

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 17, No. 4, p. 32-45, 2020

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Genetic Assessment of Oil Contributing Traits in Upland Cotton

Abdul Rehman^{1,2}, Anam Bashir³, Ghulam Sarwar⁴, Jia Yinhua², Xiongming Du^{1,2}, Muhammad Tehseen Azhar^{1,3,*}

¹Zhengzhou Research Base, State Key Laboratory of Cotton Biology, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450000, China ²Institute of Cotton Research, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Anyang 455000, China

^sDepartment of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Faisalabad-38000, Pakistan

*Cotton Research Station, Ayub Agricultural Research Institute, Faisalabad-38000, Pakistan

Key words: Correlation, Cotton, Environmental variance, Genetic variance, Heritability, Phenotypic variance.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/17.4.32-45

Article published on October 10, 2020

Abstract

Cotton is the most important fiber as well as oil crop around the globe and plays a vital role in the economy of Pakistan. Present research work was planned to evaluate 5 parents along with their 20 hybrids of upland cotton for oil content and oil contributing traits in a complete diallel fashion in randomized complete block design with three replications. Genetic variance, phenotypic variance, environmental variance, heritability and correlation was estimated for various morphological traits. Results revealed that parent AA-802 and exhibited good performance for sympodial branches per plant, number of bolls per plant, boll weight, ginning outturn (GOT) seed index, lint index and seed cotton yield. Whereas, C-26 identified as better performer exclusively for plant height, sympodial branches per plant, seed index and oil contents. Cross SB-149 × C-26 showed significant response for seed cotton yield, sympodial branches per plant, boll weight, number of bolls per plant, plant height, seed index, lint index, seed cotton yield and oil contents. SB-149 × AA-802 presented better results for the traits namely, sympodial branches per plant, boll weight, GOT, seed index and seed cotton yield. High heritability estimate was recorded in boll weight, number of bolls per plant, GOT, oil contents while moderate heritability was estimated in seed cotton yield, lint index and seed index. Low heritability was estimated in plant height and sympodial branches. These identified parents and hybrids could be used in future breeding programs to overcome the oil-related problems in upland cotton.

*Corresponding Author: Muhammad Tehseen Azhar 🖂 tehseenazhar@gmail.com

Introduction

Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) is the most important fiber crop. It is the second-largest source of vegetable oil worldwide (Alishah et al., 2008). It contributes 4.1% in agricultural value addition and 0.8% in GDP of Pakistan (ESP, 2019-2020). Cotton seeds oil is cholesterol-free and entitled as "Heart oil". Cotton contributes 60-70% in total edible oil production in Pakistan and 4% in the world's vegetable oil production (Munawar and Malik, 2013). Cotton is regarded as one of the important conventional oilseed crops with the potential to bridge the existing gap between the supply and domestic demand for vegetable oil (Sekhar and Rao, 2011). It is considered as 5th oilseed crop after soybean, canola, sunflower and palm and 2nd best source of plant protein after soybean. Cottonseed oil, a by-product of cottonseed, is a valuable source of edible oil due to the presence of 15-20% oil (Sawan et al., 2006). But in Pakistan, oilseed crops meet only 30% of the country's demand, with the remaining 70% still imported from other countries in the form of palm oil and soybean oil. Therefore, due to the crop's undisputed economic importance, the stable production of quality cotton and increased oil content is vital in the national interest.

In this context, awareness among growers, millers, and exporters is mandatory for improving and maintaining cotton standards to compete in the international market.

Various researchers analyzed in detail about seed traits, but very little work was reported against the genetics of cottonseed oil percentage. Whereas, cotton genotypes behaved significantly different for oil percentage (Ashokkumar and Ravikesavan, 2013). The seed index presented a positive and direct relationship with oil contents (Munawar and Malik, 2013). Oil contents were positively correlated with protein and seed cotton yield (Ahmad and Azhar, 2000). Selection based on seed cotton yield, bolls per plant and boll weight could be helpful for the breeders to develop genotypes with high oil contents (Qayyum *et al.*, 2010). In cotton, within-boll yield related traits

are influenced by alterations in plant density (Bednarz et al., 2007). The existence of genetic variation within a species for certain traits is a prerequisite for the development of new genotypes (Azhar and Naeem, 2008). The first step for an effective breeding program is the selection of appropriate parents. Then, the mating design used to study the correlation analysis leads to the identification of parents with additive and nonadditive genetic effects for particular characteristics. These techniques help for the identification of potential parents in hybridization and breeding programs (MURTHY and Chamundeswari, 2006). The identification of suitable parents and cross combinations also lead to exploit heterosis. Cotton crop has a slight genetic base, that's why it is essential to develop new hybrids with higher heterotic performance. Heterosis and recombination breeding in cotton is highly amenable.

In cotton breeding programs, heterosis substantially remained as one of the significant key factors in plant growth and development (Ranganatha *et al.*, 2013; Choudhary *et al.*, 2016). The present study was carried out to find the relative effects of heterosis, genetic potential, variability, heritability and correlation in upland cotton for oil associated traits.

Material and methods

The present experiment was conducted in the glasshouse and experimental area in of Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture, (latitude 31°25'N, longitude 73°09'E and altitude 184.4 m from sea level) Faisalabad. Five varieties of Gossypium hirsutum L. were used as parents collected from the gene pool of Cotton Research Group, Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad. In 2018, the plant material was developed by crossing five genetically diverse upland cotton varieties, namely AA-802, C-26, AGC-2, SB-149 and VH-282 according to complete diallel fashion in earthen pots in the glasshouse with sand to soil ratio (1:2). The optimal growing conditions, i.e., temperature (25~35°C) light intensity (25,000~30,000 lux) and

humidity (44~49%) was maintained for germination and growth of the plants in glasshouse. All of the agronomic practices were applied to obtain a healthy plant population. After germination, thinning was practiced by keeping 1 healthy seedling at two leaves stage in each pot. At the time of bud formation, these five genotypes were self-pollinated as well as crossed in all possible combinations to produce F_1 hybrids (Table 1). All of the precautionary measures were followed to avoid the mixing of genetic material.

 F_0 seeds of five parents along with their 20 hybrids were planted in 2019 to get F_1 population. The experiment was conducted according to a randomized complete block design with three replications. Each replication contained 25 rows of twenty-five families. There were 10 plants for each family in each row. Plant to plant and row to row distance was kept 30 cm and 75 cm respectively. At the time of maturity, the data was collected on the following traits from five guarded plants from each row.

Plant height

The height of five selected plants were taken in centimeters from the first cotyledonary node to epical bud using meter rod. Then the average height was calculated for statistical analysis.

Number of sympodial branches per plant

The fruit-bearing branches were counted from the selected plants then averaged.

Number of bolls per plant

Number of bolls per plant was counted at the time of picking and the average number was calculated for each entry in three replications from each family for biometrical analysis.

Boll weight

The boll weight of individual plants was calculated by dividing the total seed cotton yield from a plant by the total number of bolls picked.

 $Boll weight = \frac{Weight of seed cotton yield}{Number of bolls from plant}$

Seed cotton yield per plant

Mature bolls of selected plants were picked, and seed cotton was collected and weighed in grams with the help of electronic balance. For each plant, the total seed cotton yield was recorded.

The average seed cotton yield per plant for each genotype was calculated in each replication for further analysis.

Ginning outturn (GOT)

Dry and clean samples of seed cotton were weighed and ginned individually with single roller electrical gin available in the ginning lab of the department.

The lint obtained from each sample was weighed and lint % was calculated by the following formula.

GOT % = $\frac{\text{Weight of lint in a sample}}{\text{weight of seed cotton in sample}} \times 100$

Seed index

Seed index refers to the weight of 100 seeds in grams. After ginning, disease-free 100 cotton seeds were taken from each sample and weighed in grams using electrical balance. The average seed index for three replications of each family was calculated.

Lint index

Lint index refers to the weight of lint obtained from 100 seeds in grams. It is calculated by using the following formula.

$$Lint index = \frac{Seed index \times GOT}{100 - GOT}$$

Oil contents

The oil contents in the sample of cotton seed were estimated by using a Soxhlet apparatus. It is calculated by using the following formula:

Oil Contents % =
$$\frac{S1 - S2}{S1} \times 100$$

Where: S1: Weight of cotton seeds before extraction S2: Weight of cotton seeds after extraction

Statistical analysis

The mean data of traits and Pearson's correlation (r) coefficient was calculated from F_1 population following the method described by Steel *et al.* (1997) using the statistical software Minitab 17. Genetic, environmental and phenotypic variances and broadsense heritability were further estimated from ANOVA mean square for each trait according to Burton (1951).

Results

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) indicated the presence of significant differences among parents and hybrids for all of the characters namely plant height, number of sympodial branches per plant, seed cotton yield per plant, number of bolls per plant, seed index, boll weight, lint index, GOT and oil contents among all the genotypes (Table 2).

Parents	Direct crosses	Indirect crosses		
AA-802	AA802 × C-26	C-26 × AA-802		
	AA-802 × SB-149	SB-149 × AA-802		
C-26	$AA802 \times AGC-2$	SB-149 × C-26		
	$AA802 \times VH282$	$AGC-2 \times AA-802$		
SB-149	C-26 × SB-149	$AGC-2 \times C-26$		
	C-26 × AGC-2	AGC-2 × SB149		
AGC-2	C-26 × VH-282	$VH-282 \times AA-802$		
	SB-149 × AGC-2	VH-282 × C-26		
VH-282	SB-149 × VH-282	VH-282 × SB-149		
	AGC-2 × VH-282	VH-282 × AGC-2		

Table 1. List of upland cotton parents along with their F1 hybrid.

Mean values

Average comparisons of all the genotypes for plant height were given in Table 3 and Fig. 1. The genotype C-26 exhibited maximum plant height of 82 cm as compared to AGC-2 which gained 60.3 cm height. The hybrids C-26 \times SB-149 showed a maximum plant height of 89.2 cm while AA-802 \times AGC-2 presented a minimum value of 57.3 cm. Similarly, sympodial branches ranged between 13 and 20. The genotype SB-149 and C-26 presented the maximum number of sympodial branches per plant while minimum showed by AGC-2. Among hybrids SB-149 × AA-802, 21 branches were expressed as the highest value whereas AA-802 × AGC-2 exhibited 13 minimum number of sympodial branches per plant.

The variety AGC-2 showed 28 number of bolls per plant while SB-149 presented 14. Among hybrids, the highest 30 number of bolls presented by VH-282 \times AGC-2 and 10 by VH-282 \times SB-149.

Table 2. Analysis of variance for boll weight in upland cotton.

-										
SOV	DF	PH	SB	NOB	BW	GOT	SI	LI	SCY	OC
Rep	1	350	25.9	0.32	0.01	1.09	0.02	0.94	11.4	0.05
Gen	24	134.765**	8.263**	105.838**	0.437**	17.356**	0.285**	1.251**	69.231**	6.075**
Err	24	64.5	3.75	8.28	0.02	2.44	0.1	0.25	12.3	0.96

Where, N.S= non-significant,* = P > 0.05, ** = P > 0.01, Plant height (PH), Sympodial branches (SB), Number of bolls per plant (B/P), Boll weight (BW), Ginning outturn (GOT), Seed index (SI), Lint index (LI), Seed cotton yield (SCY), Oil contents (OC).

The genotype AGC-2 showed a maximum boll weight of 3.29 while VH-282 presented minimum estimates of 2.05. Among crosses, AA-802 \times VH282 (3.48) showed maximum while C-26 \times AA-802 (2.06) displayed minimum boll weight. The genotype VH- 282 (44.05) showed maximum GOT while C-26 (38.59) presented minimum GOT. Among combinations, VH-282 \times AA-802 (45.20) displayed maximum GOT and C-26 \times VH-282 (34.97) exhibited minimum value for this particular trait. The genotype

AA-802 displayed maximum value (7.6) whereas SB-149 exhibited minimum estimates (6.4) for seed index. Among crosses, AA-802 × AGC-2 showed maximum seed index while VH-282 × AGC-2 presented a minimum seed index. The genotype SB-149 showed maximum value (7.36) for the lint index while C-26 exhibited minimum value (4.55). VH-282 × SB-149 (7.32) presented maximum lint index whereas SB-149 × VH-282 (4.73) displayed minimum value. The genotype AGC-2 showed a maximum seed cotton yield of 32.26 whereas SB-149 presented a minimum value of 17.15 for seed cotton yield. The hybrid SB-149 × C-26 (33.43) showed maximum while AGC-2 × C-26 displayed the least value seed cotton yield per plant. The genotype C-26 showed a maximum percentage of 16.39 for this particular character whereas AGC-2 presented a minimum 15.01%. The hybrid C-26 × AGC-2 displayed a maximum 17.16% for oil contents while cross AGC-2 × VH-282 displayed a minimum value of 11.08%.

Table 3. Mean values of the parents and hybrids for plant height, sympodial branches per plant, number of bolls per plant, boll weight, ginning outturn, seed index, lint index, seed cotton yield and oil contents in *G. hirsutum* L.

	Genotypes	PH	SB	NOB	BW	GOT	SI	LI	SCY	OC
-	AA-802	65.9	16	21	3.1	42.51	7.6	5.38	23.10	15.88
Parents	SB-149	74.4	17	14	2.40	40.17	6.4	7.36	17.15	16.06
-	AGC-2	60.3	13	28	3.29	43.16	7.3	5.54	32.26	15.01
-	C-26	82	17	18	2.64	38.59	7.2	4.55	21.33	16.39
-	VH-282	67.8	16	27	2.05	44.05	6.7	4.87	30.37	15.83
	AA-802 × SB-149	66.1	16	12	2.73	36.96	6.4	6.26	14.85	11.35
-	$AA-802 \times AGC-2$	57.3	13	27	3.23	43.99	7.7	5.29	23.02	14.73
-	AA-802 × C-26	65.7	18	14	2.25	37.36	6.7	6.50	17.88	16.34
-	$\textbf{AA-802}\times\textbf{VH-282}$	62.8	15	28	3.48	44.19	7.3	5.45	20.40	13.86
-	SB-149 × AA-802	73.4	20	25	3.27	43.64	7.2	4.99	32.28	16.35
-	SB-149 × AGC-2	67.1	18	11	2.3	38.79	6.7	6.4	17.40	15.68
-	SB-149 × C-26	76.9	20	28	3.4	42.7	7.1	5.19	33.43	17.03
-	$\text{SB-149}\times\text{VH-282}$	77.5	16	14	2.54	39.23	6.6	4.73	26.60	13.15
Uubrida	$AGC-2 \times AA-802$	73.9	17	14	2.3	43.42	6.6	5.60	21.86	15.58
Hybrius -	AGC-2 \times SB-149	63.6	16	26	3.47	39.83	6.8	5.03	17.28	12.91
-	$AGC-2 \times C-26$	67.2	15	12	2.45	44.78	7.1	5.99	14.00	16.33
-	$AGC-2 \times VH-282$	68.0	15	26	3.36	40.64	7.1	4.83	28.28	11.08
-	$C-26 \times AGC-2$	79	19	27	2.56	43.17	6.8	5.21	22.10	17.16
-	C-26 × AA-802	79.3	20	13	2.06	37.61	6.7	5.15	24.30	15.15
-	C-26 × SB-149	89.2	19	25	2.56	43.32	7.1	5.44	28.40	15.15
	C-26 × VH-282	84.6	19	12	2.73	34.97	7.3	5.03	22.90	15.73
	VH-282 × SB-149	66.5	16	10	2.45	37.39	7.1	7.32	23.20	13.02
-	$\text{VH-282}\times\text{AA-802}$	62.1	15	29	2.26	45.20	6.5	5.09	30.45	12.97
-	VH-282 × C-26	61	16	11	2.41	39	7.3	6.90	15.92	16.09
-	$VH-282 \times AGC2$	69.5	17	30	2.38	43.24	6.3	5.09	29.47	13.79

Genetic variance, phenotypic variance, environmental variance and Heritability

Plant height exhibited genetic variance 35.14, phenotypic variance 99.62 and environmental variance 64.47. Consequently, the heritability estimation was 35% (Table 4). For sympodial branches, genotypic, environmental and phenotypic variance were 2.25, 3.75 and 6.00, respectively with heritability estimation of 35%. The number of bolls per plant exhibited highest genotypic variance 48.78, while environmental variance and phenotypic variance were 8.27, and 57.05 respectively and broadsense heritability was 85%. Genotypic, environmental, phenotypic variances and heritability for boll weight were 0.206, 0.024, 0.231 and 89% respectively. For GOT, genotypic, phenotypic, environmental variance and heritability were 7.45, 9.89, 2.44, and 75% respectively. The genetic variance for the seed index was 0.094, the phenotypic variance

was 0.190 and the environmental variance was 0.095 while heritability estimate was 49%. The genetic, environmental and phenotypic variances were 0.500, 0.250 and 0.750, respectively and heritability estimate for lint index was 66%.

Table 4. Genetic, environmental and phenotypic variances and heritability plant height, sympodial branches, number of bolls per plant, boll weight, ginning outturn, seed index, lint index, seed cotton yield and oil contents in upland cotton.

Parameters	Vg	Ve	Vp	h^2
PH	35.14	64.47	99.62	0.35
SB	2.25	3.75	6.00	0.37
NOB	48.78	8.27	57.05	0.85
BW	0.206	0.024	0.231	0.89
GOT	7.457	2.441	9.899	0.75
SI	0.094	0.095	0.190	0.49
LI	0.500	0.250	0.750	0.66
SCY	28.44	12.34	40.78	0.69
OC	2.560	0.955	3.515	0.72

The genetic variances (28.44) for seed cotton yield were found greater than the environmental variances (12.34) which revealed that this trait was less affected by environmental factors. Thus, genetic variance played an important role in the inheritance of seed cotton yield. The heritability recorded for seed cotton yield was 69%. Oil content contributed genotypic, environmental variance and phenotypic variance with 2.56, 0.95 and 3.51 respectively with a heritability estimate of 72%.

Correlation

Correlation analysis provides useful information to plant breeders about the relationship among various quantitative traits. It helps the plant breeders to develop appropriate selection criteria for the improvement of quality and yield. The presence of correlation between two traits indicates the genetic influence among them. The correlation matrix among various traits in five parental lines and 20 combinations was estimated to explore breeding material (Table 5). Correlation coefficients for plant height revealed that this trait had a positive association with the number of sympodial branches per plant, the number of bolls per plant, boll weight, GOT and seed cotton yield per plant. Correlation

plant had a positive correlation with boll weight, the number of bolls per plant, seed cotton yield per plant, GOT and plant height. The number of bolls per plant showed a positive correlation with plant height, GOT, sympodial branches per plant and seed cotton yield per plant. The association between bolls per plant and boll weight was negative. Correlation analysis revealed that boll weight had a positive association with seed index, GOT, lint index and seed cotton yield per plant. Correlation studies for GOT revealed that it had a positive correlation with seed cotton yield per plant, sympodial branches per plant, number of bolls per plant, boll weight and plant height. Correlation studies revealed a negative correlation of seed index with GOT. Correlation coefficients for lint index revealed that it had a positive association with GOT, seed cotton yield, boll weight and seed index. Seed cotton yield had a positive correlation with all the traits under study except oil contents and seed index.

analysis also revealed that sympodial branches per

Whilst oil contents showed a positive association with the number of sympodial branches per plant, the number of bolls per plant, boll weight and seed index while it had a negative relationship with seed cotton yield per plant.

Traits	PH	SB	NOB	BW	GOT	SI	LI	SCY
SB	0.736**							
B/P	0.319**	0.288*						
BW	0.257**	0.168**	-0.508**					
GOT	0.026 ^{N.S}	0.018 ^{N.S}	0.009**	0.065 **				
SI	0.006 ^{N.S}	0.013 ^{N.S}	-0.102 **	0.164**	-0.181**			
LI	-0.010 ^{N.S}	-0.003 ^{N.S}	-0.070 ^{N.S}	0.144 **	0.876**	0.203**		
SCY	0.162**	0.138 ^{N.S}	0.071**	0.205**	0.695**	-0.111 ^{N.S}	0.600**	
OC	0.343*	0.405**	0.006*	0.133**	-0.090 ^{N.S}	0.070**	-0.070 ^{N.S}	-0.019

Table 5. Correlation matrix among plant height, sympodial branches, number of bolls per plant, boll weight,ginning outturn, seed index, lint index, seed cotton yield and oil contents in upland cotton.

* = P > 0.05, **= P > 0.01, N.S=non-significant.

Discussion

In the present study, 5 parents along with their 20 crosses exhibited significant differences among parents and hybrids for all of the characters namely plant height, number of sympodial branches per plant, seed cotton yield per plant, number of bolls per plant, seed index, boll weight, lint index, GOT and oil contents among all the genotypes. The genetic variance was less than phenotypic variance and environmental variance for plant height with 35% heritability.

The genetic variance was less than the environmental variance which indicated that plant height was less influence by genetic components as compared to environmental components. Meena and Meena (2017) and Ahmad *et al.* (2008) reported varied values for plant height. Killi *et al.* (2005), Ahmad *et al.* (2011) and Ullah *et al.* (2015) reported 20%, 81% and 87% broad-sense heritability for plant height, respectively. Environmental variance for number sympodial branches per plant was little more than genetic variance which designated that this trait was little influenced by genetic variance. Killi *et al.* (2005), Mustafa *et al.* (2007) and Ahmad *et al.* (2014) reported almost similar results for sympodial branches per plant.

The genotypic variance of the number of bolls per plant was six times greater than the environmental variance hence it is influenced by genetic components as compared to environmental components. Soomro

affected by genetic variance than an environmental variance. Krishnarao and Mary (1990) and Afiah and Ghoneim (2000) reported that boll weight is more affected by genetic variance than environmental variance. Desalegn et al. (2009) reported 62% broadsense heritability for this trait. Bibi et al. (2011), Ranganatha et al. (2013) and Reddy and Sarma (2014) reported 71%, 78% and 44% broad-sense heritability, respectively. Similar findings were observed for GOT and subsequently supported by Cook and El-Zik (1993), Joshi et al. (2006), Rasheed et al. (2009) and Choudki et al. (2013). Genetic variance for seed index was approximately equal to environmental variance which depicted that the seed index was equally controlled by environmental and genetic components. Dani (1991) and Suinaga et al. (2006) reported varied values for seed index whereas Iqbal et al. (2015) observed 97%, Killi et al. (2005) reported 6% and Bibi et al. (2011) found 71% heritability. Genetic variance for oil contents also revealed more contribution of genetic variance with 72% heritability. Hassan et al. (2005) found significant variability for cottonseed oil also Khan et al. (2010) reported 87% broad-sense heritability for cottonseed oil.

et al. (2005) observed similar findings for this trait.

Ahmad et al. (2011), Abbas et al. (2013), Vineela et al.

(2013), Reddy and Sarma (2014) and Ullah et al.

(2015) reported 88%, 77%, 57%, 64% and 90% broad-

sense heritability for the number of bolls per plant,

respectively. Present findings revealed that the

inheritance of boll weight was nine times more



Fig. 1. Average performance of parents and hybrids for (A) Plant height (B) Sympodial branches (C) Number of bolls per plant (D) Boll weight (E) GOT (F) Seed index (G) Lint index (H) Seed cotton (I) Oil contents in *G. hirsutum*.

Correlation coefficients for plant height revealed positive association sympodial branches per plant, the number of bolls per plant, boll weight, GOT and seed cotton yield per plant. Mustafa *et al.* (2007) and Baloch *et al.* (2014) concluded that the number of sympodial branches per plant had a positive association with plant height. Khan *et al.* (2009), Abbas *et al.* (2015) and Rehman *et al.* (2014) reported a positive association of plant height with the number of bolls per plant whereas Ranjan *et al.* (2014) reported a positive correlation of plant height with boll weight and Al-Bayaty (2005) reported a positive association of plant height with GOT. Other researchers including Pujer *et al.* (2014), Baloch *et al.* (2014) and Khan *et al.* (2009) concluded that plant

yield. Sympodial branches per plant exhibited a positive correlation with boll weight, the number of bolls per plant, seed cotton yield per plant, GOT and plant height. Alkuddsi *et al.* (2013) and Shar *et al.* (2017) examined the positive association of sympodial branches per plant with the number of bolls per plant. Afiah and Ghoneim (2000) and Baloch *et al.* (2015) reported a positive correlation of seed cotton yield with several sympodial branches per plant. Chattha *et al.* (2013) and Farooq *et al.* (2013) reported that sympodial branches had a positive association with GOT. The number of bolls per plant showed positive correlation with plant height, GOT, sympodial branches per plant.

height had a positive correlation with seed cotton

The association between bolls per plant and boll weight was negative. Tayade et al. (2011) and Khan (2014) reported a negative correlation between boll weight and bolls per plant. Shar et al. (2017) reported that the number of bolls per plant had a positive correlation with seed cotton yield and Al-Bayaty (2005) reported a positive correlation of boll number with plant height whereas Farooq et al. (2015) discussed the positive association of the number of bolls per plant with GOT. Boll weight had a positive association with seed index, GOT, lint index and seed cotton yield per plant. Kumari et al. (2011) reported that boll weight had a positive correlation with seed cotton yield and Choudhary et al. (2016) found a positive association of boll weight with seed index. Whilst Ahmad and Azhar (2000) reported a strong association of boll weight with GOT. Xu et al. (2005) and Punitha et al. (2013) reported a positive association of boll weight with lint index. The correlation studies indicated that any improvement in boll weight may have a positive effect on plant yield. GOT showed a positive correlation with seed cotton yield per plant, sympodial branches per plant, number of bolls per plant, boll weight and plant height while the negative association with seed index. Pujer et al. (2014) reported positive correlation of GOT with yield of seed cotton. Killi et al. (2005) found that GOT had positive relationship with number of sympodial branches per plant. Wadeyar and Kajjidoni (2015) reported negative association of GOT with seed index while Feiyu et al. (2012) reported positive association of GOT with number of bolls per plant. Chao-zhu et al. (2008) estimated positive association of GOT with boll weight and Al-Bayaty (2005) reported positive relationship between plant height and GOT. The seed index exhibited a negative correlation with GOT. Similar findings were reported by Karademir et al. (2009), and Ahmad et al. (2016). Lint index had a positive association with GOT, seed cotton yield, boll weight and seed index. Ahmad et al. (2016) revealed that the lint index had a positive association with GOT. Rao and Gopinath (2013), and Rajamani et al. (2013) reported a positive association of seed cotton yield with lint index. Punitha et al. (2013) reported that lint index and boll weight correlated positively. Alkuddsi *et al.* (2013) reported a positive correlation of lint index with GOT and seed index and Ahmad *et al.* (2016) reported a positive correlation of lint index with seed index and yield of seed cotton.

Seed cotton yield presented a positive correlation with all the traits under study except oil contents and seed index. And these findings were supported by Srinivas *et al.* (2015), Baloch *et al.* (2015), Abdullah *et al.* (2016), Ranjan *et al.* (2014), Salahuddin *et al.* (2010), Farooq *et al.* (2014), El-Kady *et al.* (2015), Latif *et al.* (2015) and BABU *et al.* (2017).

Oil contents showed a positive association with the number of sympodial branches per plant, number of bolls per plant, boll weight and seed index while it had a negative relationship with seed cotton yield per plant. Mishra and Satpute (2007) reported a negative correlation between oil contents and seed cotton yield per plant. Chaudhari *et al.* (2017) also found a positive association between the yield of seed cotton and oil contents. Ashokkumar and Ravikesavan (2010) found that cottonseed oil correlated positively with sympodial branches per plant, number of bolls per plant, boll weight and seed index whereas it showed a negative relationship with a yield of seed cotton. Qayyum *et al.* (2010) and Munawar and Malik (2013) reported the same findings.

Conclusion

Parents AA-802 exhibited good performance for sympodial branches per plant, number of bolls, boll weight, GOT seed index, lint index and seed cotton yield. Whereas, C-26 identified as better performer exclusively for plant height, sympodial branches per plant, seed index and oil contents. Cross SB-149 × C-26 showed significant response against seed cotton yield, sympodial branches per plant, boll weight, number of bolls per plant, plant height, seed index, lint index, seed cotton yield and oil contents. SB-149 × AA-802 presented better results for the traits namely, sympodial branches per plant, boll weight, GOT, seed index and seed cotton yield. Whilst C-26 × AGC-2 identified as best performer for the traits *i.e.*,

plant height, number of bolls per plant, GOT, lint index and oil contents. These identified parents and hybrids could be used in future breeding programs to overcome oil-related problems. It is also suggested that this information must be substantiated by another genetic experiment that may involve a reasonable number of cotton genotypes, evaluated under diverse environments to enhance oil contents of existing commercial cultivars and to develop new cultivars with improved oil content for cottongrowing areas.

References

Abbas H, Mahmood A, Ali Q, Khan M, Nazeer W, Aslam T, Zahid W. 2013. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance and correlation studies in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). International Research Journal of Microbiology **4(6)**, 156-161.

Abbas HG, Mahmood A, Ali Q. 2015. Genetic variability and correlation analysis for various yield traits of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Agricultural Research **53(4)**, 481-491.

Abdullah M, Numan M, Shafique MS, Shakoor A, Rehman S, Ahmad M. 2016. Genetic variability and interrelationship of various agronomic traits using correlation and path analysis in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Academia Journal of Agricultural Research **4(6)**, 315-318. http://dx.doi.org/10.15413/ajar.2016.0154

Afiah S, Ghoneim E. 2000. Correlation, stepwise and path coefficient analysis in Egyptian cotton under saline conditions. Arab Universities Journal of Agricultural Sciences **8(2)**, 607-618.

Ahmad A, Farooq J, Chattha WS, Naveed-Ul-Haq M. 2014. Association of Qualitative and Yield Contributing Traits in Upland Cotton. Agriculture and Forestry **60(1)**, 115-122.

Ahmad M, Azhar FM. 2000. Genetic correlation and path coefficient analysis of oil and protein contents and other quantitative characters in F2 generation of *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences **3(6)**, 1049-1051.

Ahmad M, Khan NU, Muhammad F, Khan SA, Munir I, Bibi Z, Shaheen S. 2011. Genetic potential and heritability studies for some polygenic traits in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Pakistan Journal of Botany **43(3)**, 1713-1718.

Ahmad S, Fiaz S, Riaz A, Bashir I, Zeb A. 2016. Correlation analysis of morphological and fiber quality traits in upland Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). International Journal of Biosciences **9(4)**, 200-208.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/9.4.200-208

Ahmad W, Khan N, Khalil M, Parveen A, Saeed M, Shah S. 2008. Genetic variability and correlation analysis in upland cotton. Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. **24(4)**, 573-580.

Al-Bayaty HM. 2005. Path coefficient analysis in upland cotton. Mesopotamia Journal of Agriculture **33(3)**, 2-7.

Alishah O, Bagherieh-Najjar M, Fahmideh L. 2008. Correlation, path coefficient and factor analysis of some quantitative and agronomic traits in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Asian Journal of Biological Sciences. **1(2)**, 61-68.

Alkuddsi Y, Patil S, Manjula S, Patil B. 2013. Correlation Studies on Yield and its Components in inter specific cotton hybrids (*G. hirsutum* x *G. barbadense*) for developing heterotic box. Molecular Plant Breeding **4(28)**, 228-23

http://dx.doi.org/10.5376/mpb.2013.04.0028

Ashokkumar K. Ravikesavan R. 2010. Genetic studies of correlation and path coefficient analysis for seed oil, yield and fibre quality traits in cotton (*G. hirsutum* L.). Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences **4(11)**, 5496-5499.

Ashokkumar K. Ravikesavan R. 2013. Genetic

variation and heterotic effects for seed oil, seed protein and yield attributing traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). African Journal of Biotechnology **12(33)**, 5183-5191.

Azhar FM, Naeem M. 2008. Assessment of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) germplasm for combining abilities in fiber traits. Journal of Agriculture and Social Sciences **4**, 129-131.

Baloch M, Kumar C, Jatoi W, Rind I. 2014. Phenotypic correlation and regression analysis of yield and fibre traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Pakistan Journal of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering and Veterinary Sciences **30(2)**, 135-146.

Baloch M, Baloch A, Baloch M, Mallano I, Baloch A, Baloch N, Abro S. 2015. Association and heritability analysis for yield and fiber traits in promising genotypes of cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Sindh University Research Journal **47(2)**, 303-306.

Bednarz CW, Nichols RL, Brown SM. 2007. Within-boll yield components of high yielding cotton cultivars. Crop Science **47(5)**, 2108-2112.

Bibi M, Khan NU, Mohammad F, Gul R, Khakwani AA, Sayal OU. 2011. Genetic divergence and association among polygenic characters in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Pakistan Journal of Botany **43(6)**, 2751-2758.

Burton GW. 1951. Quantitative Inheritance in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*). Agronomy Journal **43(9)**, 409-417.

Chao-zhu X, Shu-xun Y, Li-ping G, Cheng-duo M, Wen-juan F, Hai-lin W, Yun-lei Z. 2008. Heterosis performance and correlation analysis on economic traits of upland cotton hybrids in different ecological environments. Cotton Science **20(1)**, 6-10.

Chattha WS, Farooq J, Ahmad A, Kang SA,

Naveed-Ul-Haq M. 2013. Correlation analysis of quality and yield contributing traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). International Journal of Modern Agriculture **2**, 95-101.

Chaudhari M, Faldu G, Ramani H. 2017. Genetic variability, Correlation and Path coefficient analysis in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Advances in Bioresearch **8(6)**, 226-233.

http://dx.doi.org/10.15515/abr.09764585.8.6.226233

Choudhary R, Solanki B, Gahtyari NC, Paul T, Patel D. 2016. Heterosis in single cross inter and intra-specific hybrids of Desi cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* and *G. herbaceaum*) for their seed cotton yield, fibre quality and seed oil content. Journal of Applied and Natural Science **8(3)**, 1356-1365. <u>https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.v8i3.966</u>

Choudki V, Savita S, Sangannavar P, Vamadevaiah H, Khadi B, Patil RS, Katageri I. 2013. Genetic improvement of fibre strength in diploid cotton (*G. herbaceum* l.) through interspecific hybridization using *G. anomalum* wild species. Crop Research **45(1)**, 259-267.

Cook C, El-Zik K. 1993. Fruiting and lint yield of cotton cultivars under irrigated and nonirrigated conditions. Field Crops Research **33(4)**, 411-421.

Dani, R. 1991. Analysis of combining ability for seed oil content in cotton (*G. hirsutum*). Acta Agronomica Hungarica **40**, 123-127.

Desalegn Z, Ratanadilok N, Kaveeta R. 2009. Correlation and heritability for yield and fiber quality parameters of Ethiopian cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) estimated from 15 (diallel) crosses. Natural Science **43**, 1-11.

El-Kady DA, Abd El-Mohsen AA, Latif HMA. 2015. Evaluating bivariate and multivariate statistical analysis of yield and agronomic characters in Egyptian cotton. Scientia Agriculturae **9(3)**, 150-164. http://dx.doi.org/10.15192/PSCP.SA.2015.9.3.150164 **ESP.** 2019-2020. Economic Survey of Pakistan, Ministry of Finance, Economic Advisor's Wing, Islamabad.

Farooq J, Anwar M, Rizwan M, Riaz M, Mahmood K, Mahpara S. 2015. Estimation of Correlation and Path Analysis of Various Yield and Related Parameters in Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Cotton Genomics and Genetics **6(1)**, 1-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.5376/cgg.2015.06.0001

Farooq J, Anwar M, Riaz M, Mahmood A, Farooq A, Iqbal MS, Iqbal MS. 2013. Association and path analysis of earliness, yield and fiber related traits under cotton leaf curl virus (CLCuV) intensive conditions in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Plant Knowledge Journal **2(2)**, 43.

Farooq J, Anwar M, Riaz M, Farooq A, Mahmood A, Shahid M, Rafiq M, Ilahi F. 2014. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of earliness, fiber quality and yield contributing traits in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences **24(3)**, 781-790.

Feiyu T, Xueqin F, Wangcheng M, Wang X, Wenjun X. 2012. Performance of yield components and morphological traits and their relationships of the lint yield in Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) hybrids. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology **14(3)**, 360-364.

Hassan I, Mohamed A, Abdel-Rahman L. 2005. Comparative study on seed cotton yield, oil and protein contents in the seed of some Egyptian cotton cultivars grown at different locations. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Research **83(2)**, 735-742.

Iqbal MA, Ammad A, Zafar Y. 2015. Characterization of indigenous Gossypium Arboreum L. Genotypes for various fiber quality traits. Pakistan Journal of Botany **47(6)**, 2347-2354.

Joshi H, Chovatia P, Mehta D. 2006. Genetic variability, character association and component

analysis in upland cotton. Indian Journal of Agricultural Research **40(4)**, 302-305.

Karademir Ç, Karademir E, Ekinci R, Gençer O. 2009. Correlations and path coefficient analysis between leaf chlorophyll content, yield and yield components in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) under drought stress conditions. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca **37(2)**, 241-244.

Khan H. 2014. Genetic variability for yield, its components and quality traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Natural Science **12**, 31-35.

Khan NU, Marwat KB, Hassan G, Farhatullah SB, Makhdoom K, Ahmad W, Khan HU. 2010. Genetic variation and heritability for cotton seed, fiber and oil traits in Gossypium hirsutum L. Pakistan Journal of Botany 42(1), 615-625.

Khan NU, Hassan G, Marwat KB, Farhatullah SB, Makhdoom K, Khan I, Khan I, Ahmad W. 2009. Genetic variability and heritability in upland cotton. Pakistan Journal of Botany 41(4), 1695-1705.

Killi F, Efe L, Mustafayev S. 2005. Genetic and environmental variability in yield, yield components and lint quality traits of cotton. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology **7(6)**, 1007-1010.

Krishnarao, K. and Mary, T. 1990. Variability, correlation and path analysis of yield and fibre traits in upland cotton. Madras Agricultural Journal 77(3), 146-151.

Kumari B, Kajjidoni S, Salimath P, Patil M, Chakrawarthy A. 2011. Relative contribution of component traits of seed cotton yield in five F2 population of desi cotton. Current Biotica **5(1)**, 29-39.

Latif A, Bilal M, Hussain SB, Ahmad F. 2015. Estimation of genetic divergence, association, direct and indirect effects of yield with other attributes in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) using biplot correlation and path coefficient analysis. Tropical Plant Research **2(2)**, 120-126.

Mathews PG. 2005. Design of Experiments with MINITAB. Milwaukee, Wisconsin Wisconsin: ASQ Quality Press.

Meena PP, Meena H. 2017. Genetic Variability and Character Association in Intra-Hirsutum Hybrids. International Journal of Pure and Applied Bioscience **5(3)**, 403-406. http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.5053

Mishra U. Satpute G. 2007. Quantitative improvement of seed-oil through desired traits association in rainfed cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development **21(1)**, 1-5.

Munawar M. Malik TA. 2013. Correlation and genetic architecture of seed traits and oil content in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics **1(2)**, 56-61.

Murthy JS. Chamundeswari N. 2006. Yield component analysis in introgressed lines of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development **20(1)**, 1-4.

Mustafa A, Elsheikh Y, Babiker E. 2007. Genetic variability and character association and selection criteria in Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Sudan Journal of Agricultural Research **8**, 43-50.

Pujer SK, Siwach SS, Sangwan RS, Sangwan O, Deshmukh JA. 2014. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and fibre quality traits in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L). Journal of Cotton Research Development. **28**, 214-216.

Pujer S, Siwach S, Deshmukh J, Sangwan R, Sangwan O. 2014. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding **5(2)**, 284-289. **Punitha D, Gunasekaran M., Balu P, Vinodhana N, Vindhiyavarman P.** 2013. Genetic studies of variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis in cotton. Advances in Plant Sciences **26(2)**, 331-334.

Qayyum A, Murtaza N, Azhar F, Iqbal MZ, Malik W. 2010. Genetic variability and association among oil, protein and other economic traits of Gossypium hirsutum L. in F2 generation. Journal of Agriculture and Research **48(2)**, 137-142.

Rajamani S, Sumalatha P, Gopinath M. 2013. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development **27(2)**, 188-190.

Ranganatha H, Patil SS, Manjula S, Arvindkumar B. 2013. Genetic variability studies in segregating generation of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Molecular Plant Breeding **4**, 84-88. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.5376/mpb.2013.04.0010</u>

Ranjan R, Sangwan R, Siwach S, Sangwanand O, Sah M. 2014. Correlation and path analysis studies in *Gossypium arboreum* L. Journal of Cotton Research and Development **28(1)**, 37-39.

Rao P. Gopinath M. 2013. Association analysis of yield and fibre quality characters in upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences **7(8)**, 787-790.

Rasheed A, Malik W, Khan A, Murtaza N, Qayyum A, Noor E. 2009. Genetic evaluation of fiber yield and yield components in fifteen cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) genotypes. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology **11**, 581-585.

Reddy RY, Sarma A. 2014. Genetic variability for yield components and fibre characters in cotton (*Gossypium arboreum* L.). Plant Archives **14**(1), 417-419.

Rehman A, Mariam R, Mirbahar A, Saifullah

A. 2014. Biometrical association of plant height and yield components in *Gossypium hirsutum* L. International Journal of Biology and Biotechnology **11(1)**, 155-159.

Salahuddin S, Abro S, Kandhro M, Salahuddin L, Laghari S. 2010. Correlation and path coefficient analysis of yield components of upland cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) sympodial. World Applied Sciences Journal **8**, 71-75.

Sawan ZM, Hafez SA, Basyony AE, Alkassas A. 2006. Cottonseed, protein, oil yields and oil properties as affected by nitrogen fertilization and foliar application of potassium and a plant growth retardant. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences **2(1)**, 56-65.

Sekhar SC, Rao B. 2011. Cottonseed oil as health oil. Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agricultural Science **34(1)**, 17-24.

Shar T, Baloch M, Arain M, Jatoi W, Lochi R. 2017. Phenotypic associations, regression coefficients and heritability estimates for quantitative and fiber quality traits in upland cotton genotypes. Journal of Agriculture, Agricultural Engineering and Veterinary Sciences **33(2)**, 142-152.

Soomro A, Kakar R, Ali, H, Abid S. 2005. Comparison of yield and its components in some commercial cotton varieties. Indus Journal of Plant Science 4(4), 545-552.

Srinivas B, Bhadru D, Rao M. 2015. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for seed cotton yield and its components in American cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal **35(1)**, 13-18.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5958/0976-0547.2015.00003.8

Steel RGD. Torrie JH, Dickey DA. 1997. Principles and procedures of statistics: A biometrical approach. (3rd ed.) McGraw Hill, New York.

Suinaga FA, Bastos CS, Rangel LEP. 2006. Phenotypic adaptability and stability of cotton cultivars in Mato Grosso State, Brazil. Pesquisa Agropecuária Tropical **36(3)**, 145-150.

Tayade A, Raju A, Dhoele M. 2011. Studies on correlation and path coefficient analysis in Bt and non Bt cotton hybrids (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Cotton Research and Development **25(2)**, 147-151.

Ullah K, Usman Z, Khan N, Ullah R, Saleem FY, Khattak SI, Ali M. 2015. Genetic diversity for yield and related traits in upland cotton genotypes. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research **28(2)**, 118-125.

Vineela N, Samba Murthy J, Ramakumar P, Ratna K. 2013. Variability studies for physio morphological and yield components traits in American Cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum* L.). Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences 4(3), 7-10.

Wadeyar BS, Kajjidoni S. 2015. Genetic Variability Estimation and Frequency of Superior Progenies in Advance Breeding lines in desi cotton at two locations. Current World Environment **10(1)**, 313-317.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12944/CWE.10.1.39

Xu N, Zou K, Chen X, Xiao S, Dia J, Liu J. 2005. Analysis of genetic effects for boll traits in upland cotton. Jiangsu Journal of Agricultural Sciences **21(1)**, 17-21.