

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

The effects of micronutrients foliar application on some biochemical and physiological characteristics of two wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) cultivars under water deficit conditions

K. Shamsi^{1*}, J. Daneshian², G. Noor Mohammadi³, S.A.R.Valadabadi¹, S.Saifzadeh¹

'Department of Agronomy,College of Agriculture,Takestan Branch,Islamic Azad University,Takestan, Iran ²Seed and Plant Improvement Institute,Karaj, Iran ³Department of Agronomy,Science and Research Branch,Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

Article published on October 12, 2013

Key words: Wheat, relative water content, micronutrients foliar application, water deficit stress, antioxidant enzymes activity.

Abstract

Two field experiments were carried out in 2011-2012 on research farm of Islamic Azad University of Kermanshah and Mahidasht Agricultural Research Center to study the effects of foliar application of micronutrients (Mn, Zn and Mn + Zn) on some biochemical and physiological characteristics of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)on different conditions of moisture. The experiments were conducted based on split factorial in randomized complete block with four replications. Three drought stress(I1= full irrigation,I2= with holding irrigation at the start of an thesis stage through the ripening,I3= with holding irrigation at the start of grain filling stage through the ripening) were randomized to the main plot units and 8 treatments from combination levels of two cultivars (C1=Bahar and C2=Pishtaz) and four foliar applications(F0=foliar application of water,F1=foliar application of Zinc(5000 ppm),F2=foliar application of manganese (5000 ppm) and F3= foliar application of Zinc and manganese (5000 ppm) were randomized to the sub plot units. The results showed that with increase severity of drought stress, Relative water content and cell membrane stability was decreased. With micronutrients foliar application, RWC and CMS increased on Pishtaz cultivar relative to Bahar cultivar. with increase severity of drought stress, activity of antioxidant enzymes (SOD and CAT) increased and foliar application Zn+Mn had higher significant effect on activity of antioxidant enzymes (SOD and CAT). Significant and positive correlation was found between grain yield and RWC.

*Corresponding Author: K.Shamsi 🖂 shams2_k@yahoo.com

J. Bio. & Env. Sci. 2013

Introduction

In arid and semi- arid regions of the world, including Iran, drought stress is one of the most important factors that decrease agricultural crop production. Flowering and grain- filling stages are identified as among the most critical stages of wheat growth and development to drought stress, during which wheat exhibits the highest sensitivity to water deficit. Haydon and Cobbett.,(2007) reported that Heavy metals, such as Fe, Cu, Mn and Zn, function as micronutrients and are indispensable for plant growth. Broadley et al.,(2007) found that deficiencies of these heavy metals cause severe growth reduction. Several metabolic processes, such nutrient uptake, cellular respiration, as photosynthesis, and photolysis of water, may be affected by the presence of microelements like Zn, Cu, Fe, Mn and Mo (Sikora and Cieslik,1999).Soils of Iran are deficient in organic matter. Similarly, most of micronutrients, for example Zn and Mn are readily fixed in soil having alkaline pH. Plant roots are unable to absorb these nutrients adequately from dry topsoil (Foth and Ellis,1996).Foliar application of different micronutrients has been stated to be equally or more effective as soil application by different researchers (Torun et al.,2001). Activity of antioxidant enzymes increases in plant cells as a response to environmental stresses. Baby and Jini, (2011) and Rahimizadeh et al.,(2007) emphasized that environmental stresses can result in the production of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), including OH⁻, H₂O₂ and O⁻₂, these ROS adversely affect crops yield and quality(Baby and Jini,2011; Rahimizadeh et al., 2007). ROS are highly reactive and can alert normal cellular metabolism through oxidative damage to nucleic acids, proteins and membranes; they also cause protein denaturation, lipid peroxidation, and DNA mutation(Baby and Jini,2011). To prevent damage to cellular components by ROS, plants have developed a complex antioxidant system. Baby and Jini,(2011) reported that the primary components of this system include carotenoids, ascorbate, glutathione and tocopherols, in addition to enzymes such as

glutathione peroxidase (GPX) , superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidases and the enzymes involved in ascorbate-glutathion cycle such as glutathione reductase (GR) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) (Baby and Jini,2011). Rahimizadeh et al.,(2007) stated that these enzymes have key role in the defense against oxidative stress. Bailly et al., (2000) stated that in sunflower, the content of SOD and CAT in seeds will increase under drought stress condition. Also, Cakmak (2000) found that Zn deficiency stress may inhibit the activities of a number of antioxidant enzyme. Similarly, Rahmati et al., (2004) reported that the activity of SOD and CAT in excess Mn treated cells increased compared with control treatment. Castro et al., (2006) found that RWC is between 80.4 and 91.7 in non-stress conditions (full irrigation) in sunflower, while it is between 59.5 and 80.7 in the drought stress conditions. On the other hand, Talebi et al.,(2013) stated that Droughttolerant chickpea cultivars have higher RWC in comparison to drought-susceptible cultivars. In addition, Majlesy et al., (2012) stated that in forage Maize, Interaction of drought stress, potassium and non-application of micronutrients increased RWC 8% as compare with foliar application of micronutrients. It can be concluded that in drought stress, potassium application with micronutrients caused by the improvement of growth indeces. Pour mousavi et al., (2007) reported that percentage of cell membrane stability affected by drought stress in flowering and ripening stages, as far as it increased by increasing drought stress intensity, however Covarrubias et al., (1995) in their studies stated different results. Brown et al. (2004) stated that cell membrane stability and permeability of the marine invertebrate Patella vulgata are affected by copper, leading to changes in the physiological processes. Generally. researchers have indicated that micronutrients foliar application could compensate the impact of drought stress on plants(Ganji Arjenaki et al., 2012; Hasheminasab et al., 2012; Waraich et al., 2011) but it is not clear exactly, that elements application have the most impact on

which of biochemical and physiological characteristics of plants, For this purpose, the objective of this research was to find out the effects of water deficit stress and micronutrients foliar application on some biochemical and physiological characteristics of two cultivars of wheat on west of Iran.

Materials and methods

Treatments and experimental design

This research was conducted in 2011- 2012 in research farm of Islamic Azad University of Kermanshah(47°,20'E; 34°,20'N), 1351 m elevated from sea level and in Mahidasht Agricultural Research Center (46°,50'E; 34°,16'N), 1380 m elevated from sea level.

The layout of the experiments was a split-factorial with organized treatments following a randomized complete block design, with four replications. Three stress treatments (I1=Full irrigation;I₂= with holding irrigation at the start of an thesis stage

through the ripening;I₃= with holding irrigation at the start of grain filling stage through the ripening) were randomized to the main plot units. Subplot units consisted of 8 treatments from combination of two cultivars (C1=Pishtaz and C2=Bahar) and four foliar application (F0=foliar application of water;F1=foliar application of zinc(5000 ppm); F2=foliar application of manganese(5000 ppm) and F3= foliar application of Zinc and manganese (5000 ppm)).

Climatological classification

Based on Dumarten's climate classification method, climate of both stations is cold semi-arid (Table 1.).

| Mahidasht Agricultural Research Center (Mahidasht Soil Fertility Research Station) | | | | | Research farm of Islamic Azad University of Kermanshah | | | |
|---|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Month | Precipitation | | | | | Minimum | Maximum | Average |
| month | (mm) | temperature | Temperature | temperature | (mm) | temperature | Temperature | temperature |
| | () | (C°) | (C°) | (C°) | () | (C°) | (C°) | (C°) |
| Oct. | 0 | 5.8 | 28.1 | 16.9 | 0 | 8.8 | 28.2 | 18.7 |
| Nov. | 115.9 | 0.9 | 16.5 | 8.7 | 131 | 4.4 | 17.3 | 10.6 |
| Dec. | 0.5 | -9.6 | 7.12 | 2.9 | 0.8 | -4.2 | 12.6 | 3.1 |
| Jan. | 4.9 | -6.8 | 11.1 | 2.2 | 10.4 | -2.6 | 11.5 | 4.4 |
| Feb. | 76.7 | -5.5 | 8.5 | 1.5 | 68.2 | -2.5 | 9.3 | 3 |
| Mar. | <u>23.3</u> | - 4.7 | 9.9 | 2.6 | 34.3 | -1.8 | 11 | 4.4 |
| Apr. | 24.4 | 3.5 | 20.5 | 13.3 | 45.7 | 5 | 19.5 | 11.8 |
| May. | 15.6 | 6.7 | 26.7 | 17 | 17.9 | 9.4 | 27.3 | 18.4 |
| Jun. | 0 | 10.5 | 32.8 | 22.5 | 0 | 14.3 | 33.6 | 24.9 |
| Jul. | 0 | 13.3 | 37.5 | 27.5 | 0 | 17.3 | 37 | 28.1 |
| Aug. | 0 | 13.8 | 38.1 | 27.4 | 0 | 19.1 | 39 | 29.8 |
| Sep. | 0 | 10.5 | 34.9 | 23.2 | 0 | 14.6 | 35.7 | 25.9 |

| Table1.Changes temperature | and precipitation | in two | regions, | during | the | (2011 | to | 2012) | farming year. | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------|----------|--------|-----|-------|----|-------|---------------|--|
|----------------------------|-------------------|--------|----------|--------|-----|-------|----|-------|---------------|--|

Characteristics of the soil of experiment

Soil type of Islamic Azad University of Kermanshah at test site was loamy – clay with EC= 3.5 ds.m^{-2} and pH=7.5, Mahidasht test site had loamy – clay texture with EC= 3.15 ds.m^{-2} and pH=7.4.

Preparation and planting

Planting date was second weeks of November, 2011. Based on soil analysis, required fertilizers were used as follows: 200 kg urea per ha that one third prior to planting and two third were used as top dressing at tilling and stem elongation stages. Each plot consisted of 6 rows 20 cm apart, and 5 m long, 1 and 4 m distances were kept between test plots and blocks, respectively. Density was taken at 400 seeds per square meter. The first irrigation was applied immediately after seed sowing.

Grain yield

At the maturity stage, plants from 2th and 3th rows, 3 m long, were harvested from each plot center and grain yield, were measured.

Relative water content

Relative water content was determined according to Ardakani and Nadvar,(2010).

Cell membrance stability

Cell membrance stability was determined according to Khavarinejad and Nagafi,(2000).

Antioxidant enzymes activity

Superoxide dismutase and catalase were determined according to Giannopolities and Ries,(1977) and Chance and Maehly,(1955),respectively.

Statistical analysis

MSTATC and SPSS software's were used for statistical analysis. Combined variance analysis was performed after Bartlet test for checking uniformity of data variance (p=0.05) on targeted traits. Duncan multiple range tests were used to determine the significance of differences between treatment means at 0.05 levels. Table1. shows the changes temperature and precipitation in two regions, during the (2011 to 2012) farming year.

Results and discussion

The results of biochemical and physiological characteristics variations of wheat cultivars to water deficit stress and foliar application (Mn and Zn) are presented in table 2. Table3. and table 4.

Table2. Mean comparisons of interaction effects of water deficit stress and foliar application on two wheat cultivars at two regions of experiment.

| | Experir | nental treatments | RWC | CML | SOD | CAT |
|---------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------|------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | | (%) | (µmohs.cm- | (unit mg-1 frwt) | (unit mg-1 |
| | | | | 1) | | frwt) |
| | Bahar | Foliar application of water | 75.50d | 1439a | 8.42a | 4.22a |
| | (C1) | (Fo) | 85.38a | 1409c | 6.76b | 3.40c |
| | | Foliar application of Zn (F1) | 78.38c | 1430b | 6.52b | 3.70b |
| | | Foliar application of Mn (F2) | 82.38b | 1416bc | 6.45b | 3.19d |
| | | Foliar application of Zn +Mn (F3) | | | | |
| | Pishtaz | Foliar application of water | 75.90d | 1417a | 13.76a | 4.67a |
| | (C2) | (Fo) | 89.38a | 1385c | 12.66bc | 3.62b |
| | | Foliar application of Zn (F1) | 83.38c | 1407b | 13.22b | 4 . 22a |
| | | Foliar application of Mn (F2) | 86.38b | 1396bc | 12.31c | 3.31d |
| | | Foliar application of Zn +Mn (F3) | | | | |
| | Bahar | Foliar application of water | 58.50c | 1808a | 13.57d | 4.73d |
| • | (C1) | (Fo) | 64.50a | 1767d | 14.49c | 5.30c |
| <u>[</u>] | | Foliar application of Zn (F1) | 59.50bc | 1793b | 14.84b | 5.72b |
| Ĩ | | Foliar application of Mn (F2) | 62.50ab | 1779c | 15.32a | 6.32a |
| e ripeı | | Foliar application of Zn +Mn (F3) | | | | |
| ugh th | Pishtaz | Foliar application of water | 61.13c | 1754a | 15 . 37c | 4.85d |
| hro | (C2) | (Fo) | 68.50a | 1736b | 15.66c | 5.39c |
| ge t | | Foliar application of Zn (F1) | 64.50bc | 1748a | 16.24b | 5.75b |
| sta | | Foliar application of Mn (F2) | 66.50ab | 1746a | 17.26a | 6.41a |
| thesis stage through the ripening(12) | | Foliar application of Zn +Mn (F3) | | | | |

J. Bio. & Env. Sci. 2013

| Bahar | Foliar application of water | 66.50c | 1629a | 10.37c | 4.36d |
|---------|--|---------|-------|--------|-------|
| (C1) | (Fo) | 73.50a | 1605c | 10.69c | 4.62c |
| | Foliar application of Zn (F1) | 69.50bc | 1615b | 11.42b | 4.94b |
| | Foliar application of Mn (F2) | 71.50ab | 1603c | 12.67a | 5.28a |
| | Foliar application of Zn +Mn (F3) | | | | |
| Pishtaz | Foliar application of water | 68.50bc | 1646a | 11.46d | 4.10d |
| (C2) | (Fo) | 78.50a | 1609d | 12.20c | 4.65b |
| | Foliar application of Zn (F1) | 71.50c | 1636b | 13.10b | 5.02a |
| | Foliar application of Mn (F2) Foliar application of Zn +Mn (F3) | 74.50b | 1623c | 13.73a | 4.430 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

are not significantly different at p=0.05 RWC:

Relative water content ;CML: Cell membranes leakage; SOD: Superoxide dismutase CAT; Catalase.

Table 3. Mean comparisons of main effects on relative water content, cell membranes stability and activity antioxidant enzymes(SOD and CAT) at two regions of experiment.

| Traits | RWC | CML | SOD | CAT | |
|--------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|--|
| | (%) | (µmohs.cm ⁻¹) | (unit mg ⁻¹ frwt) | (unit mg-1 frwt) | |
| I1 | 82.53a | 1412c | 10.01c | 3.79c | |
| I2 | 63.20c | 1766a | 15.35a | 5.56a | |
| I3 | 71.75b | 1621b | 11.95b | 4.67b | |
| Cı | 70.64b | 1607b | 10.96b | 4.65a | |
| C2 | 74 . 35a | 1591a | 13.92a | 4 . 70a | |
| Fo | 68.27d | 1615a | 13.53a | 5.22a | |
| F1 | 76.63a | 1585d | 12.08c | 4.49c | |
| F2 | 71.13c | 1605b | 12.56b | 4.89b | |
| F3 | 73.96b | 1594c | 11.59d | 4.09d | |

Within column, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p=0.05. I1,I2 and I3 ; Full irrigation; withholding irrigation at the start of an thesis stage through the ripening and withholding irrigation at the start of grain filling stage through the ripening. ;C1, and C2: Pishtaz and Bahar cultivars . Fo,F1,F2 and F3;foliar application of water; foliar application of zinc(5000 ppm) ; foliar application of manganese(5000 ppm) and foliar application of Zinc and manganese (5000 ppm).

Table 4. Correlation coefficient between studied traits.

| Traits | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---|
| 1.RWC | 1 | | | | |
| 2.CML | -0.917** | 1 | | | |
| 3.SOD | -0.500** | 0.663** | 1 | | |
| 4.CAT | -0.658** | 0.791** | 0.778** | 1 | |
| 5.GY | 0.890** | -0.836** | -0.427** | -0.647* | 1 |

* and **: significant at 5 and 1% levels of probability, respectively. RWC:Relative water Content ; CML:Cell membrance leakage ; SOD:Superoxide dismutase ; CAT:Catalase ; GY:Grain yield.

Relative water content

At I1,I2 and I3 levels(Full irrigation, with holding irrigation at the start of an thesis stage, with holding irrigation at the start of grain filling stage) and in C1cultivar (Bahar) and C2 cultivar (Pishtaz),F1(Foliar application of zinc) significantly increased RWC compared to Fo(Foliar application of water).Generally micronutrients foliar application increased RWC relative to Fo. I2 significantly decreased RWC compared to other stress levels infact with increase rate drought stress (from I3 to I2), Relative water content was decreased. Alizade,(2002) reported that Leaf RWC is of the best growth/biochemical indices revealing the stress intensity. C2 cultivar (Pishtaz) had the Maximum RWC relative to C1 cultivar(Bahar) and C2 cultivar (Pishtaz) is resistance to terminal season drought stress conditions . Ganji Arjenaki et al.,(2012) stated that rate of RWC in plant with high resistance against drought is higher than others. Also these results correspond to those of (Hemantranjan, 1996; Singh and Patel, 1996).

Cell membranes stability

At I1, I2 and I3 and in C1 and C2 cultivars, F1 significantly increased cell membranes stability compared to F0. The highest and lowest Cell membranes stability pertained to the F1 and F3 relative to F0, respectively.I2 significantly decreased Cell membranes stability compared to other stress levels. C2 cultivar had the Maximum Cell membranes stability. Ingram and Bartels, (1996);Cakmak *et al.*,(1999) and Waraich *et al.*,(2011) reports are in agreement with findings of this research.

Antioxidant enzyme activity of superoxide dismutase

At I1 and in C1 and C2 cultivars,F1and F2 significantly increased SOD activity compared to F0,respectively. At I2 and I3 and in C1 and C2 cultivars, F3 significantly increased SOD activity to F0, respectively. F0liar application levels significantly increased SOD activity. The highest

and lowest SOD activity pertained to the F3 and F1 relative to Fo, respectively. Waraich et al, (2011) reported that Zn application reduces the activity of membrane-bound NADPH oxidase which in turn decreases the generation of ROS and reduces photooxidation damage while the activities of SOD, POD, and CAT are enhanced indicating that Zn lowers the ROS generation and protect cells against ROS attack under water stress. The maximum activity of SOD found in I2 and the minimum activity of the enzyme found in I1. SOD activity also increased, with increasing severity of drought (Hasheminasab et al.,2012).C2 cultivar had the Maximum SOD activity. Hasheminasab et al., (2012) Stated that drought tolerante genotypes of wheat had a highest SOD activity under drought condition. In this case, In many experiments tolerant cultivars had higher antioxidant activity (Abdelsamad et al., 2007; Selote and Khanna-Chopra,2004).

Antioxidant enzyme activity of catalase

At I1, I2 and I3 and in C1 cultivar, F2, F3 and F2 significantly increased CAT activity compared to Fo, respectively. At I1, I2 and I3 and in C2 cultivar, F1, F3 and F3 significantly increased CAT activity compared to Fo, respectively. I2 and I1 had the highest and the lowest CAT enzyme activity, respectively. There were no significant differences between C1 and C2 cultivars, but different foliar application levels had significant difference on this trait. The highest and lowest CAT activity pertained to the F3 and F1 relative to F0, respectively. Results of this research are in agreement with findings of bottom researchers: Luna et al., (2004) stated that total leaf CAT activity was significantly increased only in response to severe drought. But Jiang and Huang,(2001) reported that increased, decreased or unchanged CAT activities under drought stress have been observed. The exact cause of increased activity of CAT is not known, but the increased CAT activity as a hydrogen peroxide scavenging enzyme may indicate the enhanced rate of hydrogen peroxide formation (Jiang and Huang, 2001).Waraich et al,(2011) found that application of some micro- nutrients like Zn, Si and Mg increase antioxidants concentration and improves drought tolerance in plants. On the other hand ,results of this research is parallel with results of other works reporting the increased CAT activity in response to drought stress in barley (Salekjalali *et al.*,2012) ,wheat (Ahmadizadeh *et al.*,2011)and maize(Ahmadi *et al.*,2010).

Correlation between traits of wheat

Significant and positive correlation was found between grain yield and RWC, and significant and negative correlation was found between grain yield and cell membrane leakage and activity of antioxidant enzymes (SOD and CAT). Lascano *et al.*,(2001) did not find a clear correlation between drought stress tolerance and antioxidant system activity.

Conclusions

Results of this study showed that under drought stress condition, activity of antioxidant enzymes (superoxide dismutase, catalase) increased. The plant to cope with drought stress, activity of antioxidant enzymes (SOD and CAT) increased; it was a defense mechanism that reduced grain yield on wheat. With increase severity of drought stress, Relative water content and cell membrane stability were decreased. With micronutrients of foliar application, RWC and CMS increased on Pishtaz cultivar relative to Bahar cultivar. Foliar application of micronutrients (manganese + zinc) also increased enzymes activity and foliar application of zinc increased relative water content and cell membranes stability.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the Islamic Azad University for supporting projects. This research was supported by Islamic Azad University, Takestan Branch, Takestan, Iran.

References

Abdelsamad A, El-Sayed OE, Ibrahim HF. 2007.Development of Drought Tolerant Double Haploid Wheat Using Biochemical Genetic Markers on In vitro Culture. Journal of Applied Sciences Research **3(11)**, 1589-1599.

Ahmadi A, Emam Y,Pessarakli M.2010.Biochemical changes in maize seedling exposed to drought stress conditions at different nitrogen levels. Journal of Plant Nutrition **33**, 541-556.

Ahmadizadeh M, Valizadeh M, Zaefizadeh M, Shahbazi H.2011. Antioxidative protection and electrolyte leakage in durum wheat under drought stress condition. Journal of Applied Sciences Research **7(3)**, 236-246.

Alizade A. 2002. SOIL, Water and Plants Relationship. 3rd Edn, Emam Reza University Press, Mashhad, Iran, ISBN: 964-6582-21-4. (In Persian).

Ardakani MR, Nadvar R.2010. Principles and techniques for plant and scientist. Tehran University, Tehran, Iran, 502 pp. (In Persian).

Baby J, Jini D. 2011.Development of salt stresstolerant plants by gene manipulation of antioxidant quantitative and qualities characterization of enzymes.Asian Journal of Agricultural Research **5**,17-27.

Bailly C,Benamar A, Corbineau F, Come D. 2000. Anti-oxidant system in sunflower seeds as affected by priming. Seed Science Research **10 (2)**, 35-42.

Broadley M,White R, Hammond PJ, Zelko JP, Lux I . 2007. Zinc in plants. The New Phytologist **173**, 677-702. **Brown RJ, Galloway TS, Lowe D, Browne MA, Dissanayake A, Jones MB, Depledge MH**.2004. Differential sensitivity of three marine invertebrates to copper assessed using multiple biomarkers. Aquatic Toxicology **66**, 267–278.

Cakmak I, Kalayci M, Ekis H,Brauni J, Kilinc Y, Yilmaz A.1999. Zn deficiency as a practical problem in Plant and human nutrition in Turkey: a NATO-science for stability project. Field Crop Research **60**, 175-188.

Castro CDE, Moreira AR, Oliveira F, Dechen AR. 2006. Boron and water stress on yield of sunflower. Ciência Agrotecnologia **30(20)**, 214-220.

Chance B, Maehly AC.1955.Assay of catalase and peroxidase.Methods in Enzymology **2**,764-775.

Covarrubias AA, Ayala JW, Reyes JL, Hernandez M, Garciarrubio A .1995. Cell wall proteins induced by water deficit in bean (*Phaseoulus vulgaris* L.) seedling. Plant Physiology **107**, 1119-1128.

Foth HD, Ellis BG.1996. Soil fertility. 2nd Ed. Lewis Pub.New York.

Ganji Arjenaki F, Jabbari R , Morshedi A . 2012. Evaluation of Drought Stress on Relative Water Content, Chlorophyll Content and Mineral Elements of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) varieties. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences **4(11)**:726-729.

Giannopolities CN, Ries SK.1977.Superoxide dismutases: I. Occurrence in higher plants. Plant Physiology **59**, 309–314.

Hasheminasab H, Assad MT, Aliakbari A, Sahhafi SR.2012. Influence of Drought Stress on Oxidative Damage and Antioxidant Defense Systems in Tolerant and Susceptible Wheat Genotypes. Journal of Agricultural Science **4(8)**,20-30.DOI: 10.5539/jas.v4n8p20.

Hemantaranjan A.1996.Physiology and biochemical significance of zinc in plants. In : Advancement in Micronutrient Research , Ed: Hemantaranjan , A. Scientific publishers , Joudhpur Rajasthan India.151-178.

Haydon MJ, Cobbett CS. 2007. Transporters of ligands for essential metal ions in plants. New Phytologist **174**, 499-506.

Ingram J, Bartels D.1996.The molecular basis of dehydration tolerance in plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **47**, 377-403.

Jiang Y,Huang B.2001.Drought and heat stress injury of two cool-season turfgrasses in relation to antioxidant metabolism and lipid peroxidation. Crop Science **41**, 436–442.

Khavarinejad R,Nagafi F.2000. Applicatory plant physiology. Tarbiat Moallem University, Tehran,Iran, 342pp. (In Persian).

Lascano HR, Antonicelli GE,Luna CM,Melchiorre MN, Gomez LD,Racca RW, Trippi VS, Casano LM. 2005. Antioxidant system response of different wheat cultivars under drought. field and in vitro studies .Australian Journal of Plant Physiology (28)11,1095-1102.

Luna CM, Pastori GM,Driscoll S,Groten K, Bernard S, Foyer CH.2004. Drought controls on H₂O₂ accumulation, catalase (CAT) activity and *CAT* gene expression in wheat.Journal of Experimental Botany **56**, 417–423.

MajlesyA,JaliliF,ValizadeghanE,GolinezhadE.2012.Effectofdroughtstress,potassiumandmicronutrientsongrowth

indices of forage maize.Journal of Research in Crop Sciences. **4(14)**, 55-65. (In Persian).

Pour Mousavi SM, Galavi M, Daneshian J, Ghanbari A, Basirani N .2007. Effects of drought stress and manure on leaf relative water content, cell membrane stability and leaf chlorophyll content in soybean (glycine max). Iranian Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources Journal **14**, 125-134.

Rahimizadeh M, Habibi D, Madani H, Noor Mohammadi G, Mehraban A, Sabet AM.2007.The effect of micronutrients on antioxidant enzymes metabolism in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) under drought stress. HELIA **30**, 167-174.

Rahmati M, Yazdani M, Ghanati F. 2004. Effect of excess amount of Mn on activation of certain enzymes of antioxidant system in suspension-cultured tea cells. The 2nd Congress on Applied Biology (International Approach). 29-30 Sep. 2004, Mashhad, Iran.

Salekjalali M,Haddad R, Jafari B.2012. Effects of soil water shortages on the activity of antioxidant enzymes and the contents of chlorophylls and proteins in barley. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science **12(1)**, 57-63.

Selote DS, Khanna-Chopra R. 2004 Droughtinduced spikelet sterility is associated with an inefficient antioxidant defiance in rice panicles.Physiologia Plantarum **121**, 462–471. DOI: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2004.00341.x

Sikora E, Cieslik E.1999. Correlation between the levels of nitrates and nitrites and the contents of iron, copper and manganese in potato tubers. Food Chemistry **67(3)**, 301-304.

Singh J ,Patel AL.1996.Water statues, gaseous exchange, proline accumulation and yield of wheat in response to water stress. Annuals of Biology Ludhiana **12,**77-81.

Talebi R, Ensafi MH, Baghebani N, Karami E, Mohammadi K.2013. Physiological responses of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) genotypes to drought stress. Environmental and Experimental Biology **11**, 9-15.

Torun A, Itekin IG, Kalayci M,Yilmaz A, Eker S, Cakmak I. 2001. Effects of zinc fertilization on grain yield and shoot concentrations of zinc, boron, and phosphorus of 25 wheat cultivars grown on a zinc-deficient and boron-toxic soil. Journal of Plant Nutrition **24(11)**, 1817-1829.

Waraich EA, Rashid A, Saifullah MY, Ehsanullah A.2011.Role of mineral nutrition in alleviation of drought stress in plants. Australian Journal of Crop Science **5(6)**,764-777.