

REVIEW PAPER

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 14, No. 1, p. 38-52, 2019

OPEN ACCESS

Epigenetic contributions on mental health, neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders

Roushney Fatima Mukti^{*}, Shuborno Islam

Department of Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, East West University, Dhaka-1212, Bangladesh

Key words: Epigenetics, Mental health, Neurodevelopmental disorder, Neurodegenerative disorder, Epigenetic dysregulation.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/14.1.38-52

Article published on January 11, 20199

Abstract

The significance of epigenetics for mental health and neurodevelopment to assess the contribution of geneenvironment interactions to brain function is becoming increasingly clear. Epigenetic programming functions in respect to the interaction between genetics and the environment. It has the capability to make us thinking about the infraction of the prior assumption of independence between genotype and the environment. Some environmental factors such as diet, maternal behavior, psychosocial or chemical exposures have been shown to alter the progression of epigenetic programming in a significant way during the early development. Since epigenetically modified genes can be reclaimed, methylation silenced genes can be demethylated and histone complexes can be executed transcriptionally active by modification of acetylation and methylation of various histones through drugs and/or other dietary interventions, the rapidly growing field of epigenetics provides a perfect opportunity to design rationale therapeutic strategies. The widespread impact of epigenetic modifications suggests that understanding the underlying mechanisms of epigenetic contributions on mental health as well as neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders holds a great promise for us to be a rich source of more rationale and even personalized therapeutic interventions to treat these disorders in the near future. In this review, we discussed an emerging idea that epigenetic regulation may provide a mechanism by which environmental events can be encoded at the molecular level where they are recognized to influence brain function and also the future prospects of epigenetic therapies to decrease the burden of diseases by modulating epigenetic mechanisms in various ways.

*Corresponding Author: Roushney Fatima Mukti 🖂 roushney@ewubd.edu

Introduction

Understanding the underlying mechanisms of cognitive functions, neuronal metabolism and mental behavior has been a major goal in the field of neuroepigenetics. Among the various tightly controlled complex processes occurring at different levels, gene expression regulation is especially crucial, as some genes need to be activated while some genes must be suppressed. Epigenetic regulation of the genome involves processes which can edit genomic properties or the interactions between the genome and other elements to induce structural changes in the chromatin leading to transcriptional changes of different genes. These processes may provide a mechanism mediating interplay between genes and the environment, including the now recognized idea of gene-environment interactions.

Epigenome, derived from Greek meaning "above the genome", consists of chemical compounds that modify or mark the genome in a way that tells it what to do, where to do it and when to do it. The word "epigenetics" carries the Greek prefix "epi" (that means above, beyond, on top, after, in addition) and another word "genetics" derived from Greek "genno" (that means give birth representing the science of heredity). Epigenetics can be defined as the reversible inherited changes in gene expression that occur without any alteration in DNA sequence and these changes are enough powerful to regulate the dynamics of gene expression (Lin et al., 2009). Disease susceptibility is a result of intricate interplay between one's genetic endowment and epigenetic marks imprinted on his genome by different endogenous and exogenous factors (Jaenisch et al., 2003).

Three distinct but intertwined mechanisms are considered to be part of the epigenome (Ducasse *et.al.*, 2006) which affect transcript stability, DNA folding, nucleosome positioning, chromatin compaction, and complete nuclear organization of the genetic material. They determine whether a gene is silenced or expressed, as well as the timing and tissue-specificity of the expression of these genes in a synergistic and cooperative manner. These mechanisms are: DNA methylation alterations (methylation on the cytosine bases of CpG islands, loss of imprinting etc.), histone modifications (posttranslational modifications that alter their interaction with DNA and nuclear proteins, such as acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation, ribosylation etc.), and RNA interference through small, noncoding RNAs (miRNA, siRNA).

In this review, we will introduce three major environmental factors involved in influencing epigenetic programming in the brain: diet, maternal behavior and chemical exposure. We will also discuss the ways by which these environmental factors cause disruption of epigenetic processes which may give rise to abnormalities in brain function. Finally, we will discuss the potential of epigenetic therapeutic agents to complement current strategies for diagnosis, prognosis, and prediction of drug responses and assist with therapeutic decision-making of neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders.

Factors influencing epigenetic programming in the brain

Dietary contributions

Epigenetic mechanisms that originated from environmental influences program the gene expression in different tissues of the brain and have an extraordinary effect on an individual's mental stability. However, these changes are reversible as enzymes that have methylating; demethylating and acetylating activities among them, which can be used during the developmental stage and in the premature postnatal cross fostering. Survey data recommend that the levels of methyl contents in the diet as well as nutritional restriction during pregnancy have a direct involvement in the epigenetic and gene expression programming in the brain (Patrick *et al.*, 2008).

DNA methylation is very common and has a constant activity in neurons (Levenson *et al.*, 2006) and diet can alter the methylation pattern by influencing the availability of methyl donors as well as DNMT activity

(Ross et al., 2003). Folate, choline, and methionine are important methyl donors. Nutrients like folate, B vitamins and SAM are the key components of the methyl-metabolism pathway and can swiftly alter gene expression especially when the epigenome is first being established during early development. In rodents, it was found that the damages in the synaptosomal/mitochondrial parts of the brain caused by the accumulation of hydroperoxide which originated from lipid peroxidation, conjugated dienes, excess lactate dehydrogenase leaked from synaptosomal membrane can be treated by inactivation mechanisms of L-methionine which can be obtained from dietary sources. L-methionine is an important factor for brains aging and preventing the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative disorders and for survival (Slyshenkov et al., neuron 2002). Methylenetetrahydrofolate-reductase (MTHFR) is used for the formation of methionine from Homocysteine and S-adenosyl-l-methionine through catalyzing the formation of an intermediate L-5methyltetrahydrofolate, also known as Levomefolic acid. (Friso et al., 2002). Apparently, in both early development rats and adult rats it was found that the methyl group originated from dietary choline helps in producing S-adenosyl-1-methionine which has a high possibility to change the gene expression and gives a better memory performance rather than the ones who were not provided with dietary choline. Choline deficiency in the rodents has clearly shown an impact of how the SAM levels decreased gene specific methylation but increased global methylation as it was followed by the over expression of DNMT mRNA (Kovacheva et al., 2007). Therefore, supplement of choline in the prenatal and development period has shown to enlarge neuron cell proliferation, also the memory power, and potential learning in adulthood (Meck and Williams et al., 2003). Selenium can play role to decrease DNMT1 protein expression and inhibit DNMT1 activity (Wurdinger et a.l, 2007), zinc and magnesium can help to determine the effectiveness of DNA repair and DNA metabolism respectively. These bioactive components from food can also authorize the SAM formation and also further authorize the formation of CpG methylation (Ross *et al.*, 2003) and also telomere length may be associated with folate and nicotinic acid levels (Bull and Fenech *et al.*, 2008).

In CBP knock out mice, chromatin acetylation was shown to play role in memory formation (Alarcon et al., 2004). Histone Deacetylase inhibitors can be used as a treatment for memory loss (dementia) as it improves long term memory and establishes the formation of neuronal cells and neuronal sprouting from a damaged neuron in a mouse model with induced neurodegenerative disorder, which suggests could be that HDACi used in treating neurodegeneration and memory loss (Fischer et al., 2007). Dietary components like diallyl disulfide, sulforaphane, and butyrate may act as HDACi (Dashwood et al., 2006). Consumption of Green vegetables like Broccoli contains high levels of sulforaphane, which is identified as anticarcinogen shows that it suppresses histone deacetylase activity (HDAC) as well as couples with H3 and H4 acetylation in circulating peripheral blood mononuclear cells mice within 3-6 hours. Along with broccoli, healthy food components like biotin, garlic organosulfur compounds and Vitamin E metabolites can epigenetically bring back a normal cell from a cancer by HDAC inhibition as they can activate silenced genes in cancer cells (Dashwood and Ho et al., 2007). Depression effects caused due to epigenetical by histone acetylation and subsequent treatment by Sodium butyrate in mice results in decreasing the antidepressant effects (Schroeder et al., 2007). Thus, along with the source and treatment, normal neural functions disturbed due to environmental issues that can be suppressed by certain epigenetical factors obtained from dietary compounds.

Dietary polyphenols such as Epigallocatechin-3gallate (EGCG), Genistein, Lycopene, Coffee polyphenols, Sulforaphane, Isothiocyanates, Curcumin, Rosmarinic acid, Resveratrol, Anacardic Acid, Garcinol, Green tea polyphenols, Polyphenon B and Theophylline, Allyl-derivates, Isothiocyanates (Sulforaphane and Isothiocyanates derivates), 3,3'- Diindolylmethane Isopflavone and soy peptides, Quercetin, Dihydrocoumarin, Sanguinarine Isoflavones: genistein and 3,3'-diindolylmethane (DIM), Indole-3-carbinol and phenethyl isothiocyanate etc can act in controlling epigenetic modifications (Alexander *et al.*, 2010).

Offspring can be affected by DNA methylation and epigenetic variation if the food supplements uptake by maternal contains abnormal amounts of methyl releasing compounds (Cooney et al., 2002). It was shown in Avy mouse model that the epigenetic marks established by dietary supplementation with methyl donors can be passed to the subsequent generation through the female germline and these effects are mediated by polycomb group proteins (Blewitt et al., 2006). These outcomes suggest that it is possible that our mental health can be determined by not only what we eat, but also by what our parents ate. For a child's physical and mental health, behavior, and ability, a mother's nutritional status is one main factor, which is considered as an epigenetic factor. This involves appropriate body stores before a mother's conception - vitamins, minerals, proteins, and docosahexaenoic acid (House SH et al., 2013). Responsibility of energy metabolism like neuronal and function signaling, synaptic plasticity and mental health on the brain also involves dietary factors that affect the molecular processes. Omega-3-fatty acid DHA in the plasma membrane of neurons can also be disrupted due to the oxidative stress, resulting damaged neuronal signals. Docosahexaenoic acid DHA is important for mental expression and interneuronal functioning as it is an important factor in plasma membrane function. The Brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) are essential as it functions in neuronal metabolism and synaptic plasticity by activating signaling cascades which is completely dependent on the epigenetical factors like diet. (Gomez and Tyagi, 2013).

Recent studies have showed that nutrition can cause neurodegeneration, which was believed that it could only be caused by genetic factors. Environmental epigenetical modifications cause long-lasting effects supports the membrane functional integrity and helps in acetylcholine synthesis in cholinergic neurotransmission for normal brain development. On the other hand, choline can be an epigenetic modifier of the genome that reconstruct the neuronal gene expression, activity and also in methylation. Individuals with many neurodegenerative disorders and improper mental health were found to have dysregulated choline functions (Bekdash, 2018).

Maternal behavior

DNA methylation levels at a glucocorticoid receptor (GR) gene promoter in the hippocampus of the offspring was altered by high levels of pup-licking, grooming and arched-back nursing by rat mothers which leads to altered histone acetylation and binding of NGFI-A, a transcription factor, to the GR promoter. Though this programming by maternal behavior is stable and long lasting, but it is reversible by agents that interfere with either the methylation or histone deacetylation machinery (Weaver *et al.*, 2004). The group differences in histone acetylation, DNA methylation, NGFI-A binding, expression of the GR and hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal responses to stress was abolished by central infusion of HDACi.

The evidence for a nongenomic transmission of individual differences in stress reactivity and maternal behavior was provided by the results of cross-fostering studies with the offspring of low- and high-LG mothers (Francis *et al.*, 1999). Similar findings were found for hippocampal GR expression and for the differences in both the $\alpha 1$ and $\gamma 2$ -GABAA receptor subunit expression in the amygdala. These findings indicate that individual differences in gene expression patterns and behavior can be directly linked to maternal care over the first week of life.

Evaluation of brain-paraventricular nuclear region in a mouse model showed that early life stress produced

maternal-infant separation by induces DNA methylation of AVP enhancer region causing excess production of AVP which lead to HPA responsivity and a stress-sensitive phenotype (Murgatroyd et al., 2009). Exposure to maternal abuse by housing the foster dams in an unfamiliar environment with limited nesting resources resulted in decreased BDNF total mRNA in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus of rats during adulthood associated by a persistent increase in DNA methylation in promoter regions for BDNF exons IX and IV (Roth et al., 2009). These effects were reversed by central infusion of a DNA methylation inhibitor, into adult rats, which indicates that early life abuse causes methylation for gene regions regulating BDNF transcription. Females who were abused as pups displayed abusive behaviors toward their own offspring and their offspring exhibited greater brain DNA methylation of genes that impact BDNF expression also.

A chronic social defeat stress induces subordination and greater avoidance behaviors and less social interaction in mice and this depressive-like phenotype is associated with reductions in hippocampal BDNF gene expression due to longlasting histone modifications of the BDNF gene, including a marked increase in H₃-K₂₇me₂ at the BDNF P₃ and P₄ promoters (Tsankova *et al.*, 2006).

The nucleus accumbens, a chief brain reward center linked to depression in animals (Nestler and Carlezon, 2006) and humans (Tremblay et al., 2005), was studied to compare chronic social defeat to prolonged social isolation in which histone dimethylation marks at lysine positions 9 and 27 associated with reduced gene expression (Kouzarides, 2007), and phospho-CREB (the transcriptionally active form of CREB) binding to gene promoters were evaluated. Significant differences in the relative levels of H3-K9me2 and H3-K27me2 in regions of gene promoters immediately upstream of their initiation sites were exhibited in both chronic social defeat and social isolation exposed mice. On the other hand, increased levels of phospho-CREB in the nucleus accumbens were seen due to social defeat stress and

decreased phospho-CREB levels in the nucleus accumbens was associated with social isolation. These histone methylation and phospho-CREB changes were reversed by the chronic administration of the anti-depressant, imipramine, which demonstrates the role of these epigenetic marks in the mediation of depressive behavior. Reduced hippocampal volume was observed in humans in response to chronic stress and depression which indicates that altered actin remodeling in response to stress may relate to morphological changes in the brain. Surprisingly, some of the inbred mice exhibit resilient response in which the mice resist developing the depressive phenotype. A total of 546 genes showed differential levels of H₃ methylation in the susceptible versus the resilient mice. Despite the general similarity in H3 methylation between the resilient and the control mice, there were still some significant differences in methylation status between these two groups which suggest that resilience is an active process reflected by unique chromatin modification that occurs in response to a stressor. Treatment with the antidepressant, imipramine, produced changes in H3 methylation status that also resembled to that was observed in the resilient mice, indicating that the mechanism of action of this anti-depressant involves a similar H3 chromatin modification pattern (Wilkinson et al., 2009).

Global chromatin re-modeling is differentially sensitive to the duration of exposure of stress (Hunter *et al.*, 2009). Rapid and large chromatin modifications were produced due to acute stress demonstrating that these methylation marks are labile in adults, while chromatin modification in response to chronic stress was less marked. When rats were subjected to novelty, a mild psychological stressor, rapid changes in hippocampal chromatin remodeling were also seen (Chandramohan *et al.*, 2007).

Disruption of epigenetic regulatory mechanisms leads to the accumulation of aberrant epigenetic marks which disrupt neural plasticity and memory formation. For example, deregulated H4-K12 plays a

causal role in age-associated memory impairment suggesting that H4-K12 is an early biomarker for an impaired genome-environment interaction in the aging brain (Peleg *et al.*, 2010). The learned behavioral immobility response of rats in response to re-exposure to forced swimming was observed to be dependent upon chromatin remodeling within the dentate gyrus (Bilang-Bleuel *et al.*, 2005) and chromatin remodeling observed in this model of stress-induced memory appeared to involve glucocorticoid co-signaling through the GR as well as signaling via glutamate receptors (Chandramohan *et al.*, 2008).

Altered HPA stress responsiveness is associated with childhood adversity in humans, which is linked to increased risk for psycho-pathology, including suicide (Pruessner *et al.*, 2004). Significantly reduced total GR mRNA transcript from GR1F exon (the homolog of exon 17 of the rat) and increased GR gene (NR3C1) promoter DNA methylation were observed in suicide victims with a history of childhood abuse (McGowan *et al.*, 2009) and the promoters of the genes encoding rRNA were found to be heavily methylated in hippocampi from suicide victims relative to controls.

Due to epigenetic modifications, there are changes in the transcriptional activity in the experiencedependant plasticity in the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) which mainly deals with the arrangement of neuronal connections formed due to a person's experience. It was found in mouse that there was a difference in dorsal DG and ventral DG at transcription and methylation level as environmental factors can escalate the rate of DNA methylation in dorsal and ventral DG especially at NeuroD1 transcription site which brings a change in hippocampal volume, resulting in a different gene expression. (Zhang TY *et al.*, 2018).

Chemical exposures

Organophosphates (OPs) are potent inhibitors of acetylcholinesterase (AChE) enzyme causing toxicological effects that result from initially overstimulating, and consequently desensitizing, cholinergic transmission. AChE is essential for rapid of acetylcholine at nicotinic hydrolysis and muscarinic synapses. Some OPs cause developmental neurotoxicity by mechanisms independent of AChE inhibition also (Jameson et al., 2007). For example, the OP chlorpyrifos has been observed to increase the phosphorylation of the Ca2+/cAMP response element binding protein (CREB) in neuronal cultures at concentrations well below those that inhibit AChE (Schuh et al., 2002) while tight regulation of CREB activity by Ca2+-dependent phosphorylation is critical for normal neural progenitor proliferation and differentiation, dendritic development and cognitive function (Peltier et al., 2007).

Several classes of pesticides such as organochlorine (OC) insecticides, i.e., 4-alkyl-1-phenylpyrazoles, have been shown to interfere with GABA-mediated neurotransmission by binding to the type A family of GABA receptors (GABR) and consequently blocking their ability to mediate chloride fluxes. OC insecticides having polychloroalkane structures can bind to GABR in the mammalian brain and potently block their ability to conduct Cl-, with many having nanomolar affinity for their receptor binding site (Cole et al., 1986). Fipronil, like endosulfan and lindane, has been shown to be a high affinity noncompetitive antagonist for the mammalian β_3 homopentameric GABR (GABR_{β3}) (Chen et al., 2006).

Polyaromatic hydrocarbons can mediate their toxicity through the arylhydrocarbon receptor (AhR), such as dioxin, or through selective interactions with ryanodine receptors (RyR) in the brain (Zimanyi and Pessah, 1991). Such as, interactions of non-coplanar polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) with RyR, greatly sensitize the release of Ca2+ from the microsomal intracellular stores (Pessah *et al.*, 2006), and are likely to contribute to imbalances in excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmission and the abnormal development of brain circuitry (Kenet *et al.*, 2007) as RyRs are physically and functionally linked to voltage gated Ca2+ channels at the surface of the neuron where they form Ca2+ release units responsible for

generating microdomains of signaling (Ca2+ microdomains).

Epigenetic therapeutic potential

Promising *in vitro* and *in vivo* data concerning epigenetic therapeutic potential have been found in various studies, but these are still in early stage of research. Since toxicity has been a serious limitation for the use of those drugs on patients, therefore many of these trials were of small scale and further clinical trials are needed to produce more data.

The widely used DNA methyltransferase (DNMT) inhibitors are mainly cytosine analogs such as 5-azacytidine (commercially available as Vidaza) and 5aza-2'-deoxycytidine (commercially sold as Decitabine), which are converted to deoxynucleotide triphosphates inside the cell and then incorporated into the DNA during replication in the original C positions as nucleoside analogs. Then they attach to the DNMT enzymes to block their activity), resulting in heritable demethylated DNA (Jones and Taylor 1980). Other types of DNMT inhibitors including non-nucleoside inhibitors such as procainamide, procaine and Epigallocatechin gallate (EGCG) etc. (Segura-Pacheco et al. 2003) and agents that target one specific DNMT enzyme (e.g. with antisense oligonucleotides) (Yan et al. 2003) have been developed and suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid (SAHA), phenyl butyrate etc. also have been widely used with some success in various studies.

Valproate (VPA) was found to induce the expression of REELIN silenced by methionine through demethylation (Dong *et al.*, 2007; Guidotti *et al.*, 2009). N-(2-aminophenyl)-4-[N-(pyridin-3-ylmethoxycarbonyl)aminomethyl]benzamide derivative (MS-275), a potent brain region-selective HDAC inhibitor (HDACi) expressed a 30- to 100-fold greater efficacy than VPA as an adjunctive to antipsychotics in the treatment of epigenetically induced psychiatric disorders by increasing Ac-H3-RELN and Ac-H3-GAD₆₇ promoter interaction in the frontal cortex (Simonini *et al.*, 2006). As other benzamide derivatives, such as sulpiride, are also brain-region in the treatment of epigenetically induced psychiatric disorders too. Tranylcypromine, a non-selective monoamine oxidase inhibitor, has been used as antidepressant as it was found to inhibit LSD1 demethylase (Lee et al., 2006) either by the activation of genes suppressed by the H3-K4Me2 demethylating activity of LSD1 in the brain (Shi et al., 2004) or by repressing genes activated by the H3-K9Me2 demethylation activity of LSD1 (Metzger et al., 2005). Contextual memory deficits were completely reversed by the administration of histone deacetylases in a mouse model of Alzheimer Disease (Kilgore et al., 2010). HDAC inhibitors exerts significant neuroprotective effects through restoring acetylation levels, inducing both gene activation and repression and therefore represents a very promising therapeutic approach. For example, histone deacetylase inhibitor phenylbutyrate increased brain histone acetylation and decreased histone methylation as well as increased mRNA for components of the ubiquitinproteosomal pathway and down-regulated caspases implicated in apoptotic cell death, and active caspase 3 immunoreactivity in the striatum in a transgenic mouse model of Huntington Disease (Gardian et al., 2005). Another HDACi sodium butyrate induced hyperacetylation with the activation of specific downregulated genes, reduced neural and brain atrophy and improved motor performance (Ferrante et al.,

selective inhibitors of HDACs, they could be effective

3-deazaneplanocin A was found to deplete Polycomb group components and inhibit histone H3K27 methylation in in vitro (Tan et al., 2007). By targeting the enzyme that is responsible for removing methylation from H3K4, polyamine analogs were successful to inhibit it resulting in up-regulating silenced genes (Huang et al., 2007). However, many more HDAC inhibitors are being tested in xenograft models, and at least a dozen of HDAC inhibitors are in various stages of clinical development (Cang et al., Synergistic effects on transcriptional 2009). activation of HDAC inhibitors and DNMT inhibitors are being tested in clinical trials and initial results have been promising (Issa, 2007).

2003).

S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) remained useful in patients suffering from major depression because SAM increased the phosphocreatine levels in the brain (Silveri *et al.*, 2003). SAM also increased catechol-o-methyltransferase (COMT) activity and reduced aggression in a subpopulation of schizophrenic patients, and in female patients reduced depressive symptoms (Strous *et al.*, 2009). SAM was also reported to improve learning ability and long-term potentiation of excitatory postsynaptic potential and population spike impairments that were induced by exposure of the population to lead.

Studies have shown recently that supplementation with folate for a period of 3 years significantly improved the cognitive function domains which have a declining tendency with growing age. An increased level of vitamin B_{12} in plasma can result in the reduction of risk of cognitive impairment with dementia or homocysteine-associated dementia. SAM and l-methylfolate have been suggested for providing nutritional therapy in idiopathic mental disorders instead of folic acid and vitamin B_{12} . l-Methylfolate has been reported to improve negative, positive, and cognitive symptoms in patients suffering from schizophrenia. (Talal J *et al.*,2018).

Conclusion

The findings from various studies showed in this review clearly suggest that dietary factors, maternal behavior and chemical exposure can cause aberrations in the epigenome for the initiation and progression of mental and neuronal diseases. The studies described in this manuscript also provided evidence that targeting the epigenome delayed the onset and progression of the symptoms of neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative diseases. The interplay between the epigenome and the genome will certainly drive future investigational studies of neurodevelopmental neurodegenerative and disorders to understand the mechanisms of geneenvironment interactions of these diseases. We have already entered the era where epigenetics holds great prospect to resolve and explain many unsolved and unexplained questions and issues in modern biology.

Although the pharmacology of epigenetics is still in its infancy, with all the accumulated knowledge about the role of epigenetics in the progression of neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders, the design of more rational interventions to target or detect epigenetic or epigenomic changes is expected in the near future and the development of these epigenetic/epigenomic alteration-based tests and therapies will complement the science of genomics in making new inroads to effective personalized medicine. More studies of epigenetic contribution on mental health will open the new era for the possibility of epi-genotyping from the blood samples of patients. Furthermore, future studies regarding the identification of epigenetic biomarkers to first define an individual's epigenome will also allow the researchers for the tailoring of epigenetic drugs to specific epigenomes.

References

Alarcon JM, Malleret G, Touzani K, Vronskaya S, Ishii S, Kandel ER, Barco A. 2004. Chromatin acetylation, memory, and LTP are impaired in CBP+/– mice: a model for the cognitive deficit in Rubinstein–Taybi syndrome and its amelioration. Neuron **42**, 947–959.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2004.05.021

Alexander Link, Francesc Balaguer, Ajay Goel. 2010. Cancer Chemoprevention by Dietary Polyphenols: Promising Role for Epigenetics. Biochemical Pharmacology. December 15, **80(12)**, 1771–1792.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2010.06.036.

Anderson AN, Roncaroli F, Hodges A, Deprez M, Turkheimer FE. 2008. Chromosomal profiles of gene expression in Huntington's disease. Brain. 131(2), 381–388.

https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awm312.

Basan A, Leucht S. 2004. Valproate for schizophrenia. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews (1), CD004028.

https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004028.pub2.

Bekdash RA. 2018 Jan. Choline, the brain and neurodegeneration: insights from epigenetics. Frontiers in Bioscience, Landmark **23**, 1113-1143, January 1, 2018.

https://doi.org/10.2741/4636.

Bilang-Bleuel A, Ulbricht S, Chandramohan Y, De Carli S, Droste SK, Reul JM. 2005. Psychological stress increases histone H3 phosphorylation in adult dentate gyrus granule neurons: involvement in a glucocorticoid receptordependent behavioral response. European Journal of Neuroscience. **22(7)**, 1691–1700.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2005.04358.x.

Bittel DC, Butler MG. 2005. Prader-Willi syndrome: clinical genetics, cytogenetics and molecular biology. Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine **7(14)**, 1–20.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S1462399405009531.

Blewitt ME, Vickaryous NK, Paldi A, Koseki H, Whitelaw E. 2006. Dynamic reprogramming of DNA methylation at an epigenetically sensitive allele in mice. PLOS Genetics. Apr; **2(4)**, e49. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0020049.

Boissonneault V, Plante I, Rivest S, Provost P. 2009. MicroRNA-298 and microRNA-328 regulate expression of mouse beta-amyloid precursor proteinconverting enzyme 1. Journal of Biological Chemistry. **284(4)**, 1971–1981.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M807530200.

Bowden CL. 2007. Spectrum of effectiveness of valproate in neuropsychiatry. Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics **7(1)**, 9–16. https://doi.org/10.1586/14737175.7.1.9.

Bull C, Fenech M. 2008. Genome-health nutrigenomics and nutrigenetics: nutritional requirements or 'nutriomes' for chromosomal stability and telomere maintenance at the individual level. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society **67(2)**, 146–156.

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0029665108006988.

Cang S, Ma Y, Liu D. 2009. New clinical developments in histone deacetylase inhibitors for epigenetic therapy of cancer. Journal of Hematology & Oncology **2**, 22.

https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-8722-2-22.

Cassidy SB, Driscoll DJ. 2009. Prader-Willi syndrome. European Journal of Human Genetics **17**, 3–13.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2008.165.

Chandra V, Pandav R, Dodge HH, Johnston JM, Belle SH, DeKosky ST, Ganguli M. 2001. Incidence of Alzheimer's disease in a rural community in India: The Indo-US study. American Academy of Neurology. **57(6)**, 985–989. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.57.6.985.

Chandramohan Y, Droste SK, Arthur JS, Reul JM. 2008. The forced swimming-induced behavioural immobility response involves histone H3 phospho-acetylation and c-Fos induction in dentate gyrus granule neurons via activation of the N-methyl-D-aspartate/extracellular signal-regulated kinase/mitogen- and stress-activated kinase signaling pathway. European Journal of Neuroscience. **27(10)**, 2701–2713.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2008.06230.x.

Chandramohan Y, Droste SK, Reul JM. 2007. Novelty stress induces phospho-acetylation of histone H3 in rat dentate gyrus granule neurons through coincident signaling via the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor and the glucocorticoid receptor: relevance for c-fos induction. Journal of Neurochemistry. **101(3)**, 815–828.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-4159.2006.04396.x.

Chen L, Durkin KA, Casida JE. 2006. Structural model for gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor noncompetitive antagonist binding: widely diverse structures fit the same site. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **103(13)**, 5185–5190. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0600370103.

Cole LM, Casida JE. 1986. Polychlorocycloalkane insecticide-induced convulsions in mice in relation to disruption of the GABA-regulated chloride ionophore. Life Sciences. **39(20)**, 1855–1862.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3205(86)90295-X.

Cooney CA. 1993. Are somatic cells inherently deficient in methylation metabolism? A proposed mechanism for DNA methylation loss, senescence and aging. Growth Development & Aging. **57(4)**, 261–273.

Dashwood RH, Melinda C. Myzak, Emily Ho. 2006. Dietary HDAC inhibitors: time to rethink weak ligands in cancer chemoprevention. Carcinogenesis. **27(2)**, 344–349. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgi253.

Dashwood RH, Ho E. 2007. Dietary histone deacetylase inhibitors: from cells to mice to man. Seminars in Cancer Biology **17(5)**, 363–369. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2007.04.001.

Dong E, Guidotti A, Grayson DR, Costa E. 2007. Histone hyperacetylation induces demethylation of reelin and 67-kDa glutamic acid decarboxylase promoters. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 104(11), 4676–4681.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700529104.

Ducasse M, Brown MA. 2006. Epigenetic aberrations and cancer. Molecular Cancer **5**, 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-4598-5-60.

Faghihi MA, Modarresi F, Khalil AM, Wood DE, Sahagan BG, Morgan TE. 2008. Expression of a noncoding RNA is elevated in Alzheimer's disease and drives rapid feed-forward regulation of beta-secretase. Nature Medicine 14, 723–730. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1784. RobertJ.Ferrante, JamesK.Kubilus, JungheeLee, HoonRyu, AysheBeesen, BirgitZucker, KarenSmith, NeilW.Kowall, RajivR.Ratan, RuthLuthi-Carter,StevenM.Hersch.2003.HistonedeacetylaseinhibitionbysodiumbutyratechemotherapyamelioratestheneurodegenerativephenotypeinHuntington's diseasemice.Journal of Neuroscience.23(28), 9418–9427.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-28-09418.2003.

Fischer A, Sananbenesi F, Wang X, Dobbin M, Tsai LH. 2007. Recovery of learning and memory is associated with chromatin remodelling. Nature International Journal of Science **447**, 178–182.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05772.

Francis DD, Diorio J, Liu D, Meaney MJ. 1999. Nongenomic transmission across generations in maternal behavior and stress responses in the rat. Science **286(5442)**, 1155–1158.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5442.1155.

Friso S, Sang-Woon Choi, Domenico Girelli, Joel B. Mason, Gregory G. Dolnikowski, Pamela J. Bagley, Oliviero Olivieri, Paul F. Jacques, Irwin H. Rosenberg, Roberto Corrocher, Jacob Selhub. 2002. A common mutation in the 5, 10-methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene affects genomic DNA methylation through an interaction with folate status. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. **99(8)**, 5606–5611.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.062066299.

Gardian G, Browne SE, Choi DK, Klivenyi P, Gregorio J, Kubilus JK, Ryu H, Langley B, Ratan RR, Ferrante RJ, Beal MF. 2005. Neuroprotective effects of phenylbutyrate in the N171-82Q transgenic mouse model of Huntington's disease. Journal of Biological Chemistry **280**, 556– 563.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M410210200.

Gibbons RJ, McDowell TL, Raman S, O'Rourke DM, Garrick D, Ayyub H, Higgs DR. 2000. Mutations in ATRX, encoding a SWI/SNF-like protein, cause diverse changes in the pattern of DNA methylation. Nature Genetics 24, 368–371.

https://doi.org/10.1038/74191.

Gomez-Pinilla, Tyagi E. 2013. Diet and cognition: interplay between cell metabolism and neuronal plasticity. Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care. Nov; **16(6)**, 726-33.

https://doi.org/10.1097/MCO.ob013e328365aae3.

Grayson DR, Chen Y, Costa E, Dong E, Guidotti A, Kundakovic M, Rajiv P. Sharma. 2006. The human reelin gene: transcription factors (+), repressors (–) and the methylation switch (+/–) in schizophrenia. Pharmacology & Therapeutics **111**, 272–286.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2005.01.007.

Guidotti A, Dong E, Kundakovic M, Satta R, Grayson DR, Costa E. 2009. Characterization of the action of antipsychotic subtypes on valproateinduced chromatin remodeling. Trends in Pharmacological Sciences **30(2)**, 55–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2008.10.010.

Hebert SS, Horre K, Nicolai L, Bergmans B,
Papadopoulou AS, Delacourte A, De Strooper
B. 2009. MicroRNA regulation of Alzheimer's amyloid precursor protein expression. Neurobiology of Disease 33(3), 422–428.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2008.11.009.

House SH. 2013. Transgenerational healing: Educating children in genesis of healthy children, with focus on nutrition, emotion, and epigenetic effects on brain development. Nutritional and Health. Jan; **22(1)**, 9-45.

https://doi.org/10.1177/0260106013506666.

Huang Y, Greene E, Murray Stewart T, Goodwin AC, Baylin SB, Woster PM, Casero **RA Jr.** 2007. Inhibition of lysine-specific demethylase 1 by polyamine analogues results in reexpression of aberrantly silenced genes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. **104(19)**, 8023–8028. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0700720104.

Hunter RG, Mccarthy KJ, Milne TA, Pfaff DW, McEwen BS. 2009. Regulation of hippocampal H3 histone methylation by acute and chronic stress. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. **106(49)**, 20912–209. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0911143106.

Issa JP. 2007. DNA methylation as a therapeutic target in cancer. Clinical Cancer Research. **13(6)**, 1634–1637. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2076.

Jaenisch R, Bird A. 2003. Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: how the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. Nature Genetics. Mar; **33** Suppl:245–254.

https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1089

Jameson RR, Seidler FJ, Slotkin TA. 2007. Nonenzymatic functions of acetylcholinesterase splice variants in the developmental neurotoxicity of organophosphates: chlorpyrifos, chlorpyrifos oxon, and diazinon. Environmental Health Perspectives. 115(1), 65–70.

https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.9487.

Jones PA, Taylor SM. 1980. Cellular differentiation, cytidine analogs and DNA methylation. Cell. 20, 85–93.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(80)90237-8.

Kenet T, Froemcke R, Schreiner C, Pessah IN, Merzenich MM. 2007. Perinatal exposure to a noncoplanar polychlorinated biphenyl alters tonotopy, receptive fields, and plasticity in rat primary auditory cortex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. **104**, 7646–51.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0701944104.

Kilgore M, Miller CA, Fass DM, Hennig KM, Haggarty SJ, Sweatt JD, Rumbaugh G. 2010. Inhibitors of class 1 histone deacetylases reverse contextual memory deficits in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. Neuropsychopharmacology **35**, 870–880.

https://doi.org/10.1038/npp.2009.197.

Kouzarides T. 2007. Chromatin modifications and their function. Cell. **128(4)**, 693–705. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2007.02.005.

Kovacheva VP, Mellott TJ, Davison JM, Wagner N, Lopez-Coviella I, Schnitzler AC, Blusztajn JK. 2007. Gestational choline deficiency causes global and Igf2 gene DNA hypermethylation by up-regulation of Dnmt1 expression. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 282(43), 31777–31788.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M705539200.

Lalande M, Calciano MA. 2007. Molecular epigenetics of Angelman syndrome. Cellular and Molecular Life Science **64(7-8)**, 947–60. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-007-6460-0.

Lee MG, Wynder C, Schmidt DM, McCafferty DG, Shiekhattar R. 2006. Histone H3 lysine 4 demethylation is a target of nonselective antidepressive medications. Chemistry & Biology. **13(6)**, 563–567.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2006.05.004.

Levenson JM Roth TL, Lubin FD, Miller CA, Huang IC, Desai P, Malone LM, Sweatt JD. 2006. Evidence that DNA (cytosine-5) methyltransferase regulates synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus. Journal of Biological Chemistry **281**, 15763–15773.

https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M511767200.

Lin HJ, Zuo T, Chao JR, Peng Z, Asamoto LK, Yamashita SS, Huang TH. 2009. Seed in soil, with an epigenetic view. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-General Subjects. Sep; **1790(9)**, 920–924. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagen.2008.12.004.

Mathers JC, Strathdee G, Relton CL. 2010. Induction of epigenetic alterations by dietary and other environmental factors. Advances in Genetics. **71**, 3–39.

https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-380864-6.00001-8.

McGowan PO, Sasaki A, D'alessio AC, Dymov S, Labonte B, Szyf M, Turecki G, Meaney MJ. 2009. Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. Nature Neuroscience. **12(3)**, 342–348. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2270.

Meck WH, Williams CL. 2003. Metabolic imprinting of choline by its availability during gestation: implications for memory and attentional processing across the lifespan. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews **27(4)**, 385–399.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-7634(03)00069-1.

Metzger E, Wissmann M, Yin N, Müller JM, Schneider R, Peters AH, Günther T, Buettner R, Schüle R. 2005. LSD1 demethylates repressive histone marks to promote androgenreceptor-dependent transcription. Nature. 437(7057), 436–439.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04020.

Murgatroyd C, Patchev AV, Wu Y, Micale V, Bockmuhl Y, Fischer D, Holsboer F, Wotjak CT, Almeida OF, Spengler D. 2009. Dynamic DNA methylation programs persistent adverse effects of early-life stress. Nature Neuroscience **12**, 1559– 1566.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2436.

Nestler EJ, Carlezon WA, Jr. 2006. The mesolimbic dopamine reward circuit in depression. Biological Psychiatry **59(12)**, 1151–1159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2005.09.018.

Ogryzko VV, Schiltz RL, Russanova V, Howard BH, Nakatani Y. 1996. The transcriptional coactivators p300 and CBP are histone acetyltransferases. Cell. **87(5)**, 953–959. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00)82001-2.

Packer AN, Xing Y, Harper SQ, Jones L, Davidson BL. 2008. The bifunctional microRNA miR-9/miR-9* regulates REST and CoREST and is downregulated in Huntington's disease. Journal of Neuroscience **28(53)**, 14341–14346. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2390-08.2008

Patel N, Hoang D, Miller N, Ansaloni S, Huang Q, Rogers JT, Lee JC, Saunders AJ. 2008. MicroRNAs can regulate human APP levels. Molecular Neurodegeneration. **3**, 10. https://doi.org/10.1186/1750-1326-3-10

Patrick O. McGowan, Michael J. Meaney, Moshe Szyf. 2008. Diet and the epigenetic (re)programming of phenotypic differences in behavior. Brain Research. October 27; **1237**, 12–24. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2008.07.074

Peleg S, Sananbenesi F, Zovoilis A, Burkhardt S, Bahari-Javan S, Agis-Balboa RC, Cota P, Wittnam JL, Gogol-Doering A, Opitz L, Salinas-Riester G, Dettenhofer M, Kang H, Farinelli L, Chen W, Fischer A. 2010. Altered histone acetylation is associated with age-dependent memory impairment in mice. Science **328(5979)**, 753–756.

https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1186088

Peltier J, O'neill A, Schaffer DV. 2007. PI3K/Akt and CREB regulate adult neural hippocampal progenitor proliferation and differentiation. Developmental Neurobiology **67(10)**, 1348–1361. https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.20506

PerkinsDO, JeffriesCD, JarskogLF,ThomsonJM,WoodsK, NewmannMA.ParkerJS, JinJ, HammondSM,MicroRNA.2007.Expression in the prefrontal cortex of

individuals withschizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. Genome Biology 8, R27. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2007-8-2-r27.

Pessah IN, Hansen LG, Albertson TE, Garner CE, Ta TA, Do Z, Kim KH, Wong PW. Structureactivity relationship for noncoplanar polychlorinated biphenyl congeners toward the ryanodine receptor-Ca2+ channel complex type 1 (RyR1). Chemical Research in Toxicology. 2006 January **19(1)**, 92-101. https://doi.org/10.1021/tx050196m.

Picketts DJ, Higgs DR, Bachoo S, Blake DJ, Quarrell OW, Gibbons RJ. 1996. ATRX encodes a novel member of the SNF2 family of proteins: mutations point to a common mechanism underlying the ATR-X syndrome. Human Molecular Genetics **5**, 1899–1907.

Pruessner JC, Champagne F, Meaney MJ, Dagher A. 2004. Dopamine release in response to a psychological stress in humans and its relationship to early life maternal care: a positron emission tomography study using [¹¹C]raclopride. Journal of Neuroscience **24(11)**, 2825–2831.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3422-03.2004

Ross SA. 2003. Diet and DNA methylation interactions in cancer prevention. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences. Mar; **983**, 197–207. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-6632.2003.tb05974.x.

Roth TL, Sweatt JD. 2009. Regulation of chromatin structure in memory formation. Current Opinion in Neurobiology **19(3)**, 336–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2009.05.011

Ryu H, Lee J, Hagerty SW, Soh BY, McAlpin SE, Cormier KA, Smith KM, Ferrante RJ. 2006. ESET/SETDB1 gene expression and histone H3 (K9) trimethylation in Huntington's disease. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. **103(50)**, 19176–19181. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0606373103.

Samaco RC, Hogart A, LaSalle JM. 2005. Epigenetic overlap in autism-spectrum neurodevelopmental disorders: MECP2 deficiency causes reduced expression of UBE3A and GABRB3. Human Molecular Genetics **14(4)**, 483–492. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddi045.

Schroeder FA, Lin CL, Crusio WE, Akbarian S. 2007. Antidepressant-like effects of the histone deacetylase inhibitor, sodium butyrate, in the mouse. Biological Psychiatry **62**, 55–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.06.036.

Schuh RA, Lein PJ, Beckles RA, Jett DA. 2002. Noncholinesterase mechanisms of chlorpyrifos neurotoxicity: altered phosphorylation of Ca2+/cAMP response element binding protein in cultured neurons. Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology. **182(2)**, 176–185.

https://doi.org/10.1006/taap.2002.9445.

Segura-Pacheco B, Trejo-Becerril C, Perez-Cardenas E, Taja-Chayeb L, Mariscal I, Chavez A, Acuña C, Salazar AM, Lizano M, Dueñas-Gonzalez A. 2003. Reactivation of tumor suppressor genes by the cardiovascular drugs hydralazine and procainamide and their potential use in cancer therapy. Clinical Cancer Research **9(5)**, 1596–1603.

Sethi P, Lukiw WJ. 2009. Micro-RNA abundance and stability in human brain: specific alterations in Alzheimer's disease temporal lobe neocortex. Neuroscience Letters **459(2)**, 100–104.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neulet.2009.04.052.

Sharma RP, Grayson DR, Gavin DP. 2008. Histone deactylase 1 expression is increased in the prefrontal cortex of schizophrenia subjects: analysis of the National Brain Databank microarray collection. Schizophrenia Research **98**, 111–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2007.09.020.

Shi Y, Lan F, Matson C, Mulligan P, Whetstine JR, Cole PA, Casero RA. 2004. Histone demethylation mediated by the nuclear amine oxidase

homolog LSD1. Cell. **119(7)**, 941–953. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2004.12.012.

Silveri MM, Parow AM, Villafuerte RA, Damico KE, Goren J, Stoll AL, Cohen BM, Renshaw PF. 2003. S-adenosyl-L-methionine: effects on brain bioenergetic status and transverse relaxation time in healthy subjects. Biological Psychiatry 54, 833–839.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3223(03)00064-7.

Simonini MV, Camargo LM, Dong E, Maloku E, Veldic M, Costa E, Guidotti A. 2006. The benzamide MS-275 is a potent, long-lasting brain region-selective inhibitor of histone deacetylases. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. **103(5)**, 1587–1592. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0510341103.

Slyshenkov VS, Anton V. Liopo1, Lech Wojtczak. 2002. Protective role of L-methionine against free radical damage of rat brain synaptosomes. Acta Biochimica Polonica. **49**, 907– 916.

Strous RD, Ritsner MS, Adler S, Ratner Y, Maayan R, Kotler M. 2009. Improvement of aggressive behavior and quality of life impairment following S-adenosyl-methionine (SAM-e) augmentation in schizophrenia. European Neuropsychopharmacology **19(1)**, 14–22.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2008.08.004

Talal Jamil, Qazi Zhenzhen, Quan Asif, Mir Hong Qing. 2018. Epigenetics in Alzheimer's disease: Perspective of DNA Methylation. Molecular Neurobiology **55(2)**, p 1026–1044. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-016-0357-6.

Tan J, Yang X, Zhuang L, Jiang X, Chen W, Lee PL, Karuturi RK, Tan PB, Liu ET, Yu Q. 2007. Pharmacologic disruption of Polycombrepressive complex 2-mediated gene repression selectively induces apoptosis in cancer cells. Genes & Development **21**, 1050–1063.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1524107.

Thatcher KN, Peddada S, Yasui DH, Lasalle JM. 2005. Homologous pairing of 15q11–13 imprinted domains in brain is developmentally regulated but deficient in Rett and autism samples. Human Molecular Genetics **14(6)**, 785–97. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddio73.

Tremblay LK, Naranjo CA, Graham SJ, Herrmann N, Mayberg HS, Hevenor S, Busto UE. 2005. Functional neuroanatomical substrates of altered reward processing in major depressive disorder revealed by a dopaminergic probe. Archives of General Psychiatry **62(11)**, 1228–1236.

https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.11.1228.

Tsankova NM, Berton O, Renthal W, Kumar A, Neve RL, Nestler EJ. 2006. Sustained hippocampal chromatin regulation in a mouse model of depression and antidepressant action. Nature Neuroscience 9, 519–525. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1659.

Wang WX, Rajeev BW, Stromberg AJ, Ren N, Tang G, Huang Q. 2008b. The expression of microRNA miR-107 decreases early in Alzheimer's disease and may accelerate disease progression through regulation of beta-site amyloid precursor protein-cleaving enzyme 1. The Journal of Neuroscience. 2008 **28**, 1213–1223.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5065-07.2008.

Weaver IC, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, D'Alessio AC, Sharma S, Seckl JR, Dymov S, Szyf M, Meaney MJ. 2004. Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. Nature Neuroscience 7, 847–854. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1276.

West RL, Lee JM, Maroun LE. 1995. Hypomethylation of the amyloid precursor protein gene in the brain of an Alzheimer's disease patient. Journal of Molecular Neuroscience **6(2)**, 141–146. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02736773</u>.

Wilkinson MB, Xiao G, Kumar A, Laplant Q, Renthal W, Sikder D, Kodadek TJ, Nestler EJ. 2009. Imipramine treatment and resiliency exhibit similar chromatin regulation in the mouse nucleus accumbens in depression models. The Journal of Neuroscience **29**, 7820–7832.

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0932-09.2009

Wurdinger T, Costa FF. 2007. Molecular therapy in the microRNA era. The Pharmacogenomics Journal 7, 297–304. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.tpj.6500429

Yan L, Nass SJ, Smith D, Nelson WG, Herman JG, Davidson NE. 2003. Specific inhibition of DNMT1 by antisense oligonucleotides induces reexpression of estrogen receptor-alpha (ER) in ERnegative human breast cancer cell lines. Cancer Biology & Therapy **2**, 552–556.

Zhang TY, Keown CL, Wen X, Li J, Vousden DA, Anacker C, Bhattacharyya U, Ryan R, Diorio J, O'Toole N, Lerch JP, Mukamel EA, Meaney MJ. 2018. Environmental enrichment increases transcriptional and epigenetic differentiation between mouse dorsal and ventral dentate gyrus. Nature Communications. Jan 19, **9(1)**, 298.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02748-x

Zimanyi I, Pessah IN. 1991. Pharmacological characterization of the specific binding of [³H]ryanodine to rat brain microsomal membranes. Brain Research **561(2)**, 181–191.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(91)91594-Q