

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB |

ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 16, No. 2, p. 222-230, 2020

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Drought stress response of rice yield (*Oryza sativa* L.) and role of exogenous salicylic acid

Md. Tofail Hosain¹, Kamrunnahar², Md. Mofizur Rahman³, Mostarak Hossain Munshi⁴, Md. Saidur Rahman^{1*}

Department of Agricultural Botany, Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh

²Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh

³Department of Horticulture, Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh

*Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207, Bangladesh

Key words: Abiotic stress, Drought stress, Rice, Salicylic acid, Yield.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/16.2.222-230 Article published on February 05, 2020

Abstract

The scarcity of water is a severe environmental constraint to plant productivity. The exogenous application of salicylic acid (SA) has been found very effective in reducing the adverse effects of drought stress. A pot experiment was conducted to determine the drought mitigating effect of Salicylic acid on rice based on Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications during the 2017-2018 growing season at Dhaka, Bangladesh. The treatments of salicylic acid including 0, 250, 500, 750 and 1000 μ Mm⁻² concentrations and drought stress consisted of control, moderate and severe stresses. Significantly higher number of panicle hill⁻¹, number of filled grain hill⁻¹, and number of unfilled grain hill⁻¹, 1000 grains weight, grain yield, straw yield and harvest index were found in plants treated with 750 μ Mm⁻² SA compared with other treatments and control plants. Results signify the role of SA in regulating the drought response of plants and suggest that SA could be used as a potential protectant, for improving plant growth and productivity under water stress.

^{*}Corresponding Author: Md. Saidur Rahman 🖂 saidur34@sau.edu.bd

Introduction

Salicylic acid (SA) is an endogenous growth regulator of phenolic nature and also a signaling molecule, which participates in the regulation of physiological processes in plants such as growth, photosynthesis, and other metabolic processes. Several studies support a major role of SA in modulating the plant response to various abiotic stresses.

Salicylic acid (SA), a phenolic compound, is associated with stress tolerance in plants. Salicylic acid is the first plant derivative phenolic compound to systemic acquired resistance. researchers reported that SA can induce tolerance against abiotic and biotic stress including high and low temperatures, drought, salinity, ultraviolet light, heavy metal toxicity, diseases and pathogens (Hayat and Ahmad, 2007; Hussain et al., 2008). Salicylic acid has positive effects on plant growth and developmental processes (Senaratna et al., 2000). Salicylic acid can modulate the antioxidant defense system thereby decreasing oxidative stress (Shirasu et al., 1997). Photosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism, proline (Pro) metabolism, production of glycine betaine (GB), and plant-water relations in abiotic stress affected plants were regulated by SA (Miura and Tada, 2014). Maity and Bera (2009) in Vigna radiate and Khan et al. (2010) in mungbean stated that the affirmative effect of salicylic acid was because of the function of SA is increasing biochemical and physiological processes or enhancing in the activity of N, P, K and Ca in antioxidant enzymes and the content of glutathione. Khandaker et al. (2011) found that the application of SA at low concentrations caused an increment in soybean, maize and wheat plant growth but at higher concentrations decreased the growth of tomato, lupine, wheat and maize plants.

Foliar application of phenolic compounds such as salicylic acid, hydrogen peroxide, ethylene and nitric oxide, has huge potential in ameliorating tolerance of drought tension (Zhu, 2002; Wahid *et al.* 2007). The salicylic acid application develops photosynthetic capacity in spring wheat and barley under drought pressure (El-Tayeb, 2005; Arfan *et al.* 2007).

Drought stress is one of the most devastating abiotic adversely affecting the growth stresses developmental processes of the plant. Exposure of plants to drought stress substantially decreases leaf water potential (WW), relative water contents (RWC) and transpiration rate with the associated increase in leaf temperature (Halder and Burrage, 2003). Drought stress affects the physiological processes, brings biochemical changes, leads to the formation of secondary metabolites, significantly accumulates endogenous reactive oxygen species (ROS) and increases toxins (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2017). Drought stress hampering reproductive development drastically reduces the yield or productivity of plants (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2014). Moreover, exposure of plants to drought quite often leads to the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (El-Tayeb and Ahmed, 2007; Farooq et al. 2009). Being highly reactive, ROS can seriously damage plants by lipid peroxidation, protein degradation, DNA fragmentation and ultimately cell death (Foyer and Fletcher, 2001).

Although a number of reports to date have indicated the protective role of SA under environmental stress conditions, the amount of research conducted on the effect of exogenous applications of SA on yield characters of rice under drought stress conditions is limited. Therefore, this experiment was conducted to study the drought stress response of rice yield (*Oryza sativa* L.) and role of exogenous salicylic acid.

Materials and methods

Experimental site

The pot experiment was conducted at the Research Field of Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka-1207 from November, 2017 to July, 2018. The soil of the experimental pot belongs to the general soil type, shallow red-brown terrace soil with silty clay. Soil pH was 5.6 and has organic carbon 0.45%.

Treatments and experimental design

Rice variety (BRRI dhan28) `was used as the test crop in this experiment. The experiment comprised two factors *viz.* salicylic acid concentrations: control,

250 μ Mm-2, 500 μ Mm-2, 750 μ Mm-2 and 1000 μ Mm-2 and different drought stresses: control (normal irrigation), severe drought stress (water withheld from Panicle initiation stage to season end) and moderate drought stress (water withheld from flowering stage to season end).

The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications. There were 45 pots containing 24 kg soil in each pot.

Crop husbandry

The seeds were sown in the seedbed @ 70 gm⁻² to have healthy seedlings. The fertilizers were applied 8 ton cowdung, 215 kg urea, 180 kg TSP and 100 kg MP ha⁻¹ as the source of NPK.

The whole amount of cowdung, TSP, MOP and 1/3rd if urea was applied before the final preparation of pots. Intercultural operations were done to ensure the normal growth of the crop. Plant protection measures were followed as and when necessary.

Application of salicylic acid (SA)

In the experiment, the foliar application of the SA solution was applied in three installments. 1st, 2nd

and 3rd spray were done at 20, 30 and 40 days after transplanting (DAT), respectively.

Data collection

Plants were selected randomly from each pot. Data on the following parameters were recorded during the period of the experiment such as:- Number of panicle hill-1, Number of filled grain hill-1, Number of unfilled grain hill-1, 1000 grains weight, Grain yield, Straw yield and Harvest index.

Statistical analysis

All the collected data were tabulated and analyzed statistically using analysis of variance technique using a statistical computer software Statistix 10 and subsequently, the least significance difference (LSD at 5%) for comparing the treatment means (Gomezm and Gomez, 1984).

Results and discussion

Panicles hill1-

Salicylic acid remarkably influenced the panicle hill-1 of rice by a considerable reduction of drought stress. Results indicated that among the different SA concentration, 750 μ Mm⁻² SA showed the maximum stress tolerance and gave the highest number of panicle hill-1 (Table 1).

Table 1. Effect of salicylic acid on yield and yield contributing character of rice.

| Salicylic acid | Panicle hill-1 (No.) | Filled grain panicle ⁻¹ (No.) | Unfilled grain panicle-1 (No.) | 1000 grains weight (g) | Grain yield (g) | Straw yield (g) | Harvest index (%) |
|------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Control | 9.00 d | 25.33 d | 17.00 a | 17.13 d | 9.32 c | 19.32 a | 32.45 c |
| 250 μMm ⁻² | 11.50 c | 31.33 c | 14.67 b | 18.078 c | 9.60 bc | 18.76 ab | 33.75 bc |
| 500 μMm ⁻² | 14.83b | 39.33 b | 13.00 c | 19.19 b | 10.05 b | 18.30 bc | 35.35 b |
| 750 μMm ⁻² | 17.22 a | 47.26 a | 12.61c | 19.84 a | 11.03 a | 17.86 c | 38.15 a |
| 1000 μMm ⁻² | 12.91c | 37.48b | 14.28 b | 18.33 c | 9.82 bc | 18.32 a-c | 34.77 b |
| LSD(o.o5) | 1.33 | 3.19 | 0.85 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.86 | 1.80 |
| CV (%) | 11.13 | 9.69 | 6.49 | 2.73 | 6.20 | 5.10 | 5.68 |

In a column, means having similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability.

Usharani *et al.* (2014) observed the proportionately increased in a number of panicles hill⁻¹ compare to control by the application of salicylic acid.

Drought stress considerably influenced the number of panicle hill-1 of rice. Results declare that, among the different level of drought stress, severe drought stress

(water withheld from Panicle initiation stage to season end) exhibit the lowest number of panicle hill-1 (Table 2). Pandy *et al.* (2000) reported that drought tension at different growth stages of maize reduced kernel no/row. Corn has a high sensitivity to drought stress 2 weeks before and after pollination which reduces the number of kernels of the ear.

| Table 2. Effect of different level of drought stress | on yield and yield contributing character of rice. |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| Drought stress | Panicle hill ⁻¹ (No.) | Filled grain panicle ⁻¹ (No.) | Unfilled grain panicle ⁻¹ (No.) | 1000 grains weight (g) | Grain yield (g) | Straw yield (g) | Harvest index (%) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Control | 16.42 a | 44.40 a | 11.84 c | 19.515 a | 11.21 a | 17.84 b | 38.58 a |
| Severe drought stress | 9.48 c | 27.70 c | 16.74 a | 17.537 c | 8.89 c | 19.15 a | 31.63 c |
| Moderate | 13.38 b | 36.35 b | 14.34 b | 18.499 b | 9.78 b | 18.56 a | 34.49 b |
| drought stress | | | | | | | |
| LSD(0.05) | 1.12 | 2.68 | 0.71 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.72 | 1.52 |
| CV (%) | 11.13 | 9.69 | 6.49 | 2.73 | 6.20 | 5.10 | 5.68 |

In a column, means having similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability.

The interaction effect of SA and drought stresses had considerably influenced the number of panicle hill⁻¹. The maximum number of panicle hill⁻¹ was reported from 750 μ Mm⁻² SA with control stress treatment whereas the minimum from 0 μ Mm⁻² SA with severe stress treatment (Table 3).

Number of filled grains panicle-1

Salicylic acid greatly influenced the number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ of rice by a considerable reduction of drought stress. Results indicated that among the different SA concentration, 750 µMm⁻² SA showed the maximum stress tolerance and produce the highest number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ (Table 1). Singh *et al.* (2015) and Usharani *et al.* (2014) showed that filled grain panicle⁻¹ increased significantly by the application of salicylic acid.

Drought stress significantly influenced the number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ of rice. Results revealed that, among the different level of drought stress, severe drought stress (water withheld from Panicle initiation stage to season end) demonstrate the lowest number of filled grains panicle⁻¹ (Table 2). Decreased filled grain per panicle under lower soil moisture levels might be due to inhibition of translocation of assimilates to the grain due to moisture stress. These results agree with Hossain (2001) who observed that the number of filled grain panicle⁻¹ was decreased due to moisture stress. Farooq *et al.* (2009) found that a reduction in grain filling occurs due to a reduction in the assimilate partitioning and activities of sucrose and starch synthesis enzymes.

The interaction effect of SA and drought stresses had

notably influenced the number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹. The highest number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ was found from 750 μ Mm⁻² SA with control stress treatment whereas, the lowest from 0 μ Mm⁻² SA with severe stress treatment (Table 3). The results obtained from all other treatment combinations were significantly different compared to the highest and the lowest number of filled grains panicle⁻¹.

Number of unfilled grains panicle-1

Salicylic acid signifies the number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ of rice by a remarkable reduction of drought stress. Results showed that among the different SA concentration, $750~\mu\text{Mm}^{-2}$ SA demonstrated the highest stress tolerance and produce the lowest number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (Table 1).

These results showed that the number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ was decreased with increasing levels of SA as a foliar application. Mohammed (2011) reported that the number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ was decreased due to the application of SA.

Drought stress signifies the number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ of rice. Results demonstrate that, among the different levels of drought stress, severe drought stress (water withheld from Panicle initiation stage to season end) showed the maximum number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ (Table 2).

Increased unfilled grains per panicle under lower soil moisture levels might be due to inactive pollen grains for dryness, incomplete development of pollen tube, insufficient assimilate production and its distribution to grains due to soil moisture stress. The results are in

agreement with the findings of Hossain (2001) and Islam *et al.* (1994) who stated that the increased number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ is due to water stress conditions. The combined effect of SA and drought stresses had notably influenced the number

of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹. The lowest number of unfilled grains panicle⁻¹ was observed from 750 μ Mm⁻² SA with control stress treatment whereas, the highest from 0 μ Mm⁻² SA with severe stress treatment (Table 3).

Table 3. Interaction effect of salicylic acid and different level of drought stress on yield and yield contributing character of rice.

| Salicylic acid × Drought stress | Panicle hill-1 | Filled grain panicle-1 | Unfilled grain panicle-1 | 1000 Grains weight | Grain yield | Straw yield | Harvest index |
|----------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|
| | (No.) | (No.) | (No.) | (g) | (g) | (g) | (%) |
| Control | 12.00 fg | 30.00 ef | 14.00 cd | 18.100 ef | 10.80 bc | 18.86 a-c | 36.422 b-e |
| o μMm ⁻² ×severe | 6.00 k | 20.00 h | 20.00 a | 16.20 h | 7.65 g | 19.96 a | 27.72 h |
| o μMm ⁻² ×moderate | 9.00 ij | 26.00 fg | 17.00 b | 17.10 g | 9.49 e | 19.13 ab | 33.21 e-g |
| 250 μMm ⁻² ×control | 15.00 de | 38.00 с | 12.00 e-g | 19.13 cd | 10.75 bc | 18.23 b-d | 37.02 b-d |
| 250 μMm ⁻² ×severe | 8.00 jk | 24.00 gh | 17.00 b | 17.06 g | 8.41 fg | 19.16 ab | 30.49 gh |
| 250 μMm ⁻² ×moderate | 11.50 gh | 32.00 de | 15.00 c | 18.03 f | 9.63 de | 18.90 a-c | 33.74 d-g |
| 500 μMm ⁻² ×control | 18.00 b | 49.00 b | 11.00 fg | 20.23 ab | 11.25 ab | 17.46 cd | 39.09 ab |
| 500 μMm ⁻² ×severe | 11.00 g-i | 30.00 ef | 15.00 c | 18.13 ef | 9.02 ef | 18.86 a-c | 32.31fg |
| 500 μMm ⁻² ×moderate | 15.50 de | 39.00 с | 13.00 de | 19.20 cd | 9.86 с-е | 18.56 a-c | 34.65 c-f |
| 750 μMm ⁻² ×control | 20.64 a | 58.51 a | 10.78 g | 20.89 a | 12.01 a | 16.98 d | 41.39 a |
| 750 μMm ⁻² ×severe | 13.29 ef | 36.01 cd | 14.78 c | 18.86 de | 10.51 b-d | 18.66 a-c | 35.99 b-e |
| 750 μMm ⁻² ×moderate | 17.72 bc | 47.26 b | 12.28 ef | 19.79 bc | 10.54 b-d | 17.93b-d | 37.08 bc |
| 1000 μMm ⁻² ×control | 16.46 b-d | 46.48 b | 11.44 e-g | 19.22 cd | 11.24 ab | 17.62 b-d | 38.96 ab |
| 1000 μMm ⁻² ×severe | 9.13 h-j | 28.48 e-g | 16.94 b | 17.42 fg | 8.85 ef | 19.07 a-c | 31.61fg |
| 1000 μMm ⁻² ×moderate | 13.16 e-g | 37.48 cd | 14.44 cd | 18.37 d-f | 9.35 ef | 18.27 a-d | 33.74 c-g |
| LSD _(0.05) | 2.11 | 5.07 | 1.35 | 0.73 | 0.89 | 1.37 | 2.87 |
| CV (%) | 11.13 | 9.69 | 6.49 | 2.73 | 6.20 | 5.10 | 5.68 |

In a column, means having similar letter(s) are statistically identical and those having dissimilar letter(s) differ significantly as per 0.05 level of probability.

1000 grains weight

Salicylic acid remarkably influenced the 1000 grains weight of rice by a considerable reduction of drought stress. Results indicated that among the different SA concentration, 750 μ Mm⁻² SA showed the maximum stress tolerance and gave the highest 1000 grains weight (Table 1). Ibrahim *et al.* (2014) showed that 1000 grain weight increased significantly by the application of SA.

Drought stress considerably influenced the number of 1000 grains weight of rice. Results declare that, among the different level of drought stress, severe drought stress (water withheld from Panicle initiation stage to season end) exhibit the lowest 1000 grains weight (Table 2). Lower soil moisture might decrease the translocation of assimilates to the grain which lowered grain size and increased empty grain. The

results are in agreement with the findings of Rahman *et al.* (2002) and Zubaer *et al.* (2007) who observed that water stress reduced grain weight in different varieties of rice.

The interaction effect of SA and drought stresses had considerably influenced 1000 grains weight. The maximum 1000 grains weight was found from 750 μMm^{-2} SA with control stress treatment whereas, the minimum from 0 μMm^{-2} SA with severe stress treatment (Table 3).

Grain yield

Salicylic acid greatly influenced the grains yield of rice by a remarkable reduction of drought stress. Results declare that among the different SA concentration, 750 μ Mm⁻² SA showed the greatest stress tolerance and produced the maximal grain yield (Table 1).

Increasing grain yield result may cause due to the increased tiller per hill, increased filled grain per panicle, increased amount of chlorophyll content which helps the plant to produce more food. Ultimately the grain yield increases.

It was further reported by Zamaninejad *et al.* (2013) that the application of salicylic acid (1 mM) on maize plants (*Zea mays* L.) modified the negative effects of water stress on grain yield by increasing grain number spikes⁻¹ significantly. Saranraj (2014) and Usharani *et al.* (2014) also showed that the application of SA can increase the grain yield.

Drought stress significantly influenced the grain yield of rice. Results revealed that, among the different level of drought stress, severe drought stress (water withheld from Panicle initiation stage to season end) demonstrate the minimal grains yield (Table 2). So, it was observed that grain yield hill⁻¹ decreased in decreasing moisture levels. Reduced grain yield under lowered soil moisture levels might be due to inhibition of photosynthesis and less translocation of assimilates towards reproductive parts due to soil moisture stress. This result agrees with Hossain (2001) who observed that grain yield hill⁻¹ was decreased with decreasing soil moisture levels.

The combined effect of SA and drought stresses had a great influence on grain yield. The highest grain yield was reported from 750 μ Mm⁻² SA with control stress treatment whereas, the lowest from 0 μ Mm⁻² SA with severe stress treatment (Table 3).

Straw yield

Salicylic acid remarkably influenced the straw yield. Results indicated that among the different SA concentration, 750 μ Mm⁻² SA showed the maximum stress tolerance and gave the minimum straw yield (Table 1). This result is strongly supported by Saranraj (2014) and Usharani *et al.* (2014) who showed that the application of SA had a significant influence on straw yield. Drought stress considerably influenced the straw yield of rice. Results declare that, among the different level of drought stress, severe

drought stress (water withheld from Panicle initiation stage to season end) exhibit the highest straw yield (Table 2).

The combined effect of SA and drought stresses had a great influenced on straw yield. The lowest straw yield was observed from 750 μ Mm⁻² SA with control stress treatment whereas, the highest from 0 μ Mm⁻² SA with severe stress treatment (Table 3).

Harvest index

Salicylic acid significantly influenced the harvest index of rice. Results demonstrated that among the different SA concentration, 750 μ Mm⁻² SA exhibit the maximum stress tolerance and gave the maximum harvest index (Table 1).

Drought stress considerably influenced the harvest index of rice. Results indicate that, among the different levels of drought stress, severe drought stress (water withheld from Panicle initiation stage to season end) showed the minimum harvest index (Table 2).

This result might be due to the fact that water stress affected the translocation toward the grains. The present results agree with the report of Islam (1999) and Islam *et al.* (1994).The combined effect of SA and drought stresses had a great influence on the harvest index. The highest harvest index was reported from 750 μ Mm⁻² SA with control stress treatment whereas, the lowest from 0 μ Mm⁻² SA with severe stress treatment (Table 3).

Conclusion

The results of the present investigation suggest that the protective action of SA may be associated with a reduction in transpiration rate and an enhancement of photosynthesis, which together, increased water use efficiency under drought. So, it was concluded that in drought stress, it's better to use salicylic acid @750 μ Mm⁻² for modifying the effects of drought on rice yield. However, further experimentation is required for a comprehensive conclusion for a practical purpose.

References

Arfan M, Athar HR, Ashraf M. 2007. Does exogenous application of salicylic acid through the rooting medium modulate growth and photosynthetic capacity in two differently adapted spring wheat cultivars under salt stress. Journal of Plant Physiology **164**, 685-694.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2006.05.010

El-Tayeb MA, Ahmed MK. 2007: Apoplastic protein pattern, hydrolases and peroxidase activity of Vicia faba cultivars as influenced by drought. International Journal of Agricultural Biology **9**, 226–230.

El-Tayeb MA. 2005. Response of barley grains to the interactive e. ect of salinity and salicylic acid. Plant Growth Regulation **45**, 215-224.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10725-005-4928-

Farooq M, Wahid A, Basra SMA, Shahzad ID. 2009. Improving water relations and gas exchange with brassinosteroids in rice under drought stress. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **195**, 262–269.

Farooq M, Wahid A, Kobayashi N, Fujita D, Basra SMA. 2009. Plant drought stress: effects, mechanisms and management. Sustainable agriculture. Springer, Dordrecht, 153-188.

https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2666-8 12

Foyer CH, Fletcher JM. 2001. Plant antioxidants: colour me healthy. Biologist **48**, 115–120.

Gomez KA, Gomez AA. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. John wlley and Sons. Inc. New York, 67-215.

Halder KP, Burrage SW. 2003. Drought stress effects on water relations of rice grown in nutrient film technique. Pakistan Journal of Biological Science **6**, 441–444.

Hasanuzzaman M, Nahar K, Bhuiyan TF, Anee

TI, Inafuku M, Oku H, Fujita M. 2017. Salicylic acid: An all-rounder in regulating abiotic stress responses in plants. In Phytohormones—Signaling Mechanisms and Crosstalk in Plant Development and Stress Responses; El-Esawi, M., Ed.; IntechOpen: London, UK, 31–75.

https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.68213

Hasanuzzaman M, Nahar K, Gill SS, Fujita M. 2014. Drought stress responses in plants, oxidative

stress, and antioxidant defense. In: Tuteja N, Gill SS (eds) Climate change and plant abiotic stress tolerance. Wiley: New York, 209-249.

https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527675265.ch09

Hayat S, Ahmad A. 2007. Salicylic Acid – A Plant Hormone. Springer Science & Business Media. Dordrecht: Springer.

Hossain MA. 2001. Growth and yield performance of some *boro* rice cultivars under different soil moisture regimes. M.S. Thesis. Department of Crop Botany. Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh.

Hussain M, Malik MA, Farooq M, Ashraf MY, Cheema MA. 2008. Improving Drought tolerance by exogenous application of glycinebetaine and salicylic acid in sunflower. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 194, 193–199.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-037X.2008.00305.x

Ibrahim OM, Bakry BA, Thalooth AT, El-Karamany MF. 2014. Influence of nitrogen fertilizer and foliar application of salicylic acid on wheat. Agricultural Science **5,** 1316-1321.

https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2014513140

Islam MT, Salam MA, Kauser M. 1994. Effect of soil water stress at different growth stages of rice on yield components and yield. Progressive Agriculture **5**, 151-156.

Islam MT. 1999. Plant water relation studies in diverse rice cultivars under Bangladesh climatic

conditions. Ph. D. thesis, Inst. Agron. And Plant Breed. University of Agricultural Sciences (Vienna).

Khan N, Syeed S, Masood A, Nazar R, Iqbal N. 2010. Application of salicylic acid increases contents of nutrients and antioxidative metabolism in mungbean and alleviates adverse effects of salinity stress. International Journal of Plant Biology 1, e1-e1. https://doi.org/10.4081/pb.2010.e1

Khandaker L, Akond AM, Oba S. 2011. Foliar application of salicylic acid improved the growth, yield and leaf's bioactive compounds in red amaranth (*Amaranthus tricolor* L.). Vegetable crops research bulletin **74**, 77-86.

https://doi.org/10.2478/v10032-011-0006-6

Maity U, Bera AK. 2009. Effect of exogenous application of brassinolide and salicylic acid on certain physiological and biochemical aspects of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). Indian Journal of Agricultural Research **43**, 194-199.

Miura K, Tada Y. 2014. Regulation of water, salinity, and cold stress responses by salicylic acid. Frontiers in Plant Science **5**, 747

https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00004

Mohammed AR, Tarpley L. 2011. High night temperature and plant growth regulator effects on spikelet sterility, grain characteristics and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Plants. Canadian Journal of Plant Science **91**, 283–291.

https://doi.org/10.4141/CJPS10038

Pandey RK, Maranville JW, Chetima MM. 2000. Deficit irrigation and nitrogen effects on maize in a Sahelian environment: II. Shoot growth, nitrogen uptake and water extraction. Agricultural Water Management 46, 15-27.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-3774(00)00074-3

Rahman MA, Hossain SMA, Sarkar NAR, Hossain MS, Islam MS. 2002. Effects of variety and structural arrangements of rows on the yield and

yield components of transplant aman rice. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Science **29**, 303–307.

Saranraj P. 2014. Effect of salicylic acid and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on growth and yield of paddy IR-50. International Journal of Microbiological Research **5**, 54-60.

https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.ijmr.2014.5.1.81252

Senaratna T, Touchell D, Bunn E, Dixon K. 2000. Acetyl salicylic acid (aspirin) and salicylic acid induce multiple stress tolerance in bean and tomato plants. Plant Growth Regulation **30**, 157–161.

https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006386800974

Shirasu K, Nakajima A, Rajshekar K, Dixon RA, Lamb C. 1997. Salicylic acid potentiates an agonist-dependent gain control that amplifies pathogen signal in the activation of defence mechanism. Plant Cell 9, 261–270.

https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.9.2.261

Singh VJ, **Gampala S**, **Ravat VK**, **Chakraborti SK**, **Basu A**. 2015. Effect of foliar spray of salicylic acid on sheath infecting pathogen and yield attributes in hybrid rice. Journal of Environmental Science **9**, 507-512.

Usharani G, Jayanthi M, Kanchana D, Saranraj P, Sujitha D. 2014. Effect of salicylic acid and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on growth and yield of paddy Ir-50. International Journal of Microbiological Research **5**, 54-60.

https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.ijmr.2014.5.1.81252

Wahid A, Parveen M, Gelani S, Basra A. 2007. Pretreatment of seed with H_2O_2 improves salt tolerance of wheat seedlings by alleviation of oxidative damage and expression of stress proteins. Journal of Plant Physiology **164**, 283-294.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2006.01.005

Zamaninejad M, Khorasani SK, Moeini MJ, Heidarian AR. 2013. Effect of salicylic acid on

morphological characteristics, yield and yield components of corn (*Zea mays* L.) under drought condition. European Journal of Experimental Biology **3**, 153-161.

Zhu JK. 2002. Salt and drought stress signal transduction in plants. Annual review of plant biology **53**, 247-273.

Zubaer MA, Chowdhury AKMMB, Islam MZ, Ahmed T, Hasan MA. 2007. Effects of water stress on growth and yield attributes of aman rice genotypes. International Journal of Sustainable Crop production **2**, 25-30.