International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 17, No. 5, p. 152-161, 2020

OPEN ACCESS

Effect of citric acid on the storage stability of sugarcane juice at different temperatures

Ali Ikram¹, Sadia Ambreen¹, Ali Tahir⁴, Nimra Bashir², Muzzamal Hussain¹, Muhammad Ijaz Shafiq¹, Wasim Khalid¹, Muhammad Babar Bin Zahid¹, Warda Arshad¹, Tabussam Tufail^{3*}

¹Institute of Home and Food Sciences Government College University Faisalabad, Pakistan ²Faculty of Agriculture & Environment, the Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan ³University Institute of Diet & Nutritional Sciences, The University of Lahore, Pakistan ⁴Allied Health Sciences, Superior University Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan

Key words: Sugarcane, Citric acid, Pasteurization, Room temperature, Refrigeration.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/17.5.152-161

Article published on November 12, 2020

Abstract

The current study was planned to check the storage stability of the sugarcane juice. For that purpose, all the treatments were optimized based on sensory evaluation, turbidity and color attributes at different concentrations of potassium meta-bisulphite (KMS) (0, 40, 80, 120, 150, and 200 ppm), citric acid (0, 15, 30, 40, and 60 /100 ml), and pasteurization temperature (50, 70, 90 and 100°C) for 10 min of juice. Then sugarcane juice samples were formulated by adding citric acid (40 mg/100 ml), pasteurizing the juice for 10 minutes at 70°C and potassium metabisulphite (150 ppm). Pre-sterilized glass bottles were used for storing the sugarcane juice at refrigeration (4 ± 2 °C) temperature and room (30 ± 5 °C). Samples were tested for physiochemical (total soluble solids, total sugar, reducing sugar, acidity, pH, and viscosity), microbial test (TPC, yeast, and mold) and sensory evaluation (texture, flavor, and overall acceptability). Results indicated that the total soluble solids, pH and total sugars decreased, whereas, reducing sugars and titratable acidity increased significantly (P<0.01) during storage. An appreciable increase in total plate counts and yeast and mold counts were observed, however, no coliforms were detected in sugarcane juice during the storage period. The changes in different attributes were significantly (P<0.01) higher at room temperature as compared to refrigeration temperature. The sugarcane juice having citric acid and potassium meta-bisulphite showed minimum changes in sensory qualities during storage, both at room and refrigeration temperature.

* Corresponding Author: Tabussam Tufail 🖂 tabussam.tufail@dnsc.uol.edu.pk

Introduction

Sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum Linn.), belonging to the Poaceae family, has been produced worldwide for economical and medicinal valued products, for example, drinking cane juice, pulp, alcohol, pesticides, xylitol, paper, feed, organic manure, and electricity (Li and Yang, 2015; Xiao et al., 2017). For subtropical and tropical regions, strong growth has shown that the well-drained soils (pH 7.5-8.5), humid high organic matter, and hot conditions have been added (Koh, 2009). Glucose and fructose are present in sugarcane and they are still a low-cost energy crop (Yadav and Solomon, 2006). The presence of flavonoids, phenolic acids, and many other phenolic compounds in sugarcane allows its juices and syrup to have antioxidant activity (Payet et al., 2006).

Different products such as brown sugar and molasses are obtained during processing brown sugar and jaggery are safer than white sugar (Fraser, 2012). Molasses are used for ethanol and biogas production. Due to its cosmetic and medicinal properties, sugar cane wax was a replacement for the expensive carnauba wax. Roots and stems of sugarcane are used extensively for various skin and asthma, bronchitis, heart conditions, jaundice, anemia, blood pressure urinary tract infections, and constipation (Akber et al., 2011). Raw sugarcane is now a popular commercial drink for the treatment of different diseases and delicious beverages. To produce a new juice, the fresh culms of cane sugar are produced. It is highly nutritious and contains natural sugars, specific mineral products, amino acids, vitamins, phosphatides, organic acids, and starch (Nishad et al., 2017). Sugar cane juice contains 40 kcal of sugar, iron 1.1 mg, 10 mg of calcium, and 6 mg of beta carotene. A minimum of 20% of the total soluble solids are in the sugarcane juice and 80% are water, water activity and pH are 0.99 and 4.6 respectively (Silva et al., 2016). According to Parvathy, 1983 the sugarcane juice has been thought to help in the recovery from hemorrhage, dysuria, anuria, jaundice, cancer, cardiovascular and urinary diseases (Cáceres et al., 1987; Karthikevan and Samipillai, 2010). The

153 | Ikram *et al*.

sugarcane juice exhibited diuretic properties, owing to which it supports immune-stimulatory effects and urinary flow (Hikosaka *et al.,* 2007; Akram *et al.,* 2014). Thus, the consistent use of juice aids the urinary system, as well as kidneys in acting their best role. The juice is also drunk in blend with lime juice or ginger for added benefits. (Singh *et al.,* 2015).

Fresh sugarcane juice cannot usually be stored for more than six hours and has short shelf life commercially. Huge amounts of sugar combined with bit quantities of organic acids and polyphenols are responsible for the consequent dark-brown color and rapid fermentation. The activity of polyphenol oxidase leads to fermentation which makes the juice unmarketable (Qudsieh et al., 2002; Özoğlu and Bayındırlı, 2002). A wide variety of techniques are used for the preservation of sugarcane juice by hot water blanching of raw materials, antimicrobial and antioxidants agents (Taylor et al., 2005), heatbased inactivation of enzymes (Yusof et al., 2000), gamma radiation processing (2-10 kGy) (Alcarde et al., 2001), spray drying technology (Nishad et al.,2017), and freeze concentration and lowtemperature storage (Songsermpong and Jittanit, 2010). Such methods are designed to minimize changes in quality to maximize the shelf life of sugar cane. Hence keeping in observation all of the abovestated facts, the main aims of the research was to study the effect of citric acid on the physicochemical, sensorial, and stability of the sugarcane juice.

Materials and methods

The production of sugar cane juice was obtained from fresh sugar cane. Sugar cane was then made free of any dust and dirt by running tap water. Then with the aid of a curved blade knife, the skin and sugarcane node stem was removed. A power-operated sugar cane crusher press was used for extracting sugar cane juice. The sugar cane juice collected was filtered by the muslin cloth to remove the extraction content.

Optimization of treatments

Treatments were optimized based on color attributes, sensory evaluation by 9point hedonic scale, and

Int. J. Biosci.

turbidity (Laksameethanasana *et al.*, 2012) at different concentrations of potassium meta-bisulphite (KMS) (0, 40, 80, 120, 150, and 200 ppm), citric acid (0, 15, 30, 40, and 60 /100 ml), and pasteurization temperature (50, 70, 90 and 100°C) for 10 min of juice. Concentrations of citric acid 40 mg/100 ml, KMS 150 ppm, and pasteurization at 70C for 10 minutes were found best for the treatment of sugarcane juice.

Preservation of juice

Different lots of sugarcane juices were subjected to To = pasteurization (at 70° C for 10 min), T1 = pasteurization after adding citric acid (40 mg/100 ml), and T2 = pasteurization after adding citric acid followed by the addition of potassium metabisulphite (150 ppm). All the samples of juices were kept for 60 days at refrigeration temperature (4 ±2C) and room temperature (30± 5C). The samples were drawn and analyzed for microbiological, physic-chemical, and sensory attributes at an interval of 15 days.

Physico-chemical analyses

Total soluble solids: Total soluble solids were measured by the AOAC (2000) method.

Acidity: Acidity was determined by direct titration followed by method No. 947.05 (AOAC 2000).

pH: The pH was directly measured by using the pH meter (WTW series pH-720).

Viscosity: The viscosity of the sugarcane was obtained using a Brookfield DV-I viscometer (LVDVE 230) as described by (Gassem and Frank, 1991).

Total sugars: Total sugars were estimated by the method as given in AOAC (2000).

Reducing sugar: Sugarcane juice was tested for reducing the sugar by the method determined by AACC 2000 method 80-60.

Microbial analysis Total viable count: Sugarcane juice was tested for

Total Viable Count by the method determined by AACC 2000 Method 42-11.

Yeast and mold count: Sugarcane juice was tested for Yeast and mold count by the method determined by AACC 2000 Method 42-50.

Organoleptic evaluation

All the frozen sugarcane juice samples were organoleptically rated for appearance, flavor and overall acceptability by a panel of 6 judges by using a 9-point hedonic scale (Larmond 1997).

Statistical analysis

The data obtained from different parameters was statistically subjected to determine the level of significance by using SPSS version 23, Steel *et al.*, (1997).

Results and discussion

Physico-chemical analyses TSS

Mean values regarding the TSS of sugarcane juice are given in Table 1 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the TSS of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 18.5 to 21.2%.

The minimum value (18.5%) of TSS was found in sample to which was stored for 60th day at room temperature whilst the maximum value (21.2%) was exhibited in To which was stored at refrigeration temperature for o days. The total soluble solids reduced significantly (P <0.01) at refrigeration as well as room temperature during the storage of sugarcane juice, however, the reduction was of slighter range at refrigeration temperature. These results are in line with the observations of Chauhan, *et al.*, (2002). They credited the decline in TSS to acids throughout storage because of the act of micro-organism and other bacteria present in the juice.

Total sugar

Mean values regarding the Total sugar content of sugarcane juice are given in Table 2 which indicated

significant results. Results showed that the total sugar content of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 17.72 to 22.1%. The minimum value (17.72 %) of total sugar content was found in sample To which was stored for 60th day at room temperature whilst the maximum value (22.1%) was exhibited in T3 which was stored at refrigeration temperature on oth days. The total sugar content reduced significantly (P <0.01) at refrigeration as well as room temperature during the storage of sugarcane juice, however, the reduction was of slighter range at refrigeration temperature. These results are in line with the observations of Chauhan, *et al.*, (2002).

Table 1. Effect of different treatments on the TSS of sugarcane juice.

Days	0 Day (S1)		15^{th} Day (S ₂)		30 th Day (S ₃)		$45^{\text{th}} \text{day}(S_4)$		$60^{\text{th}} \text{day}(S_4)$	
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
То	21.0 ± 0.5	21.2 ± 0.2	20.9 ± 0.8	21.0±0.4	20.6±0.6	21.0 ± 0.5	19.2±0.6	20.8 ± 0.2	18.5 ± 0.5	20.7±0.7
T1	21.0±0.4	21.0 ± 0.2	21.0±0.7	21.0 ± 0.1	20.4±0.8	20.8±0.4	19.9±0.3	20.8±0.4	19.5±0.4	20.8±0.4
T2	20.8±0.4	20.8±0.4	20.8 ± 0.1	20.8±0.7	20.8 ± 0.5	20.8±0.4	20.8±0.7	20.8 ± 0.3	20.7±0.7	20.8±0.4

Treatments: A=Pasteurization, B=Pasteurization + citric acid, C=Pasteurization+citric acid+KMS

Reducing sugar

Mean values regarding the reducing sugar content of sugarcane juice are given in Table 3 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the reducing sugar content of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 0.69 to 1.37%. The minimum value (0.69 %) of reducing sugar content was found in sample To which was stored for 0th day at refrigeration temperature as well as room temperature whilst the maximum value (1.37 %) was exhibited in To which was stored at room temperature for 60th days. All the samples To

(1.28 %) showed near to maximum storage life at 45^{th} and 60^{th} day when samples were kept at room and refrigeration temperature respectively whereas T1 (0.71%), T2 (0.71%) was showed minimum storage life at refrigeration temperature and room temperature at 0 days respectively.

This means that reducing sugar is gradually increased during the storage and maximum on the 60^{th} day. The reducing sugars contents in sugarcane juice increased significantly (P <0.01) throughout storage because of the hydrolysis of non-reducing sugars.

			of Sugarcane	

Days	o Da	ay (S ₁)	15^{th} Day (S ₂)		30 th Day (S ₃)		$45^{\text{th}} \text{day}(S_4)$		60 th day (S ₄)	
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
А	21.8 ± 0.5	21.8±0.3	21.4±0.2	21.5 ± 0.8	20.1±0.3	20.4±0.6	18.5±0.7	19.26±0.5	17.72±0.2	19.1±0.7
В	22.0±0.4	22.0 ± 0.5	21.1±0.6	21.8±0.2	20.06±0.8	21.5±0.4	19.6±0.7	20.4±0.1	18.39±0.6	19.6±0.8
С	22±0.4	22.1±0.6	21.6±0.3	21.9 ± 0.8	21.0 ± 0.5	21.8±0.8	20.1 ± 0.5	21.5±0.4	19.6±0.6	20.1±0.4

Treatments: A=Pasteurization, B=Pasteurization + citric acid, C=Pasteurization+citric acid+KMS

Acidity

Mean values regarding the acidity of sugarcane juice are given in Table 4 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the acidity of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 0.48 to 2.1%. The minimum value (0.48 %) of acidity was found in sample To which was stored for oth day at room temperature whilst the maximum value (2.1 %) was exhibited in T1 which was stored at refrigeration temperature for 60th days. All the samples T1 (1.96 %), and T1 (1.92 %) showed near to maximum storage life at 45th day and 60th day when samples were stored at refrigeration temperature and room temperature respectively Whereas T0 (0.52%)was showed minimum storage life at refrigeration temperature at 0 days respectively. The acidity decreased whereas pH increased significantly (P <0.01) throughout the storage of sugarcane juice. By the Addition of organic acids to sugarcane juice also decreased pH and increased its acidity. The increase in acidity and

reduction in pH was, however, higher when the sugarcane juice samples were kept for 60 days at room temperature. Chauhan *et al.*, (2002) also found the results inlined to my study.

Table 3. Effect of different treatments on the reducing sugar of Sugarcane juice.

Days	o Day	y (S1)	15 th Da	ay (S ₂)	30 th D	ay (S ₃)	45 th d	ay (S ₄)	60 th d	ay (S ₄)
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
А	0.69±0.4	0.69±0.2	0.85±0.6	0.75±0.8	0.92±0.3	0.92 ± 0.5	1.28 ± 0.6	1.18 ± 0.3	1.37 ± 0.5	1.28 ± 0.6
В	0.71±0.6	0.71±0.1	0.81±0.7	0.78±0.5	0.98±0.9	0.87±0.3	0.99±0.5	0.91±0.6	1.20 ± 0.1	1.18±0.5
C	0.71±0.5	0.71±0.9	0.80 ± 0.5	0.76±0.2	0.91±0.6	0.83±0.6	0.9±0.5	0.94±0.3	1.18±0.3	1.19±0.4

Treatments: A=Pasteurization, B=Pasteurization + citric acid, C=Pasteurization+citric acid+KMS.

pH

Mean values regarding the pH of sugarcane juice are given in Table 5 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the pH of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 2.50 to 6.47%. The high acidity (2.50 %) of pH has been found in sample T1 which was stored for 60th day at room temperature whilst the low acidity (6.47 %) was exhibited in To which was stored at refrigeration temperature on 0th days. All the samples T1 (2.95 %) showed near to highly acidic behavior at the 60th day when samples were stored at refrigeration temperature Whereas To (6.46%), and To (6.35 %) was showed low acidic behavior at refrigeration temperature at 0th and 15th day respectively when stored at refrigeration temperature.

Table 4. Effect of different treatments on the Titratable acidity of Sugarcane juice.

Days	o Day (S1)		15^{th} Day (S ₂)		30^{th} Day (S ₃)		45 th da	ay (S ₄)	$60^{\text{th}} \text{day}(S_4)$	
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
А	0.48±0.4	0.48±0.6	0.62 ± 0.3	0.52 ± 0.6	0.71±0.5	0.62±0.6	0.86±0.6	0.92±0.6	1.14±0.3	1.23±0.6
В	1.42±0.4	1.42±0.6	1.62 ± 0.6	1.82 ± 0.4	1.72 ± 0.2	1.92 ± 0.5	1.88 ± 0.7	1.96±0.5	1.92 ± 0.8	2.1±0.5
С	1.42 ± 0.4	1.42±0.6	1.50 ± 0.3	1.55±0.8	1.64±0.4	1.68±0.5	1.69±0.5	1.72 ± 0.7	1.77±0.4	1.79±0.4

Treatments: A=Pasteurization, B=Pasteurization + citric acid, C=Pasteurization+citric acid+KMS

The acidity decreased whereas pH increased significantly (P <0.01) throughout the storage of sugarcane juice. By the Addition of organic acids to sugarcane juice also decreased pH and increased its acidity. The increase in acidity and reduction in pH was, however, higher when the sugarcane juice samples were kept for 45 days at room temperature. Chauhan *et al.*, (2002) also observed similar results.

Viscosity

Mean values regarding the viscosity of sugarcane juice are given in Table 6 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the viscosity of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 4.78 to 4.83%. The high viscosity value (4.83%) of sugarcane juice was found in sample T2 which was stored for 0th and 15th day at room temperature whilst the low viscosity value (4.76%) was exhibited in T2 which was stored at refrigeration temperature for 60th days. In viscosity, no significant change was observed because of the addition of organic acids and storage at refrigeration temperature or room temperature. Comparable results were also found by Chauhan *et al.*, (2002).

Microbiological analyses Total plate count (TPC) Mean values regarding the TPC of sugarcane juice are given in Table 7 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the TPC of sugarcane juice at different treatments and different storage periods ranged from 2.3×10^6 to 4.3×10^6 CFU/g.

The minimum value (2.3×10⁶) of total plate count has been found in sample T2 which was stored for 0th day at refrigeration temperature whilst the maximum value (4.3×10^6) was exhibited in To which was stored at room temperature for 60^{th} days. All the samples To $(3.96 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/g})$, and To $(3.92 \times 10^6 \text{ CFU/g})$ showed near to maximum total plate counts on the 60^{th} and 45^{th} day when sugarcane juice samples were kept at refrigeration and room temperature respectively Whereas T2 (2.32×10^6) was showed minimum total plate count at room temperature at 0 days.

m 11	T CC . C	1.00		.1	C	
Table	Littoot of	dittoront	trootmonte	on tha nH	of sugarcan	0.0111100
I apic 5.	L'HEUL UI	unicient	licalinents	on me bri	UI SUgarcan	z iuice.
				· · · r		- J

Days	o Day (S ₁)		15^{th} Day (S ₂)		30 th Day (S ₃)		45^{th} d	ay (S ₄)	$60^{\text{th}} \text{day}(S_4)$	
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
А	6.47±0.3	6.46±0.5	5.93 ± 0.7	6.35±0.2	5.53 ± 0.3	6.26±0.4	4.95±0.7	6.08±0.9	4.41±0.3	5.72 ± 0.3
В	5.95 ± 0.3	5.96±0.5	5.50 ± 0.2	$5.80 {\pm} 0.5$	5.13 ± 0.6	5.52 ± 0.7	4.82±0.2	3.24 ± 0.5	$2.50 {\pm} 0.7$	2.95 ± 0.5
С	5.9±0.4	5.91±0.5	5.8±0.6	5.88±0.6	5.65 ± 0.7	5.76±0.3	5.38±0.6	5.65 ± 0.3	5.04 ± 0.5	5.5±0.6

Treatments: A=Pasteurization, B=Pasteurization + citric acid, C=Pasteurization+citric acid+KMS

This means that the total plate count is gradually increased during the refrigeration temperature and room temperature. The degree of decrease in the microbial population was also lower at refrigeration as compared to room temperature. The highest total plate counts were found during the storage of controlled samples which are pasteurized juice after that an addition of citric acid.

Table 6. Effect of different treatments on the viscosity of sugarcane juice.

Days	o Da	y (S1)	15 th Da	ay (S ₂)	30 th D	ay (S ₃)	45 th da	ay (S ₄)	60 th d	ay (S ₄)
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
А	4.82 ± 0.5	4.80 ± 0.5	4.81±0.5	4.81±0.6	4.81±0.4	4.81±0.6	4.80±0.6	4.81±0.3	4.78±0.8	4.80±0.4
В	4.82 ± 0.5	4.82 ± 0.7	4.81±0.3	4.82±0.6	4.80 ± 0.5	4.82±0.4	4.80 ± 0.8	4.82 ± 0.2	4.80±0.7	4.80±0.4
С	4.83±0.3	4.82 ± 0.5	4.83±0.5	4.82±0.2	4.82±0.6	4.80±0.8	4.80 ± 0.3	4.81±0.1	4.80±0.4	4.76±0.5

Treatments: A = Pasteurization, B = Pasteurization + citric acid, C = Pasteurization+citric acid+KMS

Yeast and mold

Mean values regarding the yeast and mold count of sugarcane juice are given in Table 8 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the yeast and mold count of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 0.56 to 2.21 CFU/g. The minimum value (0.56 CFU/g) of yeast and mold count has been found in sample T2 which was stored for 0th day whilst the maximum value (2.21 CFU/g) was exhibited in To which was stored at room temperature at 60th days. All the samples To (1.98 CFU/g) showed near to maximum yeast and mold count on 60th day when sugarcane juice samples were stored at refrigeration temperature Whereas T1 (0.60 CFU/g), T1 (0.62 CFU/g) was showed minimum yeast and mold count at room temperature, and refrigeration temperature at o days respectively. This means that yeast and mold count is gradually increased during the refrigeration temperature room temperature for o days.

The extent of decrease in the microbial population was also lower at refrigeration temperature as compared to room temperature.

Sensory evaluation

Appearance

Mean values regarding the appearance of sugarcane juice are given in Table 9 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the appearance of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 5.8 to 9.1.

Days	o Day	o Day (S1)		15^{th} Day (S ₂)		30 th Day (S ₃)		$45^{\text{th}} \text{day}(S_4)$		ay (S ₄)
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
А	2.65 ± 0.5	2.65 ± 0.7	3.25 ± 0.4	3.08 ± 0.5	3.53 ± 0.4	3.45 ± 0.4	3.92 ± 0.5	3.66 ± 0.5	4.30 ± 0.5	3.96±0.6
В	2.48 ± 0.65	2.48 ± 0.6	2.85 ± 0.8	2.65 ± 0.5	3.03 ± 0.5	3.06 ± 0.1	3.34 ± 0.6	3.49 ± 0.7	3.68 ± 0.5	3.73±0.9
С	2.32 ± 0.3	2.30 ± 0.4	2.70 ± 0.1	2.45 ± 0.6	2.86±0.9	2.76±0.6	3.02 ± 0.9	2.96±0.2	3.49 ± 0.5	3.30 ± 0.8

Table 7. Effect of different treatments on the TPC (×10⁶) of sugarcane juice.

Treatments: A=Pasteurization, B=Pasteurization + citric acid, C=Pasteurization+citric acid+KMS

The minimum value (5.8) of the appearance of sugarcane juice was found in sample To which was stored for 60th day at room temperature whilst the maximum value (9.1) was exhibited in T2 which was stored in refrigeration temperature at 45th days. All the samples T2 (8.9), T2 (8.9) showed near to maximum appearance at 45th and 60th days when samples were kept at room and refrigeration temperature respectively whereas To (6.0), and To (6.2) were showed minimum appearance at refrigeration temperature at 45th day and 60th day respectively. This means that the appearance of sugarcane juice is gradually decreased during the storage and minimum of the 45^{th} day.

The sensory scores decreased significantly (P <0.01) as the storage period increasing. However, the increase in sensory scores (appearance) of samples kept at refrigeration temperature was significantly (P <0.01) better magnitude than those kept at room temperature. Similar results are mentioned by Chauhan *et al.*, (2002).

Table 8. Effect of different treatments on the yeast and mold of sugarcane juice.

Days	o Da	y (S ₁)	15 th D	ay (S ₂)	30 th Da	ay (S ₃)	45 th d	ay (S ₄)	60 th d	ay (S ₄)
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
А	0.65 ± 0.5	0.65±0.3`	0.91±0.6	0.82 ± 0.2	1.37±0.5	1.20±0.6	1.85 ± 0.2	1.61±0.7	2.21±0.3	1.98±0.6
В	0.60 ± 0.5	0.62±0.4	0.80 ± 0.5	0.78±0.8	1.22 ± 0.5	1.18±0.4	1.40±0.6	1.42 ± 0.8	1.79±0.7	1.68±0.4
C	0.56±0.4	0.56 ± 0.5	0.69±0.4	0.68±0.7	0.93±0.4	0.99±0.5	1.21±0.6	1.24±0.7	1.58 ± 0.4	1.60 ± 0.3
Treatments:	A = Past	teurization,	B = P	asteurizatio	on + cit	ric acid,	C = Pa	steurizatio	n+citric	acid+KMS

Flavor

Mean values regarding the flavor of sugarcane juice are given in Table 10 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the flavor of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 5.1 to 7.5. The minimum value (5.1) of the flavor of sugarcane juice was found in sample To which was stored for 60th day at refrigeration temperature whilst the maximum value (7.5) was exhibited in T1 which was stored for 0 days. This means that the flavor of sugarcane juice is gradually decreased during the storage and minimum of the 60th day. The sensory scores decreased significantly (P <0.01) as the storage period increasing. However, the increase in sensory scores (flavor) of samples kept at refrigeration temperature was significantly (P <0.01) better magnitude than those kept at room temperature. Similar results are mentioned by Chauhan *et al.*, (2002).

Table 9. Effect of different treatments on the appearance of Sugarcane juice.

Days	o Da	y (S1)	15 th Da	ay (S ₂)	30 th Da	ay (S ₃)	45 th da	ay (S ₄)	60 th d	ay (S ₄)
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
А	7.0±0.4	7.0±0.2	6.8 ± 0.5	7.0±0.6	6.4±0.3	6.8±0.7	6.0±0.8	6.5±0.9	5.8 ± 0.2	6.2 ± 0.5
В	7.3±0.4	7.3±0.5	7.2±0.7	7.3±0.6	7.0±0.6	7.7±0.3	7.3±0.8	7.3±0.5	7.2 ± 0.3	7.3±0.6
С	7.5±0.3	7.5±0.5	7.3±0.6	7.5 ± 0.5	7.1±0.6	7.4±0.8	8.9±0.6	9.1±0.2	8.5 ± 0.5	8.9±0.5

Treatments: A=Pasteurization, B=Pasteurization + citric acid, C=Pasteurization+citric acid+KMS

Overall acceptability

Mean values regarding the overall acceptability of sugarcane juice are given in Table 11 which indicated significant results. Results showed that the overall acceptability of sugarcane juice at different treatment and different storage periods ranged from 6.0 to 8.7. The minimum overall acceptability value (6.0) of sugarcane juice was found in sample To which was stored for 60th day at room temperature whilst the maximum overall acceptability value (8.7) was exhibited in T1 which was stored at room temperature on 0th days. This means that the flavor of sugarcane juice is gradually decreased during the storage and minimum of the 60th day.

Table 10. Effect of different treatments on the flavor of sugarcane juice.

Days	o Da	y (S1)	15 th Da	ay (S2)	30 th D	ay (S ₃)	45 th da	ay (S ₄)	60 th d	ay (S ₄)
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
Α	7.0±0.6	7.0±0.5	6.8±0.4	6.9±0.2	6.2 ± 0.5	6.5±0.9	5.3 ± 0.3	5.8 ± 0.5	5.2 ± 0.5	5.1±0.4
В	7.5±0.4	7.5±0.6	7.1±0.3	7.5±0.6	6.8±0.6	7.1±0.8	6.0±0.2	6.6±0.6	5.1 ± 0.5	6.0±0.2
С	7.3±0.2	7.3±0.4	7.2±0.4	7.3±0.3	7.0±0.6	7.2 ± 0.7	6.5±0.8	6.9±0.9	5.9 ± 0.3	6.3±0.5

Treatments: A=Pasteurization, B=Pasteurization + citric acid, C=Pasteurization+citric acid+KMS

Table 11. Effect of different treatments on the Overall acceptability of Sugarcane juice.

Days	0 Day (S1)		15 th Day (S ₂)		30 th Day (S ₃)		$45^{\text{th}} \text{day}(S_4)$		$60^{\text{th}} \text{day}(S_4)$	
Treatments	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF	R	RF
А	8.6±0.4	8.6 ± 0.5	8.2±0.6	8.4±0.6	7.5 ± 0.2	7.9±0.7	7.0±0.9	7.3±0.4	6.0±0.7	6.7±0.4
В	8.7±0.4	8.6±0.6	8.3±0.4	8.4±0.6	7.5±0.4	7.6±0.6	7.1±0.7	7.0±0.4	6.5±0.6	6.6±0.7
С	8.2 ± 0.5	8.2 ± 0.5	8.1±0.6	8.1±0.4	7.6±0.5	7.5±0.6	7.1±0.7	7.3±0.4	6.5±0.5	6.6±0.5

Treatments: A=Pasteurization, B=Pasteurization + citric acid, C=Pasteurization + citric acid + KMS

The sensory scores decreased significantly (P <0.01) as the storage period increasing. However, the increase in sensory scores (flavor) of samples kept at refrigeration temperature was significantly (P <0.01) better magnitude than those kept at room temperature. Similar results are mentioned by Chauhan *et al.*, (2002).

Conclusion

Based on the facts stated above, it can be inferred that citric acid was able to reduce the pH of sugarcane juice to 4.9, which produced a preservative effect and prevented the growth of micro-organisms during storage. Often used for the preservation of foods, Potassium metabisulphite is a yeast and mold inhibitor. The sugarcane juice having citric acid and potassium metabisulphite showed minimum changes in sensory qualities during storage, both at room and refrigeration temperature.

Therefore, it was concluded that an acceptable quality beverage of sugarcane with satisfactory storage refrigeration temperature could be prepared.

stability of up to 60 days at the room as well as

References

Akber M, Seraj S, Islam F, Ferdausi D, Ahmed R, Nasrin D, Rahmatullah M. 2011. A survey of medicinal plants used by the traditional medicinal practitioners of Khulna City, Bangladesh. American Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture **5**, 177-195.

Akram M, Hamid A, Khalil A, Ghaffar A, Tayyaba N, Saeed A, Naveed A. 2014. Review on medicinal uses, pharmacological, phytochemistry and immunomodulatory activity of plants.

https://doi.org/10.1177/039463201402700301

Alcarde AR, Walder JMM, Horii J. 2001. Comparison between gamma radiation and kamoran hj in the decontamination of sugarcane must. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation **25(2)**, 137-147. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4549.2001.tb00449.x

Int. J. Biosci.

Alves VG, Souza AG, Chiavelli LU, Ruiz AL, Carvalho JE, Pomini AM, Silva CC. 2016. Phenolic compounds and anticancer activity of commercial sugarcane cultivated in Brazil. Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciências **88(3)**, 1201-1209. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201620150349

AOAC. 2000. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International, (17th ed.), Gaithersburg, MD, USA: AOAC.

Cáceres A, Girón LM, Alvarado SR, Torres MF. 1987. Screening of antimicrobial activity of plants popularly used in Guatemala for the treatment of dermatomucosal diseases. Journal of ethnopharmacology **20(3)**, 223-237.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-8741(87)90050-X

Chauhan OP, Singh D, Tyagi SM, Balyan DK. 2002. Studies on preservation of sugarcane juice. International Journal of Food Properties **5(1)**, 217-229.

https://doi.org/10.1081/JFP-120015603

Fraser-Reid B. 2012. From Sugar to Splenda: A Personal and Scientific Journey of a Carbohydrate Chemist and Expert Witness. Springer Science & Business Media.

Hikosaka K, El-Abasy M, Koyama Y, Motobu M, Koge K, Isobe T, Matsumura M. 2007. Immunostimulating effects of the polyphenol-rich fraction of sugar cane (Saccharum officinarum L.) extract in chickens. Phytotherapy Research: An International Journal Devoted to Pharmacological and Toxicological Evaluation of Natural Product Derivatives **21(2)**, 120-125.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ptr.2033

Karthikeyan J, Samipillai SS. 2010. Sugarcane in therapeutics. Journal of Herbal Medicine and Toxicology **4(1)**, 9-14.

Laksameethanasana P, Somla N, Janprem S, Phochuen N. 2012 Clarification of sugarcane juice for syrup production. Procedia Eng 32, 141-147.

Larmond E. 1997. Methods of sensory testing laboratory methods for sensory evaluation of foods. Ottawa Canadian Department of Agriculture Publication**44**, 55-59.

Li YR, Yang LT. 2015. Sugarcane agriculture and sugar industry in China. Sugar Tech 17(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12355-014-0342-1

Ling H, Kian K, Hoon TC. 2009. A Guide to Medicinal Plants an Illustrated, Scientific and Medicinal Approach World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd.

Nishad J, Selvan CJ, Mir SA, Bosco SJD. 2017. Effect of spray drying on physical properties of sugarcane juice powder (Saccharum officinarum L.). Journal of food science and technology **54(3)**, 687-697.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-017-2507-x

Nishad J, Selvan CJ, Mir SA, Bosco SJD. 2017. Effect of spray drying on physical properties of sugarcane juice powder (Saccharum officinarum L.). Journal of food science and technology **54(3)**, 687-697.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-017-2507-x

Özoğlu H, Bayındırlı A. 2002. Inhibition of enzymic browning in cloudy apple juice with selected antibrowning agents. Food Control **13(4-5)**, 213-221. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0956-7135(02)00011-7

Parvathy K. 1983. Bottling of sugarcane juice. Scheme for studies on harvest and post-harvest technology (ICAR), Coimbatore centre, Annual Report 13-16.

Payet B, Shum Cheong Sing A, Smadja J. 2006. Comparison of the concentrations of phenolic constituents in cane sugar manufacturing products with their antioxidant activities. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry **54(19)**, 7270-7276.

Int. J. Biosci.

https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0608080

Qudsieh HYM, Yusof S, Osman A, Rahman RA. 2002. Effect of maturity on chlorophyll, tannin, color, and polyphenol oxidase (PPO) activity of sugarcane juice (Saccharum officinarum Var. Yellow Cane). Journal of agricultural and food chemistry **50(6)**, 1615-1618.

https://doi.org/10.1021/jf010959l

Singh A, Lal UR, Mukhtar HM, Singh PS, ShahG, Dhawan RK. 2015. Phytochemical profile ofsugarcaneanditspotentialhealthaspects. Pharmacognosy reviews 9(17), 45.https://doi.org/10.4103/0973-7847.156340

Songsermpong S, Jittanit W. 2010. Comparison of peeling, squeezing and concentration methods for the sugarcane juice production. Suranaree Journal of Science & Technology **17(1)**. Taylor MF, Suckling KF, Rachlinski JJ. 2005. The effectiveness of the Endangered Species Act: a quantitative analysis. BioScience **55(4)**, 360-367. https://doi.org/10.1641/00063568(2005)055[0360: <u>TEOTES]2.0.CO;2</u>

Xiao Z, Liao X, Guo S. 2017. Analysis of sugarcane juice quality indexes. Journal of Food Quality 2017. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/1746982

Yadav RL, Solomon S. 2006. Potential of developing sugarcane by-product based industries in India. Sugar Tech 8(3), 104-111. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02943642

Yusof S, Shian LS, Osman A. 2000Changes in quality of sugar-cane juice upon delayed extraction and storage. Food chemistry **68(4)**, 395-401. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(99)00180-6