

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print) 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 17, No. 1, p. 194-200, 2020

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Prospects of post-harvest processing of fruit, A review

Mohammad Aurangzeb*, Zia-Ul-Haq, Hamza Muneer Asam, Talha Mehmood, Sohail Raza Haidree

Faculty of Agricultural Engineering ど Technology, PMAS Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan

Key words: Post harvest, Fruit, Storage, Processing, Packing

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/17.1.194-200

Article published on July 30, 2020

Abstract

Harvested fruits require sufficient postharvest processing before and after reaping. Increased production needs more conservation and preservation practices. In under developed countries large amounts of fruit loss from production to consumption due to poor post-harvest practices. Holding of fruit in storage at farm is becoming necessary practice. Storage under the proper conditions permits the holding of the fruit in a high quality state throughout the storage period, thus providing a regulated supply of the fruit during the winter and spring following the harvesting. When fruit is picked from the trees, it continues to carry on the process on respiration which is characteristic of all living organisms. Oxygen is necessary for respiration, reducing oxygen contents of the air and increasing the carbon dioxide content is one method of reducing rate of respiration and increasing storage life of fruit. The reaction will continue until fruit becomes overripe, soft and unpalatable. The purpose of the storage is to provide a suitable atmosphere that will slow down this process. Wastage of fruit decreases the per capita accessibility of organic products. It is necessary to build up innovations in postharvest to increase life quality and year-round availability of fruit. This paper talks about normal nevertheless significant postharvest advancements to keep up the nature of organic products.

* Corresponding Author: Mohammad Aurangzeb 🖂 zaib31051@gmail.com

Introduction

Complete production of fruit on planet has been assessed 392 M tons and 30-40% of absolute production in created nation is ruined because of absence of postharvest dealing with up to utilization. Be that as it may, on account of creating Country like Pakistan, the postharvest misfortunes saw near half of the all-out Fruits production which seriously influences the accessibility of fruits to the purchasers (Sudheer *et al.*, 2007).

Postharvest misfortunes relies on the different huge factors subsequent to collecting till utilization that is the reason estimation of definite misfortunes esteem is troublesome which required factual methods for discovered the exact Fig. of postharvest misfortunes, first measurable overview dependent on progressive example system was directed. Absolute 1.30 billion tons of consumable nourishment items ruined every year caused the enormous hole between all production of the nourishment wares. (Buzby and Hyman, 2012).

Short-lived (fruits with more water content) encourage the simple assault of the small scale life form because of high water action and ruined rapidly. Ill-advised dealing with, capacity, conservation strategies and microbe decay increment the postharvest misfortunes in fruits up to 50%. The bacteriological impact assumes a fundamental job in deterioration of fruitlets and taters because of around broad warmth or cold opposition smaller scale life form the prepared or canned item likewise can harm (Sharma *et al.*, 2013).

Post-farm experiments innovations can diminish the numerical and subjective misfortunes of new fruits and furthermore kept up the item quality up to conclusive utilization. Achieving the sterile agrarian produce ought to be centered around the assortments (Wasala *et al.*, 2014).

Postharvest yielded need fitting dealing with misfortunes of crisp fruits and furthermore kept up the item quality up to conclusive utilization (Wasala *et al.*, 2014). Post gather produce need fitting dealing with moving offices. A few studies inferred that

195 Aurangzed *et al.*

postharvest misfortunes are as yet a test and no critical declination has been seen inside recent decades as indicated by the assets (instructive projects, preparing projects and research programs) used. Serious investigation uncovers that all out postharvest misfortunes (during reaping, taking care of, bundling and moving) lies between 30 to 40% of the all-out production. Survey of numerous written works likewise finished up the few sterile and cleaned postharvest advances are grown however assessment of achievability and money related advantages of the referenced postharvest innovations to the makers postharvest (Kitinoja *et al.*, 2011).

Complete 30-40% fruits are wastage happened inside gathering to utilization. On account of created and creating nations, the misfortunes of fruits assessed around 5-30% and 20-half separately (Kader, 2002).

Decrease in the quality, stockpiling length and time span of usability can be limited with the assistance of satisfactory stockpiling, conveyance and condition (Ilić *et al.*, 2009).

Therefore this paper describes about normal nevertheless significant postharvest advancements to keep up the nature of organic products of Pakistan

Postharvest damages

Decreases of postharvest misfortunes from reap to utilization relies on the few natural, ecological perspectives, which can be controlled with the utilization of fitting postharvest innovation.

A few value elements alike dietary benefit, bodily look, and tangible attributes influence the numerical just by way of subjective misfortunes of vegetables. Some exploration expressed that were colossal contrasts amongst postharvest misfortunes of creating and created nations, assessed scope of misfortunes 2-23% shifts relies upon various delivers in (US), all the while by and large normal misfortunes from production till utilization was evaluated 12% (Harvey, 1978). On account of created nations the scope of misfortunes was watched 10-half (Kantor *et al.*, 1997).

Factors of total food loss

A few elements and embraced advancements assume crucial job in postharvest misfortunes of the various fruits collecting strategies, dealing with, transportation offices, conservation systems and market accessibility. With the assistance of present day procedures and approaches created nations have limit the postharvest misfortunes up somewhat yet because of less motorized techniques, creating nations are as yet confronting a major task (Hodges *et al.*, 2011).

Weakening in volume is misfortunes of supplement esteem, shading, surface characterized as the subjective misfortunes (Buzby and Hyman, 2012). Because of inaccessibility of reasonable gathering hardware, stockpiling structure for putting away the fruits , sterile bundling and suitable transportation offices caused the significant decay in fruits and vegetables (Anonymous, 2006).

Steps followed after harvesting

Accessibility of short-lived creates subsequent to gathering is conceivable just with talented and logical preparing ways to deal with save the items with smallest weakening.

Handling of post-harvest

Single wounding of apple expanded the dampness misfortunes up to400% (Bachmann *et al.*, 2000). Great techniques for gathering, taking care of transportation and capacity augmented the time span of usability and kept up the subjective qualities of the reaped produces.

Disinfected or appropriately clean bundling additionally advantages for improve the value and counteract the overabundance breath of stuffed fruits. A few conservation advances like cold stockpiling, altered air bundling and eatable covering has been utilized for keeping the fruits and cleanliness.

Cooling of the harvested fruits

Great nature of the fruits relies on temperature in light of the fact that storageat ideal temperature impedes over aging, mellowing, breath level and decay (Bachmann *et al.*, 2000).

New and clean qualities of the fruits and kept up through the assistance of satisfactory cooling framework in storage. Refrigeration is most as often as possible utilized cooling strategies and cold chain) likewise rehearsed for limiting the misfortunes all through the whole stockpiling and circulation framework (Ilić and Vukosavljević, 2010).

Mazza (1983) considered that suitable organized of capacity temperature to the 70C limit the breath rate, abundance dampness misfortune and textural shrinkage which lessen the weight reduction in fruits. Capacity (8-10 months) time frame (Kibar, 2012).

Postharvest Room

Study presumed that 15-12°C temperature and 90% dampness compulsory for capacity of cucumber since low temperature fewer than100C improves the opportunity of chilling damage or more 20 degree shade of cucumber went to yellow and quicken all the further quickly on account of multi fruits was put away at same spot (Tan, 1997).

Storerooms influence the physiochemical nature of fruits and legitimate consideration of development degree of the fruits in capacity limited the rot, rate and complete sugar amount. Around examination uncovered that development influences the numerous quality parameters of the fruits weight, timeframe of realistic usability and bioactive particles substance (Siddiqui *et al.*, 2013a; Siddiqui *et al.*, 2013b; Hossain *et al.*, 1996).

Botrel *et al.* All out solvent strong substance, all out sugar substance, weight and time span of usability of the fruits progressively expanded along with the expanding stockpiling period (Roma *et al.*, 2009).

Abandoned hotness and dampness throughout capacity expanded the misfortunes just can handled with the assistance of adequate refrigerated stockpiling. Assurance of postharvest attributes of fruits shading, physical immovability, dampness content and tangible it is essential to control the best possible stockpiling and transportation (Ahmad *et al.*, 2014; Dadzie, 1997).

Botrel *et al.* All out dissolvable strong substance, all out sugar substance, weight and timeframe of realistic usability of the Mango fruits progressively expanded with the expanding stockpiling period (Roma *et al.*, 2009). Unrestrained hotness and dampness throughout capacity expanded the misfortunes just can precise with the assistance of sufficient refrigerated stockpiling. Assurance of postharvest attributes of fruits shading, physical solidness, dampness content and tactile it is essential to direct the best possible stockpiling and transportation (Ahmad *et al.*, 2014; Dadzie, 1997).

Chakraverty (2001) considered that decay of fruits rely on the few elements which characterized as inherent elements like oxidation-decrease limit, development, cultivar, supplement degree and around outside components like hotness of capacity, treatment of produces and accessibility of O_2 (Abadias *et al.* 2008).

Packaging

In advanced stage for protecting fruitlets have great dampness factors (transitory item) and great breath degree, a few bundling procedures have been created. Day (2008) found that for protecting the new fruits to long term without weakening of value angles the dynamic bundling is generally reasonable.

Active packaging

A few serious examinations happened for building up the development bundling system because controlling the fruits crumbling with the assistance of customary bundling advances is incomprehensible. Dynamic bundling is proficient and effectively utilized for pressing the crisp fruits and gives better pressing attributes at negligible expense (Mehyar et al., 2011). Nature of the pressed item can't be efficiently kept up with general bundling advances because of which a suitable and propelled bundling method has been produced for controlling the decay and improve the time span of usability of fruits by including active ingredients in the bundling materials (Rooney, 2005). Dynamic bundling is very useful for protecting the transient fruits in light of this advanced bundling procedure, various sorts of markers (shading pointers, oxygen pointers and CO₂ markers and so on.) additionally can be utilized for disturbing the state of stuffed items which encourages the clean quality control (Rodrigues and Han, 2003).

Punctured Films

Punctured movies utilized aimed at pressing fruits and guarded the item based on measure of got away gasses by means of punctured layer (Fishman *et al.*, 1996). On account, punctured movie bundling the cool air has provided to give a reasonable hotness to stuffed fruits (Sharp *et al.*, 1993).

Atmospheric Packaging

Mohamed *et al.* (1996) examined the timeframe of realistic usability of the item improved with assistance of changed barometrical bundling and saw the subjective bundling life of fruits are 3 and 4 weeks for 100C and 15°C, separately, which indicated preferred outcome over multi week on account of without adjusted air bundling. Decrease in ethylene creation, impede protein movement and better the item value. Study uncovers that mix of6% oxygen and 14% CO2 inside the controlled climate bundling draw out the timeframe of realistic usability of crisp slice pineapple as long as 7 days at 100C (Chonchenchob *et al.*, 2007).

Change of bundling air achieved afterward the serious examination identified with the fruits for accomplishing the better nature of specific stuffed items and progressively accentuated on end of the components which liable for high (Jong and Jongbloed, 2004).

Fruit processing industry

Fruits involve nutrients, proteins, minerals and dietary strands. Fruits are short-lived in nature and there is a need to process fruits so as to expand their stockpiling or timeframe of realistic usability fundamentally. Fruit handling is done to increase the value of the crisp fruits produce in various manners viz. canning ,drying, solidifying and new fixing creation. There are different types of handled fruits: Pre-arranged crisp (incorporates fruit plates of mixed greens, having a shorter stockpiling life as they

incorporate included fixings, for example, sauces and flavorings)• Canned (incorporates canned pineapple, peaches, apricots, pears and blended fruits) Frozen(includes Frozen mangoes, berries and pineapple having a more drawn out rack life, convenience, easy storage)• Dried (incorporates dried apricots, apples and prunes with shorter life cycles than new fruits)• Juiced (incorporates new fruit juices).

Focal Food Technological Research Institute, Mysore proposed fruit handling process layout for the production of fruit juice (as given underneath). It comprises of four stages. In the first step, washing, cleaning, reviewing and stripping of developed and completely ready fruits is finished. From that point, juice is extricated from fruits and afterward it is separated to expel seeds, filaments, and so forth. This juice is then prepared, cleaned and packaged in the wake of including additives. If there should arise an occurrence of squash, syrup of sugar alongside additives is added to juice and this blend is mixed till a uniform arrangement is framed and afterward it is packaged.

Processing in the fruit industry consists of three steps Negligible Processing: It helps in expanding the usefulness of fruits without evolving its crisp like appearance, surface and shading by cleaning, arranging, reviewing and cutting.

- Primary Processing: It helps in holding freshness, flavor, and surface. It tends to be finished through effective stockpiling of the item e.g.: cuts, pulps, glue, safeguarded and enhanced.
- Secondary Processing: It (includes heat protection, refrigerated "prepared to eat", drying out, and aging, e.g.: ketchups, jam, juices, pickles, jelly, confections, chips and so on.

Conclusions

Implementation of proficient postharvest handling reduces shortage of fruit, assure year-round supply at affordable price to the customers and increase farmer's profit. This will improve per capita accessibility of fruits without applying additional assets for upgrading the production for farmer profitability.

References

Abadias M. 2008. Microbiological quality of fresh, minimally-processed fruit and vegetables , and sprouts from retail establishments. International Journal of Food Microbiology **123**, 121-129

Adisa VA. 1986. The influence of molds and some storage factors on the ascorbic acid content of orange and pineapple fruits. Food Chemistry **22**, 13-146

Alenazi M. 2009. Effect of harvesting and storage conditions on the post-harvest quality of tomato (Lycopersiconesculentum Mill). Australian Journal of Crop Science Southern Cross **3(2)**,113-121.

Anonymous. 2006. Postharvest Management of Fruit and Vegetables in the Asia-Pacific Region. Asian Productivity Organization, Japan. ISBN: 92-833-7051-1.

Anonymous. 2013. Press Information Bureau Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture, MP: SS: CP: vegetables& fruits, 17th December **17**, 20 IST.

Argenta LC. 2004. Production of volatile compounds by fuji apples following exposure to high CO2 or low O2.Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry **52**, 5957-5963.

Bachmann J, Earles R. 2000.Postharvest handling of fruits and vegetables. Appropriate Technology Transfer for Rural Areas. NCAT Agriculture Specialists pp. 1-19.

Beaudry RM, Cameron AC, Shirazi A. Dostal-Lange DL. 1992. Modified-atmosphere packaging of blueberry fruit: Effect of temperature on package O2 and CO2. Journal of American Society of Horticulture Science **117**, 436-441.

Bhosale J. 2013. Emerson Climate Technologies India, a business of the US-based manufacturing and technology company Emerson. ET Bureau, 28th November **02**, 53 PM, IST.

Botrel N, De-Carvalho VD, Capriade VD. 1993. Effect of fruit weight on internal browning and quality inpine apple smooth cayenne. Pesquisa Agrropequaria Brasiliere **28(9)**, 1055-1064.

Buzby JC. Hyman J. 2012. Total and per capita value of food loss in the United States. Food Policy **37(5)**, 561-570.

Chakraverty A. 2001. Post-harvest Technology. Enfield, NH: Scientific Publishers. ISBN: 0-8247-0514-9

Chonhenchob V, Chantarasomboon Y. Singh SP. 2007. Quality changes of treated fresh-cut tropical fruits in rigid modified atmosphere packaging containers. Packaging Technology Science **20**, 27-37.

Day BPF. 2008. Active packaging of food. In Smart Packaging Technologies for Fast Moving Consumer Goods.Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley pp. 1-18.

Egharevaba RKA. 1995. Physiology of fruits and vegetables. Journal of Tropical Postharvest **2**, 51-73.

Fishman S, Rodov V. Ben-Yehoshua S. 1996. Mathematical model for perforation effect on oxygen and water vapour dynamics in modified atmosphere packages. Journal of Food Science **61**, 956-961.

Gupta HC, Singh J, Kathuria OP. 2000. Methodological Investigation on Post harvest Losses, Journal of Indian Society Agriculture Statistics **53(2)**, 161-171.

Harvey JM. 1978. Reduction of losses in fresh market fruits and vegetables. Annual Review of Phytopathology **16**, 321-341.

Hodges RJ, Buzby JC, Bennett B. 2011. Postharvest losses and waste in developed and less developed countries: opportunities to improve resource use. Journal of Agricultural Science **149**, 37-45.

Hossain MA, Goffr MA, Chowdury JCS, Mollah MS. 1996. Shelf life of fruits of some tomato lines under ordinary condition. BARI Annual Report pp. 5-8.

Ilić Z, Fallik E, Dardić M. 2009. Harvest, sorting, packaging and storage of vegetables. Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus 77(1), 1-4.

Izumi H, Tatsumi Y, Murata T. 1984. Effect of storage temperature on changes of ascorbic acid content of cucumber, winter squash, sweet potato and potato. Nippon Shokuhin Kogyo Gakkaishi **31**, 47-49.

Jindal KK. 2004. Challenges and Opportunities in Apple Cultivation in Hills. Presented in National Works hopon Enhancing Productivity of Apple in India. YSPHUF, Solan. ISBN: 92-833-7051-1.

Jones CS, Holt JE, Schoorl D. 1991. A model to predict damage to horticultural produce during transport. Journal of Agriculture Engineering Research **50**, 259-72.

Jong A, Jongbloed H. 2004.Conditions of purchase: active and intelligent packaging in Europe. Europian Food Drink Review Spring **1**, 37-40.

Kader AA, Rolle RS. 2004. The Role of Postharvest Management in Assuring the Quality and Safety Horticultural Crops. Food and Agriculture Organization. Agricultural Services Bulletin **152**, 52.

Kader AA. 2002. Postharvest Biology and Technology: An Overview, In: Postharvest technology of horticultural crops. University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Special Publication **3311**, 39-47.

Kantor LS, Lipton K, Manchester A, Oliveira V. 1997. Estimating an addressing America's food losses. Food Review **20**, 3-11.

Kibar H. 2012. Design and management of postharvest potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) storage structures, Igdir University, Department of Biosystems Engineering, Igdir-Turkey, Ordu Üniversity. Ordu University Journal of Science and Technology **l(2)**, 23-48.

Kitinoja L, Saran S, Roy SK, Kaderc AA. 2011. Postharvest technology for developing countries: challenges and opportunities in research, outreach and advocacy. Journal of Food Science and Agriculture **91**, 597-603.

Mehyar GF, Han JH. 2011. Active Packaging for Fresh-Cut Fruits and Vegetables, Edited by Aaron L. Brody, Hong Zhuang and Jung H. Han. Blackwell Publishing Ltd pp. 267-284.

Miller EV, Heilman AS. 1952.Ascorbic acid and physiological breakdown in the fruits of the pineapple (*Ananas comosus* L. Merr.). Science **116**, 505-506.

Mohamed S, Taufik B, Karim MNA. 1996. Effect of modified atmosphere packaging on the physiochemical characteristics of ciku (*Achras sapota* L) at various storage temperature. Journal of Science Food Agriculture **70**, 231-240.

Ozdemir M, Floros JD. 2004. Onions: Postharvest Operation, Organisation: Massey University, Private Bag, Active food packaging technologies. Critical Review Food Nutrition **44**, 185-193.

Ram R, Friedman K, Sobey MN. 2008. Impacts of harvesting and post harvesting processing methods on the quality and value of beche-de-mer in Fiji Islands. SPC Beche-de-merInformation Bulletin pp. 21-24.

Rodrigues ET, Han JH. 2003.Intelligent packaging. In: Heldman DR, editor. Encyclopedia of Agricultural, Food, and Biological Engineering. New York: Marcel Dekker pp. 528-535.

Salami P, Ahmadi H, Keyhani A, Sarsaifee M. 2010. Strawberry postharvest energy losses in Iran. Researcher 4, 67-73. **Shah A, Gupta DK, Arya SS.** 2000. Value-added Product Development of Horticultural Produce. Indian Horticulture, April–June: pp18-20.

Sharp AK, Irving AR, Morris SC. 1993. Does temperature variation limit the use of MA packaging during shipment in freight containers In: G. Blanpied, Journal of Bartsch and Journal of Hicks (eds) Proc. 6th Intl Contr. Atmospheric Research Conference. Cornell University, Ithaca NY pp. 238-251.

Siddiqui MW, Mominem, Acharya P, Kabir J, Debnath MK, Dhua RS. 2013a. Dynamics of changes in bioactive molecules and antioxidant potential of Capsicum chinense Jacq. cv. Habanero at nine maturity stages. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum, 35(4), 1141-1148.

Singh A, Singh Y. 1992. Effect ofvibration during transportation on the quality of tomatoes. Journal of Agriculture Mechinary, Asia, Africa and Latin America **23**, 70-72.

Smith RC. 2010. Vegetable Maturity Dates, Yields and Storage, Ph.D. Extension Horticulturist, NSDU Extension survice, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND **58**, 108.

Sudheer KP, Indira V. 2007. Postharvest technology of horticultural pp. 01-05.

Tan SC. 1997. Postharvest handling of cucumber, lettuce and tomato, Research Officer, Horticultural Science, South Perth. Farm note 41/96.