

RESEARCH PAPER

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 14, No. 2, p. 40-56, 2019

OPEN ACCESS

Effect of irrigation intervals on growth and yield of Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

M.M.A. Abdalla, A.K. Mettwally, H.S. Abbas*, M.A. Ahmed

Department of Vegetable, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt

Key words: Drought, Genotypes, Irrigation, Proline, Intervals.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/14.2.40-56

Article published on February 12, 20199

Abstract

The aim of this study is to estimate the effect of irrigation intervals on the yield and the quality of Pepper under Assiut conditions. Three irrigation intervals (every 7 (IR_7), 14 (IR_{14}) and 21 (IR_{21}) days) and three Pepper genotypes (Omega F1, Pical F1 and 1515 F1) were used. Our results showed that irrigating pepper plants every week (IR_7) significantly gave the highest ascorbic acid content of pepper fruits, total fruits number per plot, average fruit weight, early fruit yield per feddan, and pepper total yield in both seasons. Also, highest value of soil field capacity was found in samples collected from the IR_7 treatment. On the other hand, plants irrigated every 21 days (IR_{21}) had the highest values of percentage of whole plant dry weight and proline content. In both seasons, Omega F1 every significantly gave the highest values of total fruit fresh yield, percentage of whole plant dry weight, and fruit vitamin C content in the second season. Bell pepper 1515 F1 significantly produced the highest values of average fruit weight in both seasons. Interestingly, under deficit irrigation Omega F1 genotype (considered as a drought tolerant genotype) which produced the highest total fruit yield, produced lower leaf proline content than 1515 F1 genotype which is more sensitive to water deficit treatments. We conclude that plant response to water deficit depends on the plant genotype, and not on the proline content.

* Corresponding Author: H.S. Abbas 🖂 hassanabbas654@yahoo.com

Introduction

Most of the Egypt's land is desert. The area of agricultural land in Egypt is restricted to the Nile Valley and delta, with a few oases and some arable land in Sinai (Ismail, 2010). The total cultivated area (arable land plus permanent crops) is about 7.2 million feddans (1 feddan = 0.42 ha), representing only 3 percent of the total land area (FAO, 2017). The entire crop area is subjected to surface irrigation, except for some rain-fed areas on the Mediterranean coast. The landholdings are fragmented, with the average size of farm units being 2.5 feddans (Elarabawy and Tosswell, 1998).

Peppers (Capsicum spp.) are one of the most important vegetables and spices in the world. It has been a part of the human diet since about 7500 BC(Perry et al., 2007). Therefore, chili pepper plants are now planted worldwide, e.g., in Egypt (Abdalla et al., 2018), Ethiopia (Samira et al., 2013), India (Bharathi et al., 2011), Spain (Moreno et al., 2003), and Mexico (Sanogo, 2006) as well as China (Shao et al., 2008, 2010; Fan et al., 2014; Qiu et al., 2015). Pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) fruits have high nutritive and culinary value (Bosland and Votava, 2000) and are commonly used as a seasoning to provide special flavor to cooked food (Premavalli et al., 2010). Capsicum not only gives a pretty color and flavor to the foods but also provides minerals and vitamins C, A, B complex, and E. Capsaicin present in chilli pepper is used as medicine for treatment of many human diseases like lumbago, neuralgia, rheumatic disorders and non-allergic Rhinitis.

Bell and chilli peppers are considered the most susceptible horticultural crops to drought stress due to its broad range of transpiring leaf surface, high stomatal conductance (Alvino *et al.*, 1994) and shallow root system (Hulugalle and Willatt, 1987; Dimitrov and Ovtcharrova, 1995; Kulkarni and Phalke, 2009;Liu *et al.*, 2012; Nagaz *et al.*, 2012; Yildirim*et al.*, 2012; Armita *et al.*, 2017).

Various kinds of unfavorable environmental stresses (such as drought, salinity, heat, cold and oxidative stresses) retard the growth and yield of pepper plants (Kumar and Arumugam, 2013; Nouri *et al.*, 2015 and Mickelbart *et al.*, 2015; Joshi *et al.*, 2018; Wu *et al.*, 2018). Water stress during the most critical periods of pepper growth (including vegetative, flowering, or fruit setting) causes substantial yield loss (Sezen *et al.*, 2006; and Xie *et al.*, 1999).

Climate change has emerged as one of the most complex challenges of the 21^{st} century and has become an area of interest in the past few decades (Fita, 2015). Many countries of the world have become extremely vulnerable to the impacts of climate change (Rosmaina *et al.*, 2018). The scarcity of water is a serious alarmfor food security of these countries and climate change has aggravated the risks of extreme events like drought (Smith *et al.*, 1998;Bruce *et al.*, 1980;Kang *et al.*, 2001; Dorji *et al.*, 2005; Juan, 2018).

Proper irrigation is critical for pepper production. Optimal irrigation management gives a healthy plants, maximum yields, and high-quality fruits (Askari *et al.*, 2018; Bhutia *et al.*, 2018; Singh *et al.*, 2018; Yildizli *et al.*, 2018; Joshi *et al.*, 2018; and Wu *et al.*, 2018). Thus, the aim of this study is to study the effects of using different irrigation regimes and different pepper cultivars on plants growth, yield, and water-use efficiency in the field with the purpose of selecting the cultivars that are more efficient in water utilization.

Materials and methods

The present experiment was carried out during the summer seasons of 2014 and 2015 at the Experimental Farm of Vegetable Crops Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Egypt. Three irrigation intervals (IR₇, IR₁₄ and IR₂₁) and the three hybrids (Omega F1, Pical and 1515 F1) of peppers (*Capsicum annuum* L.) were used to investigate their effects on growth, yield and quality of pepper crops grown under Assiut conditions. The soil texture of the experimental site was clay with an average pH of 7.65. Local cultivation practice recommendations for the control of insects and

diseases were followed and were found enough to maintain normal crop growth. The experimental site has a subtropical climate, characterized by three distinct seasons, the winter season (from November to February), the summer season (from March to June) and the fall season (from July to October).

Planting and field transplantation

In order to raise seedlings for transplantation in the field, seeds of the three pepper genotypes (Omega F1, Pical and 1515 F1) were cultivated in the greenhouse of the Experimental Farm of Vegetable Crops Department. Pepper seeds were sown in the nursery on January 1st in both seasons. Transplantation took place on February 17th and 21st in the first and second season, respectively. Six-week old pepper transplants were planted by hand in the field after they were hardened-off. Hardening-off the pepper transplants was done by withholding water for about 3-7 days before digging out. At the transplanting time, ridges were thoroughly irrigated, and transplanting took place on the southern side of the ridge in the presence of water through furrows. Transplants were arranged in the experimental plots on five ridges, 70 cm apart, with 30-40 cm spacing between plants. Levels of applied fertilizer in the permanent field were maintained according to the guidelines. Experimental plot area was 12 m².

Irrigation treatments

Three irrigation periods (IR_7 , IR_{14} and IR_{21}) were used. Each irrigation treatment was separated by five meters of non-irrigated block to avoid horizontal soil water movement. Drought treatments began 30 days after seedling cultivation in the two seasons. Irrigation treatments started on March 17th, 2014 and on March 21st, 2015. The harvest was done at the end of August in both seasons.

Measurements

Bell (1515 F1) and hot pepper (Omega F1 and Pical F1) genotypes were harvested at the same time in both seasons. Fruits were harvested from May to Augustin both seasons. Fruits were collected by hand, twice a week, in the four months' duration when they have reached the full size with maximum wall thickness while they were still immature and green. In each plot, fruits from all plants were counted and weighed. Yield and its related characters per plot were estimated. Furthermore, at the end of growing season, pepper traits such as field capacity according to Ismail (2010), total fruits fresh yield (ton/fed), average fruit weight (g), early fruits yield (ton/feddan), total fruits number (per plot), whole plant dry weights (g), percentage of proline content (mg/g dry weight)according to Bates *et al.* (1973), and vitamin C content (mg/ 50 g-1FW) were measured according to Ruck (1963).

Statistical analysis

This was a two-factor strip plot experiment with 3 replications in a randomized complete block design (RBCD). All data collected where subjected to analysis of variance using SAS 2002 and means that were significantly different (according to the F-test) were then separated by Duncan's multiple range test at Pd"0.05 (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results and discussion

Field capacity

The percentage of field capacity was recorded to find out in which irrigation treatment the growth and development of pepper plants will resume without sever shortfall (Figs 1, 2, 3). Pepper is sensitive to water stress and flowering during limited irrigation periods leads to growth delay with low quality fruit caused by the lack of water (Maughan *et al.*, 2015).

The percentage of moisture content (FC) was highest (ranging between 28.5% and 32.9% of the saturation point) in the full irrigation treatment (IR₇), depending on the weather factors, particularly temperature and sunshine hours that increase the daily evapotranspiration. The high moisture content was because irrigation was continued every week till the full moisture capacity is reached, hence more humidity was remained as available moisture in the soil at the end of this treatment (IR7). In the mediumdeficit irrigation treatment (IR₁₄), soil moisture content ranged between 20% to 26%.

Climate Data for Assiut Governorate												
Month	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Average high °C	19.3	21.7	25.1	31.4	35.2	37.1	36.5	36.0	34.2	30.5	25.1	20.3
Average low °C	4.7	6.3	9.7	14.5	18.6	21.3	22.0	21.7	19.6	16.2	10.7	6.71
Monthly mean °C	11.7	13.9	17.4	23.2	27.2	29.6	29.6	29.0	26.9	23.4	17.4	13.3
Ave. RH (%)	52	42	36	28	25	27	32	36	40	42	48	52
Mean Sunshine	9	9	10	10	11	12	12	12	11	10	9	8

Table 1. Some meteorological data for Assiut governorate for the period from January 2014 to December 2015.

Table 2. Number of applied irrigations after 30 days from seedling transplanting for each irrigation interval all over the experiment period.

Years Irrigation period	2014	2015
IR_7 days (C)	21	19
IR14 Days	10	9
IR ₂₁ Days	7	6

This value was also high considering the rate of irrigation scheduling. Moisture content in severedeficit irrigation treatment (IR_{21}) ranged between 16% and 25%. The increase in soil moisture will increase the available water in the soil. This will continue to ensure a nearly field capacity saturation leaving more humidity in the soil for the crop roots.

This, however, does not simply increase water use in the treatments (Reichardt *et al.*, 2001).

Table 3. Pepper fruit fresh total yield as affected by the three irrigation periods and three pepper hybrids in seasons of 2014 and 2015.

Total fruit fresh yield (ton/fed), 2014								
Genotypes Drought period	Omega	Pical	15-15	Average				
IR 7 days (C)	13.96 a	12.83 a	8.13 bc	11.64 A				
IR 14 Days	10.03 b	5.80 cde	5.33 cde	7.06 B				
IR 21 Days	6.38 cd	4.11 de	3.04 e	4.51 C				
Average	10.12 A	7.58 B	5.50 B					
Total fruit fresh yield (ton/fed), 2015								
IR 7 days (C)	11.15 a	9.77 b	9.39 b	10.10 A				
IR 14 Days	7.88 c	6.31 d	6.65 d	6.94 B				
IR 21 Days	5.09 e	3.76	2.86 f	3.90 C				
Average	8.04 A	6.61 B	6.30 B					

The genotypes 1515 F1 recorded the highest percentage of field capacity (water availability) followed by Pical F1, while Omega F1 gave the lowest percentage of field capacity. The data recoded for the first season inconsistent with the results recorded for the total yield, number of fresh fruits, and average fruit weight. In both seasons, the combination between 1515 F1 hybrid and the shortest irrigation treatment (IR₇, that received the highest amount of irrigation) gave the highest field capacity, while the combination of any pepper hybrid with the severest irrigation treatment (IR₂₁) gave the lowest field capacity.

Total fresh fruit yield (ton/fed)

Table 3 show that pepper total fresh fruit yield per

feddan was significantly affected by the three drought intervals studied in the two seasons of 2014 and 2015. Results of the two seasons, as an average of all tested pepper hybrids, indicate that regular watering (every one week to keep soil moisture continuously near field capacity) gave significantly the highest pepper total yield in the first (11.64 ton/fed) and the second (10.10 ton/fed) seasons.

Early fruit yield (ton/fed.), 2014								
GenotypesDrought period	Omega	Pical	15-15	Average				
IR 7 days (C)	1.05 bc	3.05 a	1.35 b	1.82 A				
IR 14 Days	0.68 bcd	1.39 b	0.85 bcd	0.97 B				
IR 21 Days	0.23 d	0.48 cd	0.24 d	0.32 C				
Average	0.65 B	1.64 A	0.81 B					
Early fruit yield (ton/fed.), 2015								
IR 7 days (C)	0.75 bc	1.48 a	1.30 a	1.18 A				
IR 14 Days	0.57 c	1.16 ab	1.56 a	1.10 A				
IR 21 Days	0.50 c	0.79 bc	0.76 bcd	0.68 B				
Average	0.61 B	1.14 A	1.20 A					

Table 4. Effect of drought periods and pepper genotypes on the early fruit yield in 2014 and 2015 seasons.

Means with different letter(s) are significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

Table 5. Eff	ect of drought	periods and	pepper g	genotypes on t	the proline (content in 2015 seasons.
--------------	----------------	-------------	----------	----------------	---------------	--------------------------

Proline content (mg/g ^{_1} DW), 2015							
GenotypesDrought period	Omega	Pical	15-15	Average			
IR 7 days (C)	0.35 c	0.46 b	0.36 c	0.39 C			
IR 14 Days	0.48 b	0.52 b	0.45 b	0.48 B			
IR 21 Days	0.54 b	0.51 b	0.97 a	0.67 A			
Average	0.45 A	0.49 A	0.59 A				

Means with different letter(s) are significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

The higher yield obtained in the non-stressed treatment (IR₇) might be because of the lower proline content recorded.

Similar findings were recorded by Hare and Cress (1997);Terao *et al.* (2003); Mattioli *et al.* (2009);Hahm *et al.* (2017); Sahitya *et al.* (2018); Yildizli *et al.* (2018) found that the higher yield may be also caused by the good irrigation that made the plants don't need to accumulate proline. Likewise, Yao *et al.* (2009) and Yang *et al.* (2018) found that the tolerance of tomato plants to salt stress was not related to either increase in proline or phenolic compounds accumulation.

However, accumulation of proline has been advocated

as a parameter of selection for stress tolerance (Yancy *et al.* 1982. Jaleel *et al.* 2007). Opposite results were recorded by Abebe, (2009); and Nagaz *et al.* (2012) whofound that high irrigation regimes increased fresh and dry fruit yield, fruit number, harvest index, and top dry matter production but decreased total fruit yield.

In the present study, the long repetitive severe stress treatment (IR_{21}) significantly gave the lowest pepper total fruit yield in the first (4.51ton/fed) and second (3.90 ton/fed) seasons.

Percentage of yield decrease in IR₂₁ treatment was 60% compared to the control (every 7 days) treatment as an average of the two seasons. Opposite findings

were reported by Foday *et al.*, (2012) whoshowed that treatment with moderate irrigation schedule (50% of evapotranspiration at vigorous fruit bearing) recorded high yield as compared to the control treatment (100% irrigation schedule of evapotranspiration) throughout the four stages of plant growth).

Ascorbic acid content (mg/ 50 g-1FW), 2015							
Genotypes Drought period	Omega	Pical	15-15	Average			
IR 7 days (C)	6.46 cde	4.37 e	9.88 ab	6.90 AB			
IR 14 Days	8.55 ab	7.41 bcd	7.79 bc	7.92 A			
IR 21 Days	6.65 cde	6.27 de	5.32 ef	6.08 B			
Average	7.22 A	6.02 B	7.66 A				

Table 6. Effect of drought periods and pepper genotypes on ascorbic acid content in 2015 seasons.

Means with different letter(s) are significantly different at 0.05 level of probability.

Bell pepper 1515 F1 significantly produced the lowest total fruit fresh yield in both seasons. The fruit yield of the high pungent hybrids (Omega and Pical) did not severely decrease under drought stress. Omega F1 significantly gave the highest average total fruit fresh yield in both seasons followed by Pical F1 without significant differences between these two hybrids. Regarding the effect of capsaicin content on pepper tolerance to drought stress, Phimchan *et al.* (2012) noted that high pungent cultivars have good water retention that resulted in a minimal effect of drought stress on the yield and capsaicinoid contents.



The letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P< 0.05). **Fig. 1.** Effect of field capacity on the drought periods and pepper genotypes in June, 2014 and 2015 seasons.

The higher proline content (0.59 mg/g FW) recorded in 1515 F1 hybrid compared to the other two hybrids did not help this genotype to overcome drought stress to produce enough yield in both seasons.

Similar findings were recorded by Verbruggen and Hermans, (2008) who stated that variety differences in proline content or interactions between variety and drought treatment were absent. Gharsallah *et al.* (2016); Askari *et al.* (2018) and Rosmaina*et al.* (2018) found thattolerant chilli varieties will increase the proline content and maintain the total sugar and chlorophyll content. Tanamo, Lado, Kastilo, and BCA are varieties that can survive under drought stress and still provide the higher yield.

Omega F1 irrigated every one week (IR_7) significantly produced the highest fruit fresh yield in the both seasons. However, bell pepper hybrid 1515 irrigated every three weeks (the severest water deficit treatment, IR_{21}) significantly gave the lowest total fresh fruit yield in the two seasons (Table 3).



The letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P< 0.05).

Fig. 2. Effect of field capacity on the drought periods and pepper genotypes in July, 2014 and 2015 seasons.

Average fruit weight (g)

The effect of the three irrigation intervals as an overall average of the three tested genotypes had a significant effect on the average fruit weight in the second season only (Fig. 4).

The control treatment irrigated every 7 days gave a significant increase of the average fruit weight (11.91g), however, the severest irrigation treatment (IR_{21}) gave the lowest average fruit weight (10.20g).

These results agreed with those documented by Nagaz *et al.* (2012); Kipchirchir (2016), and Rosmaina *et al.* (2018) who showed that water stress significantly decreased fruit yield (16.6%), fruit weight (13.8%), stem girth (31.9%), and chlorophyll content (12.6%).The sweet pepper 1515 F1 gave the highest values of average fruit weight in the first (18.81g) and the second (19.08g) seasons followed by Pical hybrid (8.89g and 9.26g, respectively), with significant differences between the two hybrids (Fig. 4).



The letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P< 0.05).

Fig. 3. Effect of field capacity on the drought periods and pepper genotypes in August, 2014 and 2015 seasons.

Findings recorded by Khan *et al.* (2008); Colak *et al.* (2017) Kipchirchir (2016); and Ilahi *et al.* (2017) showed that response of pepper to drought stress with respect to growth, yield, physiological and nutritional

quality was dependent on accessions.

The lowest average fruit weight values were significantly produced in the combination between

Omega F1and any of the three irrigation intervals (C, IR₁₄ and IR₂₁) in both seasons (Fig. 4). These results illustrate that the effect of the genotype on average fruit weight is more pronounced than the three tested drought intervals. Or drought stress did not affect the

average weight of pepper fruit in either season. The same findings were recorded by Kipchirchir (2016) who showed that the response to drought stress regarding plant growth, yield, physiological and nutritional quality was dependent on the accessions.



The letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P< 0.05).

Fig. 4. Effect of drought periods and pepper genotypes on the average fruit weight in 2014 and 2015 seasons.

Early fruit yield (ton/fed)

Results of the two seasons showed a significant effect of the three irrigation treatments (IR7, IR14 and IR21) on the early fruit yield (Table 4). As an average of the two seasons, our results showed that the maximum and the minimum early fruit yield per feddan were recorded in the irrigation treatments IR_7 (1.5 ton/fed) and IR_{21} (0.5 ton/fed.). It is worth to mention that the difference of early fresh yield between the two irrigation treatments (every 7 and 14 days) were quite small and did not reach the statistical significance level in the second season compared to the first season. According to these results, it can be concluded that about 30% deficit irrigation (DI) in peppers did not result in significant yield reduction, while higher levels of DI may adversely affect peppers growth and yield. The same findings were reported by Khederi et al. (2016); Kipchirchir (2016); Kuşçu et al. (2016), Sara et al. (2017); Ichwanet al. (2017); and Rosmaina et al. (2018) who reported that the maximum decrease of growth and yield was recorded under the high level of water stress (50% field capacity) compared to optimum water supply (100% FC).Omega F1 and Pical F1 significantly produced the lowest and highest early fruit yield in both seasons,

47 Abdalla *et al*.

respectively (Table 4). In the second season, Pical F1 and 1515 F1 produced the highest early yield. Penella *et al.* (2014) and Singh *et al.* (2018) found that peppers response to drought stress with respect to growth, yield, and physiological and nutritional quality was dependent on the accessions. The combination of the longest irrigation period (IR_{21}) with Omega F1 or 1515 F1 hybrids significantly gave the lowest early yield in the first season (Table 4). In the second season, Omega F1 gave the lowest early yield. The combination between Pical F1 and the commercial irrigation treatment (every week) significantly produced the highest early yield in both seasons.

Total fruits number (per plot)

The preferred irrigation method for farmers (every one week) significantly produced the highest total fruits number per plot in the first (4602.0) and the second (3480.2) seasons. However, irrigating pepper plants every three weeks (IR_{21}) significantly reduced the total fruits number in the first (1934.2) and the second (1654.6) seasons (Fig. 5). Total fruits number per plot was reduced by more than 40% in (IR_{14}) and 60% in (IR_{21}), as an average of the two seasons,

compared to the full irrigation treatment (IR₇). The total number of fruits per plot was positively (and significantly) correlated with branch numbers, total fruit fresh yield, fruit diameter, fruit length, and average fruit weight (Tables 11 and 12). Similar findings were reported by Phimchan *et al.* (2012) who illustrated that drought stress decreased fruit numbers and yield. Abebe (2009) found that high

irrigation regimes increased fresh and dry fruit yield, fruit number, harvest index, and top dry matter production. Opposite results were recorded by Fernandez *et al.* (2005) who showed that water deficit had little effect on the total fruit number but substantially increased the proportion of unmarketable small fruits.



The letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P< 0.05). **Fig. 5.** Effect of drought periods and pepper hybrids on the total fruit number per plot in 2014 and 2015 seasons.

Omega F1 produced significantly the highest total fruit number and in the first (5726.2) and the second (4738.8) seasons, followed by the hybrid Pical, whereas 1515 F1 significantly produced the lowest numbers in the first (887.1) and the second (976.3) seasons (Fig. 5).

In both seasons, Omega F1 irrigated everyone one week significantly gave the highest total fruit number while the combination of the bell-shaped pepper hybrid 1515 and the irrigation treatment every three weeks (IR_{21}) gave significantly the lowest numbers in both seasons. It is noteworthy to declare that in the first season (2014), the combination between the sweet pepper hybrid 1515 with any irrigation interval gave the lowest total pepper fruit number in both seasons. The difference in the total fruit number between pepper genotypes as affected by deficit irrigation is well documented by several investigators. Abdulmalik *et al.*, (2012) and Klunklin and Savage (2017) revealed that drought stress of some genotypes of sweet and red chilli affects the number of flower buds, the percentage of flowers fall, the percentage of fruit set and fruit production.

Percentage of whole plant dry weight (g)

The studied three irrigation intervals significantly affected the percentage of whole plant dry weight (Fig. 6). Plants irrigated every 21 days (IR₂₁) possessed the highest whole plant dry weight (46.96 g) compared to the non-stressed plants (37.59 g). Similar findings were recorded by Marín et al. (2009) who revealed that low irrigation frequency and salinity improved peppers quality attributes (dry matter, soluble solids content and titratable acidity). Opposite findings were reported by other researchers where increasing the amount of water increased the whole plant dry matter (DM). Khan et al. (2008); Evangelista et al. (2016) and Rakha (2018) reported that short irrigation intervals significantly increased all growth measurements of eggplants while longer irrigation intervals decreased all vegetative growth parameters. Also revealed that leaf dry matter was reduced when plants were subjected to a higher water

deficit. Omega F1 genotype produced the highest percentage of whole plant dry weight (46.98 g), followed by Pical F1 (40.11 g), with significant difference between the two genotypes (Fig. 6). Sweet pepper, however, (1515 F1) gave significantly the lowest percentage of whole plant dry weight (36.68 g). Based on the results of the present study, it can be confirmed that Omega F1 and Pical F1 have better adaptability to water shortage compared to 1515 F1. Nancy Ruiz-Lau (2011) and Klunklin and Savage (2017) found significant differences between different pepper cultivars in quality characteristics such as dry matter, total soluble solids, and pH parameters.

The combination between Omega F1 and the severest irrigation treatment (IR_{21}) significantly produced the highest percentage of whole plant dry weight (DW). The lowest percentage of whole plant DW was recorded in the combination between 1515 F1 and non-stress (IR_7) or medium-stress (IR_{14}) treatment with no significant difference between the two irrigation intervals.



The letters above the columns indicate significant differences (P< 0.05).

Fig. 6. Effect of drought periods and pepper hybrids on the percentage of whole plant dry weight (g) in summer season of 2015.

Proline content (mg/g⁻¹ DW)

The three irrigation intervals significantly affected proline content in pepper plants as an overall average of pepper hybrids (Table 5). The highest proline content (0.67mg/g⁻¹DW)was recorded in the severest irrigation treatment (every 21 days, IR21). On the other hand, the full irrigation treatment (every 7 days, IR₇) significantly gave the lowest proline content (0.39 mg/g $^{-1}$ DW) for all three tested hybrids. The same trend was recorded by Ichwan et al. (2017); Hahm et al. (2017) and Yildizli et al. (2018) who found that drought stress generally increases levels of proline content by 4.79% (75% FC) and 62.28% (50% FC). Proline, an amino acid, plays a highly beneficial role in plants exposed to various stress conditions (Qureshi et al., 2013; Bojórquez-Quintal et al., (2014); Pottosin et al., 2014; and Gharsallah et al.,

2016). No significant differences were found among the three pepper hybrids regarding the proline content. Despite the insignificant F values, the sweet pepper hybrid 1515 produced higher proline concentration (0.59 mg/g $^{-1}$ DW) than Omega F1 (0.45 mg/g $^{-1}$ DW). Similar results were recorded by Phimchan et al. (2012) who revealed that high pungent cultivars had good water retention with minimum effect of drought stress on the yield and capsaicinoid contents. Drought stress effects were higher in the low and medium pungent cultivars. The present results show that sweet pepper hybrid 1515 F1 (low in capsaicin content) although produced the highest proline content, it gave the lowest total fruit yield, demonstrating that this genotype is very sensitive to deficit irrigation (Table 9). In contrast, Omega F1 (that may be considered as a tolerant genotype) produced lower proline content (compared to bell pepper hybrid 1515 F1) but have the highest total fruit yield. The plant response to water deficit depends on the genotype of the plant not on proline content (Ashraf and Harris 2005). Conflicting results were found concerning proline content in the combination between the three irrigation intervals and the three pepper genotypes (Table 5). For example, the combination between bell pepper 1515 F1 and the full irrigation treatment (IR7) significantly gave the lowest proline content $(0.36 \text{mg/g}^{-1}\text{DW})$ but when irrigated every 21 days (IR21) it significantly gave the highest proline content (0.97 mg/ g^{-1} DW).In the same line, Ahmadizadeh, 2013, reported stated that plant response to drought stress can differ significantly, depending on the stage of plant development and genotype.

Ascorbic acid content (mg/ 50 g⁻¹FW)

Short irrigation treatment (IR_7) and medium irrigation interval (IR_{14}) significantly increased the ascorbic acid content of pepper fruits compared to severe-stress (IR_{21}) treatments (Table 6). In our study, the lowest ascorbic acid content was recorded in the longest irrigation interval (IR_{21}). Similar trend was recorded by Marín *et al.* (2009) revealed that low irrigation frequency increased vitamin C content by 23% in green peppers but did not affect its levels in the red fruits. Moreover, vitamin C content was decreased as deficit irrigation was increased (Horemans *et al.*, 2000; Zechmann, 2011; Shapiguzov *et al.*, 2012).

Significant differences were recorded among the three pepper hybrids regarding ascorbic acid content (Table 6). The highest vitamin C content was obtained in Omega F1 (7.22 mg/ 50 g⁻¹FW) and 1515 F1 (7.66 mg 50/ g⁻¹FW), while Pical F1 had significantly the lowest vitamin C content (6.02 mg 50/ g⁻¹FW). The results of our study show significant differences in the vitamin C content among the three genotypes investigated and the response of each hybrid is very variable with different watering regimes. Similar trend was recorded by Kipchirchir (2016) and Klunklin and Savage (2017) whofound significant differences

between different cultivars in quality characteristics as water stress significantly decreased growth and fruit yield parameters, Ca, Mg, Fe and Zn contents but increased β -carotene, vitamin C and total soluble solids.

The combination between full irrigation (IR₇) treatment and 1515 F1 with gave significantly the highest vitamin C content (9.88 mg 50 g⁻¹FW), whereas, the same irrigation treatment (IR₇) combined with Pical F1 significantly gave the lowest ascorbic acid content (4.73 mg 50 g⁻¹FW). Findings recorded by Klunklin and Savage (2017) also documented the significant differences between cultivars in quality characteristics. In the present study, the differences in the quality characteristics between well-watered and drought stress treatments were not significant.

Conclusion

Generally, Every plant has a different response to drought conditions. The present results show that sweet pepper hybrid 1515 F1 (low in capsaicin content) although produced the highest proline content, it gave the lowest total fruit yield, demonstrating that this genotype is very sensitive to drought stress.

In contrast, Omega F1 (that may be considered as a tolerant genotype) produced lower proline content (compared to bell pepper hybrid 1515 F1) but have the highest total fruit yield. The plant response to water deficit depends on the genotype of the plant not on proline content.

References

Abdalla MMA, El-Dekashey HZ, Dalia Nassef MT, Kahlil GZH. 2018. Effect of Irrigation Intervals and Genotypes on Growth and Yield of Eggplant (Solanum melongena L.). I- Vegetative Growth. Assiut Journal of Agricultural Sciences **49**, 157-176.

Abdulmalik MM, JD Obrewaju, IS Usman, A Ibrahim. 2012. Effects of moisture stress on flowering and fruit set in sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) cultivars. Production Agriculture and Technology **8**, 191–198.

Abebe YA. 2009. Managing the soil water balance of hot pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) to improve water productivity. Ph.D. Department of Plant Production and Soil Science, University of Pretoria, Pretoria.

Ahmadizadeh M. 2013. Physiological and agromorphological response to drought stress. Middle-East Journal Science Research **13**, 998-1009.

Alvino A, Centritto M, de Lerenzi F. 1994. Photosynthesis response of sunlight and shade pepper (Capsicum annuum) leaves at different positions in the canopy under two water regimes. Australian journal of plant physiology **21**, 377-391.

Armita D, Arumyngtyas EL, Mastuti R. 2017. Tolerance level of three genotypes of cayenne pepper (Capsicum frutescens L.) toward drought stress of vegetative phase based on morphological and physiological responses. International Journal of Chemistry Tech Research **10**, 183-192.

Ashraf M, Harris PJ. 2005. Abiotic Stresses. Plant Resistance Through Breeding and Molecular Approach. Binghamton, USA: Food Products Press. 1st edition April 2005, Boca Raton (CRC Press), p 766.

Askari A, Rdakani MR, Vazan S, Paknejad F, Hosseini Y. 2018. The Effect of Mycorrhizal Symbiosis and Seed Priming on the Amount of Chlorophyll Index and Absorption of Nutrients Under Drought Stress in Sesame Plant Under Field Conditions. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research 16, 335-357.

Bates L, Waldren RP, Teare ID. 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. Plant Soil **39**, 205–207.

Bharathi LK, Rengasamy S, Singh R, Prabhu KV, Sharma A, Singh A, Behera TK, Sivakumar PS. 2011. Estimation of capsaicin and

capsaicinoid contents of high pungent chilli accessions of Andaman and Nicobar Islands and North-East India. Indian Journal of Horticulture **68**, 551–555.

Bhutia KL, Khanna VK, Meetei TNG, Bhutia ND. 2018. Effects of Climate Change on Growth and Development of Chilli. Agro technology 7, 180.

Bojórquez-Quintal E, Ana Velarde-Buendía, Ángela Ku-González, Carillo-Pech M, Ortega-Camacho D, Ileana Echevarría-Machado, Pottosin I, Martínez-Estévez М. 2014. Mechanisms of salt tolerance in habanero pepper plants (Capsicum chinense Jacq.): Proline accumulation, ions dynamics and sodium root-shoot partition and compartmentation. Crop Science and Horticulture November 5, 605.

Bosland PW, Votava EJ. 2012. Peppers, Vegetables and Spices Capsicum. CABI Publishing. New York. **22**, 198.

Bruce RR, Chesness JL, Kaisling TC, Pallas JE, Smittle DA, Stansell JR, Thomas AW. 1980. Irrigation of Crops in the Southeastern United States: Principles and Practices. ARM-S-9.

Colak BY, Attila Y, Sertan S, Ilker C. 2017. Evaluation of yield and leaf water potential (LWP) for eggplant under varying irrigation regimes using surface and subsurface drip systems. Scientia Horticulturae **219**, 10–21.

Dimitrov Z, Ovtcharrova A. 1995. The productivity of peppers and tomatoes in case of insufficient water supply. In: Proceedings of ICID Special Technical Session on the Role of Advanced Technologies in Irrigation and Drainage System **19**, **1–9**.

Dorji K, Behboudian MH, Zegbe-Dominguez JA. 2005. Water relations, growth, yield, and fruit quality of hot pepper under deficit irrigation and partial rootzone drying. Scientia Horticulturae **104**,

137-149.

Elarabawy M, Tosswell P. 1998. An appraisal of southern valley development project in Egypt, Aqua Journal of Water Services Research and Technology **47**, 167-175.

Evangelista AWP, de Sá ARM, Júnior JA, Casaroli D, Leandro WM, de Souza JLM. 2016. Irrigation and lithothamnium fertilization in bell pepper cultivated in organic system. Revista Brasileira de Engenharia Agrícolae Ambiental **20**, 830-835.

Fan Y, Wang C, Nan Z. 2014. Comparative evaluation of crop water use efficiency, economic analysis and net household profit simulation in arid Northwest China. Agricultural Water Management 146, 335–345.

FAO, 2017. Production Year Book **60**, FAOSTAT Agricultural Statistics Database. Available at: http://www.fao.org/statistics/toptorade/trade.asp

Fernandez MD, Gallardo M, Bonachel S, Orgaz F, Thompsonand RB, Fereres E. 2005. Water use and production of a greenhouse pepper crop under optimum and limited water supply. Acta Horticulturae 537, 461-9.

Fita A, Fioruci F, Plazas M, Rodriguez-Burruezo A, Prohens J. 2015. Drought- Tolerance among Accessions of Eggplant and Related Species. Bulletin UASVM Horticulture **72**, 461-462.

Foday TI, Xing W, Shao G, Hua C. 2012. Effect of water use efficiency on growth and yield of hot pepper under partial root-zone drip irrigation condition. International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research, Volume 3, Issue 1, January.

Gharsallah C, Fakhfakh H, Grubb D, Gorsane F. 2016. Effect of salt stress on ion concentration, proline content, antioxidant enzyme activities and gene expression in tomato cultivars. AoB PLANTS 8. **Gomez KA, Gomez AA.** 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research, 2nd edition John Wiley and Sons, New York, 680 p.

Hahm M, Jin-Soo Son, Ye-Ji Hwang, Duk-Kee Kwon, Sa-Youl Ghim. 2017. Alleviation of Salt Stress in Pepper (Capsicum annum L.) Plants by Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria. Journal of Microbial and Biotechnology **27**, 1790–1797.

Hare PD, Cress WA. 1997. Metabolic implications of stress-induced proline accumulation in plants. Plant Growth Regulators **21**, 79-102.

Horemans N, Foyer CH, Asard H. 2000. Transport and action of ascorbate at the plant plasma membrane. Trends in Plant Science **5**, 263–267.

Hulugalle NR, Willatt ST. 1987. Patterns of water uptake and root distribution of chilli peppers grown in soil columns. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 67, 531–535.

Ichwan B, Suwignyo RA, Hayati R, Susilawati S. 2017. Response of Red Chilli Varieties Under Drought Stress. RJOAS, Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences 6(66).

Ilahi RNK, Isda MN, Rosmaina S. 2017. Vegetative Growth Responses to Drought Stress in Eggplant. International Conference on Biology and Environmental Science.

Ismail MS. 2010. Influence of Deficit Irrigation on Water Use Efficiency and Bird Pepper Production (Capsicum annuum L.). The Meteorology, Environment and Arid Land Agriculture Journal **21**, 29-43.

Jaleel AC, Manivannan P, Sankar B, Kishorekumar A, Gopi R, Somasundaram R, Panneerselvam R. 2007. Induction of drought stress tolerance by ketoconazole in Catharanthus roseus is mediated by enhanced antioxidant potentials and secondary metabolite accumulation.

Colloids and Surfaces B: Bio-interfaces **60**, 201–206.

Joshi R, Singla-Pareek SL, Pareek A. 2018. Engineering abiotic stress response in plants for biomass production. JBC Papers in Press. Published on January 18, as Manuscript TM117.000232.

Juan I, Vílchez, Niehaus K, Dowling DN, González-López J, Manzanera M. 2018. Protection of Pepper Plants from Drought by Microbacterium sp. 3J1 by Modulation of the Plant's Glutamine and a-ketoglutarate Content: A Comparative Metabolomics Approach. Frontiers of Microbiology **9**, 284.

Kang S, Zhang L, Hu X, Li Z, Jerie P. 2001. An improved water use efficiency for hot pepper grown under controlled alternate drip irrigation on partial roots. Scientia Horticulturae **89**, 257–267.

Khan MAI, Farooq AM, Haque MA, Rahim MA, Hoque MA. 2008. Effects of Water Stress at Various Growth Stages on The Physio-Morphological Characters and Yield in Chilli. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research **33**, 353-362.

Sara Mardaninejad, Zareabyaneh H, Tabatabaei SH, Pessarakli M, khani AM. 2017. Root water uptake of pepper plants (Capsicum annuum L.) under deficit irrigation system, Journal of Plant Nutrition **40**, 1569-1579.

Khederi SJ, Khanjani M, Hoseini MA, Hoseininia A, Safari H. 2016. Effects of drought stress and super absorbent polymer on susceptibility of pepper to damage caused by Aphis gossypii Glover (Hem.: Aphididae). Journal of Crop Protection **5**, 49-57.

Kipchirchir LS. 2016. Evaluation of African Eggplant Accessions for Phenotypic Traits and Adaptation to Water Stress.

Klunklin W, G Savage. 2017. Effect on Quality Characteristics of Tomatoes Grown Under WellWatered and Drought Stress Conditions. Foods 6, 56.

Kulkarni M, Phalke S. 2009. Evaluating variability of root size sys- tem and its constitutive traits in hot pepper (Capsicum annum L.) under water stress. Scientia Horticulturae **120**, 159–166.

Kumar SR, Arumugam T. 2013. Correlation and Path Coefficient Analysis for Some Yield-Related Traits in F2 Segregating Population of Eggplant, International Journal of Vegetable Science 19, 334-341.

Kuşçu H, Turhan A, Özmen N, Aydınol P, Demir AO. 2016. Response of red pepper to deficit irrigation and nitrogen fertigation, Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science **62**, 1396-1410.

Liu Z, Tyo KE, Martínez JL, Petranovic D, Nielsen J. 2012. Different expression systems for production of recombinant proteins in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biotechnol Bioeng 109, 1259-68.

Marín A, José Rubio S, Vicente Martínez, María Gil I. 2009. Antioxidant compounds in green and red peppers as affected by irrigation frequency, salinity and nutrient solution composition. Journal of Science and Food Agriculture **89**, 1352–1359.

Mattioli R, Costantino P, Trovato M. 2009. Proline accumulation in plants and quality of field grown bell pepper. Agricultural Water Management **81**, 115–131.

Maughan T, Drost D, Allen LN. 2015. Vegetable Irrigation: Sweet Pepper and Tomato Bank Balance (Soil Water Content) 577, 100-5. British Columbia Ministry of Agriculture and Food. May 2015.

Mickelbart MV, Hasegawa PM, Bailey-Serres J. 2015. Genetic mechanisms of abiotic stress tolerance that translate to crop yield stability. Nature Reviews Genetics **16**, 237–51.

Moreno MM, F Ribas, Moreno A, Cabello MJ. 2003. Physiological response of a pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) crop to different trickle irrigation rates. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research **1**, 65–74.

Nagaz K, Masmoudi MM, Ben Mechlia N. 2012. Effect of deficit drip-irrigation scheduling regimes with saline water on pepper yield, water productivity and soil salinity under arid conditions of Tunisia. Journal of Applied Horticulture **14**, 18-24.

Nancy Ruiz-Lau, Fatima Medina-Lara, Yereni Minero-Garcıa, Enid Zamudio-Moreno, Adolfo Guzman-Antonio, Ileana Echevarrıa-Machado, Manuel Martınez-Estevez. 2011. Water Deficit Affects the Accumulation of Capsaicinoids in Fruits of Capsicum chinense Jacq. Horticultural Science **46**, 487–492.

Nouri MZ, Moumeni A, Komatsu S. 2015. Abiotic Stresses: Insight into Gene Regulation and Protein Expression in Photosynthetic Pathways of Plants. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 16, 20392–416.

Penella C, Nebauer SG, López-Galarza S, San Bautista A, Rodríguez-Burruezo A, Calatayud A. 2014. Evaluation of some pepper genotypes as rootstocks in water stress conditions. Horticultural Science. (Prague) **41**, 192–200.

Perry L, Dickau R, Zarrillo S, Holst I, Pearsall DM, Piperno DR. 2007. Starch fossils and the domestication and dispersal of chili peppers (Capsicumspp. L.) in The Americas. Science **325**, 986–988.

Phimchan P, Techawongstien S, Chanthai S, Paul Bosland W. 2012. Impact of Drought Stress on the Accumulation of Capsaicinoids in Capsicum Cultivars with Different Initial Capsaicinoid Levels. Horticultural Science **47**, 1204–1209.

Pottosin I, Velarde-Buendía AM, Bose J, Zepeda-Jazo I, Shabala Dobrovinskaya SO. 2014. Cross-talk between reactive oxygen species and polyamines in regulation of ion transport across the plasma membrane: implications for plant adaptive responses. Journal of Experimental Botany **97**, 313 – 322.

Premavalli KS, Amrinder Singh, Bawa Dadasaheb, Wadikar D, Nanjappa C. 2010. Development of ready-to-eat appetizers based on pepper and their quality evaluation. Journal of Food Science Technology **47**, 638–643.

Qiu R, Du T, Kang S, Chen R, Wu L. 2015. Assessing the SIMDualKc model for estimating evapotranspiration of hot pepper grown in a solar greenhouse in Northwest China. Agricultural Systems **138**, 1–9.

Qureshi M, Abdin M, Ahmad J, Iqbal M. 2013. Effect of long-term salinity on cellular antioxidants, compatible solute and fatty acid profile of sweet Annie (Artemisia annua L.). Phytochemistry **95**, 215–223.

Rakha MKA. 2018. Vegetable Improvement at World Vegetables from Genetic Resources to Commercialization. World Vegetable Center, AFSTA, Cairo, Egypt 26th February to 1st March 2018.

Reichardt W, Briones A, de Jesus R, Padre B. 2011. Microbial population shifts in experimental rice systems. Applied Soil Ecology **17**, 151–163.

Rosmaina Sobir, Parjanto Yunus A. 2018. Selection criteria development for chili pepper under different field water capacity at vegetative stage. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science **24**, 80–90.

Ruck JA. 1963. Chemical Methods for Analysis of Fruit and vegetable Products. Summerland, Canada Department of Agriculture.

Sahitya UL, Krishna MSR, Sri Deepthi R, Prasad GS, Kasim DP. 2018. Seed Antioxidants Interplay with Drought Stress Tolerance Indices in Chilli (Capsicum annuum L) Seedlings. Hindawi, BioMed Research International, Article ID 1605096, 14 pages.

Samira A, Woldetsadik K, Workneh TS. 2013. Postharvest quality and shelf life of some hot pepper varieties. Journal of Food Science Technology **50**, 842–855.

Sanogo S. 2006. Predisposition effects of spill water saturation on infection of Chile pepper by phytophthora capsici. Horticultural science **41**, 172– 175.

Sara Mardaninejad, Zareabyaneh H, Tabatabaei SH, Pessarakli M, Khani AM. 2017. Root water uptake of pepper plants (Capsicum annuum L.) under deficit irrigation system, Journal of Plant Nutrition **40**, 1569-1579.

Sezen SM, Yazar A, Eker S. 2006. Effect of drip irrigation regimes on yield and quality of field grown bell pepper. Agricultural Water Management **81**, 115– 131.

Shao GC, Zhang ZY, Liu N, Yu SE, Xing WG. 2008. Comparative effects of deficit irrigation (DI) and partial rootzone drying (PRD) on soil water distribution, water use, growth and yield in greenhouse grown hot pepper. Scientia Horticulturae 119, 11–16.

Shapiguzov A, Vainonen JP, Wrzaczek M, Kangasjärvi J. 2012. ROS talk- how the apoplast, the chloroplast, and the nucleus get the message through. Frontiers of Plant Science **3**, 292.

Singh B, Kukreja S, Goutam U. 2018. Milestones achieved in response to drought stress through reverse genetic approaches [version 1, referees: awaiting peer review] F1000 Research 7, 1311.

Smith SD, Monson RK, Anderson JE. 1998. Water Relations of Riparian Plants from Warm Desert Regions. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany. **Terao Y, Nakamori S, Takagi H.** 2003. Gene dosage effect of L-proline biosynthetic enzymes on L-proline accumulation and freeze tolerance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **69**, 6527–6532.

Verbruggen N, Hermans C. 2008. Proline accumulation in plants: a review. Amino Acids 35, 753-759.

Wu ZZ, Ying YQ, Zhang Y, Bi YF, Wang AK, Du XH. 2018. Alleviation of drought stress in Phyllostachys edulis by N and P application. Scientific Reports **8**, 228.

Xie J, Cardenas ES, Sammis TW, Wall MM, Lindsey DL, Murray LW. 1999. Effects of irrigation method on chile pepper yield and Phytophthora root rot incidence. Agricultural Water Management **42**, 127-142.

Yancy PH, Clark ME, Hand SC, Bowlus RD, Somero GN. 1982 Living with water stress: evolution of osmolyte systems, Science **217**, 1214– 1223.

Yang H, Liul H, Zheng J, Huang Q. 2018. Effects of regulated deficit irrigation on yield and water productivity of chili pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) in the arid environment of Northwest China. Irrigation Science **36**, 61–74.

Yao X, J Cho, Wang G. 2009. Effects of selenium on wheat seedlings under drought stress. Biological Trace Element **130**, 283–290.

Yildirim M, Demirel K, Bahar E. 2012. Effect of Restricted Water Supply and Stress Development on Growth of Bell Pepper (Capsicum Annuum L.) Under Drought Conditions. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **3**, 1-6.

Yildizli A, Çevik S, Ünyayar S. 2018. Effects of exogenous myo-inositol on leaf water status and oxidative stress of Capsicum annuum under drought

Zechmann B. 2011. Subcellular distribution of ascorbate in plants. Plant Signaling & Behavior 6, 360–363.