	535.150	34.703	0.164	20.150*	63.488**	1400.901**	0.157	0.683
Error	45	771.454	58.313	0.103	8.427	4.051	33.602	0.095	1.332

Ns=Non significant; \* and \*\* = Significant at 5% and 1% probability level, respectively.

As it was shown in the results of this study, water deficit stress had a negative effect on all of the Dragon's head characteristics under study, which was in agreement with the results of Albright *et al.*, (1989) and Taheri *et al.*, (2006). Silvius *et al.*, (1977) stated

that the effects of water stress on soybean yield appeared to be related to limited availability of photosynthate and nitrogen for translocation to developing seed. Also, increase of planting density causes increase in grain yield. The effect of high

density on increasing grain yield of green cumin was also reported, by Mashayekhi *et al.*, (2011). In the present investigation, there was not found statistically difference between irrigation after 70 mm evaporation and 100 mm evaporation in grain yield.

Thus, irrigation after 100 mm evaporation recommended as the best irrigation interval for the semi-arid regions. On the other hand, it seems that plant density of 400 plant/m<sup>2</sup> is most suitable for grain yield of dragon's head in Tabriz climate conditio

**Table 2.** Mean comparisons for different traits of Dragon's head under different irrigation treatments.

Irrigation	Biological yield (gr/m <sup>2</sup> )	Grain yield (gr/m <sup>2</sup> )	Lateral stem (stem/plant)
I <sub>1</sub>	504.7 <sup>a</sup>	158.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.05 <sup>a</sup>
I <sub>2</sub>	499.3 <sup>a</sup>	152.8 <sup>a</sup>	1.696 <sup>a</sup>
I <sub>3</sub>	391.5 <sup>b</sup>	118.3 <sup>b</sup>	1.65 <sup>a</sup>
I <sub>4</sub>	259.2 <sup>c</sup>	80.53 <sup>c</sup>	2.056 <sup>a</sup>
I <sub>5</sub>	161 <sup>d</sup>	50.18 <sup>d</sup>	1.237 <sup>b</sup>

The means with same letters in each column are not significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ . (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, I<sub>3</sub>, I<sub>4</sub> and I<sub>5</sub>: irrigation after 70, 100, 130, 160 and 190 mm evaporation from class A pan, respectively).

**Table 3.** Mean comparisons for different traits of Dragon's head under plant density.

Plant density	Grain yield (gr/m <sup>2</sup> )	Lateral stem (stem/plant)	Harvest index (%)
D <sub>1</sub>	101.5 <sup>c</sup>	2.442 <sup>a</sup>	28.82 <sup>c</sup>
D <sub>2</sub>	110.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.215 <sup>a</sup>	30.18 <sup>b</sup>
D <sub>3</sub>	123.0 <sup>a</sup>	1.41 <sup>b</sup>	33.63 <sup>a</sup>
D <sub>4</sub>	113.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.885 <sup>c</sup>	30.87 <sup>b</sup>

The means with same letters in each column are not significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ . (D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>4</sub>: 200, 300, 400, 500 plant/m<sup>2</sup>).

**Table 4.** Mean comparisons traits of Dragon's head at different levels of irrigation and plant density.

Treatment	Grain per plant	Capsule per plant	Capsule per lateral stem
I <sub>1</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	294.5 <sup>a</sup>	63.30 <sup>a</sup>	31.92 <sup>a</sup>
I <sub>1</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	248.9 <sup>b</sup>	58.47 <sup>b</sup>	22.40 <sup>b</sup>
I <sub>1</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	210.3 <sup>d</sup>	52.65 <sup>c</sup>	17.23 <sup>c</sup>
I <sub>1</sub> D <sub>4</sub>	171.0 <sup>f</sup>	51.25 <sup>c</sup>	6.650 <sup>de</sup>
I <sub>2</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	287.0 <sup>a</sup>	63.42 <sup>a</sup>	31.50 <sup>a</sup>
I <sub>2</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	253.1 <sup>b</sup>	58.65 <sup>b</sup>	22.90 <sup>b</sup>
I <sub>2</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	213.9 <sup>d</sup>	58.15 <sup>b</sup>	15.38 <sup>c</sup>
I <sub>2</sub> D <sub>4</sub>	153.3 <sup>g</sup>	53.28 <sup>c</sup>	7.250 <sup>de</sup>
I <sub>3</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	225.1 <sup>c</sup>	50.74 <sup>c</sup>	25.92 <sup>b</sup>
I <sub>3</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	184.3 <sup>e</sup>	45.00 <sup>d</sup>	14.80 <sup>c</sup>
I <sub>3</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	151.7 <sup>g</sup>	42.43 <sup>de</sup>	5.600 <sup>de</sup>
I <sub>3</sub> D <sub>4</sub>	121.6 <sup>h</sup>	34.08 <sup>fgh</sup>	4.375 <sup>ef</sup>
I <sub>4</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	173.3 <sup>f</sup>	40.58 <sup>e</sup>	15.80 <sup>c</sup>
I <sub>4</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	144.1 <sup>g</sup>	33.80 <sup>fgh</sup>	14.40 <sup>c</sup>
I <sub>4</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	128.9 <sup>h</sup>	35.80 <sup>f</sup>	9.875 <sup>d</sup>
I <sub>4</sub> D <sub>4</sub>	104.3 <sup>i</sup>	31.10 <sup>gh</sup>	6.025 <sup>de</sup>
I <sub>5</sub> D <sub>1</sub>	107.3 <sup>i</sup>	34.33 <sup>fg</sup>	8.550 <sup>de</sup>
I <sub>5</sub> D <sub>2</sub>	92.18 <sup>j</sup>	31.58 <sup>fgh</sup>	7.950 <sup>de</sup>
I <sub>5</sub> D <sub>3</sub>	72.00 <sup>k</sup>	29.48 <sup>h</sup>	6.075 <sup>de</sup>
I <sub>5</sub> D <sub>4</sub>	77.75 <sup>k</sup>	29.65 <sup>h</sup>	1.383 <sup>f</sup>

The means with same letters in each column are not significantly different at  $p \leq 0.05$ . (I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub>, I<sub>3</sub>, I<sub>4</sub> and I<sub>5</sub>: irrigation after 70, 100, 130, 160 and 190 mm evaporation from class A pan and D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub> and D<sub>4</sub>: 200, 300, 400, 500 plant/m<sup>2</sup>).

## References

- Albright LD, Wolfe D, Novak S.** 1989. Modeling row straw mulch effects on microclimate and yield II. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* **114**, 569–578.
- Babayee SA, Daneshian J, Valadabadi SAR.** 2010. Effect of plant density and irrigation interval on some grain characteristics of pumpkin (*Cucurbita pepo* L.). *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences* **48**, 439-442.
- Bianco VV, Damato G, Gridi A.** 1994. Sowing date, plant density and crowing cutting on yield and quality of Florence fennel seed. *International Symposium on Agrotechnics and Storage of Vegetative and Ornamental Seeds*. Bari, Italy.
- Blum A.** 2005. Drought resistance, water use efficiency and yield potential, are they compatible, dissonant, or mutually exclusive. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research* **56**, 1159-1168.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AR05069>
- Chaves MM, Pereira JS, Maroco J, Rodrigues ML, Ricardo CPP, Osorio ML, Carvalho I, Faria T, Pineiro C.** 2002. How plants cope with water stress in the eld. *Photosynthesis and growth*. *Annual Botany* **89**, 907–916.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcf105>
- El-Gengai S, Abdallah N.** 1978. The effect of date of sowing and plant spacing on yield of seed and volatile oil of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). *Pharmazie* **9**, 605- 606.
- Fallah S, Ehsan-Zadeh P, Daneshvar M.** 2005. Grain yield and yield components in three chickpea genotypes under dry land conditions with and without supplementary irrigation at different plant density in Khoram-Abad, Lorestan. *Iranian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **363**, 719-731. (Text in Persian with English abstract).
- Jaleel CA, Manivannan P, Lakshmanan GMA, Gomathinayagam M, Panneerselvam R.** 2008. Alterations in morphological parameters and photosynthetic pigment responses of *Catharan thusroseus* under soil water deficits. *Colloids Surf B Bio interfaces* **61**, 298-303.
- Jiang Y, Huang B.** 2000. Effects of drought or heat stress alone and in combination on Kentucky bluegrass. *Crop Science* **40**, 1358-1362.
- Long M, Field B, Diepenbrock W.** 2001. Effects of plant density, row spacing and row orientation on yield and achene quality in rain fed sunflower. *Acta Agronomica Hungarica* **494**, 397-407.
- Ludlow MM, Muchow RC.** 1990. A critical evaluation of the traits for improving crop yield in water limited environments. *Advances in Agronomy* **43**, 107–153.
- Mashayekhi SA, Shirzadi MH, Naghavi H.** 2011. Effect of planting date and plant density on yield and yield components of green cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.). *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research* **96**, 773-777, 2011.
- Naghbi F.** 2005. Labiatae family in folk medicine in Iran.
- Pezeshkpour P, Mousavi SK, Shahabu SH, Kalhor M, Khourgami A.** 2008. Effects of supplemental irrigation and crop density on dry land pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) production, Hamadan province. *Iranian Journal of Agricultural* **392**, 389-397. (Text in Persian with English abstract).
- Sarker BC, Hara M, Uemura M.** 2005. Proline synthesis, physiological responses and biomass, yield of eggplants during and after repetitive soil moisture stress. *Sciences of Horticultural* **103**, 387-402.
- Silvius JS, Johnson RR, Peters DB.** 1977. Effect of water stress on carbon assimilation and

distribution in soybean plants at different stages of development. *Crop Science* **17**, 713-716.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1977>

**Taheri AM, Daneshian J, Aliabadi Farahani H.** 2006. Effects of drought stress and planting density on quantity and morphological characteristics of chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.). *Asian Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **11**, 12-14, 2009.

**Usher G.** 1974. A dictionary of plants used by man. Constable ISBN 0094579202.

**Yang HM, Wang GX.** 2001. Leaf stomatal densities and distribution in wheat under drought and CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. *Acta Phytoecologica Sinica* **25**, 312–316.