RESEARCH PAPER

Composition, structure and diversity of tree species along an elevational gradient in Jammu province of north-western Himalayas, Jammu and Kashmir, India

Neeraj Sharma[1*], Anil K Raina[2]

[1]*Institute of Mountain Environment, Bhaderwah Campus, University of Jammu, India*

[2]*Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Jammu, Jammu, India*

Abstract

Community structure and composition are important factors affecting diversity patterns in plant communities. Pertinently, species diversity along altitudinal and latitudinal gradient differs in different layers at different scales. Thirteen community groups characterized by different dominants in the tree layer were distinguished. These include Himalayan subtropical scrub (580-850 m asl), Northern dry mixed deciduous forest (600-1100 m asl), Himalayan subtropical pine forest (780-1450 m asl), *Lyonia / Alnus / Rhododendron* forest (1250-1500 m asl), Mixed Oak forest (1300-2150 m asl), *Rhododendron* - Oak mixed forest (1600-2200 m asl), Pine / Oak mixed forest (1400-1750 m asl), Pure *Cedrus deodara* forest (1450-1700 m asl), *Taxus wallichiana* forest (2000-2580 masl), Deodar / blue pine mixed forest (1900-2600 m asl), Fir / Spruce mixed forest (2700-3250 m asl), pure *Betula* forest (3100-3500 m asl), and alpine scrub (above 3500 m asl). Distribution of importance values of dominants explicitly indicated a vertical pattern of these forest types. The sub-tropical and temperate elements of vegetation reveal predominance of closed canopy forests, wherein the sub-tropical tree species outnumber the temperate tree flora. The community analysis was performed using stratified random sampling involving 0.01 % of the total area for each community. The pattern of plant diversity as observed by the values of species richness and diversity indices show a decreasing trend from lower to higher altitudes. The study suggests that distribution and species richness are largely regulated by physiography (altitude, latitude, slope, aspect etc.) and climatic factors.

*****Corresponding Author:** Neeraj Sharma ✉ nirazsharma@gmail.com

Introduction

The patterns of biodiversity along altitudinal gradients are well-documented ecological phenomena. Community composition and structure are important factors affecting diversity patterns in plant communities. Furthermore, species diversity along altitudinal gradient differs in different layers at different scales. Understanding how biodiversity is organized across space and time has long been a central concern of ecologists and bio-geographers. There exists a gradient of diversity distributions across multiple spatial scales (Brown & Lomolino, 1998; Field *et al.*, 2009). Latitudinal and altitudinal gradients are the most conspicuous patterns of diversity. However altitudinal patterns of diversity are poorly understood (Sanchez-Gonzalez & Lopez-Mata 2005). Generally, species richness tends to decrease with altitude (Brun *et al.*, 2006). Altitude itself represents a complex combination of related climatic variables closely correlated with numerous other environmental properties i.e., soil texture, nutrients, substrate stability etc. (Ramsay and Oxley 1997). Within one altitude the co-factors like topography, aspect, inclination of slope and soil types further effect the forest composition (Holland and Steyn 1975). Austin *et al.*, (1996) have analyzed association between species, climate, slope protection and soil nutrient status. Along the altitudes the geographic and climatic conditions change sharply (Kharkwal *et al.*, 2005). Along an altitudinal gradient, the upper limit of species richness remains high up to a considerable altitudinal limit (2500 m asl) and tree richness increases from increasing moisture in Indian Himalayan region (Rikhari *et al.*, 1989). However several other explanations have been given for a linear relationship between species richness and altitude (Givnish 1999).

Studies specifically focusing on elevational gradients are very few for north western Himalayas. (Saxena *et al.*, 1985; Adhikari *et al.*, 1992; Sharma *et al.*, 2009; Majila and Kala 2010) described altitudinal variation in vegetation in western Himalayas and reported that vegetation types differ with change in altitude. A few studies specifically related to plant species diversity, quantitative analysis, species richness their population density and dispersion pattern are available for the Himalayan tract (Relhan *et al.*, 1982; Chowdhery and Wadhwa 1984; Singhal *et al.*, 1986; Nath *et al.*, 2000; Singh and Rawat 2000; Pande *et al.*, 2001 & 2002; Singh and Kaushal 2006; Dash *et al.*, 2009; Tynsong and Tiwari 2011and Shameem *et al.*, 2011). Several studies have described the vegetation of Jammu province. This includes the works of Sapru (1975), Kaul and Sarin (1976), Kapur (1982), Dhar and Kaul (1986), Kumar (1987), Singh and Kachroo (1994), Kumar (1997), Kour (2001), Singh (2002), Kesar (2002), Sharma (2003), Jhangir (2004), Dutt (2005), Kumar (2007) etc. No studies however have been reported so far from Jammu province regarding the altitudinal gradation of vegetation.

Some important contributions on vegetation analysis, phytosociology and community structure in certain Himalayan forest types are those by Ralhan *et al.,* 1982; Saxena & Singh 1982; Singh and Singh 1984; Singh *et al.,* 1987; Rao *et al.,* 1990; Sharma and Kumar 1992; Verghese and Menon 1998; Pande *et al.,* 2002; Ilorkar and Khatri 2003; Negi and Nautiyal 2005; Naithani *et al.,* 2006; Sanjeev *et al.,* 2006. Similar studies have been carried out by Kumar (1987), Kumar (1997), Kour (2001), Singh (2002), Kesar (2002), Sharma (2003), Jhangir (2004), Dutt (2005), Kumar (2007), Kumar (2007) in different regions of Jammu province. The present study aims to provide the baseline information on important ecological factors which influence the distribution of vegetation along different ecological and environmental gradients.

Materials and methods

### *Vegetation sampling and data analysis*

After the reconnaissance survey, thirteen forest types interspersed in different climatic and physiographic regimes have been identified and recorded. The forest types have been classified as per Champion

and Seth (1968) and named according to the composition of dominant trees species as per Ram Prakash (1986), viz., > 75 % as pure; 50-75% as mainly; 25-50% as mixed and < 25 % as miscellaneous. Physiographic factors like altitude slope and aspect across different cover types were measured by GPS 78s. A total of 750 plots each measuring 20 x 20 m were laid for quantitative analysis of tree vegetation. The plots were laid applying stratified random sampling with the objective to include at least 0.01 % of the total area and the quadrat area was determined by using species area curve.

Trees were considered to be individuals > 10 cm dbh (Knight, 1963). Total species richness was simply taken as a count of number of species present in the respective forest type. Species richness (number of species per unit area) was calculated as Margalef's Index (1968) using formula SR = S-1/ln (N) and Menhinik's index of richness (Whittaker, 1977) was calculated as Richness = S/$\sqrt{N}$, where, S = number of species and N = Total number of individuals (of all species in case of Menhinik's index). The diversity (H') was determined by using Shannon-Weiner information index (Shanon and Weaver 1963) as H'= - $\sum n_i/n$ log2 n_i/n ; where n_i was the IVI value of a species and n was the sum total IVI values of all species in that forest type. Simpson's diversity index (Simpson, 1949) was calculated as D: I-Cd, Where Cd = Simpson's concentration of dominance = $(\sum n_i/n)^2$.

Study area

The present investigations were carried out in variable vegetation strata from sub-tropical dry deciduous forests to dry alpine scrub along an altitudinal gradient involving Shiwaliks and Pir-Panjal range of Northwestern Himalayas. The main objectives of this paper are to describe the structural attributes i.e., density, species diversity and richness of tree flora; to identify predominating communities of trees and to reveal the influence of altitude on species richness. The study was conducted in 13 forest stands in Bani and Sarthal areas of district Kathua of Jammu Shiwaliks (32°36'38" N to 32°41' 00" N latitude and 75°48'38" to 75°48'38" E longitude) covering an area of 381 km² (Fig.1.). The tract can be attitudinally divided into subtropical (580 m asl to 1500 m asl), temperate (1500 m asl to 3500 m asl) and alpine (>3500 m asl) zones as reported by Saxena *et al.*, 1985. Mean annual maximum temperature ranges from 34.5 $\pm$ 4.30°C, whereas mean annual minimum temperature is recorded as 6.35 $\pm$ 2.50°C. Average annual rainfall ranges from 1450 mm at moderate altitudes (< 2000 m asl) and gradually declines to 800 mm beyond 2500 m asl. The study area is characterized by four major seasons: short spring (February - March); warm and dry summer (mid April to mid July); warm and wet monsoon (mid July to mid September) and relatively dry winter (mid October to February).

Fig. 1. Map of the study area

Results

Community structure and composition

The distinct gradation was observed for different vegetation layers along the altitudinal gradient (Table 1.). The lower altitudes (580 m asl - 1100 m asl) exhibited the prevalence of sub-tropical dry deciduous tree species with marked dominance of Chirpine community till 1450 m asl. The mid altitudes (1500 m asl - 2200 m asl) exhibited the preponderance of temperate broadleaved species. Most of the higher ranges (2000 m asl - 3250 m asl) are occupied by gregarious patches of temperate coniferous species like Kail, Deodar, Fir and Spruce. Population structure of encountered species revealed that *Pinus wallichaina* in the mid altitude and *Picea Smithiana* at higher ranges contained an expanding population occupying majority of space in study area. Ban Oak was found dominant among different broadleaved species and found in association with

conifers mostly *Pinus wallichiana* at an altitudinal range of 1300 m asl - 1750 m asl. The temperate coniferous stands were encountered on steeper slopes with rocky base.

The quantitative analysis revealed that each forest type except a few reveal a single representative species outweighing the other associates. The dominant temperate coniferous species found included *Pinus roxburghii* (Chirpine), *Pinus wallichiana* (Kail), *Cedrus deodara* (Deodar), *Abies pindrow* (Fir), *Picea smithiana* (Spruce), whereas the dominant broad leaved species included, *Quercus semicarpifolia* (Ban Oak), *Quercus leucotrichophora* (Kharsu Oak), *Betula utilis* (Birch) and *Lyonia ovalifolia*. The subtropical elements were predominated by *Pinus roxburghii*, *Acacia modesta* and *Lannea coromendelica* (Table 1. & Table 2.).

Table 1. The environmental variables across different altitudes.

S.no	Forest type	Altitude (in meters above mean sea level)	Climate	Aspect	Slope (degrees)	Nature of slope	Position
1)	Alpine scrub	Above 3500	Alpine	Mixed aspect	20	Steep	Upper
2)	Fir / Spruce mixed forest	2700-3250	Temperate	Northeast	33	Very steep	Upper
3)	Deodar / Blue Pine mixed forest	1900-2600		Southeast & northwest	30	Very steep	Upper
4)	*Taxus wallichiana* forest	2000-2580		Northeast	25	Steep	Upper
5)	Pure *Cedrus deodara* forest	1450-1700		Northeast	28	Steep	Middle
6)	Pine / Oak mixed forest	1400-1750		Northwest	30	Very steep	Middle
7)	*Pure Betula forest*	3100-3500		Northeast	22	Steep	Upper
8)	*Rhododendron Oak mixed forest*	1600-2200		Northeast & northwest	25	Steep	Middle
9)	*Mixed Oak forest*	1300-2150		Northwest	28	Steep	Middle
10)	*Lyonia / Alnus / Rhododendron forest*	1250-1500		Southwest	25	Steep	Middle
11)	Himalayan Subtropical Pine Forest	780 -1450	Sub-tropical	Northwest	17	Moderate	Lower
12)	Northern Dry Mixed Deciduous Forest	600-1100		South	16	Moderate	Lower
13)	Himalayan Subtropical Scrub	580-850		South east	14	Gentle	Lower

Table 2. Vegetation analysis of tree species in different forest types.

Forest type	Climate	SR	Dominant tree species	IVI	Density (tree / ha)	Mean values	
						Basal area (m²/ha)	Tree height
Alpine scrub	Alpine	2	*Rhododendron campanulatum*	288.53	150	32.41	2.32
Fir / Spruce mixed forest	Temperate	5	*Picea smithiana*	173.01	550	58.55	15.81
Deodar / Blue pine mixed forest		6	*Pinus wallichiana*	188.65	625	52.23	21.63
Taxus wallichiana forest		5	*Taxus wallichiana*	266.43	425	41.56	8.71
Pure *Cedrus deodara* forest		6	*Cedrus deodara*	213.54	675	72.80	23.35
Pine / Oak mixed forest		8	*Quercus semicarpifolia*	133.67	500	56.34	21.56
Pure Betula forest		3	*Betula utilis*	121.24	350	52.13	11.24
Rhododendron Oak mixed forest		9	*Quercus semicarpifolia*	123.23	550	62.34	24.34
Mixed Oak forest		10	*Quercus leucotrichophora*	149.82	425	58.78	23.76
Lyonia / Alnus / Rhododendron forest		8	*Lyonia ovalifolia*	147.09	450	43.12	11.33
Himalayan Subtropical Pine Forest	Sub-tropical	14	*Pinus roxburghii*	143.33	625	50.73	12.49
Northern Dry Mixed Deciduous Forest		28	*Acacia modesta*	98.17	575	65.43	8.34
Himalayan Subtropical Scrub		23	*Lannea coromendelica*	103.44	475	43.90	8.12

Vegetation analysis shows that highest Importance Value Index was exhibited by *Rhododendron campanulatum* (288.53; 96.17%) for alpine scrub. Amongst the temperate forests, the highest IVI values were exhibited by *Taxus wallichiana* (266.43; 88.81%), *Cedrus deodara* (213.54; 71.18%), *Pinus wallichiana* (188.65; 62.88%) and *Picea smithiana* (173.01; 57.67%). Among the temperate broadleaved forests, *Quercus leucotrichophora* (149.82; 49.94%) emerged as the dominant tree species followed by *Lyonia ovalifolia* (147.09; 49.03%). *Quercus semicarpifolia* (133.67; 44.55%) and *Betula utilis* (121.24; 40.41%) revealed the least IVI values in their respective forest stands (Table 2.).

Maximum density was recorded for *Cedrus deodara* (675 trees/ha) followed by *Pinus roxburghii* and *Pinus wallichaina* (625 trees/ha), *Acacia modesta* (575 trees/ha). Maximum basal area was measured

for *Cedrus deodara* (72.80 m²/ha) followed by *Acacia modesta* (65.43 m²/ha), *Quercus semicarpifolia* (62.34 m²/ha) and *Quercus leucotrichophora* (58.78 m²/ha) (Table 2.).

Species richness and diversity parameters
The highest level of diversity and species richness was recorded for subtropical forests, followed by temperate broadleaved and coniferous forests respectively (Table 3.). Maximum value of species richness and Margalef's index was recorded for Northern dry mixed deciduous forests (28 and 7.342) followed by Himalayan sub-tropical scrub (23 and 5.879), Himalayan sub-tropical Pine forests (14 and 4.945), Mixed oak forest (10 and 3.184), *Rhododendron*-Oak mixed forest (9 and 1.3420) and the least values were depicted by Alpine scrub (2 and 0.613). However, steady levels of species richness (8-9) and (5-6) were recorded for the temperate

forest communities at an altitudinal range of 1250 m asl to 2200 m asl and 1450 m asl to 3250 m asl respectively. The Margalef's index (1.021 - 1.980) also did not vary considerably in the given altitudinal range. Above 3100 m asl, both the parameters decreased abruptly with the species richness dipping to 2-3 and Margalef's index valuing 0.525 to 0.613.

Menhinik's index was recorded with a maximum value of 1.779 for Northern dry mixed deciduous forests followed by Himalayan sub-tropical scrub (1.098), *Lyonia / Alnus / Rhododendron* forest (1.078), Pine Oak (1.023), Pure *Cedrus deodara* (0.986), *Rhododendron* - Oak mixed forest (0.694). Minimum value of 0.240 was observed at highest elevation for alpine scrub above 3500 m asl.

Table 3. Total species richness and diversity parameters of tree species along altitudinal gradient.

Forest type	Climate	Altitude (in meters above mean sea level)	SR	MI	MeI	H'	D
Alpine scrub	Alpine	Above 3500	2	0.613	0.240	0.950	0.710
Pure Betula forest	Temperate	3100-3500	3	0.525	0.342	0.183	0.797
Fir / Spruce mixed forest		2700-3250	5	1.021	0.456	1.213	0.810
Deodar / blue pine mixed forest		1900-2600	6	1.980	0.675	2.337	0.835
Taxus wallichiana forest		2000-2580	5	1.654	0.544	2.590	0.753
Pure *Cedrus deodara* forest		1450-1700	6	1.724	0.986	1.801	0.834
Pine / Oak mixed forest		1400-1750	8	1.876	1.023	2.058	0.874
Rhododendron Oak mixed forest		1600-2200	9	1.342	0.694	2.023	0.885
Mixed Oak forest		1300-2150	10	3.184	0.905	2.922	0.866
Lyonia / Alnus / Rhododendron forest		1250-1500	8	3.132	1.078	2.564	0.798
Himalayan Subtropical Pine Forest	Sub-tropical	780 -1450	14	4.945	0.675	2.878	0.876
Northern Dry Mixed Deciduous Forest		600-1100	28	7.342	1.779	4.348	0.988
Himalayan Subtropical Scrub		580-850	23	5.879	1.098	3.793	0.882

Where **SR**: Species Richness; **MI**: Margalef's Index; **MeI**: Menhinik's index; **H':** Shannon-Weiner's index, and **D**: Simpson's diversity index.

Maximum species diversity was observed for sub-tropical forests at an altitudinal range of 580-1450 m asl, wherein maximum value of Shannon Wieners' index was recorded for Northern dry mixed deciduous forests (4.348) followed by Himalayan sub-tropical scrub (3.793) and Himalayan subtropical pine forests (2.878). The uniform pattern of species diversity was encountered in the latitudinal range of 1500 m asl - 2600 m asl with Shanon Wieners' index varying between 2.023 to 2.992 except for a dip (1.801) in case of pure *Cedrus deodara* forests at an altitudinal range of 1450 - 1700 m asl. However the species diversity (1.213 to 0.950) falls exponentially with the increase in elevation beyond 2700 m asl. The maximum value for Simpson's diversity was recorded for Northern dry mixed deciduous forests (0.988). However the values of Simpson's diversity indicated a uniform pattern (0.876 – 0.885) at an altitude of 1450 m asl to 2600 m asl, whereas a small dip in the values was observed beyond 2600 meters till 3500 m asl from wherein the values further fell (0.835 to 0.797) with the minimum recording of 0.410 for alpine scrub at an elevation beyond 3500 m asl.

Discussion

The study reveals that tree species found in this part of Himalayas exhibit varying patterns of distribution along different altitudinal and climatic gradients. Distributional ranges of several species were segregated along the widened altitudinal ranges (Kharakwal *et al.*, 2005). Pausas and Austin (2001) also suggested that over any large region the distribution of species richness is likely to be governed by two or more environmental factors and not by a single factor. The data reveals that maximum density, basal area and average height are exhibited by conifer species. This is attributed to their gregariousness and high girth. Moderately low values of basal area and tree height as exhibited by Himalayan subtropical scrub, *Lyonia / Alnus / Rhododendron* forest, *Betula* forest, *Taxus wallichiana* forest are attributed to heavy lopping of multipurpose tree species for fuel, food, fodder and medicines. It is well observed that mixed broadleaved coniferous forests are under high biotic pressure to meet the daily requirements of local inhabitants resulting poor and stunted growth. The variation in quantitative parameters, species richness as well as composition between different communities is also due to elevation, bioclimatic and edaphic factors. All the above information is in parallel with the findings of various ecologists for moist Himalayan temperate forests (Relhan *et al.,* 1982; Saxena and Singh, 1982; Singhal *et al.*, 1986, Kadavul and Parthasarthy, 1999; Rawat, 2001; Pande *et al.*, 2002; Singh and Kaushal, 2006; Sharma *et al.*, 2009).

Shanon Weiner's index values ranging from 0.950 to 2.922 is in accordance with the values reported for other temperate forests (Monk, 1967; Risser and Rice 1971; Relhan *et al.*, 1982; Pande *et al.,* 2001; Rawat, 2001, Pande *et al.,* 2002, Singh and Kaushal 2006; Sharma *et al.*, 2009). Low diversity in some areas could be due to the lower rate of diversification of communities (Fisher, 1960) and severity in the environment (Connel and Oris, 1964). It can be observed that diversity and density, both decreases with the increasing basal area in the localities, which are dominated by conifers. Similar trend has been observed by Hara *et al.,*(1997) in Taiwan; Kadavul and Parthasarthy (1999), Chauhan *et al.,* (2001) and Singh and Kaushal (2006) in India. The diversity indices reveal that northern aspects present more favorable opportunities for growth of tree species, which has also been reported by Rawat and Pant in the year 1999. The present study revealed that maximum species richness is encountered at lower elevations, compared by higher elevations is in consonance with the findings of Kumar and Ram (2005) and Sharma (2009). Burns (1995) and Austin *et al.,* (1996) have found that the total species richness was greatest at lower elevation and warmer sites. More than two thirds of plant species were encounterd at the elevation range of 580-1450 m asl with the temperature fluctuating between 8ºC to 37 ºC. The low elevation sites were relatively densely populated probably because human interference in these areas facilitates the introduction and establishment of non-native species as also reported by Rawal and Pangtay (1994).

Species richness shows a general pattern of intermediate altitude peak (Whittaker 1977, Whittaker *et al.,* 2001, and, Brown and Lomolino, 1998). This is mainly due to the double gradient of temperature and precipitation. In the temperate forests, the species richness was found maximum in mixed board leaved forests as also reported by Kumar and Ram (2005) and Sharma *et al.*, (2009). The occurrence of *Quercus leucotrichophora, Quercus semicarpifolia, Lyonia ovalifolia* and *Alnus nitida* on almost all the sites along the temperate altitudinal gradient suggests their tolerance to biotic pressures and wider ecological amplitude. *Pinus roxburghii* is an early successional species and Oak a climatic climax (Champion and Seth, 1968).

The quantitative relationship between dominant and rare species is an important structural property of the community. In present study, density / ha of trees varied from 150 to 675 where the basal area

(m²/ha) varied from 32.41 to 72.80 (Table 2.). The density values did not vary much and the values reported by other authors (Singhal and Soni, 1989; Adhikari and Tiwari, 1991; Mishra *et al.,* 1993; Ilorkar and Khatri, 2003) are more or less similar. A heterogeneous tree population was found at an elevation range of 580 m asl - 1100 m asl. Similar observations were recorded by Sarma (1990), Gogate and Kumar (1993), Singh *et al.,* (1991) and Ilorkar and Khatri (2003). The high Importance Value Index (IVI) of species indicated their dominance and ecological success, good power of regeneration and ecological amplitude.

Conclusions

The present study highlights the poor status of species richness especially for the temperate and alpine forests. The investigations revealed that lower and comparatively warmer elevations revealed higher species richness and diversity than the cold and higher elevation cover types, which implies that temperate and alpine forests need effective monitoring and conservation. The study suggests that the distribution and species richness pattern of different tree species are largely regulated by altitude and climatic factors.

References

Adhikari BS, Joshi M, Rikhari HC, Rawat YS. 1992. Cluster Analysis (Dendrogram) of high altitude (2150-2500 m) forest vegetation around Pindari glacier in Kumaun Himalaya. Journal of Environmental Biology **13**, 101-105.

Adhikari RS, Asha Tiwari. 1991. Micro fungal competition on green leaves of *Quercus semicarpifolia*. Indian Journal of Ecology **18**(2), 178-18.

Austin MP, Pausas, JG Nicholas AO. 1996. Patterns of species richness in relation to environment in south eastern New South Wales, Australia. Australian Journal of Ecology **21**, 154 – 164.

Brown JH, Lomolino MV. 1998. Biogeography. 2nd ed. Sinuar Associates, Incl Publishers, Sunderlands. MA.

Brun HH, Moen J, Virtanen R, Grytnes JA, Oksanen L, Angerbjorn A. 2006. Effects of altitude and topography on species richness of vascular plants, bryophytes and lichens in alpine communities. Journal of Vegetation Science **17**, 37-46.

Burns BR. 1995. Environment correlates of species richness at Waipoua Forest Sanctuary, New Zealand. New Zealand Journal of Ecology **19**, 153-162.

Champion HG, Seth SK. 1968. A revised survey of the forest types of India. Manager of publication, Govt. of India, New Delhi, India, 404.

Chauhan PS, Manhas RK, Negi JDS. 2001. Demographic and diversity analysis of tree species in Sal (*Shorea robusta* Gaertn.f) forests of Doon valley. Annals of Forestry **9** (2), 188-198.

Chowdhery HJ, Wadhwa, BM. 1984. Flora of Himachal Pradesh Analysis. Botanical Survey of India, Dehradun. **Vol I-III**. 860 p.

Connell JH, Oris E. 1964. The ecological regulation of species diversity. The American Naturalist, **48**, 399-414.

Dash PK, Mohapatra PP, Rao YG. 2009. Diversity and distribution pattern of tree species in Niyamgiri hill Ranges Orissa, India. Indian Forester **135** (7), 927-942.

Dhar U, Kaul A. 1986. Life form classification and biological spectrum of an alpine-subalpine flora of Kashmir Himalayas. The British Council, New Delhi, 3-20.

Dutt H. 2005. Ecological studies and conservation of medicinal plants of Neeru watershed, J & K. Ph.D thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

Field R, Cornell BA, Curie HV, Diniz-Filho DJ, Guegan JAF, Kaufiman JF, Kerr DM, Mittelbach JT, Oberdorff GG, TO'Brien EM, Turner JRG. 2009. Spatial richness gradients across scales: a meta-analysis. Journal of Biogeography **36**, 132-147.

Fisher AG. 1960. Latitudinal variation in organic diversity. Evolution **14**, 64-81

.

Gogate MG, Kumar Anmol 1993. An ecological audit of Teak Plantations in west Chandrapur Project Division. Indian Forester **119**, 265-294.

Hara MK, Hirarta M, Fujihaxa K, Oono Hsich CF. 1997. Floristic composition and stand structure of three evergreen braod leaved forests in Taiwan, with special reference to the relationship between micro-landform and vegetation pattern. Natural History **4,** 81-112.

Holland PG, Steyn DG. 1975. Vegetational responses to latitudinal variations in slope angle and aspect. Journal of Biogeography **2**, 179-183.

Ilorkar VM, Khatri PK. 2003. Phytosociological study of Navegaon national Park, Maharashtra. Indian Forester **129** (3), 377-387.

Ilorkar, VM, Tripathi PK. 2003. Phytosociological study of Navegaon National park (Maharashtra). Indian Forester 129(3), 377-387.

Jhangir M. 2004. Phytodiversity characterisation using remote sensing and GIS of district Kathua, Jammu and Kashmir. Ph.D thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

Kadavul, K, Parthasarthy N. 1999. Plant biodiversity and conservation of tropical semi-evergreen forests in the Shervaryan hills of Eastern Ghats, India. Biodiversity Conservation **8**, 421-439.

Kapur SK. 1982. Phytoecological studies in Trikuta Hills with special reference to the distribution pattern of economic plant products. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

Kaul V, Sarin YK. 1976. Life form classification and biological spectrum of flora of Bhaderwah. Tropical Ecology **17** (2) , 132-138.

Kesar RK. 2002. Phytosociological and community studies of Patnitop forests and their management. Ph.D Thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

Kharkwal G, Mehrotra P, Rawat YS, Pangtey YPS. 2005. Phytodiversity of growth form in relation to altitudinal gradient in the Central Himalayan (Kumaun) region of India. Current Science **89 (5)** , 873-878.

Knight DH 1963. A distance method for constructing forest profile diagrams and obtaining structural data. Tropical Ecology **4**, 89-94.

Kour I. 2001. Phytodiversity and impact of tourism on the vegetation of Trikuta Hills (J & K). Ph.D Thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

Kumar A. 1987. Phytosociological and productivity studies of Bhaderwah forests, Jammu (J & K). Ph.D Thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

Kumar A, Ram J. 2005. Anthropogenic disturbances and plant biodiversity in forests of Uttaranchal, Central Himalayas. Biodiversity Conservation **14**, 309-331.

Kumar K. 1997. Studies on plant diversity of Patnitop and adjoining area and impact of biotic activities. Ph.D Thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

Kumar V. 2007. Studies on plant diversity of spermatophytes of Parnai watershed, Poonch. Ph. D thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

Majila BS, Kala CP. 2010. Forest Structure and Regeneration along the Altitudinal Gradient in the Binsar Wildlife Sanctuary, Uttarakhand Himalaya, India. Russian Journal of Ecology, **41**(1), 75–83.

Margalef DR. 1958. Information theory in ecology. Genetics and systematic **3**, 36-71.

Mishra TK, Namitha D, Bipin Behari, Banerjee SK. 1993. Species diversity under Sal and Teak plantations in Laterite region. Journal of Tropical Biodiversity **1**(2),188-201.

Monk CD 1967. Tree species diversity in eastern deciduous forest with particular refrence in North Central Florida. The American Naturalist **101**, 173-187.

Naithani HB, Pal RC, Srivastava RK. 2006. Vegetation analysis of the Trimula hills, Andhra Pradesh. Indian Forester **132** (9), 1110-1130.

Nath TK, Hossain MK, Alam AK. 2000. Assessment of tree species diversity of Sitapahar forest reserve, Chittagong hill tracts (South) forest division, Bengladesh. Indian Forester **126** (1), 16-21.

Negi SC, Nautyial S. 2005: Phytosociological studies of a traditional reserve forests – Thal-ke-Dhar, Pithoragarh, Central Himalayas, India. Indian Forester **131**(4), 519-532.

Pande PK, Negi JDS, Sharma SC. 2001. Plant species diversity and vegetation analysis in moist temperate Himalayan forest. Indian Journal of Forestry **24** (4), 456-470.

Pande PK, Negi JDS, Sharma C. 2002. Plant species diversity, composition, gradient analysis and regeneration behavior of some tree species in a moist temperate Western Himalayan Forest ecosystem. Indian Forester **8**, 869-886.

Pauses JG, Austin MP. 2001. Patterns of plant species richness in relation to different environments: An appraisal. Journal of Vegetation Science **12**, 153-166.

Ralhan PK, Saxena AK, Singh JS. 1982. Analysis of forest vegetation at and around Naini Tal in Kumaun Himalaya. In: Proceedings of Indian National Science Academy **48**, 121-137.

Ram Prakash. 1986. Forest management. International book distributors, Dehradun, India p 214.

Ramsay PM, Oxley ERB. 1997. The growth form composition of plant communities in the Ecuadorian Paramos. Plant Ecology **131**, 173-192.

Rao P, Barik SK, Pandey HN, Tripathi RS. 1990. community composition and tree population structure in sub-tropical broadleaved forest along a disturbance gradient. Vegetatio **88**, 151-162.

Rawal RS, Pangtey YPS. 1994. High altitude forests in part of Kumaon, central Himalaya. In: Proceedings of Indian National Sciences Academy **60 (B),** 557-564.

Rawat J, Chitralekha Pant 1999. Structure of a Chirpine community along two different aspects and altitudinal gradients. Indian Journal of Forestry **22 (2),** 141-144.

Rawat RS. 2001. Phytosociological studies of woody vegetation along an altitudinal gradient in montane forest of Garhwal Himalayas. Indian Journal of Forestry **24** (4),419-426.

Relhan PK, Saxena AK, Singh JS. 1982. Analysis of forest vegetation at and around Nainital in Kumaon Himalayas. In Proceedings of Indian National Science Academy **48**(1),121-137

Rikhari HC, Chandra R, Singh SP. 1989. Pattern of species distribution and community characters along a moisture gradient within an Oak zone of Kumaon Himalayas. In: Proceedings of Indian National Science Academy **55 (8)**, 431-438.

Risser PG, Rice EL. 1971. Diversity I tree species in Oklaham upland forests. Eco., **52**, 876-880.

Sanchez-Gonzalez A, Lopez-Mata L. 2005. Plant species richness and diversity along an altitudinal gradient in Sierra Nevada, Mexico. Diversity and distribution **11** ,567-575.

Sanjeev M, Gera PL, Sankhyan. 2006. Phytosocilogical analysis of Arnigad microwatershed in Mussoorie hills of Garhwal Himlayas. Indian Forester **132** (1), 19-30.

Sapru BL. 1975. Vegetational studies in Jhelum Valley. Botanique **VI** (2&3), 151-164.

Sarma SK. 1990. Floristic composition and phytosociological studies of a herbaceous community at Dibrugarh. Indian Journal of Forestry **13**, 273-280.

Saxena AK, Singh JS. 1982. A phytosociological analysis of woody plant species in forest communities of a part of Kumaun Himalaya. Vegetatio **50**, 3-22.

Saxena AK, Pandey T, Singh JS. 1985. Altitudinal variation in the vegetation of Kumaon Himalayas. In: D.N. Rao. K.J. Ahmed. M. Yunus & S.N. Singh (eds.) Perspectives in Environmental Botany. Print House, Lucknow, 43-66.

Shameem, SA, Irfana Kangroo N, Bhat GA. 2011. Comparative assessment of edaphic features and herbaceous diversity in lower Dachigam national park, Kashmir, Himalaya. Journal of Ecology and the Natural Environment **3**(6), 196-204.

Shanon CE, Weaver W. 1963. The mathematical theory of communication. University of Illinois Press, Urbana, USA.

Sharma CM, Kumar A. 1992. Community structure of some natural forest stands in Lansdowne Forest range of Garhwal Himalayas. Journal of Tropical Forest Science **5**, 8-12.

Sharma CM, Suyal S, Gairola S, Ghildiyal SK. 2009. Species richness and diversity along an altitudinal gradient in moist temperate forest of Garhwal Himalayas. Journal of American Science **5** (5), 119-128.

Sharma N. 2003. Biodiversity characterization at landscape level in Jammu district of J & K (Western Himalayas) using remote sensing and GIS. Ph.D Thesis. University of Jammu, India.

Simpson EH. 1949. Measurement of diversity. Nature **163**,168.

Singh JS, Singh SP. 1984. Structure and functioning of forest ecosystems of central Himalaya. Implications for management, regeneration of forest trees : An integrated ecological study of eastern Kumaon Himalaya with emphasis on natural resources (J.S Singh and S.P Singh eds.) Final Report (HCS/DST/176- 187), Vol-II, Kumaon University Nainital, India, 85-113.

Singh J. 2002. Phytodiversity of Kalakote range (Rajouri, J & K) and impact of mining and nomadism on the vegetation. Ph.D thesis. University of Jammu, Jammu, India.

Singh JS, Kachroo P. 1994. Forest flora of Pir Panjal range. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehradun.

Singh KN, Kaushal R. 2006. Diversity and quantitative analysis of dominant tree species in

district Chamba of Himachal Pradesh. Indian Journal of Forestry **29** (3), 254-251

Singh R, Sood VK, Monika Bhatia, Thakur GC. 1991. Phytosociological studies on tree vegetation around Shimla, Himachal Pradesh Indian Journal of Forestry **14** (3), 169-180.

Singh RS, Ralhan PK, Singh SP. 1987. Phytosociology and population structure of oak mixed conifer forest in a part of Kumaon Himalayas. Environment and Ecology **5**, 475-487.

Singh SK, Rawat GS. 2000. Flora of Great Himalayan National Park. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehradun, 304 p.

Tynsong H, Tiwari BK. 2011. Diversity and population characteristics of woody species in natural forests and arecanut agroforests of south Meghalaya, Northeast India. Tropical Ecology **52**(3), 243-252.

Varghese AO, Menon ARR. 1998. Vegetation characteristics of southern secondary moist mixed deciduous forests of Agasthyamalai region of Kerala. Indian Journal of Forestry **21**(4), 337-344.

Whittaker RH. 1977. Evolution of species diversity in land plant communities. Evolutionary biology **10,** 1-67.

Whittaker RJ, Willis KJ, Field R. 2001. Scale and species richness: towards a general hierarchal theory of species diversity. Journal of Biogeography **28**, 453-470.