



## RESEARCH PAPER

## OPEN ACCESS

***Croton dichogamus* (Pax) leaf powder as a protectant of stored Bambara groundnuts (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc against *Callosobruchus maculatus*, Fabricius: Coleoptera: Bruchidae) in Tanzania**

Nicodemus S. Tlankka<sup>\*1,2</sup>, Ernest R. Mbega<sup>1</sup>, Patrick A. Ndakidemi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Sustainable Agriculture and Biodiversity Ecosystems Management, School of Life Science and Bio-Engineering, The Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology (NM-AIST), Arusha, Tanzania

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Research, Agricultural Advancement, Teaching Excellence and Sustainability in Food and Nutrition Security (CREATES, FNS), The Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology, Arusha, Tanzania

**Key words:** Underutilized crop, Oviposition, Mortality, Bioactive compounds

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/16.1.443-449>

Article published on January 30, 2020

**Abstract**

The insecticidal efficacy of the dried leaves powder of *Croton dichogamus* was evaluated to determine the effectiveness and optimize their use as a grain protectant against storage insect, *Callosobruchus maculatus*. *Croton dichogamus* at the dosage of 10, 5 and 1% (w/w) was compared with Actellic Super Dust and untreated control. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with (6) replications. The treatments were evaluated on *C. maculatus* live insects, grain damage, mortality and oviposition. The results revealed that the dry powder from *C. dichogamus* leaves considerably reduced the infestation of *C. maculatus*, seeds damage, oviposition and caused insect mortality. The higher dosage (10%w/w) of *C. dichogamus* powder displayed lower grain damage, fewer live *C. maculatus* and few seeds with eggs and high insect mortality. Therefore, from the findings of this study, it can be concluded that the powders from *C. dichogamus* leaves at the higher concentration protected the Bambara groundnut seeds against infestation by *C. maculatus*. Further research is recommended to determine the bioactive compounds from the leaves of *C. dichogamus*, mode of action and toxicity effects on non-target organisms.

\* Corresponding Author: Nicodemus S. Tlankka ✉ [\\*tlankkan@nm-aist.ac.tz](mailto:*tlankkan@nm-aist.ac.tz)

## Introduction

Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.) is an under-utilized climate-resilient leguminous crop native in Africa (Atiku *et al.*, 2004; Mayes *et al.*, 2019; Mpotokwane *et al.*, 2008). Bambara groundnut is mainly cultivated in Africa for its highly nutritious grains consumed as fresh or pulses or ground into flour. Despite the fact that the grains are highly nutritious containing approximately 20% protein, 56.3% carbohydrate, 6.0% fat, 5.8% fiber, and 2% mineral and regarded as a complete food it has however remained one of the orphan crop (Halimi *et al.*, 2018; Murevanhema & Jideani, 2013). In Tanzania, Bambara groundnut is ranked the third after peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*) and cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) in terms of production and social-economic importance (Azam-Ali *et al.*, 2001).

The crop is highly suitable for the dry regions and marginal soils where farmers cannot access irrigation and fertilizers resulting to the poor performance of other legumes as compared with Bambara groundnuts (Mayes *et al.*, 2019). It has been reported that Bambara groundnut is highly tolerant to erratic environmental conditions such as drought, temperature and depleting soil fertility a situation aggravated by climate change and continuous cultivation without replenishing soil nutrients (Mayes *et al.*, 2019). In Tanzania, the yield of Bambara groundnut is very low ranging between 500-800kg ha<sup>-1</sup> as compared with the optimum yield of 1,500-2,000kg ha<sup>-1</sup> where the crop is well managed (NARI, 2015). The low yield of the crop is partly attributed to pre-harvest and post-harvest insect infestation (DAFF, 2016). The most important post-harvest insect pest affecting Bambara groundnuts is the bruchids (*Callosobruchus maculatus*, and *C. subinnotatus*) (Maina & Lale, 2004). Bambara groundnuts are highly susceptible to infestation by bruchids *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) and *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* (Pic.) (Maina & Lale, 2004). The infestation of bruchids start from the field to the storage. In storage bruchids reduce the quantity, quality, viability of the seeds and the market value of the grains. In Nigeria, it has been reported that bruchids on stored legume grains can cause up to

99% grain loss if grains of susceptible variety is left unprotected by insecticide (Umar & Turaki, 2014). In an attempt to control bruchids farmers usually apply synthetic insecticides in the first place. When correctly used the synthetic pesticides are effective in controlling insect pests (Cooper & Dobson, 2007). However, the use of synthetic pesticides is associated with negative side effects to consumers, the environment and the non-target animals (Aktar *et al.*, 2009). Various strategies such as cultural control measures, breeding for resistance, and application of pesticidal plants are used to control insects on crops (Ajayi & Lale, 2000). The use of pesticidal plant powders is a promising strategy (Chikukura *et al.*, 2011; Chougourou *et al.*, 2016).

*Croton dichogamus* (Pax: Euphorbiaceae) is a naturally growing shrub widely distributed in parts of the Northern Tanzania including districts of Babati and Mbulu where it is traditionally used by agro-pastoralists for the control of storage insects and treatment of urinary tract infection (Qwarse *et al.*, 2018). However, despite being used traditionally for controlling storage insects, there is no scientific evidence for the effectiveness of this plant as a grain protectant. Therefore, the current study has evaluated the effectiveness of this plant as a potential Bambara grain protectant against storage insects.

## Materials and methods

### Collection and preparation of plant materials

The fresh leaves of *Croton dichogamus* were collected from Babati in Manyara Tanzania (04°18'04.6" S, 35°24'49.7" E, and 1570m above sea level. The pesticidal plant leaves were air-dried under the shade for 14 days at room temperature (22°C–26°C) and relative humidity (RH) of 75±5%. The dry leaves were ground using the electric grinding mill to form the powder, which was stored in 10L plastic containers covered with the airtight-lid in dark condition according to (Anjarwalla *et al.*, 2016; Mkenda *et al.*, 2015).

### Collection and rearing bruchids

The stock bruchids (*Callosobruchus maculatus*) was obtained from infested Bambara groundnuts collected from the Singida Municipal Market and identified by

an entomologist from Tanzania Pesticide Research Institute (TPRI). The insects were reared on untreated Bambara groundnut grains kept in six 10L buckets half-filled with 5kg of untreated Bambara groundnuts grains.

The buckets were covered with a fabric mesh to prevent bruchids from escaping from the container. The containers were kept at room temperature for 21 days at the storage house at the Nelson Mandela Institute of Science and Technology (NM-AIST), Tanzania.

#### *The experimental setup*

Untreated grains used in this experiment were obtained from the Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute-Naliendele (TARI-Naliendele). The grains were weighed into cotton storage bags and admixed with powders from *Croton dichogamus* leaves at the rate of 1%, 5%, 10% (w/w). The positive control, Actellic Super Dust, Syngenta (Pirimiphos-methyl) was applied as per manufacturer's recommendation while the negative control remained untreated.

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with (6) replications. The buckets heavily infested with bruchids was placed inside the room and opened for the dispersing bruchids to naturally infest any of the treatments randomly placed inside the room.

#### *Data collection*

A grain sample of 150gm was drawn from each storage bag using a metal grain sampler. The grain was sieved for easy counting of the number of live bruchids, number of dead bruchids, number of damaged seeds, number of seeds with eggs attached on the surface were counted after 30 days' interval for all treatments for 120 days.

After the assessment, the grains, live insects and pesticidal plant powder were returned into the respective containers. The dead bruchids were removed and discarded after every counted to avoid re-counting the same dead insect.

#### *Data analysis*

Data collected were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the STATISTICA 8 edition. The Fisher's Least Significance Difference (LSD) was used to compare treatment means at  $p = 0.05$  level of significance.

## **Results**

### *Effectiveness of C. dichogamus leaf powders on live C. maculatus on Bambara groundnuts.*

The present study revealed a significant difference in the number of live bruchids ( $p < 0.001$ ) between treatments throughout the storage period (Table 1). For 120 days of the storage, the untreated bags (negative control) generally contained the highest number of live bruchids  $3.00 \pm 1.15$ ,  $13.67 \pm 1.67$ ,  $25.67 \pm 3.53$  and  $70.00 \pm 9.61$  followed by *C. dichogamus* (1%w/w)  $1.83 \pm 0.40$ ,  $4.00 \pm 0.63$ ,  $10.67 \pm 0.67$  and  $26.83 \pm 5.33$  and *C. dichogamus* (5%w/w)  $1.50 \pm 0.34$ ,  $3.17 \pm 0.48$ ,  $8.33 \pm 1.31$  and  $17.67 \pm 3.20$ . In the first 30 days, only a few insects ( $0.33 \pm 0.21$ ) were observed in the seeds treated with the high dosage of *C. dichogamus* (10% w/w). There were no insects observed on the bags treated with the positive control, Actellic super dust for 30 and 60 days of the storage. For 60, 90 and 120 days of the storage the positive control and *C. dichogamus* (10% w/w) showed high effectiveness in controlling the bruchids on stored Bambara groundnuts despite few insects observed. Generally, the number of live *C. maculatus* was dependent on *C. dichogamus* application rates (1, 5 and 10 w/w%).

The higher dosage of *C. dichogamus* (10% w/w) displayed the highest effectiveness for the entire storage time.

### *Effectiveness of C. dichogamus leaf powders on C. maculatus mortality on Bambara groundnut grain.*

The mortality of *C. maculatus* in Bambara groundnuts seeds treated with different concentrations of *C. dichogamus* powders is significantly different from the bruchids mortality in untreated seeds (Table 2).

The insect mortality increased with the increase of the dosage of the leaf powder and exposure time. Generally, positive control Actellic Super Dust

showed the highest bruchids mortality for the entire storage time followed by *C. dichogamus* (10%w/w) for 30, 60, 90 and 120 days respectively. The lowest mortality was observed in bags treated with *C.*

*dichogamus* (1%w/w) throughout the storage time where low insect mortality was observed in untreated bags in the 120 days of the storage.

**Table 1.** Mean number of live insects (*C. maculatus*) in stored Bambara groundnuts.

Treatment	Mean number of live <i>C. maculatus</i>			
	30 days	60 days	90 days	120 days
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (1%w/w)	1.83 ± 0.40ab	4.00 ± 0.63b	10.67 ± 0.67b	26.83 ± 5.33b
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (5%w/w)	1.50 ± 0.34b	3.17 ± 0.48b	8.33 ± 1.31b	17.67 ± 3.20bc
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (10%w/w)	0.33 ± 0.21c	1.17 ± 0.48c	3.83 ± 0.75c	9.17 ± 0.95cd
Actellic Super Dust	0.00 ± 0.00c	0.00 ± 0.00c	0.33 ± 0.33c	1.33 ± 0.88c
Negative Control	3.00 ± 1.15a	13.67 ± 1.67a	25.67 ± 3.53a	70.00 ± 9.61a
One-way ANOVA F-statistic	5.72**	42.60***	37.50***	25.66***

\*\*, \*\*\* significant at  $p \leq 0.01$  and  $0.001$  respectively. Means within the same column followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at ( $p = 0.05$ ) using Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

**Table 2.** Mean number of dead insects (*C. maculatus*) in stored Bambara groundnuts.

Treatment	Mean number dead <i>C. maculatus</i>			
	30 days	60 days	90 days	120 days
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (1%w/w)	0.17 ± 0.17b	0.67 ± 0.33b	1.17 ± 0.60c	5.33 ± 1.26b
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (5%w/w)	1.00 ± 0.52b	2.00 ± 0.68b	7.17 ± 1.35c	13.00 ± 2.89b
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (10%w/w)	1.83 ± 0.98a	4.67 ± 0.80a	15.33 ± 4.19b	39.00 ± 5.88a
Actellic Super Dust	3.67 ± 0.67a	6.00 ± 1.00a	25.67 ± 5.93a	43.00 ± 12.50a
Negative Control	0.00 ± 0.00b	0.00 ± 0.00b	0.00 ± 0.00c	1.33 ± 0.88b
One-way ANOVA F-statistic	3.72*	11.71***	10.08***	13.31***

\*, \*\*\* significant at  $p \leq 0.05$  and  $\leq 0.001$  respectively. Means within the same column followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at ( $p = 0.05$ ) using Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

*Effectiveness of C. dichogamus leaf powders on Bambara groundnut grains damage by C. maculatus*  
The result of the experiment revealed the significant difference ( $p \leq 0.001$ ) in the effect of *C. dichogamus* powders on Bambara groundnut seeds damage. The highest grain damage was observed in untreated seeds for the entire storage time for 30, 60, 90 and 120 days respectively (Table 3). *C. dichogamus* at different rates showed effectiveness in reducing the Bambara groundnut seeds damage. Seeds treated

with the high concentration of *C. dichogamus* (10%w/w) highly protected Bambara groundnut seeds in a similar way as Actellic Super Dust. The ability of the *C. dichogamus* leaf powder to protect the damage of the crop by *C. maculatus* is dependent on the dosage of the powder. Few damaged seeds were recorded on bags treated with Actellic Super Dust for 60, 90 and 120 days. Generally, the higher the dosage the lower the damage but the increased with the duration of the storage.

**Table 3.** Mean number of damaged Bambara groundnut seeds by *C. maculatus*.

Treatment	Mean number of damaged Bambara groundnut			
	30 days	60 days	90 days	120 days
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (1%w/w)	2.17 ± 0.83b	4.33 ± 1.48b	26.83 ± 7.13b	211.33 ± 39.51a
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (5%w/w)	1.67 ± 0.84b	2.50 ± 1.09b	25.83 ± 7.50b	181.83 ± 8.23a
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (10%w/w)	0.5 ± 0.34b	2.17 ± 0.60b	24.00 ± 4.16bc	89.67 ± 14.52b
Actellic Super Dust	0.00 ± 0.00b	1.67 ± 1.20b	3.33 ± 1.76c	6.67 ± 3.18b
Negative Control	10.00 ± 2.08a	13.67 ± 3.67a	69.67 ± 9.74a	257.33 ± 64.91a
One-way ANOVA F-statistic	14.29***	7.81***	7.88**	8.63***

\*\*, \*\*\* significant at  $p \leq 0.01$  and  $0.001$  respectively. Means within the same column followed by the same letter (s) are not significantly different at ( $p = 0.05$ ) using Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

*Effectiveness of C. dichogamus leaf powders on oviposition of C. maculatus on Bambara groundnut seeds.*

All levels of *C. dichogamus* leaf powder significantly reduced the number of eggs laid by *C. maculatus* on the surface of the seeds ( $p < 0.001$ ) (Table 4). The highest number of Bambara groundnut seeds with eggs on the surface was observed in untreated bags ( $55.33 \pm 26.19$ ,  $74.67 \pm 29.34$ ,  $157.67 \pm 37.7$  and  $160.00 \pm 34.04$ ) for 30, 60, 90 and 120 days of the storage respectively.

The least number of Bambara groundnut seeds with eggs was observed in Actellic dust and *C. dichogamus* (10%w/w). generally, the higher dosage of *C. dichogamus* reduced oviposition of *C. maculatus*. However, there was an increase in the number of seeds with eggs on the surface with time. This indicates that the ability of the *C. dichogamus* to deter oviposition of *C. maculatus* decreased with the time from 30, 60, 90 and 120 days respectively.

**Table 4.** Mean number of Bambara groundnut seeds with eggs on the surface.

Treatment	Mean number of Bambara groundnut seeds with eggs			
	30 days	60 days	90 days	120 days
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (1%w/w)	8.67 ± 6.18b	46.33 ± 9.82ab	60.50 ± 24.15b	102.17 ± 34.25ab
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (5%w/w)	4.83 ± 1.58b	26.83 ± 6.74bc	49.17 ± 25.04b	63.17 ± 12.36bc
<i>C. dichogamus</i> (10%w/w)	3.33 ± 1.52b	8.33 ± 3.02c	45.67 ± 11.68b	54.00 ± 14.48bc
Actellic Super Dust	0.00 ± 0.00b	3.33 ± 1.67c	7.67 ± 3.84b	8.00 ± 6.11c
Negative Control	55.33 ± 26.19a	74.67 ± 29.34a	157.67 ± 37.74a	160.00 ± 34.04a
One-way ANOVA				
F-statistic	6.04**	6.16**	3.76*	3.84*

\*, \*\*, \*\*\* significant at  $p \leq 0.05$ ,  $0.01$  and  $\leq 0.001$  respectively. Means within the same column followed by the same letter(s) are not significantly different at ( $p = 0.05$ ) using Fisher's Least Significant Difference (LSD) test.

## Discussion

The results of the present study revealed varied efficacy of *C. dichogamus* dried leaf powder as protectant of Bambara groundnut protectant against *C. maculatus*. The results showed that the number of damaged Bambara groundnut seeds was dependent on exposure time and dosage. The highest concentration of *C. dichogamus* dry leaf powder effectively protected the Bambara groundnut seeds against *C. maculatus* damage. There was continuous increase of the number of seeds damaged for all treatments from 30-120 days of the storage. The increase of the damaged number of the Bambara groundnut seeds was partly due to increase in the number of live *C. maculatus* which may be due to degradation of the bioactive compounds in *C. dichogamus* over time. The highest concentration (10%w/w) of *C. dichogamus* protected the Bambara groundnut seeds in the similar way as the positive control (Actellic dust).

The results showed the highest number of live *C. maculatus* and oviposition on the untreated bags whereby those treated with different dosages of *C.*

*dichogamus* dried leaf powder displayed less number of live *C. maculatus*. The highest dosage of *C. dichogamus* (10%w/w) effectively prevented the emergence of *C. maculatus* and oviposition as positive control (Actellic super dust) for 120 days of the storage. The difference in the number of live bruchids and oviposition is an indication that *C. dichogamus* dried leaf powder is an insect repellent and an oviposition deterrent. The high mortality of the adult *C. maculatus* was revealed in the Bambara groundnut seeds treated with *C. dichogamus* (10%w/w) similar to the seeds treated with actellic super dust. The killing ability of *C. dichogamus* may be due presence of diverse toxic bioactive compounds such as crotofolane, diterpenoids, crotoxide A and B previously isolated from *C. dichogamus* leaves (Jogia *et al.*, 1989) or due to the action of fine powder blocking the insect spiracles resulting to death due to suffocation (Obembe & Kayode, 2013). Moreover, previous studies have reported that the *croton* species are rich in terpenoids which have insecticidal properties (Castilhos *et al.*, 2018). The findings of the current are supported by the previous studies using *croton* species where an ethanolic extracts from the leaves and stems of



*Croton rhamnifolius*, *Croton jacobinensis*, *Croton sellowii*, and *Croton micans* which showed insecticidal property against *Plutella xylostella* L (diamond back moth) on kales. Furthermore, (Qwarse *et al.*, 2018) reported that *C. dichogamus* leaves are traditionally used by small holder farmers as a protectant against storage insects in Mbulu district, Northern zone of Tanzania. Therefore, the current study has provided justification of the insecticidal potential of the dried powder from *C. dichogamus* leaves.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the results obtained from this study proved that the powder from the *C. dichogamus* were effective in reducing the infestation of *C. maculatus* on treated seeds. The significant difference in the number of live *C. maculatus*, mortality, seeds damage and oviposition is an indication that *C. dichogamus* dried leaf powder can affect the developmental stages of *C. maculatus* and significantly protect the Bambara groundnuts against damage by *C. maculatus*. The high dosage of *C. dichogamus* (10%w/w) leaf powder was the most effective among all concentrations used in this study. Further research is needed to determine the bioactive compounds found on *C. dichogamus* leaves, mode of action and toxicity effects on non-target organisms such people who consume the seeds which are protected by the powder from these plant leaves for its optimum usage and safety.

### Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the financial support received from the Centre for Research, Agricultural Advancement, Teaching Excellence and Sustainability in Food and Nutrition Security (CREATES- FNS) through the Nelson Mandela African Institution of Science and Technology (NM-AIST).

### Conflicts of interest

We declare no conflicts of interest.

### References

**Ajayi F, Lale N.** 2000. Susceptibility of unprotected seeds and seeds of local bambara groundnut cultivars protected with insecticidal essential oils to infestation by *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). *Journal of Stored Products Research* **37**, 47-62.

**Aktar W, Sengupta D, Chowdhury A.** 2009. Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and hazards. *Interdisciplinary toxicology* **2(1)**, 1-12. DOI: 10.2478/v10102-009-0001-7

**Anjarwalla P, Belmain S, Sola P, Jannadass R, Stevenson P.** 2016. *Handbook on pesticidal plants*. Nairobi: World Agroforestry Center (ICRAF).

**Atiku A, Aviara N, Haque M.** 2004. Performance evaluation of a bambara ground nut sheller. *Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal* **6**, 1-18.

**Azam-Ali S, Sesay A, Karikari S, Massawe F, Aguilar-Manjarrez J, Bannayan M, Hampson K.** 2001. Assessing the potential of an underutilized crop—a case study using bambara groundnut. *Experimental Agriculture*, **37**, 433-472. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0014479701000412>

**Castilhos R, Grützmacher A, Coats J.** 2018. Acute toxicity and sublethal effects of terpenoids and essential oils on the predator *Chrysoperla externa* (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae). *Neotropical entomology* **47**, 311-317. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13744-017>

**Chikukura L, Mvumi B, Chikonzo R, Chenzara C.** 2011. Evaluation of selected indigenous pesticidal plant powders against stored maize and cowpeas insect pests. Paper presented at the African Crop Science Conference Proceedings.

**Chougourou DC, Agossa CH, Zoclanclounon YAB, Nassara MG, Agbaka A.** 2016. Efficacy of two plant powders as cowpea grain protectants against *Callosobruchus maculatus*- Fabricius (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae: Bruchinae). *Journal of Applied Biosciences* **105**, 10152-10156.

**Cooper J, Dobson H.** 2007. The benefits of pesticides to mankind and the environment. *Crop Protection* **26**, 1337-1348.

**DAFF.** 2016. *Bambara groundnuts*. Production Guideline. Department of Agriculture, Fisheries and Forestry: Pretoria.

- Halimi RA, Barkla B, Mayes S, King GJ.** 2018. The potential of the underutilized pulse Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc.) for nutritional food security. *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2018.12.008>
- Jogia MK, Andersen RJ, Parkanyi L, Clardy J, Dublin HT, Sinclair A.** 1989. Crotonolane diterpenoids from the African shrub *Croton dichogamus* Pax. *The Journal of Organic Chemistry* **54**, 1654-1657.  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1021/jo00268>
- Maina Y, Lale N.** 2004. Effects of initial infestation and interspecific competition on the development of *Callosobruchus subinnotatus* (Pic.) in bambara groundnut *Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdcourt. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology (Pakistan)*.
- Mayes S, Ho WK, Chai HH, Song B, Chang Y, Massawe F.** 2019. Bambara Groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verdc)-A Climate Smart Crop for Food and Nutrition Security Genomic Designing of Climate-Smart Pulse Crops. *Springer* 397-424.
- Mkenda P, Mwanauta R, Stevenson PC, Ndakidemi P, Mtei K, Belmain SR.** 2015. Extracts from field margin weeds provide economically viable and environmentally benign pest control compared to synthetic pesticides. *PloS one* **10**, 1-14.
- Mpotokwane S, Gaditlhatlhelwe E, Sebaka A, Jideani V.** 2008. Physical properties of bambara groundnuts from Botswana. *Journal of food engineering* **89**, 93-98.
- Murevanhema YY, Jideani VA.** 2013. Potential of Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea* (L.) Verde) milk as a probiotic beverage- A review. *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition* **53**, 954-967.
- NARI.** (2015). Annual oil seeds and legume crops research report 2014/15. Naliendele Agricultural Research Institute Annual Reports, p 101.
- Obembe O, Kayode J.** 2013. Insecticidal activity of the aqueous extracts of four under-utilized tropical plants as protectant of cowpea seeds from *Callosobruchus maculatus* infestation. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences* **16**, 175-179.  
DOI: 10.3923/pjbs.2013.175.179
- Qwarse M, Mihale MJ, Joseph S, Mugoyela V, Henry L, Sunghwa F.** 2018. Ethnobotanical Survey of Medicinal and Pesticidal Plants used by Agro-pastoral Communities in Mbulu District, Tanzania **1**, 22-35.
- Umar A, Turaki JM.** 2014. Comparative studies on the Biology of *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) on Soya beans and Bambara Groundnut. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies* **2**, 58-61.