

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print) 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 3, No. 10, p. 187-202, 2013

OPEN ACCESS

Molecular cloning and expression profiling of a chalcone synthase gene from *Ginkgo biloba*, *Scutellaria viscidula Bunge* and *Tree peony* (*Paeonia suffruticosa*)

Manaf Almatar*

Faculty of Biosciences and Medical Engineering, Department of Biotechnology, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), 81310 UTM Skudai, Johor, Malaysia

Key words: Chalcone Synthase (CHS), Molecular cloning, Methyl jasmonate (MeJA), *Ginkago biloba*, *Scutellaria viscidula*, *Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa)*.

doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/3.10.187-202 Article published on October 12, 2013

Abstract

Chalcone synthase (CHS, EC 2.3.1.74) is a key enzyme of the flavonoid/isoflavonoid biosynthesis pathway. In this review, the characterization and expression profile of CHS was investigated from Ginkgo biloba, Scutellaria viscidula, and Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa) because of the CHS role in protecting the plants from harmful effects by synthesizing flavonoid compounds. CHS was isolated and characterized from the same species which was described previously. The cDNA sequence of the CHS gene in Ginkgo biloba was 1608 bp with poly (A) tailing, from Scutellaria viscidula1649 bp, and from Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa) 1475 bp. cDNA sequence of the CHS gene in G. biloba contained a 1173 bp open reading frame (ORF) encoding a 391 amino acid protein, from Scutellaria viscidula 1170 bp encoding a 390 amino acid protein, and from Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa) 1185bp encoding a 394 amino acid protein. Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis showed that GbCHS, SvCHS and Ps-CHS1 shared high homology from other plants. Active site of the CoA binding, coumaroyl pocket and cyclization pocket in CHS protein of Medicago sativa were also found in GbCHS, and SvCHS. Likewise, Ps-CHS1 has all the conserved active sites for the CHS function as well as the family signature. The homology-based structural modeling showed that GbCHS most closely resembled of *M.sativa* (MsCHS2). Real-time (PCR) analysis indicated that GbCHS and Ps-CHS1 had varying expression in different tissues, while expression of SvCHS was induced by MeJA and was the greatest at 12 h. To our knowledge, this is the first report which explains the molecular cloning and expression profiling of a chalcone synthase gene from those species mentioned above.

* Corresponding Author: Manaf Almatar \boxtimes manaf
matar@yahoo.com

Introduction

Considered as one of the oldest living species, Ginkgo biloba has grown and furnished in the forests (Mahadevan and Park, 2008). Ginkgo biloba is called "living fossil" according to its survival over 170 million years (Sati and Joshi, 2011). The Ginkgo leaf extract has many active components such as ginkgo flavonoids which are essential for plant growth (Yang et al., 2002; Makoi et al., 2010). In plants, flavonoids have several significant functions such as UV protection and defense against pathogens (Jiang et al., 2006; Huang et al., 2010). Chalcone synthase (CHS) is employed as an enzyme for secondary metabolites biosynthesis compounds like flavonoid (Yang *et al.*, 2008). CHS orchestrates the condensation of three acetate remnants derived from malonyl-CoA with p-coumaroyl-CoA to form naringenin-chalcone, which considers the initial committed step that leads to the production of flavonoids, isoflavonoids, anthocyanin and others in plants (Martens and Mithöfer, 2006).

Metabolism pathway of flavonoids will be elucidated gradually as the following; in the pathway new compounds are found out and each of them is found to have specific positive functions in diverse tissues such as UV defense, resistances against pathogens and insects (Winkel-Shirley, 2002). The products of pathway make plants more efficient to resist stressful environments (Yang *et al.*, 2002).

Several recent studies have shown that numerous (CHS) genes were characterized of diverse species such as *Zea mays* (Franken *et al.*, 1991), *Arabidopsis* (Saslowsky *et al.*, 2000), *Bromheadia finlaysoniana* (Liew *et al.*, 1998), *Petunia hybrid* (Napoli *et al.*, 1999) and *Pines* (Fliegmann *et al.*, 1992). All the cloned (CHS) genes were found to belong to multigene family (Pang *et al.*, 2005). *G. biloba* is the only species that belongs to the order Ginkgoales (Kvacek *et al.*, 2005). Hence, the detailed study of (CHS) gene in *G. biloba* will be helpful in understanding its evolutional position in the plant kingdom.

Plants, which belong to genus Scutellaria, have many medically significant flavonoids (Zheljazkov et al., 2007). Several studies showed that Scutellaria flavonoids have medical prosperities against viruses such as (HIV-1) (Kovács et al., 2004) and many types of cancer like prostatic, urothelial and colon cancer in vitro (Ye et al., 2002). Defined as one of the endogenous phytohormone (Moreira et al., 2009), Methyl jasmonate (MeJA) has a critical role in mediating various developmental processes such as root growth, resistance to insects and pathogens (Cao et al., 2012). For example, pal (phenylalanine ammonia lyase), CHS (chalcone synthase), STS (stilbene synthase) and UBGAT (UDP-glucose: flavonoid 7-O-glucosyltransferase) expression is catalyzed by treatment with Methyl jasmonate (MeJA) in Vitis vinifera L (Belhadj et al., 2008).

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa) is the most popular decorative plant in china because of its big wonderful flower (Mornya et al., 2011). 3-Oglucosidesand 3, 5-di-O-glucosides of pelargonidin, cyanidin and peonidin represent the main (Paeonia anthocyanins in the Tree peony suffruticosa) family. Further, apigenin, luteolin, kaempferol, quercetin, chrysoeriol and isorhamnetin represent major of flavones as it is shown in the previous studies (Wang et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2005). In this review, a description of the molecular cloning of a CHS from Ginkgo biloba, Scutellaria viscidula, and Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa) will be investigated. In addition, the expression profile of CHS from the same species, which was mentioned previously, will be examined.

Material and methods

Cloning of the full-length cDNA Ginkgo biloba

CTB method was used to extract total RNA (Jaakola *et al.*, 2001). RT-PCR and RACE-PCR were carried out using RT-PCR Kit (TaKaRa) and SMARTTM RACE cDNA Amplification Kit (Clontech), respectively(Xu *et al.*, 2012; Kashkush *et al.*, 2003). The primers, FGbCHS (5'CCKTCHYTGGAYGCNMGRCARCAC-3') and RGbCHS (5'GGBCCRAANCCRAANARMAC- 3'),

were designed for RT-PCR reaction based on the highly conserved amino acid sequences of CHSs shared by other plants species (Pang *et al.*, 2004). Race-PCR was performed to identify full length cDNA sequence while RT-PCR was used to verify the fulllength cDNA sequence (Frohman *et al.*, 1988; Bustin, 2000).

Scutellaria viscidula

Based on the manufacturer's instructions (Tiangen, Beijing, China) of TRIzol reagent, total RNA was isolated (Bilgin et al., 2009) from shoots and blank hairy roots of S.viscidula. Using TaKaRa RNA PCR kit ver. 3.0 (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) led to synthesize single-standard of cDNA which was utilized as a template for PCR (Goto-Yamamoto et al., 2002; Hillmann et al., 2009). 3'- and 5'-ends cDNA were obtained using ASMART by RACE cDNA amplification kit (Kashkush et al., 2003). Two DFCHS (5'primers, TTCATGATGTACCAGCAGGGCTGCT-3') and DRCHS (5'GGAGG (A/C) CTTCCTCATCTCATCCA-3'), were prepared for amplification of S.viscidula CHS gene according to the conserved region of CHS genes from different plant species (S. baicalensis chs-C, S.baicalensis chs-P, S. baicalensis chs and Perilla frutescens chs) (Lei et al., 2010). RT-PCR was used to amplify cDNA whereas Race- PCR was used to obtain the full-length cDNA of CHS gene (Frohman et al., 1988; Rajeevan et al., 2001).

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa)

The modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method was manipulated to extract total RNA (Chang *et al.*, 1993) from total disclosed flower petals. Using M-MuLV reverse transcriptase (Promega) led to synthesize first stand cDNA (Ståhlberg *et al.*, 2004). Degenerated primers for PCR reaction, 5'CA (A/G) CCCAAGTCCAA (A/G) AT(C/T) ACCC3' (forward) and 5' (A/T) CCCACTC (A/C/G) AG(C/T/G) CCTTC (A/T) CC-3' (reverse), were designed based on the conserved sequence from other cloned CHS genes (Zhou *et al.*, 2011).

Bioinformatic analysis Ginkgo biloba

The sequences of GbCHS gene were analyzed and calculated with bioinformatics computer tools at following websites (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, www.expasy.org) (Luscombe et al., 2001). The sequence Multi-alignments was carried out by using software Vector NTI[™] Suite8 (Huang et al., 2008) for GbCHS. GbCHS and other known CHS from other plant species retrieved from GenBank (Table 1) were aligned with CLUSTALW(1.82) (Kumar et al., 2001). Phylogenic tree was built by the neighbor joining method (NJ) using the software of MEGA 2.0 (Kumar et al., 2001; Saitou and Nei, 1987). The reliability of the tree was measured by bootstrap analysis with 100 replicates (Felsenstein, 1985).

Scutellaria viscidula

The sequences of SvCHS gene were analyzed and calculated with bioinformatics computer tools at the NCBI and Expasy websites (Luscombe *et al.*, 2001). ORF was analyzed by using ORF finder (Kalpesh, 2012). The sequence Multiple-alignments of SvCHS was done by using software Vector NTITM Suite8 (Lei *et al.*, 2009). SvCHS and other species plant CHSs were aligned with CLUSTALW (1.82) (Li, 2003). Phylogenic tree was built by the neighbor joining method (NJ) with 1000 replicates. The reliability of the tree was calculated by bootstrap analysis using the software of MEGA 3 (Kumar *et al.*, 2004).

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa)

DNAStar was used to determine the sequence assembling (Burland, 1999). The sequences of Ps-CHS1 gene were analyzed and calculated with bioinformatics computer tools at the NCBI and Expasy websites (Luscombe *et al.*, 2001). Multiple sequence alignments of the sequence Ps-CHS1 was performed by using (DNAMAN ver. 6.0.3.99 Lynnon Biosoft). Phylogenic tree were performed by using (DNAMAN ver. 6.0.3.99 Lynnon Biosoft) (Zhou *et al.*, 2011).

Expression profile analysis

Ginkgo biloba

RT-PCR was carried out by using kit (TaKaRa, Japan) with two primers GBCHSF1 (5'-ATGGAAGACTTGGAGGCATTCAGG-3') and (5'-TTACTTGTTGCAGGGAACGCTCCT-GBCHSR1 3'). RT-PCR reaction for (18S) gene was also performed as a control using specific primers 18Sf (5'ATGATAACTCGACGGATCGC-3') and 18Sr (5'CTTGGATGTGGTAGCCGTTT-3'). The PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide (10 mg/ml) and gene analysis software package (Gene Company, USA) was used to analyze the quantities of product (Pang et al., 2004).

Scutellaria viscidula

MeJA (200 mol/L) was used to treat hairy root cultures, subsequently hairy root cultures were harvested after 6, 12, 24, 48 and 72 h. Total RNA was obtained from treated and non-treated hairy roots. RT-PCR was manipulated using Kit (TaKaRa) with primers fexSvCHS two (5'ATGGTGACAGTTGAAGAATTCCA-3') and rexSvCHS (5'ATTGAGAGGCACACTATGCAGAA-3') for examining the changes in SvCHS expression. Specific primers 18Sf (5'ATGATAACTCGACGGATCG-3') and 18Sr (5'CTTGGATGTGGTAGCCGTTT-3') were used to amplify 18S rRNA gene by applying RT-PCR method. 18S rRNA was used as a control to ensure that equal amounts of total RNA were used in reaction. The PCR products were separated in Goldview-stained 1% agarose gels. Successively, Gel-Pro Analyzer 4.0 software (Media Cybernetics, Bethesda, MD, USA) was used to analyze the measure of gray density of the target bands (Lei *et al.*, 2010).

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa)

Total RNA was isolated from petals and different tissues such as leaves, sepals, stamens and carpels. RT-PCR was carried out using kit (TaKaRa) with two primers Ps-CHS1 (forward) (5'AGCAGAGAACAACAAAGGGTCACG-3)' and Ps-CHS1 (reverse) (5'TCAGCACCGACAATAACCGCAG-3') to detect the expression of Ps-CHS1. RT-PCR reaction for amplification beta-Tubulin gene,

Results and Discussion

Cloning of the full-length cDNA Ginkgo biloba

Full-length cDNA contained 1608bp and 1173 pb (ORF), which encoded a 391 amino acid protein. Tow un-translated region were recognized. 5'untranslated region (upstream) included 79bp, and 3'untranslated region (downstream) had 353bp including the poly (A). Two polyadenylation signals (AATAA) were located in the different downstream position (16bp and 220bp from the stop codon). Polyadenylation signals were very significant to offer a high degree of stability (Pang *et al.*, 2004) (See Fig 1).

Scutellaria viscidula

According to sequence analysis, Full-length cDNA of SvCHS contained 1649bp and 1170 pb (ORF) which encoded a390 amino acid protein. Furthermore, there were two un-translated regions 5' (UTR) about (89) bp and 3' (UTR) about (390) bp surrounding the gene with a putative poly(A) signal AATAA in downstream at position 100bp from the stop codon (See Fig 2) (Lei *et al.*, 2010).

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa)

The sequencing of DNA revealed that Ps-CHS1 was 1475bp including 5' (UTR) and 3' (UTR) which were 82bp and 208bp respectively with polyadenylation and 1185bp of (ORF) which encoded a 394 amino acid (See Fig 3) (Zhou *et al.*, 2011).

Characterization of the deduced protein Ginkgo biloba

Isoelectric point was calculated by using the pI/Mw Tool at www.expasy.org (Wilkins *et al.*, 1999), and the molecular weight of the deduced GbCHS polypeptide was predicted to be about 6.28 and 42 kDa, respectively (Pang *et al.*, 2004). According to Xu *et al.* (2008) the deduced GbPal had high similarity with other CHSs from different species of plants. This result was obtained by using BlastP2.2.3 and the multi-alignment with Vector NTI, hence, BlastP2.2.3 (National Center for Biotechnology Information databases) and the multi alignment with vector NTI were used to reveal that the deduced GbCHS had considerable high homology with CHSs from various species of plants. Moreover, there was high homology between the amino acid sequences of GbCHS with CHSs from other corresponding plants. The percentage of amino acid sequence of GbCHS was 87% which was identical to CHSs from *Pines (Pinus pinaster, P. strobes* and *P. sylvestris)*, 86% identical to CHS from *Picea mariana*, 84% identical from *Glycine max*, 82% identical from *Juglans nigra*, 81% identical from *P. hybrida*, and 80% identical from *M*.

sativa. Based on these results, there was a relative relationship with CHSs from other gymnosperm plant (Pang *et al.*, 2004). Several activities sites for CHS in different plant species were observed in GbCHS. For example, T132, M137, F215, I254, G256, F256, and P375, which are residues of cyclization pocket, were found in GbCHS. C164, H303 and N336 were defined as catalytic sites whereas residues of cumaroyl pocket were represented by S133, E192, T194, T197 and S338 (See Fig 4). K55, R58 and K62, named as a CoA binding active sites, were detected in the GbCHS (Pang *et al.*, 2004). These active sites and positions mentioned above were the same with those of MsCHS2 (Jez and Noe, 2000).This indicate to GbCHS belonged to CHS family.

Table 1. CHS proteins used in phylogenetic analysis (Pang *et al.*, 2004).

	A CH5 GENE FROM GINKGO INLO	25.4
	TABLE 1 CHS proteins used in the phylogen	artic analysis
Sequence name	Protein accession numbers in Genflank	Species
AmCH8 AmCH8 16G182 CgCH81 GgCH81 GgCH82 GgCH83 GGCH82 GgCH83 MGC182 LeCH81 MGC182 MGC182 MGC182 MGC185 PaCH8 PaCH8 PaCH8 PaCH88 FaCH888 FaCH888 FaCH888 FaCH888 FaCH888 FaCH88 FaCH88	CAA27988 N.199809.3 AAA29563 CAA10641 BAA81064 CAA3866 CAA38661 CAA38661 BA92996 AA38601 BA92996 AA39607 CAA8077 CAA8077 CAA8077 CAA8077 CAA8077 CAA8077 BAC6407 BAA22942 BAA23042 BAA23042 BAA23042 BAA23042 BAA23042 BAA23042 BAA23042 BAA23943 BAC6407 AA249963 AAA39761 CAA827613	Antirotismin majaw (pragdragor) Antifestion (tradition (the costs) Costs (the costs) Costs (the costs) Constitution (the costs) Constitution (southers) Care of the costs (southers) Care of the costs (southers) Care of the costs (southers) Care of the cost (southers) Care of the cost (southers) Care of the cost (the costs) Care of the costs) Care of the cost (the costs) Adalaw × Anomatics (the costs) Adalaw × Anomatics Phone of the costs) Adalaw × Anomatics Phone of the costs) Phone of the costs of the costs Phone of the costs of the costs Phone of the costs) Phone of the costs of the costs of the costs Adalaw Adalaw (the costs) Phone of the costs) Adalaw (the co

Table 2. Percent identity and similarity of Ps-CHS1 amino acid sequence with CHS genes from different plant species (Zhou *et al.*, 2011).

Plant species	Accession no.	Sequence identity (%)	Sequence similarity (%)
P. suffruticosa cv. Yu Ji Yan Zhuang	(GQ483511)	100	100
P. alba	(ABD24222)	91	96
C. sinensis	(ACB47461)	90	94
C.sinensis	(P48386)	88	95
R. hybrid	(BAC66467)	87	93
Malus × domestica	(AAX16492)	87	94
G max	(CAA46590)	86	93

Scutellaria viscidula

Isoelectric point was calculated and molecular mass of the deduced SvCHS was predicted to be about 42.56 KDa and 5.79, respectively (Lei *et al.*, 2010). BlastP2.2.3 was used to confirm that amino acid sequences of SvCHS had high similarity with CHSs

from other corresponding plants (Cameron and Williams, 2007). The percentage of amino acid sequence of SvCHS was 88% identical to Antirrhinum majus, 87% to Misopates orontium and Perilla frutescens. Regarding S. baicalensis, the percentages identity were (CHS: 97% identical, CHS-P: 95% identical, CHS-C: 94%), indicating that SvCHS was considered as member from CHS protein family (Lei et al., 2010). Using alignment of full-length sequence with Vector NTI sutie8.0 showed that the active center of the CHS was formed by three highly conserved residues Cys164, His304 and Asn340 (Jez and Noel, 2000) (See Fig 5). In addition, SvCHS had binding site for malonyl-CoA at 313-330 positions. Nmyristoy-lation site and myristoy-lated had a role in the stabilization of protein and binding the hydrophobic core. In accordance with the presence of the conserved amino residues SvCHS might stimulate the synthesis of chalcon in the S.visidula (Lei et al., 2010).

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa)

Isoelectric point was calculated, and the molecular mass of the deduced Ps-CHS1 has been predicted to be about 43.3 KDa and 6.19, respectively (Zhou et al., 2011). The percentage of sequence identity and similarity for Ps-CHS1 with CHSs from other plant species showed in Table 2 (Ferrer et al., 1999). Based on analysis of the deduced amino acid sequences revealed that the protein of Ps-CHS1 shared high degree of identity (86-91%) with CHS sequences obtained from various plant species, such as Populus alba, Citrus sinensis, Camellia sinensis, Rosa hybrid, Malus × domestica and Glycine max. Therefore, PsCHS1 belongs to the CHS family (Table 2). Ps-CHS1 had several active sites for the CHS function "RLMMYQRLMMYQQGCFAGGTVLR" (156 to 172) and the family signature "GVLFGFGPGL" (368 to 377) (Ferrer et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2002). Regarding the active amino acid residues in Ps-CHS1, seven amino acid residues of the cyclization pocket (Thr1 Met137, Phe215, Ile254, Gly256, Phe265 and Pro375), three catalytic triad sites, five residues of coumaroyl (Ser133, Glu192, Thr194, Thr197 and Ser338) pocket. Three CoA was used as a binding for active sites.

Described as the most important active-site amino acid residues, Cys164, Phe215, His303 and Asn336 (See Fig 3) were responsible for the reaction of multiple decarboxylation and condensation (Ferrer *et al.*, 1999; Schröder *et al.*, 1998).

ACA	A4.4.5	Arit	1.15	23.2	1.00.04	8 8 6.4	2.41	24.4	18.8		82.20	(2,0,4)	AA M	AT1	CAT		141.58	ATT	GCA.	4340
2.045	TAO	0.960	0.00	2.40	198243	OAT	CB1/A	140.00	10.1.1	0004	OUR	ATT.	CAO	82.26.19	enere.	ACA	82-740	0.00	C. 10. 1. 10.	1.120
							85	-426	- A-		- A.	- P*	90	. 86		- 62		- 25- 1	8.8	
TON	7400	AGE	CAC	777	75.67	SIOC	3-3-T	10040	NCAE.	78,6367	TAC	TACK	THORD	XC35.6	7520	THAT	100.6	K) 40,7%	CLACE.	3.7902
10.0	10.0		-T		E., (×.	- KGr			- X.	5-			1.00	× .	100	62	25	
6000	TTA		CREA	8.8.0	CTA					20.06.06							ASACTY	C (8, 8)	0.001.00	20.640
740	- W.	-	100		~			- E	1.00	24	1.056	81		100			×	345	100	
GAN.					616				1.5.20	SOAT.				16 T.A	ICACI		CIT	52.740	and a star	300
				1.0	-	100	100	-246			80	- PC	-	× .	748	~		100	100	
100.00					12.16.16						ATA	(A T)	CALC: C	KORCK!	1110	XX.77			ALC: NO	1247-01
81	12	· .	86	. 81.	80	21	81.		-60		W	14		-	2.0	1.	5.0	-	-	
100.04	OCLA	CAT	OF T	100.0	OCT	STATIAN.	OFF	Sec. 1		alar a	000	0.0.0	ACA	1000	energe of	TIME		616.00	CO.Y	-81240
62	2.2	3.0	100	× .	100	100	20	24	100		- 40		100		0.00		50			
	COLD.	1000	000	CC-A	1000	0.0.0	ATE!	100.00	0.57	0.00	100.00	1000	0.00		1.710	TAC	0.00	0.000	10000	
85	10		15		-	- 10 C	-	-				-	- A.	- 20	100		100	100	10.0	
1000	CREAK	0.50	100.00	000	0.00	CO.A.	17.0	12.15	100	1100	12.00.00	100.00	0000	viene:		100	800	a la como	Contraction of the	0.00
20	100	-	-	145		-		-		-	-		-	45	100	- 24	-	6.0	-	
1000	11000	1000	1.4.4	1.6.1	0.7.0	Contra-	in the second	-	-		-		artes	1000			er an		1000	10000
-		-				and the second second	-										-		-	
								-						_			-			-
-		10.00			~~~~	1.20						*****		1.000.00	* * *		12.00	~~~	and a	
							-	3.4	- 194											
4,00,00,0	ukarti	CLASS.C	48.2	CECHA	0,4,24,2	6400	U.AL	1.1.1.1	1.042	11.74	1.2.2.	CALLAN	CORES	Cardina and	1.4.1.1	1.1.00	10.0	1.11.12.3	C.A	1.000
	×		- W.	- 94			294				1.0	2.9	254	- Mar.	w.	4.9		100	Mart .	
43 8	11,11,1	A.C.L.A.	1.75.16.2	ADADAC:	ALCOL.	1.76.96.7	2.2.2.2	124.8	1.1.2.2	2.9524.5	ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC:	CALA	28.36.3	1.14.2	6.8.14.	ALLA	CALLS.	1.20.2	1204.04	7,0940
2-	Sec	2.2	- K.A	Ch	_ AL	24	- M.			1.4		1.0			100		× .			
10000	6710	C 8 A	CCA	ACX.	CC I	1.114.4	and the	6.774	1000	00.04	1.7.7	TACK.	14.30	0.004	62,645	scure.	1.2.2.	11.4.1	C.A.S.	25-040
8 m	25	- 87	- 10	B	8		- T	- 29	- 12			8	8-	- 6.9	-25	- 122	- 65-	100	1.8	
1.15.00	CODER	CCA	6. H.C. H.	6600	ACA	636231	0.0040	C.C.R	10.000	2.2.2	10.20	CET	CULT	2.04.0	678375	63.18	10100	0.00.00.00	CHC R.	1040340
8.9	195.0		×				S. 11	8.	- X.	. 304	1.4	8	3	. 95	12.5	· · · ·	Sec	10.0	8	
CAD	CIL	CAA	C.5.5	1.1.1.3	004	62.8.15	OMO	400.7	1.1.4.3	Conta Pa	066	C.F.E	CCA	CLECK	OT L	12040	12/5.3	10100	COLD.	134040
	104					- 26	100	A.,	·	85				-12		- 6.4	- X.	- 29	10.9	
TIM	C.A.K	3162.76	434.73	C77	CTO	#2.75 T	C MARC	TICA	AT 10 K	781040		000	CR24C1	20.41	14.73	COA	100 A	#141T	COLD	8.403
140	74		- M	87				8.00			- Ca-	-	~~		- M	100	1.00		100	
SIXC		628272		12677				CAA	1000	22.4.4	CHEMIC 1	につれつ	モンプルオン			10.10	CAG	8.83A	ハイス	12 4 CMP
116	80	- Barr	104	- H	746	-	A., 1	36.	- 24	196		- R.				×	1256-1			
AC1034G4	CAN	CAT		にみの			CRAT			ICA F	COTT	COLA		AAT		ICAA	ATC	CTC	TAT	8.2.4
4.5	74	748			- AL	40.0	-	×	- 20		8	1.00		104		- 94	100	100		
CAR.	2.2.2.			1111		IS AG			Acie	LACY.		0.163					35.147	10.000	1000	18.2,040
RC.	24	1452		T.	- T	T	16.5	82	100	B.,	D	·	45		R	- 11	402	87	aca-	
10000		CEDE	CAD	CODO: T	COL.A	GAO	CELT			10.0040	GAG	CONT	THE	KOTING .	CAA	CRA	6.74	1.440	CICAL	3 174
1.0	42		1		100					-	102	10	- 100	80		*	-			
110	ATA	CAT	CTC-A	ATA	ACT	GAT	0.00	6.1.7	KLA.A	10.0	C16	CIT	TAA	CONT.	TAT	111	0.000	ACIA	OKIA.	10 10010
	626.70	CLEUR T			Tree A	62,764.0	0.5.4	TAA	1106.7	10.000	1.2.2	10.00	ATT	TONG	AT12	12.0.0	TAT	3 2 2 4	1.1.1	10 2 10 10
	A 1 1	1.46	A 100	CTA	TICO	0.000	1110	651.0				1.8.8	47.4	1.1.1	x 1.8.41	1.4.7	ATT	1000	A.010	10.05.0
STATIS.																				
GDG.	80.00				T & A			A A			A T A	A T A	A. M. M.						C 1 C 1	
AUT	TAA		111	84.3	TAA	1.10		~~~	110.1	A.A.G.	27.0		000	A4.75	1.115	212.7	000	CUT	CIC	1.040

Fig. 1. shows Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of the full-length cDNA of (GbCHS). (ATG) and (TAA), represented start and stop codon respectively, were bolded and bolded italically. The putative polyadenylation signals were recognized by double underline. The primers, which were used for cloning, were underlined (Pang *et al.*, 2004).

		·																												
90	AT	dan	GAC	AGT	TGA	ING	ATT	CCA	000	ROOK	AAC	ACG	ope	AGA	000	ccc	090	CAC	007	CTT	666	CAT	000	CAC	ionic	XA	icicc	coc		CTG
	34	v	т	w	10	85	11	38		A	т	R	A	81	G	1.	A	т	w.	£.,	A	x	G	т		N	P	P.	31	C
180	GT	TGA	GCA	GTC	TAC	TTA	TOC	TGA	TTA	CTA	CIT	TOP	CAT	TTG	TAA	AAG	TGA	ACA	CTT	GAC	TGA	TCT	TAA	A.A.N	GAA	GTT	TAG	000	CAT	GTG
	8	- 82	.0	-5	Υ.	¥		D	¥	Y	P	- 82	- 1	£2.	ж	s	85	11	8.	T	\mathbf{p}	а.	к	к	86	P	- 5		м	C
270	GA	AAA	GTC	160	CAT	C&A	GAA	ACG	TEA	CAT	GCA	111	AAC)	GGA	GGA	GTT	TCT	GAA	GGN	GAA	OGA	TAA	CIT	CAC	996	GTA	CGA	66C	TOO	GEC
	10.	К.	5	G		80	ĸ	*	Υ.	M	H	τ.	τ	- 死		π.	£.,	к	10.	-N	D	2N	*	т	- 6	Y	. 81	A	P	-8
360	CT	GGA	TGC	009	GCA	OGA	CAT	AGT	GGT	GGT	GGA	GAT	000	GAA	GCF	600	GAN	GGA	GGC	160	OCA	GAA	666	GAD	CAA	GGA	ATG	600	GCA	6000
	£.,	D	A		Q	(D)	1	v	w.	v	82	1		ĸ	к.	G	- 80	E	A	- A.	Q	- 84	A	1	ж.	10		G	Q	P
450		GTC	CAA	GAT	CAO	CCA.	CGR	CAP	CTT	CTG	CAC	TAC	CAG	099	CGT	CGA	CAT	600	050	coc.	AG.A	CEA	CCA	GAT	CAC	CAS	OCT	CCP	006	COR
	к	- 55	к	- 1	т		v	1	- 12	\mathbf{C}	T	т	-5	G	v	D	34	P.	65	A	Ð	¥	Q	1	T	ĸ	1.	٤.,	G	£
540	CG	000	CTO	CGT	CAA	GOG	CIT	CAT	GAT	GTA	CCA	GC.A	000	CTG	CIT	060	035	009	CAO	GGB	9CT	006	CAT	660	CAA	GGA	007	GGC	TGA	GAA
	-	P*	5	w	*	- 10	F	м	38	v	Q	Q	G	10	*	A	6	G	т	w.	π.		38	-A	к.	D	1.	A	10	N
630	1.04	cac	CGG	AGC	TAG	GGT	TCT	TGT	CGT	cro	CTC	CGA	GAT	CAO	090	CAP	CAC	111	000	000	800	CAG	CGA	CAO	OC A	OCT.	CEA	CAD	CICE	our
	- 24	A	G	-76	R	¥	ε.	v.		C	5		τ	т	-8	1	т	P	R	G	P.	-5	EP.	т	- 18	£.,	-83	5	٤.	v
720	GG	CCA	660	OCT	GTD	096	CGA	coo	090	096	GGC	GGT	CAT	OUT	TGG	ATO	OGA	000	CAT	CGT	666	GGT	GGA	606	sec	TCT.	CIT	CCA	OCT.	GGTG
	6	Q	A	а.		•	Ð	•	A	•	A	$\cdot \mathbf{v}$	1	v	G	\mathbf{s}	D	P.	- 3	. w	G	v	.81	R		ι.	. #	Q	х.	v
810	TO	666	660	GCA	GAO	GAT	OC7	000	IGGA	CAG	CGA	CGG	TGO	CAT	TGA	cco	OCA	OCT	TOP	CGA	GAT	tGG	GCT	8.80	CET	CCA	OCT	CCP	CAA	GGM
	5	A	A	Q	т	1	8	P	- 89	-5		6	A	Т	30	G	18	ι.	R.	10	л	G	8.	т		н	а.	Ł.,	ĸ	Ð
900	GD	CCC	000	GCT	GAT	CTC	CAA	GAA	CAT	CGA	GAA	GAG	OCT	GAA	GGA	AGO	CIT	000	600	OCT	DGA	CAT	CIC	CGA	CEG	GAA	CEO	OCT	CIT	CTG
	.81	*	G	×.	1	- 5	16	: 14	x	. 85	ĸ	-5	Ι,	к	81	A		A	P.	L.,	D		25	D	w	N	-5	1.	F	Ψ.
990	AT	161	GCA	000	096	MIG	000	090	CAT	\$CT	TGA	CCA	GGT	GGA	GGA	GAA	ocn	000	GCT	CAN	600	CGA	GAT	CAT	GGC	ioca	GMO	CAD	GCA	GGTC
	х.	v	- 18	P	6	G	8×	Α	ж	ι.	D	Q	v	81		к	R.,	G	×.,	К.	P.	-	т	м	- A	9	×.		9	v
1080	CT	GAG	CGA	CTA	000	GAA	CAP	GTO	CA0	000	CT6	CGT	GAT	CTI	CGT	GAP	OGA	TUA	GAT	GAG	GAN	660	CTO	060	CAA	ADG.A	096	CTG	CAC	CAC
	1.	5	D	×.	G	- 24	. 16	\$	s	- A	c	W.	I	P.	Y	м	81	10	34	80	к	. A	75	•	. 85	D	10	c	T	т
1170	-00	066	AGA	AGO	GAN	OGA	CTO	000	GGT	TCI	111	000	CIT	000	000	000	OCT	CAO	OUL	TGM	GAC	TGT	AGT	TCD	OC A	TAG	161	SOC	ICI	CAAI
	т	G	81	G	к	D		G	×	L	. P	G	P.	G	P	G	Ε.	т	v	. 81	x	w.	v	ε.	. 88	- 5	v	P	L.	N .
1260	TG.	Age	1.836	tgo	egg	Rec	-	ec a	Ret	***	ata	***	ett	seed	ato	att	abriab	att	eee.	ate	ege		att	teg	net	***	angen	t gt	att	tate
1350	-	110	tat	test	ore	ages 1	a ta	01.0	rint.	£1.0		egt.	11.736	100	oas	tta	Sart.	c1a	110	atte			sta	110	ict o	-	-	1.1.45	-	ctat
1440	-	and t	tec		tem	ngt.	1.10	-	apro a	100	1.800		tigen	ter	-	tan	1.1.00	c4.5	cinis	ttg	1.1 m		-		tion		-	1.001	mepn	ge t t
a binada																				100				-						

Fig. 2. Full-length cDNA sequence and deduced amino acid sequence of SvCHS. The start codon (ATG) and stop (TGA) codon was marked with boxed and asterisks respectively. The coding sequence of SvCHS is shown in capital letterswhile the 5'- and 3'- untranslated regions are shown in normal letters (Lei *et al.*, 2010).

Three-dimensional model of CHS Ginkgo biloba

The homology-based 3-D structural of GbCHS was performed by using the program SWISSMODEL (Schwede *et al.*, 2003). Three dimensional molecular Viewer and WebLab Viewer Lite were applied to perform the three dimensional structure and structural analyses (Wu *et al.*, 2012). The structures

(Pang et al., 2004).

of monomer and homomer for GbCHS were designed theoretically against the template of CHS2 of *Medicago sativa* (alfalfa) by using crystallography (Ferrer *et al.*, 1999). GbCHS and MsCHS2 had 11 ahelices which were bound by 15 B-sheets (See Fig 6a, b) (Austin and Noel, 2003). As it is shown in the (See Fig 6c) symmetric dimmer is formed by tow monomers (Pang *et al.*, 2004). The active sites of K55, R58 and K62, formed CoA binding tunnel, appeared in the homodimer (Ferrer *et al.*, 1999; Austin and Noel, 2003) This result denoted that the GbCHS and MsCHS had the same active site, suggesting that GbCHS and MsCHS might have similar function

73		agi	e	10	a c	a a	A7	ä	ŝċ	11	ē	ä	ŤŤ	àÀ	Āč	AJ	1.7	11	ÅG	ñ,	A	äč	èè	CA.	ŇĊ	67	äč	ŤĊ	Ã,	ide	i T	ĉċ,	1G	čĉ	٨c	ĈĂ	ŤŤ	CTI	G
з.							M		A		s		<u>.</u>	8		Б		Ι.	R		Ν.	. 1		0		R	A		9.			P		Λ_	T		Ξ.,	L	
11	12	CA	I A	44	CA	C.	Gr	e e	AU	CU	19	n u	61	94		1	I٨		~ ~					10	(4 T	A.I.	cc	1.0	0		(C)	- 41	11		CG	1.4	10	ACI	100
	÷		÷			÷.,		۰.			Χ.		<u>.</u> .	. 1	١.,			÷.,		<u>.</u>	ч.			. <i>2</i> .		Χ.,	-2	<u>.</u>	е.		5	. č.,		÷.,			÷.,	×.	
	20	CA	31	-2	90	AC	-	50	A C		20	37	14	~	A	1.1.1	•••	20	73	01	2	ici,	101	n 1 1	Q.I		0.0	1.1	2	119	- 01	1.21	U.A.	I.A	n.n.	CA	20	0.03	
2.2			e	- 2	2.0	Ξ.	- 2	2.0	-1	÷.,	÷.		÷.,			÷.		۰.			<u>.</u>		÷	. C.		÷.,			۰.			л.		÷.,	- 2		<u>.</u>	÷.	
		10		10		2.7	1		- 2				5.1		~	22		20	20		10		100			2.1	~0		0	~~;		***		20	10	~~	27	~ <u>P</u>	
36	1	AC.	÷.,	- 2	8.0	ã.c	a)		67	a	÷.	10	а,			in.		2.							. 11	2.0		10		ca î				ст.	а÷	тń	ñ a	CX1	c.
23	÷.,	~~	0	~~~~			۰,	2	~.;;	-	5		5	1		÷.		5				100		*		-	~ 2	200						× -	- ÷		*		13
43		ac		că.	a.c		1	i.	тċ		ú.		Ťc	ač	ce	à	cc.	÷.,	61	-	Ť	1	í	A CI	C A		τĉ		ia:	na i		120	-		cĉ				- 6
24	2					2.7			1					1.4			· · ·		50			1		-	15	n.	1.1		1			-	1	Ê.			~		
	10	07		~ ¥	2.0			2.2		20	÷.,		-		e.			0.7							- 5		~7	C a	÷.,	14.7		- 2.1		20	C 3	20	CT.	10	
1.4	1.2		¥	0		1		+ -	1		1		1.	22		1.			1		in a	1					1		ŵ.	· · ·				0.0	0			Digit i	i.
	5	00	in an	αă				n a	c. 7	71	- 11		ã t		-	:01	÷A.	a c	0.8	**	Tr.	in the	in c	181	C A	A.C.	2.0	CA	3.1	L G C	101	101	A C	G.A.	GT.	TC	TA	GTO	10
1.6	6.6		Λ.	0		6		- 1	- 1		τ.		8	- 9		x		ĸ		2	τ.	۰,		8		NI.	N		ĸ	172		5		R	v		2.7	v	
6.4	19	TT	2C'	ТĊ	TG	AG	:A	T C	AC	GC	20	50	τc	AC	A	rT:	TC	ĞТ	cc.	A	:01	TC	ice	aA.	TA	CT	CA	ττ	Ť	20)	TT:	r C 1	ŕτ	TA	aT.	ca	GT	CAL	
1.0	0.4	100				e.*		*			- 1		v					1	20	0	1	100	12		1			11			D			T	÷.,				
72	1	GC	ÎΤ.	τī	тq	GT	G	Ñс		ÎΤ			сī			iT:	īλ	τī	61			50	Ξt	SA	ŤC	CT	GA	TO	TO	: 11	ũ.	NT:	rg.	ñσ	сē		CA	тŤ	37
2.1	4		٢.	F	1	G		D	1		A		λ.	1	1	v		Ŧ	- 1	7	G	1		D		p.	T		v	- 7	6	т		É	R		P	L	
7.9	1.0	TC	1.0.	۸Ŧ	TO	TO	11	ĒΤ		0	:01		AC	AC	3.1	T	TC	77	CC	a	A.	TO		1.8.1		GТ		GA	71	ra i	ίT:	101	NC.	AC	τT		GT	GAI	
2.3	1.0		0	T		v.		8	2		G		0	1		1		1	2		D	1		D		G	A		E	E		61		н	Ξ.		8	E	
6 6	5	cà	211	ċΫ	CA	Ċ1	÷.	ŤΤ	CA	11	īΤ.	A C	ΞA	3.7	A	: 4:	τc	ŤΤ		ce		: 11	ca)	AT:	τT	CT.	AA	GA	H.	A	TI	10	4.8.	A A	AG	ŤΤ	TG	GTI	ra
2.6	2		a i	1		τ.	1	21	- 1	1	1.		τ.'	1	2	D		v	1.5	1	6			10		8	2		32	1		R		ĸ			27	v	
9.5	17	AG		τŤ	T A	a.c	e i	2	AТ	1	10	1.0	τA	2.2	ic.	:A	ст		хâ	01	ic.	1.4	. A 1	τŤ	ст		ъŤ	10	ie.	rei i	ίπ.			άŦ	aā		CA		z Á
2.8	6		A.	. 9		ĸ		5	13		G		ī.	,	1	D		8	2		s			8		H.	1		A	108	m	p		a i	G		p.	A	
1.0	0.9	1 1	17	rā	AC	CA		11	тĠ	AG	11	٠A.	AA.	a.c	TC	GC	A	CT I	GA.	λA	GA		AG	AA		TC	3.7		A.	TA		AG		AT	GT	τT	TG	AG	ГG
3 1	0		T.		p	0		v		8			ĸ		Ľ	G		L		ĸ	π		R	K		L		ē	N		T.	R		н	V		L	s	
10	183	G	CA	C G	GG	Aλ	τ7	171	57	CA	AC		SC:	тτ	GΤ	GT	GG	CT.	AT:	TΤ	AΤ	AΤ	TΑ	GA	TO	AG	A	CGI	G	GA	AG.	AG	GT	CA	CT	ΤG	AG	GAL	١G
33	4		Y.		G.	EŃ	85	м		s*		s	A		с.		2	L		8	. 1		L	. 1	÷	E.		τ.	R		ĸ	R		s	L		E	E	
11	53	A	A	2G	сċ	AC	c,	1C	τG	GT	61	LA.	adi	CT	τG	GA	TT:	C G I	GGÌ	GΤ	GT	сċ	TC	τŤ	CO	GG	11	TTO	÷ġ.	ACI	ca	GG	ŤΤ	TA	AC	ĩG	TT	GA	3A
3.5	8		ĸ		٨	T		т		G	1	6	G		L	D		ы		α.	V		L.	8		6	. 1		G	1	FI.	G		L	T		v	E	
12	2.5	C	17	τG	ΤG	τī	12.0	: A I	CA	GC	G	iπ.	ce:	TG	CA	AT	17	\C.	٨A	ΤC	AG		AG	TG		ta	r in c	2.9.0		t gi	a c			tq	g.a	aa	ta	aai	it.
47	2		V		v	1		H		S		v	1		А		τ.	Τ		1	S		E																
12	97	C	1.11	2 A	át.	cg	at	(p)	tσ	eq	C (it.	tt.	at	t t	tq	it (rt!	tt	tc	gt.	ta	tig	g t.	ġ a	it a	1.1.1		1 a	t t I	tt.	e al	at	aa	tg	a c	ad	CAT	tε
13	69	9	at.	ż.a	τt	ta	Ēţ	t,	aa	tt	-	ia.	t.g.	t a	ct	tá	its	jt.	ta	gia	ġ a	at	tā	tg	gg	144	12.1	2.4.1	15	gai	a a	ga:	t, c	ca	at	τt	cg	tte	cc.
	4.7.		63.	1.5.1	10.0	33	8-6	23.	3.4	2.2.2	5.01	1.21	1.11.11	3.3	1.16	1.00	2.21.2	10.																					

Fig. 3. Nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences of the full-length cDNA of Ps- CHS1. The small letters represented sequence whereas the capital letters were coding sequence. The initiation (ATG) and termination codons (TGA) were underlined. Thr132, Met137, Phe215, Ile254, Gly256, Phe265 and Pro375 were framed. Cys164, His303 and Asn336 were shaded. The (*) indicated to the residues of coumaroyl pocket, including Ser133, Glu192, Thr194, Thr197 and Ser338. The family signatures of chalcone synthase (RLMMYQQGCFAGGTVLR and GVLFGFGPGL) were marked by double underline. The CoA binding active sites such as Lys55, Arg58 and Lys62 were italic and bold (Zhou *et al.*, 2011).

Scutellaria viscidula

The (3D) structural modeling of the SvCHS protein was analyzed by using Swiss-Modeling and (3D) structure was visualized by using the WebLab Viewer Lite 4.2 (Wu *et al.*, 2012; Arnold *et al.*, 2006). The secondary structure of SvCHS was shown in (Fig 7) which consisted of random coils, a-helixes and extended strands (Lei *et al.*, 2010).The motifs, supported the biological functions in different proteins, occurred in the coiled-coil structure of SvCHS. Based on the analysis, the SvCHS contained the conventional molecular structure of CHS and demonstrated similarities with data stemming from the experiment of *M. savita* CHS (Jez and Noel, 2000; Ferrer *et al.*, 1999).



Fig. 4. Multiple sequence alignment of GbCHS and other plant CHS proteins. Table 1 containsthe abbreviations for aligned CHS proteins and their accession numbers in GenBank. The completely identical amino acids were marked with black foreground and thick gray background, conservative amino with white foreground and light gray background, Block of similar amino acids with white foreground and thick gray background, weakly similar amino acids with black foreground and non-similar amino acids with black foreground and white background. The active sites for cyclization pocket were boxed. The catalytic sites were labeled with * (Pang *et al.*, 2004).

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa)

Swiss-Modeling was used to determine the (3D) structural modeling of the Ps-CHS1 protein (Schwede *et al.*, 2003) using the crystal structure of CHS from *Medicago sativa* (alfalfa) as template. The similarity between 3D structure of Ps-CHS1 and template was 82.86% which, in turn, contributes to positive identification of its CHS identity (See Fig 8) (Zhou *et al.*, 2011).



Fig. 5. Multiple sequence alignment of SvCHS and other plant CHS proteins. Identical sites are shown in white letters on a black background, conserved sites in white letters on a gray background and other sites in black letters on a white background. The highly conserved catalytic domain motif Cys-His-Asn, an N-myristoylation motif gvlfgf and a malonyl-CoA binding motif veeklglkpeimaqtrq are marked by box (Lei *et al.*, 2010).



Fig. 6. The three-dimensional models of MsCHS2 (3b for monomer) and the deduced GbCHS (3a for monomer and 3c for homodimer) (Pang *et al.*, 2004).

Phylogenetic analysis

Ginkgo biloba

Beta-keto-acyl- ACF synthase from *Arabidopsis thalinna* (Genbank accession NF_199441) (Table 1) was used to examine the relationship between GbCHS and different CHS proteins. The building of phylogenetic tree was done depending on the deduced amino acid sequence of GbCHS and CHS from different plants. Based on analysis of result, there were significant features demonstrated in all the species from these plants. Regarding the first feature, all CHS proteins from family signature sequence were derived from common ancestor. It was not important whether the CHS proteins belonged to any kind of plants, monocot or dicot. In regard to the second feature, the CHS sequence composed several recognizable species-specific clusters. For instance, the cluster formed between G.biloba and the other different species from gymnosperm such as Pines and Picea revealed that G.biloba has close relationship with gymnosperm species more evident than its counterpart in angiosperm species (Pang et al., 2004) (See Fig 9). It was noticeable that leguminous species such as G. max, Pueraria montana var, lobata, Trifolium subterraneum, M.sativa and P. sativum, were categorized into a cluster. As it was shown from the tree, Rosaceae species including Malus × Domestica, Rosa hybrid and Rubus idaeus constituted a cluster. Moreover, Solanaceae species like tomato and Petunia formed a cluster (O'Neill et al., 1990) (See Fig 9). The species which belong to Gramineae such as Z. mays and S. bicolor formed a cluster in the phylogenic tree. Regarding the third feature, the genetic distance was shorter between the different species such as Camelia sinensis, Fulans nigra × Juglans regia and Vitis vinifera based on the phylogenetic tree (See Fig 9). According to the aforementioned result, GbCHS is a homologue with other known CHS gene and CHS protein (Pang et al., 2004; Goto-Yamamoto et al., 2002; Claudot et al., 1999; Takeuchi et al., 1994).



Fig. 7. 3D structural model of SvCHS. Random coils are marked in silver. Selected important motifs are indicated (Lei *et al.*, 2010).

Scutellaria viscidula

There were many studies applied on the CHS gene sequences of diverse plants and bacteria. These studies took into consideration the genetic engineering of flavonoids. The CHS genes were aligned in order to investigate the relationships among the CHS genes in different plants (Jiang and

Cao, 2007) (See Fig 10). There was a close relationship between *S. viscidula* and *S.baicalensis* because these species belonged to the same genus (Lei *et al.*, 2010).



Fig. 8. The computing 3D structure of Ps-CHS1 (Zhou *et al.*, 2011).

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa)

Phylogenetic analysis showed that monophyletic group belonged to dicotyledons was constituted by whereas CHS proteins from CHS proteins, monocotyledons were grouped as a cluster into another separate clade (See Fig 11) (Zhou et al., 2011). This result matched that of Nakatsuka et al. (2003) research. The cluster of dicotyledon CHSs, which contained Ps-CHS1, was segregated into several subgroups according to the various plant species. Abelmoschus manihot and Gossypium hirsutum, belonging to the Malvaceae family appeared in the same subgroup, while Rosaceae family such as R. hybrid and Malus × Domestica showed in another subgroup (See Fig 11). This result strongly suggests that Ps-CHS1 has a homologue of the CHS gene and protein (Zhou et al., 2011).



Fig. 9. Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree of the complete sequences of GbCHS and other 25 CHS proteins was built by using AtKAS as out group (shown in Table 1). Sequences were identified by the

names of species. The numbers at each node represented the bootstrap values (Pang *et al.*, 2004).



Fig. 10. phylogenetic analyses of plant and bacterial CHS. Neighbor-Joining method was used for constructing of phylogenetic tree (based on 1000 bootstrap replicates) using MEGA3 software; the bootstrap values are shown on the branches. Plant species are marked by (\blacksquare) and bacterial species by (*) (Lei *et al.*, 2010).

Expression profile of CHS Ginkgo biloba

Total isolated RNAs from leaf tissues, stem and root were used for molecular investigation (Liao *et al.*, 2004). RT-PCR was used to detect the expression of CHS (Deepak *et al.*, 2007). Thus this method (RT-PCR) was employed to investigate expression of GbCHS. As it is portrayed in the (Fig 12), there was no expression of GbCHS in the root, whereas, the stem and leaf tissues had an expression of GbCHS (Pang *et al.*, 2005).



Fig. 11. A phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the deduced amino acid sequences of different CHSs. The Ps-CHS1 protein is boxed (Zhou *et al.*, 2011).

Scutellaria viscidula

Flavonoid baicalin increase by using MeJA at shortterm exposure (Wang *et al.*, 2008), while plant cell

growth inhibits when MeJA is used at long- term exposure (Ananieva and Ananiev, 1997). CHS expression was simulated and modulated by using MeJA (Belhadj et al., 2008; Creelman et al., 1992). Therefore, the expression of SvCHS was the greatest after 12h treatment with MeJA followed by progressive decrease in the level of stimulation (See Fig 13). Based on this result, the expression of SvCHS was sensitive for MeJA. Thus, CHS activity and accumulation plant secondary metabolites in the S. viscidula would be enhanced in the presence of MeJA (Lei et al., 2010). This result came agreement with what was observed by Sánchez-Sampedro et al. (2005). MeJA was employed to enhance CHS activity and boosted the level of silvmarin in cell cultures of Silybum marianum (Sánchez-Sampedro et al., 2005).



Fig. 12. Expression profiles of GbCHS in different tissues. "Marker, DNA molecular weight marker DL2000; CK, negative control in RT-PCR without template" (Pang *et al.*, 2005).



Fig. 13. Expression profiles of SvCHS following treatment by MeJA for different times. Upper part SvCHS expression, lower part 18S rRNA levels used to normalize the amount of template for PCR reactions (Lei *et al.*, 2010).

Tree peony (Paeonia suffruticosa)

As shown in (Fig 14), the expression of Ps-CHS1 appeared with high levels in petals pigmented by

anthocyanin, moderate levels in sepals, and low and lowest levels were in leaves and carpels (Zhou *et al.*, 2011). Ps-CHS1 expression in petals (See Fig 15) was enhanced gradually to be maximal in stage 6. These results referred that CHS gene, cloned previously, was expressed in a specific tissue (Hua *et al.*, 2004).



Fig. 14. Expression profiles of Ps-CHS1 in different tissues collected at full opening stage. RT-PCR analyses were carried out using total RNA from carpels (Cp), petals (Pt), sepals (Se), leaves (Le) and stamens (Sn). The internal control was Ps-Tubulin whereas the expression of Ps-CHS1 in Cp was used as a calibration standard (Zhou *et al.*, 2011).



Fig. 15. Expression profiles of Ps-CHS1 in petals at different floral developmental stages. RT- PCR was manipulated using total RNA from petals at each floral developmental stage (1 to 6). Ps-Tubulin was used as an internal control. The calibration standard was Ps-CHS1expressionin petals at stage 1(Zhou *et al.*, 2011).

Conclusions

To summarize, cloning and characterization of GbCHS, SvCHS and Ps-CHS1 have been elucidated, respectively. The protein encoded by these genes GbCHS, SvCHS, and Ps-CHS1 showed high similarity with others known CHS proteins. Based on the structural similarities, GbCHS, SvCHS, and Ps-CHS1 had an important role in the metabolic pathway from different species of plants. RT-PCR showed various

transcript abundances for GbCHS, SvCHS, and Ps-CHS1 genes in specific tissues. Interestingly, these results have presented a distinct idea about regulating genes involved in flavonoid biosynthesis. Finally, flavonoids in *Ginkgo biloba*, *Scutellaria viscidula*, and *Tree peony* (*Paeonia suffruticosa*) have significant functions as a health-protecting natural product.

References

Ananieva K, Ananiev ED. 1997. Comparative study of the effects of methyl jasmonate and abscisic acid on rna and protein synthesis in excised cotyledons of Cucurbita Pepo L. (ZUCCHINI). Bulg. J. Plant physiol **23**, 80–90.

Arnold K, Bordoli L, Kopp J, Schwede T. 2006. The SWISS-MODEL workspace: A web-based environment for protein structure homology modelling. Bioinformatics **22**, 195-201. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti770

Austin MB, Noel JP. 2003. The chalcone synthase superfamily of type III polyketide synthases. Natural Product Reports **20**, 79-110.

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12636085

Belhadj A, Telef N, Saigne C, Cluzet S, Barrieu F, Hamdi S, Mérillon JM. 2008. Effect of methyl jasmonate in combination with carbohydrates on gene expression of PR proteins, stilbene and anthocyanin accumulation in grapevine cell cultures. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **46**, 493-499. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2007.12.001

Bilgin DD, DeLucia EH, Clough SJ. 2009. A robust plant RNA isolation method suitable for Affymetrix GeneChip analysis and quantitative real-time RT-PCR. Nature protocols **4**, 333-340. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/nprot.2008.249

Burland TG. 1999. DNASTAR's Lasergene Sequence Analysis Software. In: Misener S, Krawetz SA, Editors. Bioinformatics Methods and Protocols. New Jersy: Humana Press 71-91. **Bustin SA.** 2000. Absolute quantification of mRNA using real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction assays. Journal of Molecular Endocrinology **25**, 169-193.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1677/jme.0.0250169

Cameron M, Williams H. 2007. Comparing Compressed Sequences for Faster Nucleotide blast Searches. Ieee/acm trans comput biol Bioinformatics **4**,349-364.

http://doi.ieeecomputersociety.org/10.1109/TCBB.20 07.1029

Cao S, Cai Y, Yang Z, Zheng Y. 2012. MeJA induces chilling tolerance in loquat fruit by regulating proline and γ -aminobutyric acid contents. Food Chemistry **133**, 1466-1470.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.02.035

Chang S, Puryear J, Cairney J. 1993. A simple and efficient method for isolating RNA from pine trees. Plant Molecular Biology Reporter **11**, 113-116. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/BF02670468

Claudot AC, Ernst D, Sandermann HJR, Drouet A. 1999. Cloning and characterization of two members of the chalcone synthase gene family from walnut. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **37**, 721-730.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0981-9428(00)86685-1

Creelman RA, Tierney ML, Mullet JE. 1992. Jasmonic acid/methyl jasmonate accumulate in wounded soybean hypocotyls and modulate wound gene expression. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **89**, 4938-4941.

Deepak SA, Kottapalli KR, Rakwal R, Oros G, Rangappa KS, Iwahashi H, Masuo Y, Agrawal GK. 2007. Real-time PCR: Revolutionizing detection and expression analysis of genes. Current Genomics **8**, 234-251.

Felsenstein J. 1985. Confidence Limits on Phylogenies: An Approach Using the Bootstrap. Evolution **39**, 783-791.

Ferrer JL, Jez JM, Bowman ME, Dixon RA, Noel JP. 1999. Structure of chalcone synthase and the molecular basis of plant polyketide biosynthesis. Nature Structural Biology **6**, 775-784. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/11553

Fliegmann J, Schröder G, Schanz S, Britsch L, Schröder J. 1992. Molecular analysis of chalcone and dihydropinosylvin synthase from Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris), and differential regulation of these and related enzyme activities in stressed plants. Plant Molecular Biology **18**, 489-503.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/BF00040665

Franken P, Niesbach-Klösgen U, Weydemann U, Maréchal-Drouard L, Saedler H, Wienand U. 1991. The duplicated chalcone synthase genes C2 and Whp (white pollen) of Zea mays are independently regulated; Evidence for translational control of Whp expression by the anthocyanin intensifying gene in. EMBO Journal 10, 2605-2612.

Frohman MA, Dush MK, Martin GR. 1988. Rapid production of full-length cDNAs from rare transcripts: amplification using a single gene-specific oligonucleotide primer. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **85**, 8998-9002.

Goto-Yamamoto N, Wan GH, Masaki K, Kobayashic S. 2002. Structure and transcription of three chalcone synthase genes of grapevine (Vitis vinifera). Plant Science **162**, 867-872.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(02)00042-0

Helariutta Y, Elomaa P, Kotilainen M, Griesbach RJ, Schröder J, Teeri TH. 1995. Chalcone synthase-like genes active during corolla development are differentially expressed and encode enzymes with different catalytic properties in Gerbera hybrida (Asteraceae). Plant Molecular Biology **28**, 47-60. Hillmann A, Dunne E, Kenny D. 2009. cDNA amplification by SMART-PCR and suppression subtractive hybridization (SSH)-PCR, P. Bugert, Editor **496**, 223-243.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/978-1-59745-553-4_15

Hua YG, Bin L, Wei GJ, Zhong LJ, Qiang ZX, Qi Z, Yi-Ping T, Zhen-Sheng L. 2004. Cloning and expression of two chalcone synthase and a flavonoid 3'5'-hydroxylase 3'-end cDNAs from developing seeds of blue-grained wheat involved in anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway. Acta Botanica Sinica **46**, 588-594.

Huang B, Yi B, Duan Y, Sun L, Yu X, Guo J, Chen W. 2008. Characterization and expression profiling of tyrosine aminotransferase gene from Salvia miltiorrhiza (Dan-shen) in rosmarinic acid biosynthesis pathway. Molecular Biology Reports **35**, 601-612.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s11033-007-9130-2

Huang J, Gu M, Lai Z, Fan B, Shi K, Zhou YH, Yu JQ, Chen Z. 2010.Functional analysis of the Arabidopsis PAL gene family in plant growth, development, and response to environmental stress. Plant Physiology **153**, 1526-538. http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.157370

Jaakola L, Pirttilä AM, Halonen M, Hohtola A. 2001. Isolation of high quality RNA from bilberry (Vaccinium myrtillus L.) fruit. Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology - Part B Molecular Biotechnology 19, 201-203.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1385/MB:19:2:201

Jez JM,Noel JP. 2000. Mechanism of Chalcone Synthase: pk a of the catalytic cysteine and the role of the conserved histidine in a plant polyketide synthase. Journal of Biological Chemistry **275**, 39640-39646. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1074/jbc.M008569200 Jiang C, Schommer CK, Kim SY, Suh DY. 2006. Cloning and characterization of chalcone synthase from the moss, Physcomitrella patens. Phytochemistry **67**, 2531-2540.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2006.09.030

Jiang M, Cao SA. 2007. Chalcone Synthase Gene. Chinese Journal of Cell Biology **29**, 525-529.

Kalpesh A. 2012. DNA sequence analysis by orf finder & genomatix tool: Bioinformatics analysis of some tree species of Leguminosae family.IEEE Computer Society Washington, DC, USA 922-926. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1109/BIBMW.2012.6470265

Kashkush K, Feldman M, Levy AA. 2003. Transcriptional activation of retrotransposons alters the expression of adjacent genes in wheat. Nature Genetics **33**, 102-106. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1038/ng1063

Kim SH, Mizuno K, Fujimura T. 2002. Regulated expression of ADPglucose pyrophosphorylase and chalcone synthase during root development in sweet potato. Plant Growth Regulation **38**, 173-179.

Kovács Gy, Kuzovkina IN, Szoke É, Kursinszki L. 2004. HPLC determination of flavonoids in hairyroot cultures of Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi. Chromatographia **60**, 81-85. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1365/s10337-004-0266-0

Kumar S, Tamura K, Jakobsen IB, Nei M. 2001. MEGA2: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis software. Bioinformatics **17**,1244-1245.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/17.12.1244

Kumar S, Tamura K, Nei M. 2004. MEGA3: Integrated software for Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis and sequence alignment. Briefings in Bioinformatics **5**, 150-163.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/bib/5.2.150

Kvacek J, Falcon-Lang HJ, Dasková J. 2005. A new Late Cretaceous ginkgoalean reproductive structure Nehvizdyella gen. nov. from the Czech Republic and its whole-plant reconstruction. American Journal of Botany **92**,1958-1969. http://dx.doi.org/ 3732/ajb.92.12.1958

Lanz T, Tropf S, Marner FJ, Schröder J, Schröder G. 1991. The role of cysteines in polyketide synthases: Site-directed mutagenesis of resveratrol and chalcone synthases, two key enzymes in different plant-specific pathways. Journal of Biological Chemistry **266**, 9971-9976.

Lei W, Sun M, Luo KM, Shui XR, Sun YM, Tang H. 2009. Compute simulation to characterize structure and function of chalcone synthase from Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi. Molekuliarnaia biologiia **43**,1082-1087.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1134/S0026893309060144

Lei W, Tang SH, Luo KM, Sun M. 2010. Molecular cloning and expression profiling of a chalcone synthase gene from hairy root cultures of Scutellaria viscidula Bunge. Genetics and Molecular Biology **33**, 285-291.

Liao Z, Chen M, Guo L, Gong Y, Tang F, Sun X, Tang K. 2004.Rapid isolation of high-quality total RNA from taxus and ginkgo. Preparative Biochemistry and Biotechnology **34**, 209-214.

Liew CF,Goh CJ, Loh CS, Lim SH. 1998. Cloning and characterization of full-length cDNA clones encoding chalcone synthase from the orchid Bromheadia finlaysoniana. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **36**, 647-656.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0981-9428(98)80013-2

Li KB. 2003. ClustalW-MPI: ClustalW analysis using distributed and parallel computing. Bioinformatics **19**, 1585-1586.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/bioinformatics/btg192

Luscombe NM, Greenbaum D, Gerstein M. 2001. What is bioinformatics? An introduction and overview. Yearbook of Medical Informatics 83-99. Mahadevan S, Park Y. 2008. Multifaceted Therapeutic Benefits of Ginkgo biloba L. Chemistry, Efficacy, Safety, and Uses. Journal of Food Science 73, 14-19.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2007.00597.x

Makoi JH,Bambara S, Ndakidemi PA. 2010. Rhizosphere phosphatase enzyme activities and secondary metabolites in plants as affected by the supply of Rhizobium, lime and molybdenum in Phaseolus vulgaris L. Australian Journal of Crop Science **4**, 590-597.

Martens S, Mithöfer A. 2006. Erratum: Flavones and flavone synthases (Phytochemistry (2005) 66 (2399-2407) DOI:10.1016/j.phytochem.2005.07.013). Phytochemistry **66**, 2399-2407.

Moreira X, Sampedro L, Zas R. 2009. Defensive responses of Pinus pinaster seedlings to exogenous application of methyl jasmonate: Concentration effect and systemic response. Environmental and Experimental Botany **67**, 94-100.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envexpbot.2009.05.015

Mornya PMP,Cheng FY, Li HY. 2011. Chronological changes in plant hormone and sugar contents in cv. Ao-Shuang autumn flowering tree peony. Horticultural Science **38**, 104-112.

Nakatsuka A, Izumi Y, Yamagishi M. 2003. Spatial and temporal expression of chalcone synthase and dihydroflavonol 4-reductase genes in the Asiatic hybrid lily. Plant Science **165**, 759-767. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9452(03)00254-1

Napoli CA, Fahy D, Wang HY, Taylor LP. 1999. White anther: A Petunia Mutant That Abolishes Pollen Flavonol Accumulation, Induces Male Sterility, and Is Complemented by a Chalcone Synthase Transgene. Plant Physiology **120**, 615-622. http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.120.2.615

O'Neill SD, Tong Y, Spörlein B, Forkmann G, Yoder JI. 1990. Molecular genetic analysis of chalcone synthase in Lycopersicon esculentum and an anthocyanin-deficient mutant. Molecular and General Genetics **224**, 279-288. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/BF00271562

Pang Y, Shen G, Wu W, Liu X, Lin J, Tan F, Sun X, Tang K. 2005. Characterization and expression of chalcone synthase gene from Ginkgo biloba. Plant Science 168, 1525-1531.

Pang Y, Shen GA, Liu C, Liu X, Tan F, Sun X, Tang K. 2004. Molecular cloning and sequence analysis of a novel chalcone synthase cDNA from Ginkgo biloba. DNA Sequence - Journal of DNA Sequencing and Mapping **15**, 283-290.

Rajeevan MS, Ranamukhaarachchi DG, Vernon SD, Unger ER. 2001. Use of real-time quantitative pcr to validate the results of cDNA array and differential display PCR technologies. Methods **25**, 443-451.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1266

Saitou N, Nei M. 1987. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. Molecular biology and evolution **4**, 406-425.

Sánchez-Sampedro MA, Fernández-Tárrago J, Corchete P. 2005. Yeast extract and methyl jasmonate-induced silymarin production in cell cultures of Silybum marianum (L.) Gaertn. Journal of Biotechnology **119**,60-69.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2005.06.012

Saslowsky DE, Dana CD, Winkel-Shirley B. 2000. An allelic series for the chalcone synthase locus in Arabidopsis. Gene **255**, 127-138. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1119(00)00304-8

SatiS C, Joshi S. 2011. Antibacterial activities of Ginkgo biloba L. leaf extracts. The Scientific World Journal **11**, 2237-2242.

Schröder J, Raiber S, Berger T, Schmidt A, Schmidt J, Soares-Sello AM, Schwede T, Kopp J, Guex N, Peitsch MC. 2003. SWISS-MODEL: An automated protein homology-modeling server. Nucleic Acids Research **31**, 3381-3385. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1093/nar/gkg520

Schröder J, Raiber S, Berger T, Schmidt A, Schmidt J, Soares-Sello AM, Bardshiri E, Strack D, Simpson TJ, Veit M, Schröder G. 1998. Plant polyketide synthases: A chalcone synthase-type enzyme which performs a condensation reaction with methylmalonyl-Coa in the biosynthesis of C-methylated chalcones. Biochemistry **37**, 8417-8425.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1021/bi980204g

Ståhlberg A, Kubista M, Pfaffl M. 2004. Comparison of Reverse Transcriptases in Gene Expression Analysis. Clinical Chemistry **50**,1678-1680.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1373/clinchem.2004.035469

Takeuchi A, Matsumoto S, Hayatsu M. 1994. Chalcone Synthase from Camellia sinensis: Isolation of the cDNAs and the Organ-Specific and Sugar-Responsive Expression of the Genes. Plant and Cell Physiology **35**:1011-1118.

Wang LS, Shiraishi A,Hashimoto F, Aoki N,Shimizu K, Sakata Y. 2001. Analysis of petal anthocyanins to investigate flower coloration of Zhongyuan (Chinese) and Daikon Island (Japanese) tree peony cultivars. Journal of Plant Research 114, 33-43.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/PL00013966

Wang SY, Bowman L, Ding M. 2008. Methyl jasmonate enhances antioxidant activity and flavonoid content in blackberries (Rubus sp.) and promotes antiproliferation of human cancer cells. Food Chemistry **107**, 1261-1269.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.09.065

Wang X, Cheng C, Sun Q, Li F, Liu J, Zheng C. 2005. Isolation and purification of four flavonoid constituents from the flowers of Paeonia suffruticosa by high-speed counter-current chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A **1075**,127-131. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2005.04.017

Wilkins MR, Gasteiger E, Bairoch A, Sanchez JC, Williams KL, Appel RD, Bairoch A. 1999. Protein identification and analysis tools in the ExPASy server. Methods in molecular biology (Clifton, N.J.) **112**, 531-52. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1385/1-59259-890-0:571

Winkel-ShirleyB. 2002.Biosynthesis of flavonoids and effects of stress. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 5, 218-223.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1369-5266(02)00256-X

Wu Q, Sun C, Chen S. 2012. Identification and expression analysis of a 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase gene from American ginseng. Plant OMICS 5, 414-420.

Xu F, Cai R, Cheng SY, Du H, Wang Y, Cheng SH. 2008. Molecular cloning, characterization and expression of phenylalanine ammonia-lyase gene from Ginkgo biloba. African Journal of Biotechnology 7, 721-729.

Xu F, Li L, Zhang W, Cheng H, Sun N, Cheng S, Wang Y. 2012. Isolation, characterization, and function analysis of a flavonol synthase gene from Ginkgo biloba. Molecular Biology Reports **39**, 2285-2296.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1007/s11033-011-0978-9

Yang C, Xu YR, Yao WX. 2002. Extraction of pharmaceutical components from Ginkgo biloba leaves using supercritical carbon dioxide. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry **50**, 846-849. http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/jf010945f

Yang S, Terachi T, Yamagishi H. 2008. Inhibition of chalcone synthase expression in anthers of Raphanus sativus with ogura male sterile cytoplasm. Annals of Botany **102**, 483-489. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1021/jf010945f

Ye F, Xui L, Yi J, Zhang W, Zhang DY. 2002. Anticancer activity of Scutellaria baicalensis and its potential mechanism. Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine **8**, 567-572.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1089/107555302320825075.

Zheljazkov VD, Cantrell CL, Ebelhar Mw,Coker C,Evans WB. 2007. Quality Assessment and Yield of Baikal Skullcap (Scutellaria baicalensis) Grown at Multiple Locations. HortScience **42**, 1183-1187.

Zhou L, Wang Y, Peng Z. 2011. Molecular characterization and expression analysis of chalcone synthase gene during flower development in tree peony (paeonia suffruticosa). African Journal of Biotechnology 10, 1275-1284.

http://dx.doi.org/ 10.5897/AJB10.599