

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 16, No. 5, p. 23-34, 2020

OPEN ACCESS

Identification and characterization of abiotic stress responsive

genes in Ricinus communis L. using bioinformatics tools

Wajia Noor^{1*}, Bibi Sadia¹, Iftikhar Ahmad Baloch², Asyia¹, Junaid Ahmad³, Bibi Safia⁴, Farida¹

¹Department of Botany, SBK Women's University Quetta, Pakistan ²Department of Botany, University of Balochistan Quetta, Pakistan ³Department of Agronomy, The University of Agriculture Peshawar, Pakistan ⁴Department of zoology, SBK Women's University Quetta, Pakistan

Key words: Bioinformatics, Arabidopsis thaliana, Salt and cold stress, Homology.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/16.5.23-34

Article published on May 15, 2020

Abstract

Bioinformatics is one of the leading fields in biology for genomics research. In the present research bioinformatics analysis of abiotic stress responsive genes investigation was carried out in *Ricinus communis* L. Selected twenty-seven genes of model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* were searched in *Ricinus communis* L. The investigated genes belonged from salt, heat, cold and drought stress. Genes were find out using insilico analysis. Homology search was applied using a best available tool of NCBI called BLAST. Homology search gave best results up to 97% query coverage (ANAH-like) for the presence of selected model plant genes in *Ricinus communis* L. The query coverage results varied from 2% to 97%. Seven genes ANAH (97%), CSD1 (93%), TAF1 (82%), SOS4 (70%), HOS10 (61%) and MPK3 (61%) expressed high query coverage in R. communis L. Ten genes HVA22 (59%), SOS2 (49%), MYBR1 (45%), HOS31 (49%), STZ (42%), DDF1 (41%), BO1 (41%), LOS4 (39%), CDPK1 (37%) and KIN11 (35%) were somewhat similar. While remaining genes expressed similarity less than 30%. Besides query coverage the investigation of conserved domains showed that these predicted genes exhibited conserved domains similar to that of model plant genes. Phylogenetic analysis of some selected genes confirm the genes existence and gave evolutionary aspect of the gene. The identification of abiotic stress responsive genes makes good contribution to the research on this plant and will help to understand the mechanism to respond the stress in this plant.

* Corresponding Author: Wajia Noor 🖂 Hamzazaiwajia@gmail.com

Introduction

Plants are the diverting source of organic compounds, several of which have been used for therapeutic purposes. Here countless ordinary fundamental medications that have been used to treat numerous disease and conditions one of them is *Ricinus communis* L. family euphorbiaceae frequently wellknown as 'castor plant' and commonly known as palm of Christ (Jena *et al.*, 2012). The castor oil plant is a fast growing, tricking perennial plant or sporadically a soft forested small tree up to 6 meter or more. This plant was cultured for leaf and flower shades and for oil production (Evans, 2009). Any alteration in the surrounding environment may interrupt homeostasis. Plants initiate specific stress responses when exposed to stress conditions (Atkinson and Urwin, 2012).

The stress resistant genes play vital role to adapt the plant in unpredictable situations. Several plants can endure in cold stress climatic conditions and the mechanism of post transcriptional regulation play vital role in stresses responses (Anderson *et al.,* 1994; Ghebremariam *et al.,* 2013).

The plants frequently encounter numerous abiotic elements water shortage, salinity, temperature, drought and decreased accessibility of fundamental nutrients. Several signalling trails control stress reactions of different plants (Knight and Knight, 2001) thus the appearance of genes is convinced in reaction to changed stress elements (Seki *et al.*, 2001). The different abiotic stresses the two main abiotic stresses, are drought and salinity are more common which negatively affect the plant. Salinity reduce the plant growth, and decrease the water up take which cause reduction in growth rate. The water deficit may cause cellular damages (Seki *et al.*, 2001).

Salt stress leads to changes in growth, production and may threaten to survival. At the physiological level, the number of effects of salt stress specifies the importance of defensive system of the organism from damage by reactive oxygen species (Foyer and Noctor, 2005). Salinity stress is complex response to photosynthesis which indirectly affect the growth inhibition or leaf shedding. Salinity responses will lead to reestablishment of cellular homeostasis and purification. In addition, these stresses alter photosynthesis and cell growth (Yan et al., 2005). Temperture stress is one of the key factor to effect plant yield and leads to advirse climate changes (Rossi et al., 1997). Undesirable outcome of temperture on the world manufacture has testified on wheat, maize and barrley (Sun et al., 2002). However high tempearture stress effect economical loss on agriculture fields and depress the complex nature of plants production (Tuberosa and Salvi, 2006). Drought stress estimated at molecular levels, consequences gained through transcriptional analysis of drought inducible gene appearance in Arabidopsis and rice consuming microarrays, comprising evidence about understanding potential purposes of scarcity inducible genes in stress reaction and lenience (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2005).

The proposed research is deliberate at the exploration of abiotic stresses responsive genes in *Ricinus communis* L. by using combination of bioinformatics tools. For understanding the abiotic stress mechanism in *Ricinus communis* L. identification of abiotic genes and the characterization of new genes will be a good contribution.

Materials and methods

Different abiotic stress responsive genes like drought, salt, cold in *Ricinus communis* L. were investigated using combination of bioinformatics tools, based on homology search using methodology of Shahid *et al.* (2012). *Ricinus communis* L. existed to examined for abiotic straining receptive genes. Nominated 24 genes of model plants Arabidopsis thaliana. Selected genes presence was then studied in *Ricinus communis* L. *R. communis* was selected after literature review to investigate the abiotic stress responsive genes, as it was not previously explored and have available ESTs. There are 62592 ESTs of Ricinus communis L.

Identification of genes

Different abiotic stress responsive genes were studied, identified and selected that respond to cold, salt and

other abiotic stresses in model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* L. Articles and research papers were studied regarding these abiotic stress responsive genes. These genes were ANAH, CSD1, TAF1, SOS4, HOS10, MPK3, HVA22, SOS2, MYBR1, HOS31, STZ, DDF1, BO1, LOS4, CDPK1, KIN11, SAT32, DRIP1, DREB2A, RAB18, NPH4, CRK1, HSF1, CHLH-GUN5, RD29A, RCI3.

Fetching of model plant genes

Sequences of selected abiotic stress responsive genes that respond to different environmental stresses of model plant Arabidopsis thaliana were fetched. From NCBI complete nucleotide sequences of selected genes were saved in FASTA format. Repeated the procedure for all genes.

Gene's identification through homology search

For homology search one of the new technique tools for relative genomic method is BLAST. To carryout homology search the fetched genes sequences of model plants were subjected to BLASTn (designed by Altschul et al., 1990) against the publicly available genomic and transcriptomic sequences (Expressed sequence tags) of Ricinus communis L. at NCBI Genbank. (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). From obtained results selected the one with highest query coverage, identity, best evalue. Saved the EST (nucleotide sequence) of that selected one. Searched the presence of all selected genes of model plant in Ricinus communis L. repeating the same procedure. The obtained similar sequences were regarded as predicted abiotic stress responsive genes in Ricinus communis L.

Conserved domain investigation and comparison Through conserved domain database (CDD), conserved domains on reference genes of Arabidopsis thaliana and on predicted abiotic stress responsive genes of *Ricinus communis* L. were investigated. CDD is also one of the best tools of NCBI for identification of evolutionary conserved domains. ORF sequences of model genes and predicted genes were subjected to the CDD. The conserved domains were identified and compared. (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cdd).

Phylogeny of identified genes

Cladograms were constructed using multiple sequence comparison by Log-Expectation (MUSCLE), an advance version of Clustal-w for phylogenetic analysis. The gene of model plant, predicted genes of *Ricinus communis* L. and one same gene from other plants were all subjected to MUSCLE for phylogeny. Saved the results and analysed (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/).

Results

Recognition of genes

In silico identification of abiotic stress responsive genes was carried out in *Ricinus communis* L. Expressed sequence tags were explored for this purpose. Investigated 62,592 ESTs of *Ricinus communis* L. and identified twenty eight abiotic stress responsive genes.

These genes respond to salt, cold, heat and drought stresses (seven each). Some of these genes responded to more than one stresses called multiple stress responsive genes.

| s# | Gene name | mRNA length | base pairs | Frame p | oreferred | Frame lengt | h base pairs | Amino aci | ids length |
|----|-----------|-------------|------------|---------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|------------|
| | - | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c |
| 1 | CDPK1 | 2681 | 912 | 1+ | 3+ | 1638 | 858 | 545 | 285 |
| 2 | CSD1 | 873 | 675 | 1+ | 2+ | 459 | 459 | 152 | 152 |
| 3 | HVA22A | 977 | 464 | 2+ | 1+ | 534 | 318 | 177 | 105 |
| 4 | P5CS1 | 2590 | 653 | 3+ | 2+ | 2145 | 225 | 714 | 74 |
| 5 | SAT32 | 2048 | 512 | 1+ | 3+ | 1326 | 147 | 441 | 48 |
| 6 | SOS1 | 3772 | 921 | 3+ | 1- | 3432 | 615 | 1143 | 204 |
| 7 | SOS2 | 1890 | 895 | 3+ | 3+ | 1341 | 648 | 446 | 215 |
| 8 | SOS4 | 1562 | 772 | 1+ | 1+ | 930 | 663 | 309 | 220 |
| 9 | STZ | 1303 | 856 | 3+ | 2+ | 684 | 690 | 227 | 229 |

Table 1. ORF features for salt stress responsive genes in Arabdopsis thaliana and Ricinus communis.

ORF features

For salt stress responsive genes over all frame one and three were preferred. Least preferred frame was frame two. Except one, all frames were positive.

After prediction of ORF the range of genes and their aminoacids comes closer in selected model plant and studied plant *Ricinus communis*. For gene CSD1 both model and selected plants after ORF prediction have same number of nucleotides and aminoacids. Frame +1 was selected highly in drought stress responsive genes. Model plant preferred +3 frame mostly while *R.communis* select +3 only for single gene. Genes DDF1 (+1) and RAb18 (+3) preferred similar frames. Lengths of nucleotides and aminoacids came into somewhat uniform values after ORF prediction.

Similarly ORF was investigated for heat stress responsive genes and compared the results.

There was no similarity between preferred frames. Only single gene NPH4 preferred negative frame. Likewise for cold stress genes only one gene RCI3 in *R.communis* used frame negative. Genes HOS10 (+1) and MPK3 (+3) preferred similar frames in both Rabidopsis thaliana and *Ricinus communis*.

| Table 2. ORF features for | drought stress respon | nsive genes in Are | <i>abdopsis thaliana</i> an | d Ricinus communis. |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | |

| s# | Gene name | mRNA leng | th base pairs | Frame pr | eferred | Frame leng | th base pairs | Amino acid | ls length |
|----|-----------|-----------|---------------|----------|---------|------------|---------------|------------|-----------|
| | | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c |
| 1 | TAF1 | 1653 | 860 | 2+ | 1+ | 870 | 741 | 289 | 246 |
| 2 | MYBR1 | 1985 | 770 | 1+ | 2+ | 918 | 681 | 305 | 226 |
| 3 | DDF1 | 1135 | 768 | 1+ | 1+ | 630 | 699 | 209 | 222 |
| 4 | KIN11 | 1964 | 887 | 3+ | 1+ | 1539 | 594 | 512 | 197 |
| 5 | RAB18 | 881 | 714 | 3+ | 3+ | 558 | 450 | 185 | 149 |
| 6 | DREB2A | 1651 | 861 | 3+ | 2+ | 1008 | 732 | 335 | 243 |
| 7 | DRIP1 | 2280 | 748 | 1+ | 2+ | 924 | 567 | 307 | 188 |

| Table 3. ORF features for heat stress | responsive genes | s in Arabdopsis the | aliana and Ricinus co | ommunis. |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------|
|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------|

| s# | Gene name | mRNA length | n base pairs | Frame p | referred | Frame length b | oase pairs | Amino a | cids length |
|----|-----------|-------------|--------------|---------|----------|----------------|------------|---------|-------------|
| | | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c |
| 1 | ANAH-like | 1016 | 835 | 3+ | 1+ | 483 | 495 | 160 | 164 |
| 2 | HOS3-1 | 1450 | 787 | 3+ | 1+ | 927 | 720 | 308 | 239 |
| 3 | BOB1 | 1519 | 838 | 1+ | 2+ | 915 | 789 | 304 | 262 |
| 4 | CHLH-GUN5 | 4753 | 855 | 2+ | 1+ | 4146 | 753 | 1382 | 250 |
| 5 | HSF1 | 1682 | 503 | 2+ | 1+ | 1488 | 267 | 495 | 88 |
| 6 | CRK1 | 2443 | 758 | 2+ | 3+ | 1731 | 288 | 576 | 95 |
| 7 | NPH4 | 4429 | 936 | 1+ | -3 | 3495 | 390 | 1164 | 129 |

Conserved domain identification and comparison

Conserved domains were investigated and then compared on all studied genes of model plant and predicted genes of *R. communis*. Out of twenty eight genes on twenty six genes similar conserved domains were investigated. Irrespective of percentage identity and query coverage the presence of conserved domain showed the existence of these genes in model plant and from these results the genes of model plant can be predicted to be present in *R.communis*.

Homology search

Selected model genes from Arabidopsis thaliana were exposed to similarity search /homology search of certain genes in *Ricinus communis* L. The query coverage fluctuated from 2% to 97 % for all studied genes. The results of homology was categorized as highly similar showing similarity \geq 60%, moderately similar expressing homology \geq 30% and finally less similar exhibiting similarity < 30%. Studied salt stress genes expressed homology up to 93%. Two

genes CSD1 (93%) and SOS4 (70%) fall in the category having highly similarity. Four salt stress genes HVA22A (59%), SOS2 (49%), STZ (42%) and CDPK1 (37) were moderately similar and only one gene SAT32 (13%) remained in the category of less similarity. Identity of all genes was greater than 65% up to 79% (Table 1). With seven drought stress responsive model genes (Table 2) of Arabidopsis thaliana up to 82% similarity was shown by Ricinus communis L. Single gene expressed high similarity TAF1 (82%). Three genes were moderately similar i.e

MYBR1 (45%), DDF1 (41%) and KIN11 (35%). Genes RAB18 (16%), DREB2A (13%) and DRIP1 (3%) were less similar. Seven heat stress responsive genes were predicted in *R.communis* (Table 3). Highest query coverage was up to 97% for gene ANAH-like gene. Two genes were moderately similar HOS3-1 (49%) and BOB1 (41%). Remaining genes CHLH-GUN5 (20%), HSF1 (15%), CRK1 (15%) and NPH4 (12%) came in to the category of less similar genes. Six cold stress responsive genes were investigated in R. communis.

Table 4. ORF features for cold stress responsive genes in Arabdopsis thaliana and Ricinus communis.

| s# | Gene name | mRNA le | ength base pairs | Frame | e preferred | Frame len | igth base pairs | Amino | o acids length |
|----|-----------|---------|------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|----------------|
| | | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c | Ath | R.c |
| 1 | COR47 | 2320 | 848 | 1+ | 2+ | 798 | 693 | 265 | 230 |
| 2 | HOS10 | 675 | 608 | 1+ | 1+ | 639 | 495 | 212 | 164 |
| 3 | LOS4 | 1860 | 835 | 3+ | 1+ | 1491 | 777 | 496 | 258 |
| 4 | MPK3 | 1858 | 898 | 3+ | 3+ | 1113 | 789 | 370 | 262 |
| 5 | RCI3 | 1254 | 712 | 1+ | -3 | 981 | 291 | 326 | 96 |
| 6 | RD29A | 2660 | 863 | 3+ | 2+ | 2133 | 627 | 710 | 208 |

Table 5. Conserved domain identification and comparison of Salt stress responsive genes in Arabidopsis thaliana and Ricinus communis.

| s# | Gene name | Conserved | domain Family |
|----|-----------|---|---|
| | | A.thaliana | R.communies |
| 1 | CDPK1 | Pkc_like superfamily | Pkc_like superfamily |
| 2 | CSD1 | Cu_Zn superoxide dismutase super-family | Cu_Zn superoxide dismutase super-family |
| 3 | HVA22A | TB2_DP1_HVA22 super family | TB2_DP1_HVA22 super family |
| 4 | P5CS1 | ProB superfamily | ProB superfamily |
| 5 | SAT32 | IFRD super family | IFRD super family |
| 6 | SOS1 | Na_H exechanger super family | |
| 7 | SOS2 | PKc_like super family | PKc_like super family |
| 8 | SOS4 | ribokinase_pfkB_like super family | ribokinase_pfkB_like super family |
| 9 | Stz | zf-C2H2_6 super family | zf-C2H2_6 super family |

Three genes COR47 (78%), MPK3, (61%) and HOS10 (61%) were highly similar. One gene LOS4 (39%) was in the category of somewhat similar (Table. 4). Reminig genes RCI3 (29%) and RD29A (2%) were in the category of less similar genes. Overall the identity up to 79%.

Phylogenetic analysis

The Phylogenetic tree which was constructed to showed the different evolutionary relationship

between the model plants subjected plant and another plant from dicot family. From each stress one gene was selected with maximum query coverage from salt stress CDPK1 gene was designated and and match with model plant subjected plant and other dicot plant Solanum lycopersicum. The MYBR1 gene is selected from drought stress and COR47 from cold stress and from heat stress HOS3-1 was nominated to construct a cladogram for phylogenetic investigation. The cladogram which was constructed for

Phylogenetic analysis of four abiotic stress gene clearly exposed that in CDPK1 gene the Solanum lycopersicum closely link with Arabidopsis thaliana. In drought stress gene MYBR1 in which model plant and subjected plant was directly link with each other. Both in heat stress and cold stress the subjected plant and another dicot plant narrowly linked to each other rather than model plant.

Table 6. Conserved domain identification and comparison of drought stress responsive genes in Arabidopsis thaliana and Ricinus communis.

| s# | Gene name | Conserved domain Family | | | |
|----|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | | A.thaliana | R.communies | | |
| 1 | TAF1 | NAM super family | NAM super family | | |
| 2 | MYBR1 | SANT super family | SANT super family | | |
| 3 | DDF1 | AP2 super family | AP2 super family | | |
| 4 | KIN11 | PKc_like super family | PKc_like super family | | |
| 5 | RAB18 | Dehydrine super family | Dehydrine super family | | |
| 6 | DREB2A | AP2 super family | AP2 super family | | |
| 7 | DRIP1 | RAWUL family | RING_ubox super family | | |

 Table 7. Conserved domain identification and comparison of heat stress responsive genes in Arabidopsis thaliana and Ricinus communis.

| s# | Gene name | Conserved do: | main Family |
|----|-----------|---|---|
| | - | A.thaliana | R.communies |
| 1 | ANAH-like | AANH_like super family | AANH_like super family |
| 2 | HOS3-1 | ELO super family | ELO super family |
| 3 | BOB1 | alpha-crystallin-HsPs_p23 like super family | alpha-crystallin-HsPs_p23 like super family |
| 4 | CHLH-GUN5 | cobN_like super family | cobN_like super family |
| 5 | HSF1 | HSF_DNA-bind super family | HSF_DNA-bind super family |
| 6 | CRK1 | PKc_like super family | EFh_PEF super family |
| 7 | NPH4 | AUX_IAA super family | AUX_IAA super family |

Discussion

The present study work was on examinations of different abiotic stress responsive genes in *Ricinus communis* L. by using the bioinformatics tools. In

recent research 28 abiotic stress responsive genes were recognized. In abiotic stress the main stress is salt stress. Seven genes of each salt stress, cold, heat and drought were identified.

Table 8. Conserved domain identification and comparison of cold stress responsive genes in Arabidopsis thaliana and Ricinus communis.

| s# | Gene name | Conserved domain Family | | |
|----|-----------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | - | A.thaliana | R.communies | |
| 1 | COR47 | Dehydrine super family | Dehydrine super family | |
| 2 | HOS10 | SANT super family | SANT super family | |
| 3 | LOS4 | DEXDc super family | DEXDc super family | |
| 4 | MPK3 | PKc_like super family | PKc_like super family | |
| 5 | RCI3 | plant_peroxidase_like super family | plant_peroxidase_like super family | |
| 6 | RD29A | CAP160 Super family | NAC super family | |

It is understand that there is continuously an occurrence of serval abiotic stress slightly than a signal stress in the arena state. The altered abiotic stresses which might happen in combination of phase at a plant expansion stage which is serious issue for decreased the photosynthetic proficiency and compact on yield production (Wang *et al.*, 2004). Ecological factors that caused the main abiotic stress, such as scarcity, salt and heat stress, which directly effects on plant output.

Table 9. Represents Homology of *R.communis* with the salt stress, drought stress, heat stress and cold stress of responsive model genes from *A.thaliana*.

| Gene name | Salt stress | | Query | Idenity% |
|---------------|---|---|---|--|
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | coverage% | |
| | A.thaliana | R.communis | | |
| CSD1 | NM_100757.4 | | 93% | 79% |
| SOS4 | NM_001344222.1 | GE633159.1 | 70% | 77% |
| HVA22 | NM_106112.3 | EV520175.1 | 59% | 77% |
| SOS2 | NM_122932.5 | | 49% | 77% |
| STZ | NM_102538.3 | | 42% | 69% |
| | | | 37% | 68% |
| SAT32 | NM_202194.3 | EE258411.1 | 13% | 71% |
| Gene name | Drought stress | | Ouerv | Idenity% |
| | | | | |
| | A.thaliana | R.communis | | |
| TAF1 | | | 82% | 71% |
| MYBR1 | NM_126130.2 | GE634981.1 | | 78% |
| DDF1 | NM_101131.4 | EG701091.1 | 41% | 70% |
| KIN11 | NM_202645.3 | EG660845.1 | 35% | 83% |
| RAB18 | NM_001037085.1 | EV521739.1 | 16% | 88% |
| DREB2A | NM_120623.3 | GE634915.1 | 13% | 76% |
| DRIP1 | NM_202046.2 | GE635450.1 | 3% | 86% |
| | TT 1 1 | | | |
| Gene name | Heat stress | | | Idenity% |
| - | | | coverage% | |
| | A.thaliana | R.communis | | |
| ANAH-like | NM 115259.5 | EV523323.1 | 97% | 75% |
| HOS3-1 | | | 49% | 69% |
| BOB1 | | | | 75% |
| CHLH-GUN5 | NM_121366.4 | EG664695.1 | 20% | 78% |
| HSF1 | NM_117884.3 | EG687972.1 | 15% | 75% |
| CRK1 | NM_129679.2 | EG676546.1 | 15% | 73% |
| NPH4 | NM_180716.3 | EG683748.1 | 12% | 78% |
| Gene name | Cold stress | | Ouerv | Idenity |
| 20110 1141110 | 0010 001000 | | | <i>Laonity</i> |
| | A.thaliana | R.communis | | |
| COP 47 | NM 101804.4 | CE600071 | 799/ | 6-% |
| 1, | | | | 65% |
| | | | | 79% |
| MPK3 | | EG688551.1 | <u> </u> | 70% 76% |
| | NM_114433.3 | | 0170 | /070 |
| RCI3 | NM_100405.4 | EG663858.1 | 29% | 67% |
| | SOS4 HVA22 SOS2 STZ CDPK1 SAT32 Gene name TAF1 MYBR1 DDF1 KIN11 RAB18 DREB2A DRIP1 Gene name Gene name ANAH-like HOS3-1 BOB1 CHLH-GUN5 HSF1 CRK1 | CSD1 NM_100757.4 SOS4 NM_001344222.1 HVA22 NM_106112.3 SOS2 NM_122932.5 STZ NM_101746.5 SAT32 NM_202194.3 Gene name Drought stress MYBR1 NM_100054.3 MYBR1 NM_100054.3 MYBR1 NM_100134.4 KIN11 NM_202645.3 RAB18 NM_001037085.1 DREB2A NM_120623.3 DRIP1 NM_202046.2 Gene name Heat stress A.thaliana A.thaliana MSEB2A NM_120623.3 DRIP1 NM_202046.2 Gene name Heat stress AAthaliana A.thaliana MIP1 NM_120623.3 DRIP1 NM_202046.2 Gene name Heat stress MOS3-1 NM_115259.5 HOS3-1 NM_101342419.1 BOB1 NM_1124719.4 CHLH-GUN5 NM_11784.3 CRK1 NM_120679.2 <td< td=""><td>CSD1 NM_100757.4 EV521296.1 SOS4 NM_001344222.1 GE633159.1 HVA22 NM_106112.3 EV520175.1 SOS2 NM_122932.5 EE259791.1 STZ NM_102538.3 EG696617.1 CDPK1 NM_101746.5 EG696909.1 SAT32 NM_202194.3 EE258411.1 Gene name Drought stress EG6661297.1 MYBR1 NM_100054.3 EG6661297.1 MYBR1 NM_10131.4 EG701091.1 KIN11 NM_202645.3 EG660845.1 RAB18 NM_00137085.1 EV521739.1 DREB2A NM_120623.3 GE634915.1 DRIP1 NM_202046.2 GE635450.1 Gene name Heat stress Image: Communis A.thaliana R.communis Image: Communis A.thaliana R.communis Image: Communis MM_001342419.1 EG663325.1 EG663325.1 BOB1 NM_124719.4 EV523323.1 HOS3-1 NM_101784.3 EG6676546.1 <t< td=""><td>A.thaliana R.communis CSD1 NM_100757.4 EV521296.1 93% SOS4 NM_001344222.1 GE633159.1 70% HVA22 NM_106112.3 EV520175.1 59% SOS2 NM_122932.5 EE259791.1 49% STZ NM_102538.3 EG696607.1 42% CDPK1 NM_101746.5 EG696909.1 37% SAT32 NM_202194.3 EE258411.1 13% Gene name Drought stress Query coverage% Query A.thaliana R.communis 82% MYBR1 MM_100054.3 EG661297.1 82% 82% MYBR1 NM_10131.4 EG701091.1 41% KIN1 NM_202046.3 EG660845.1 35% RAB18 NM_001037085.1 EV521739.1 16% DREB2A NM_120623.3 GE6634915.1 13% DRIP1 NM_202046.2 GE635450.1 3% Gene name Heat stress Query coverage% A.thaliana<</td></t<></td></td<> | CSD1 NM_100757.4 EV521296.1 SOS4 NM_001344222.1 GE633159.1 HVA22 NM_106112.3 EV520175.1 SOS2 NM_122932.5 EE259791.1 STZ NM_102538.3 EG696617.1 CDPK1 NM_101746.5 EG696909.1 SAT32 NM_202194.3 EE258411.1 Gene name Drought stress EG6661297.1 MYBR1 NM_100054.3 EG6661297.1 MYBR1 NM_10131.4 EG701091.1 KIN11 NM_202645.3 EG660845.1 RAB18 NM_00137085.1 EV521739.1 DREB2A NM_120623.3 GE634915.1 DRIP1 NM_202046.2 GE635450.1 Gene name Heat stress Image: Communis A.thaliana R.communis Image: Communis A.thaliana R.communis Image: Communis MM_001342419.1 EG663325.1 EG663325.1 BOB1 NM_124719.4 EV523323.1 HOS3-1 NM_101784.3 EG6676546.1 <t< td=""><td>A.thaliana R.communis CSD1 NM_100757.4 EV521296.1 93% SOS4 NM_001344222.1 GE633159.1 70% HVA22 NM_106112.3 EV520175.1 59% SOS2 NM_122932.5 EE259791.1 49% STZ NM_102538.3 EG696607.1 42% CDPK1 NM_101746.5 EG696909.1 37% SAT32 NM_202194.3 EE258411.1 13% Gene name Drought stress Query coverage% Query A.thaliana R.communis 82% MYBR1 MM_100054.3 EG661297.1 82% 82% MYBR1 NM_10131.4 EG701091.1 41% KIN1 NM_202046.3 EG660845.1 35% RAB18 NM_001037085.1 EV521739.1 16% DREB2A NM_120623.3 GE6634915.1 13% DRIP1 NM_202046.2 GE635450.1 3% Gene name Heat stress Query coverage% A.thaliana<</td></t<> | A.thaliana R.communis CSD1 NM_100757.4 EV521296.1 93% SOS4 NM_001344222.1 GE633159.1 70% HVA22 NM_106112.3 EV520175.1 59% SOS2 NM_122932.5 EE259791.1 49% STZ NM_102538.3 EG696607.1 42% CDPK1 NM_101746.5 EG696909.1 37% SAT32 NM_202194.3 EE258411.1 13% Gene name Drought stress Query coverage% Query A.thaliana R.communis 82% MYBR1 MM_100054.3 EG661297.1 82% 82% MYBR1 NM_10131.4 EG701091.1 41% KIN1 NM_202046.3 EG660845.1 35% RAB18 NM_001037085.1 EV521739.1 16% DREB2A NM_120623.3 GE6634915.1 13% DRIP1 NM_202046.2 GE635450.1 3% Gene name Heat stress Query coverage% A.thaliana< |

The abiotic stresses inhibits plant growth by various means initiating instinctive cell death to compressed crop harvest or total crop disaster (Yokota *et al.*, 2002). Current research was basically based on the investigation of genes from EST analysis of selected plant. EST are the direct evidence and confirm the sequences as genes. An imperative genomic method to classify abiotic stress associated gene is constructed on EST garneted from diverse cDNA libraries. For data there is satisfactory experimental model was

available for the study of different abiotic stresses. The investigation of complete genome a large set of well categorized transformed is available like (EST), microarray, proteomics data and different protocols.

The significance to select the Ricinus Communis L. plant was that very large number of ESTs were

publically available (Rhee *et al.*, 2006). There are 62592 ESTs of *Ricinus communis* L. were reported in genome bank. A comprehensive data on the type of collections and the amount of EST created from each public library is indexed at the (NCBI). After NCBI, recovered particular databases and investigated for their phylogenetic relationship.

| CDPK1 | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Phylogram | |
| Branch length: Cladogram Real | |
| | Arabidopsis 0.188081 Solanum 0.176761 Ricinus 0.176761 |
| Phylogenetic Tree | |

Fig. 1. Cladogram for CDPK1 gene showing the closeness of Ricinus communis with solanum lysopersicum as compared to arabidopsis thaliana.

Homology search was performed by using BLASTn. Based on homology searched was performed by Ooka *et al.* (2003) and selected the homologs that cover at least 40% of interval of gene in database. The maximum substantial matches to Arabidopsis thaliana and tomato probes were physically measured by the matches of the query coverage (%) and identity (%) of and the great scoring section pairs were examined (Sun *et al.*, 2002).



Fig. 2. Cladogram for MYBR1 gene showing the closeness Ricinus Communis with Arabidopsis thaliana as compared to malus domestica.

The EST with high homology was expressed in *Ricinus communis* L. In different abiotic stresses the heat stress which showed the highest query coverage % in gene ANAH (97%) and (75%) identity in salt stress the CSD1 gene which presented the 93% query

coverage for salt stress genes HVA22A (59%), SOS2 (49%), STZ (42%) and CDPK1 (37) were discreetly alike and only one gene SAT32 (13%) continued in the group of less matched.



Fig. 3. Cladogram for COR47 gene showing the closeness of Ricinus communis with menihot as compared to arabidopsis thaliana.

In cold stress and drought stress the gene in drought stress TAF1 show 82% query coverage and in cold stress the gene COR 47 with maximum query coverage. The consequences are optimistic for presence of these genes in Ricinus communis L. Shahid et al. (2012) selected the homology of outcome from 50% to above they determined that they are the homolog gene. The result check through different trials growing gossypium in salt condition and then link the expressed transcript their consequence give the conformation of genes consuming homology from 50% to 94% correspondingly Teigi et al. (2004).

Salt stress responsive genes CSD1 and SOS1 in Arabidopsis & Ricinus Communis L. Both comprise the super families of Na+_H+ ion exchanger. In *Arabidopsis thaliana* the sodium and potassium ions were important for growth embarrassment and homeostatic maintains (Rizshki *et al.*, 2002). SOS1 expressed excellent role in plants in response to stress condition of salinity among the three loci of SOS, when compared to other like SOS2, SOS3. SOS1 mutant plants are expressive to the stresses caused by Li+ along with Na+. So, the analysis of mutants showed that type SOS1 work in the same path followed SOS3 and SOS2 (Han *et al.*, 2015). The SOS4 gene was recently isolated. The SOS4 mutations block the initiation of maximum root hairs and damage the tip growth of those that were initiated. The root hair less phenotype of SOS4 mutants was complemented by the wild type SOS4 gene (Rizshki *et al.*, 2002).

The several physiological studies which show that the salt and drought responses of the plants accrue the compactible solutes which is actual necessary to protect the plant cell from damage and play significant role in plant homeostasis (Herimat ,2011). Abiotic stress signals such as wounding, cold, high salinity and drought are known to cause variations in cytosolic Ca2 levels as well as changes in protein phosphorylation (Teigi *et al.*, 2004). Numerous evidence recommend that CDPKs simplify abiotic stress signalling pathways. Transcriptional activation of several different CDPKs by a variety of abiotic stresses has been established in tissues from diverse

species (Jamil *et al.*, 2011). The cold stress genes which caused the shunting of plant growth and caused serious damage to plants leaves in Arabidopsis thaliana L. and in the coaster oil bean plant the cold stress gene COR47 which contain the dehydrin superfamily which is uttered throughout the phases of low water content. Maximum members of the dehydrin superfamily are identified during exposure to ecological stresses where the osmotic stress is a reason to the stress mechanism (Strack *et al.*, 2001).

| HOS3-1 | |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Phylogram | |
| Branch length: Cladogram Real | |
| | - Arabidopsis 0.173699 |
| | Ricinus 0.173699 Nicotiana 0.179566 |
| | |
| Phylogenetic Tree | |

Fig. 4. Cladogram for HOS3-1 gene showing the closeness of Ricinus communis with solanum lysopersicum as compared to nicotiana tabacum.

The heat stress which is the one of reason to effect the plant harvest and cause advirse environment fluctuations (Rossi et al., 1997). The unwanted result of heat stress on the world production has appeared on wheat, and barrley (Lobell & field, 2007) the heat shock protein in Arabidopsis thalaina L. and Ricinus communis L. the gene HOS10 show similar super family SANT in both model plant and in Ricinus communis L. The HOS3 effective as an ABA-inhibitor, measured as germination rate of HOS3 in mutant seeds no slanted seeds were able to grow at higher applications of ABA however the wild-type continuous to propagate at a low degree (Wang et al., 2004). In heat stress the well-known gene HSF1 the heat shock transcription factor (HSFs) are the key heat shock factors flexible to the heat stress response.

They contribute in variable expression of heat shock proteins (HSPs), which are serious in the defence against stress injury and many other essential genetic trainings (Ma *et al.*, 2012). These insilco identification will provide the basis for exploring the mechanism of plants respond to various stresses.

Conclusion

In all abiotic stresses the highest query coverage was noticed in heat stress in gene ANAH 97%. The second maximum coverage was notice in salt stress gene CSD1 93%.in drought stress gene 82% query coverage was noted.in salt and cold stress in two genes 70% and above than query coverage was seen. Examination of different abiotic stress responsive genes in Ricinus communies L. showed that most of the genes exposed similar conserved domain both in model plant and subjected plant. One gene from each stress showed the different conserved domain in SOS1, DRIP1, RD29A, and CRK1, in these four gene the altered conserved domains were seen. The genes might be progressive with their time interval and variations came in their sequences which might be helpful in evolutionary studies. The subsequent approvals are proposed below in the light of outcomes is recommended that The effect of abiotic stress situations on distinct parameters concentrating inclusive harvest of Ricinus communies L. might be considered in upcoming future by developing it in abiotic stress like in salt and in cold stress. By

examining the records from all abiotic stress mostly from cold stress treated Ricinus communies L. plants more genes may be confirmed in future in expended research. Through wet lab find out the abiotic stress genes which highly effect the most plant yield all over the world. Genes that counter to numeral of stress are great significance like RD29A because the engineering of these genes will support to manage the plants from several type of strains. Mention the analysis of stress transferable factor in extra plants as a large amount of plants quiet required to be traveled for stress receptive genes. Find out those genes through bioinformatics tools which are responsible for plant diseases.

References

Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. 1990. Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology **215(3)**, 403-410.

Anderson MD, Prasad TK, Martin BA, Stewart CR. 1994. Differential gene expression in chillingacclimated maize seedlings and evidence for the involvement of abscisic acid in chilling tolerance. Plant Physiology **105(1)**, 331-339.

Atkinson NJ, Urwin PE. 2012. The interaction of plant biotic and abiotic stresses: from genes to the field. Journal of Experimental Botany **63(10)**, 35233543.

Chapin FS. 1991. Integrated responses of plants to stress. BioScience, 41(1), 2936.national Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences **4(4)**, 25-29.

Evans WC. 2009. Trease and Evans' Pharmacognosy E-Book. Elsevier Health Sciences.

Foyer CH, Noctor G. 2005. Redox homeostasis and antioxidant signaling: a metabolic interface between stress perception and physiological responses. The Plant Cell **17(7)**, 1866-1875.

Ghebremariam KM, Yan L, Zhang Z, Wang Q. 2013. Effect of drought stress on physiological growth Han Y, Zheng QS, Wei YP, Chen J, Liu R, Wan HJ. 2015. In silico identification and analysis of phytoene synthase genes in plants. Genetics and Molecular Research 14(3), 9412-9422.

Hiremath PJ, Farmer A, Cannon SB, Woodward J, Kudapa H, Tuteja R, Krishnamurthy L. 2011. Large-scale transcriptome analysis in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) an orphan legume crop of the semi-arid tropics of Asia and Africa. Plant Biotechnology Journal **9(8)**, 922-931.

Jena J, Gupta AK. 2012. *Ricinus communis* L. a phytopharmacological review. International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences **4(4)**, 25-29.

Knight H, Knight MR. 2001. Abiotic stress signalling pathways: specificity and cross-talk. Trends in Plant Science **6(6)**, 262-267.

Rhee SY, Dickerson J, Xu D. 2006. Bioinformatics and its applications in plant biology. Annual Review for Plant Biology **57(2)**, 335-360.

Rizhsky L, Liang H, Mittler R. 2002. The combined effect of drought stress and heat shock on gene expression in tobacco. Plant physiology **130(3)**, 11431151.

Rossi DL, Vicari AP, Franz BK, McClanahan TK, Zlotnik A. 1997. Identification through bioinformatics of two new macrophage proinflammatory human chemokines: MIP-3alpha and MIP-3beta. The Journal of Immunology **158(3)**, 1033-1036.

Seki M, Narusaka M, Abe H, Kasuga M, Yamaguchi SK, Carninci P, Shinozaki K. 2001. Monitoring the expression pattern of 1300 Arabidopsis genes under drought and cold stresses by using a full-length cDNA microarray. The Plant Cell

13(1), 61-72.

Shahid MN, Jamal A, Rashid B, Aftab B, Husnain T. 2012. Identification and isolation of salt-stress-responsive transcripts from *Gossypium arboreum* L. Turkish Journal of Biology **36(6)**, 746-756.

Sun W, Van MM, Verbruggen N. 2002. Small heat shock proteins and stress tolerance in plants. Biochimica Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Structure and Expression **1577(1)**, 1-9.

Teige M, Scheikl E, Eulgem T, Dóczi R, Ichimura K, Shinozaki K, Hirt H. 2004. The MKK2 pathway mediates cold and salt stress signaling in Arabidopsis. Molecular cell **15(1)**, 141-152.

Wang W, Vinocur B, Shoseyov O, Altman A. 2004. Role of plant heat-shock proteins and

molecular chaperones in the abiotic stress response. Trends in plant science **9(5)**, 244-252.

Yamaguchi SK, Shinozaki K. 1994. A novel cisacting element in an Arabidopsis gene is involved in responsiveness to drought, low-temperature, or highsalt stress. The Plant Cell **6(2)**, 251-264.

Yan S, Tang Z, Su W, Sun W. 2005. Proteomic analysis of salt stress responsive proteins in rice root. Proteomics **5(1)**, 235-244.

Yokota A, Kawasaki S, Iwano M, Nakamura C, Miyake C, Akashi K. 2002. Citrulline and DRIP-1 protein (ArgE homologue) in drought tolerance of wild watermelon. Annals of Botany **89(7)**, 825-832.