



## Development of tomato glazed slices by using different sweeteners

Nouman Khan<sup>1\*</sup>, Said Wahab<sup>1</sup>, Arsalan Khan<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Zeeshan<sup>2\*</sup>, Falak Naz Shah<sup>2</sup>, Muhammad Ali Khan<sup>3</sup>, Abid Shah Shinwari<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan*

<sup>2</sup>*Agricultural Research Institute, Tarnab, Peshawar, Pakistan*

<sup>3</sup>*Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Pakistan*

**Key words:** Glace, Tomato, Storage, Sweetener.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/15.5.147-154>

Article published on November 15, 2019

### Abstract

Tomato is a perishable crop and has high moisture content with lower shelf life. Postharvest treatments and practices are needed to extend shelf life. In current research different sweeteners were used to develop glace tomato slices, a value-added product of tomato. Tomato slices were immersed in sucrose, glucose, salt and CA (citric acid) solution at different concentration and stored at room temperature for 20hrs. Then drained out the excessive syrup and slices were placed in dryer for drying at 55 °C till a shelf stable state. Prepared glazed tomato slices were then placed in waterproof zip polythene bags and were evaluated for physicochemical and sensory attributes for storage period of six months. Results showed percent increase in pH (9.93- 14.35 %), moisture content (9.13- 12.94 %) and reducing sugar (24.29-33.57 %). Whereas percent decrease was observed in Acidity (21.02-24.64 %), Ascorbic acid (25.21-26.17 %), Non-Reducing sugar (19- 34.66 %), Color (25.29- 47.44 %), Texture (32.18- 48.10 %), Taste (25-31.25) and overall acceptability (37.50-46.25 %). Results also showed that physicochemical and sensory attributes of the glazed tomato slices was significantly affected by treatments and storage period. The Sample T3 (25% sucrose, 15% glucose, 0.2% citric acid and 0.2% salt) was found most satisfactory and acceptable in terms of quality and physicochemical characteristics. For diabetic patients, artificial sweeteners may be used in future to check the acceptability.

\* **Corresponding Author:** Muhammad Zeeshan ✉ [zeeshanfst07@gmail.com](mailto:zeeshanfst07@gmail.com)

## Introduction

Glacé fruit or peel is fruit that is drained from the sugar syrup and used as it is for eating or baking, whereas candied or crystallized fruit is air dried and then coated with sugar. Process for making candied fruit has been around since the 14th century when sugar became more widely available.

It involves placing whole fruit, smaller pieces of fruit, or pieces of peel, in heated sugar syrup, which absorbs the moisture from within the fruit and eventually preserves it. It is a bit more sophisticated than the ancient Roman process of just placing fruit in honey but both use the same scientific principle of osmosis (Jones, 2010). Osmotic process starts when water moves from low to high concentrated fruits and vegetables in osmotic solution. Surface or cell membrane of raw material works as semipermeable membrane (Khan, 2012).

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) is considered an imperative vegetable crop. Tomato takes second position among major vegetables, growing in Pakistan (Mirza, 2007). Cultivated land under Tomato were 41 thousand/ha, during 2014-15 and aggregate production were 566.9 K tones, with 10522 Kilogram/ha yield (Agricultural Statistics of Pakistan, 2014-15). Tomatoes are the good source of vitamin A, C, lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene in large amount which has a positive impact on human health. Composition of tomato is (91%) water, (5-7%) soluble and insoluble solids, citric acid, vitamins and minerals and other organic acids (Pedro and Ferreira, 2007). During summer tomato price is very high and available in large quantity with relatively low price during reaping period. As a seasonal fruit, tomato production rate is very low in its off season and common peoples do not reach to the price because the cost escalates and many peoples are not able to buy it. Another issue with tomatoes is that it is highly perishable fruit with lower shelf life. Development of candy from Tomato is one of the alternative methods to reduce tomato loss. Without any preservation system shelf life of tomato is 2-3 days; while tomato candies have up to six months shelf life. Consumer attraction would be more

to tomato candy because it is very practical to consume in solid form.

Therefore, the current study was conducted to prepare a delicious, nutritious and stable product from raw fruit in order to minimize post-harvest losses and to indirectly uplift the farmer's economic status.

## Materials and methods

The research was carried out in the laboratory of Food Science and Technology at Agricultural Research Institute Tarnab, Peshawar. Fresh tomatoes free from diseases, healthy and fully ripe with best colour were procured. Selection of tomato fruit was on the basis of uniform size, cleanliness and freshness. Tomatoes were colour sorted according to the standard method of (USDA 1991) for colour sorting.

Fruit was blanched at 80 °C in hot water for very short time. Tomatoes were peeled and seeds were removed. Different slices of uniform sizes were made and dipped into different sucrose and glucose solution for 20 hours according to the plan of study.

Tomatoes were then dried at 60 °C in mechanical dryer to obtain the moisture content of  $\leq 18\%$ . Prepared glazed tomato slices were then placed in polythene bags and kept in a room temperature for storage. Physicochemical and sensory analysis were performed for 180 days. The treatments were made according to the plan of work mentioned in table 1.

## Statistical analysis

All the data concerning treatments and storage were analyzed using Completely Randomized Design with two factors (storage, treatment) using a statistical software Statistix 8.1. In case the data was found significant then least significant test was applied at 0.5% level of significance for mean comparison.

## Results

Ascorbic acid significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) decreased from 16.65 to 12.38 (Table 2.).

**Table 1.** Plan of work for development of tomato glazed slices.

Tomato	Sucrose	Glucose	Salt	Citric Acid
To = Tomato Slice	40%	No Glucose	0.2%	0.2%
T1 = Tomato Slice	35%	5%	0.2%	0.2%
T 2 = Tomato Slice	30%	10%	0.2%	0.2%
T 3 = Tomato Slice	25%	15%	0.2%	0.2%
T 4 = Tomato Slice	20%	20%	0.2%	0.2%
T 5 = Tomato Slice	15%	25%	0.2%	0.2%

The higher value of mean was observed in T<sub>0</sub> (14.84), while lower value was observed in sample T<sub>5</sub> (14.29). The maximum percent decline was observed in sample T<sub>2</sub> (26.17%) while minimum percent decrease was found in sample T<sub>3</sub> (25.21%). The pH values significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increase from 3.93 to 4.50.

Maximum mean value for pH was recorded in sample T<sub>0</sub> (4.70), the lowest value for mean was noted in a T<sub>3</sub> (3.98). The highest percent increase was observed in the T<sub>2</sub> (14.35), while minimum decline was recorded in sample T<sub>3</sub> (9.93) (Table 3).

**Table 2.** Vitamin C (mg/100g) of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Dec	Mean
	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	180		
Vitamin C									
T <sub>0</sub>	16.87	16.02	15.65	14.98	14.21	13.67	12.51	25.84	14.84a
T <sub>1</sub>	16.57	15.87	15.21	14.64	13.78	13.11	12.34	25.53	14.50d
T <sub>2</sub>	16.66	15.81	15.44	14.77	14	13.46	12.3	26.17	14.63bc
T <sub>3</sub>	16.78	16.08	15.42	14.85	13.99	13.32	12.55	25.21	14.71b
T <sub>4</sub>	16.68	15.98	15.32	14.75	13.89	13.22	12.45	25.36	14.61c
T <sub>5</sub>	16.36	15.66	15	14.43	13.57	12.9	12.13	25.86	14.29e
Mean	16.65a	15.90b	15.34c	14.74d	13.91e	13.28f	12.38g		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 3.** pH of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Inc	Mean
	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	180		
pH									
T <sub>0</sub>	4.33	4.59	4.64	4.73	4.79	4.87	4.97	12.88	4.70a
T <sub>1</sub>	3.93	3.98	4.06	4.13	4.24	4.37	4.49	12.47	4.17b
T <sub>2</sub>	3.88	3.95	4.11	4.23	4.34	4.41	4.53	14.35	4.21b
T <sub>3</sub>	3.81	3.82	3.89	3.95	4.04	4.12	4.23	9.93	3.98d
T <sub>4</sub>	3.84	3.93	3.98	4.08	4.16	4.27	4.37	12.13	4.09c
T <sub>5</sub>	3.8	3.89	3.97	4.09	4.18	4.26	4.38	13.24	4.08c
Mean	3.93g	4.03f	4.11e	4.20d	4.29c	4.38b	4.50a		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Percent acidity substantially ( $p < 0.05$ ) decreased from 0.63 to 0.48. Highest mean value was noted in experimental unit T<sub>5</sub> (0.62), while the minimum mean value was observed in a sample T<sub>0</sub> (0.50). The

highest % decrease was noted in T<sub>5</sub> (24.64%) while lowest % decrease was T<sub>3</sub> (21.02%) (Table 4). Non-reducing sugar were substantially ( $p < 0.05$ ) decreased from 27.84 to 22.00.

**Table 4.** Percent Acidity of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Dec	Mean
	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	180		
Acidity									
T <sub>0</sub>	0.575	0.555	0.535	0.495	0.475	0.445	0.435	24.35	0.50f
T <sub>1</sub>	0.592	0.572	0.552	0.512	0.492	0.462	0.452	23.65	0.52e
T <sub>2</sub>	0.611	0.591	0.571	0.531	0.511	0.481	0.471	22.91	0.54d
T <sub>3</sub>	0.666	0.646	0.626	0.586	0.566	0.536	0.526	21.02	0.59b
T <sub>4</sub>	0.634	0.614	0.594	0.554	0.534	0.504	0.494	22.08	0.56c
T <sub>5</sub>	0.69	0.68	0.66	0.63	0.59	0.56	0.52	24.64	0.62a
Mean	0.63a	0.61b	0.59c	0.55d	0.53e	0.50f	0.48g		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 5.** Percent Non reducing sugar of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Dec	Mean
	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	180		
Non -Reducing Sugar									
T <sub>0</sub>	35.71	34.21	33.43	32.45	31.22	30.57	29.87	16.35	32.49a
T <sub>1</sub>	33.62	32.12	31.34	30.36	29.13	28.48	27.78	17.37	30.40b
T <sub>2</sub>	30.73	29.23	28.45	27.47	26.24	25.59	24.89	19	27.51c
T <sub>3</sub>	27.5	26	25.22	24.24	23.01	22.36	21.66	21.24	24.28d
T <sub>4</sub>	22.61	21.11	20.33	19.35	18.12	17.47	16.77	25.83	19.39e
T <sub>5</sub>	16.85	15.35	14.57	13.59	12.36	11.71	11.01	34.66	13.63f
Mean	27.84a	26.34b	25.56c	24.58d	23.35e	22.70f	22.00g		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Maximum values were noted in sample T<sub>0</sub> (32.49) while minimum value was observed in sample T<sub>5</sub> (13.63). The highest % decrease was recorded T<sub>5</sub> (34.66%) while minimum decrease occur in T<sub>0</sub> (16.35%) (Table 5). Concentration of reducing sugar

significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased from 47.16 to 53.00. Highest mean value for treatments was recorded in sample T<sub>5</sub> (61.37), while minimum mean value was recorded in T<sub>0</sub> (42.51).

**Table 6.** Reducing sugar of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Inc	Mean
	Initial	30 Day	60 Day	90 Day	120 Day	150 Day	180 Day		
Reducing sugar									
T <sub>0</sub>	39.3	40.8	41.6	42.6	43.8	44.4	45.1	12.94	42.51f
T <sub>1</sub>	41.4	42.9	43.7	44.6	45.9	46.5	47.2	12.37	44.60e
T <sub>2</sub>	44.3	45.8	46.6	47.5	48.8	49.4	50.1	11.65	47.49d
T <sub>3</sub>	47.5	49	49.8	50.8	52	52.6	53.3	10.95	50.72c
T <sub>4</sub>	52.4	53.9	54.7	55.7	56.9	57.5	58.2	10.03	55.61b
T <sub>5</sub>	58.2	59.7	60.4	61.4	62.6	63.3	64	9.13	61.37a
Mean	47.16g	48.66f	49.44e	50.42d	51.65c	52.30b	53.00a		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

The maximum percent increase was recorded in sample T<sub>0</sub> (12.94%) while minimum percent increase was recorded in sample T<sub>5</sub> (9.13%) (Table 6). Moisture content significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased from 9.90 to 14.26. Highest mean value for

treatments was recorded in T<sub>5</sub> (13.12), while the minimum mean value was recorded in T<sub>1</sub> (11.28). Maximum percent increased was recorded in sample T<sub>2</sub> (33.57%) while minimum percent increase was recorded T<sub>0</sub> (24.29%) (Table 6).

**Table 7.** Moisture content of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Inc	Mean
	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	180		
Moisture									
T <sub>0</sub>	9.88	10.28	10.88	11.31	11.97	12.51	13.05	24.29	11.41e
T <sub>1</sub>	9.28	9.84	10.51	11.09	11.95	12.95	13.31	30.28	11.28e
T <sub>2</sub>	9.38	10.18	10.97	11.95	12.75	13.64	14.12	33.57	11.86d
T <sub>3</sub>	9.9	10.7	11.49	12.47	13.27	14.16	14.64	32.38	12.38c
T <sub>4</sub>	10.32	11.12	11.91	12.89	13.69	14.58	15.06	31.47	12.80b
T <sub>5</sub>	10.64	11.44	12.23	13.21	14.01	14.9	15.38	30.82	13.12a
Mean	9.90g	10.59f	11.33e	12.15d	12.94c	13.79b	14.26a		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 8.** Color of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Dec	Mean
	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	180		
Color									
T <sub>0</sub>	7.8	7.7	7.1	6.5	6	5.5	4.1	47.44	6.39d
T <sub>1</sub>	7.6	7.2	7	6.2	5.9	5.6	4.6	39.47	6.30d
T <sub>2</sub>	7.9	7.1	7	6.5	6.2	5.8	5.1	35.44	6.51d
T <sub>3</sub>	8.7	8.5	7.8	7.6	7.4	6.9	6.5	25.29	7.63a
T <sub>4</sub>	8.5	8.3	7.6	7.2	7	6.7	6.1	28.24	7.34b
T <sub>5</sub>	8.3	8	7.2	6.7	6.5	5.8	5	39.76	6.79c
Mean	8.13a	7.80b	7.28c	6.78d	6.50d	6.05e	5.23f		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

In term of sensory characteristics, T<sub>3</sub> showed best results in term of color having a shiny appearance. Maximum value for mean was noted in sample T<sub>3</sub> (7.63), while minimum value for mean was noted in T<sub>0</sub> (6.30). Highest percent decline was noted in sample T<sub>5</sub> (39.76%), while lowest percent decline was noted in T<sub>3</sub> (25.29%) (Table 8). The overall mean points of judges for texture substantially ( $p < 0.05$ ) declined from 8.23 to 4.98. Highest value for mean was noted in sample T<sub>3</sub> (7.50) on the other hand lowest value was noted in sample T<sub>0</sub> (6.33). Highest percent decreased was noted in T<sub>0</sub> (48.10%), while

lowest percent score was noted in sample T<sub>3</sub> (32.18%) (Table 9). The overall mean value of judges for taste substantially ( $p < 0.05$ ) declined from 7.97 to 5.65. Maximum value for mean was noted in T<sub>3</sub> (6.96), on the other hand lowest value for mean was seen and recorded in T<sub>2</sub> (6.70). Highest % decline was seen and recorded in T<sub>0</sub> and T<sub>1</sub> (31.25%), while minimum percent decrease was noted in sample T<sub>3</sub> (25.00%) (Table 10). Overall acceptability substantially ( $p < 0.05$ ) declined from 8.20 to 4.74. for treatments, highest value for mean was seen and recorded in T<sub>3</sub> (6.91), while minimum value for mean was recorded

in T<sub>5</sub> (6.39). Maximum percent decline was noted in T<sub>5</sub> (46.25%), while minimum percent decline was reported in T<sub>2</sub> (37.50%) (Table 11).

### Discussion

The loss of vitamin C is believed due to a change in the structure of tissue of fruit. The higher the sugar

solutions lead water molecules to diffuse reducing Vitamin C content.

During storage and processing loss in Vitamin C occurs as reported in previous studies. Ascorbic acid is a water-soluble vitamin C and may be destroyed by oxidation (Tamuno *et al.*, 2015).

**Table 9.** Texture of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Dec	Mean
	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	180		
Texture									
T <sub>0</sub>	7.9	7.4	7	6.5	6.1	5.3	4.1	48.10	6.33d
T <sub>1</sub>	8	7.8	7.3	6.8	6.3	5.5	4.5	43.75	6.60c
T <sub>2</sub>	8.1	7.9	7.5	6.9	6.6	6	5.1	37.04	6.87b
T <sub>3</sub>	8.7	8.2	7.9	7.8	7.3	6.7	5.9	32.18	7.50a
T <sub>4</sub>	8.4	7.9	7.5	7	6.8	6.2	5.5	34.52	7.04b
T <sub>5</sub>	8.3	7.8	7.1	6.6	6.2	5.3	4.8	42.17	6.59c
Mean	8.23a	7.83b	7.38c	6.93d	6.55e	5.83f	4.98g		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 10.** Taste of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Dec	Mean
	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	180		
Taste									
T <sub>0</sub>	8	7.8	7.2	6.7	6.1	5.9	5.5	31.25	6.74b
T <sub>1</sub>	8	7.8	7.4	6.4	6.1	5.7	5.5	31.25	6.70b
T <sub>2</sub>	7.9	7.6	7.3	6.8	6.1	5.8	5.6	29.11	6.73b
T <sub>3</sub>	8	7.9	7.4	7	6.4	6	6	25	6.96a
T <sub>4</sub>	7.9	7.7	7.1	6.5	6.2	5.9	5.7	27.85	6.71b
T <sub>5</sub>	8	7.7	7.2	6.7	6.2	5.6	5.6	30	6.71b
Mean	7.97a	7.75b	7.27c	6.68d	6.18e	5.82f	5.65g		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

The pH followed a slight increasing trend as the storage period was increased (3.93 to 4.50). The increase in moisture content cause decrease in acidity hence caused higher pH. The decrease in acidity might be due to the higher moisture gain due storage period. The osmotic solution causes organic acid of fruit to leach out from the fruit causing lowering in acidity. Similarly kumar *et al.* (2008) observed decreasing trend in acidity of osmo-vac dried mango slices. The decrease in non-reducing sugar during

storage might be due to conversion to reducing sugar. Zia and Ayub (2012) observed similar results of decrease in non-reducing sugar of sucrose and glucose preserved melon cubes. The statistical analysis showed that reducing sugar of glazed tomato slices was significantly influenced by treatments and storage intervals. This might be due to the inversion of added sugar. Increasing trend of reducing sugar was reported in the effect of storage methods on sapota candy by Divya *et al.* (2014).

**Table 11.** Overall acceptability of glazed tomato slices stored at ambient temperature.

Treat	Storage interval							% Dec	Mean
	Initial	30	60	90	120	150	180		
Overall acceptability									
T <sub>0</sub>	7.90	7.77	7.13	6.80	6.10	5.50	4.40	44.30	6.51bc
T <sub>1</sub>	8.30	7.78	7.57	6.50	6.23	5.70	4.65	43.98	6.68b
T <sub>2</sub>	8.00	7.80	7.53	6.43	6.00	5.40	5.00	37.50	6.59bc
T <sub>3</sub>	8.50	7.80	7.60	6.90	6.50	5.80	5.30	37.65	6.91a
T <sub>4</sub>	8.50	7.90	7.47	6.32	5.91	5.80	4.80	43.53	6.67b
T <sub>5</sub>	8.00	7.50	7.20	6.70	5.90	5.10	4.30	46.25	6.39c
Mean	8.20a	7.76b	7.42c	6.61d	6.11e	5.55f	4.74g		

Values followed by different small letters are statistically different ( $p < 0.05$ ).

The permitted moisture content of osmo dried food is maximum upto 25%. The higher moisture level in the environment might have caused the higher gain during storage period. Hasanuzzaman *et al.* (2014) observed increase in moisture content with 6 months of storage in tomato candy, attributed to moisture pickup from atmosphere. Color of many foods is important quality parameter in marketing. Important as related to consumer preferences based on appearance. The sugar solutions normally do not cause any damage to the Red color of tomato. Higher concentrations of sugar sometimes create caramelization and turn the products into red with dark red and even black. Tomato slices with 25% sucrose and 15% glucose solution were the most preferred product to the panelists; this is possible because the taste of tomatoes were still pleasant. The mean score for all sensory attribute decreased during storage which might be due to the environmental factor causing decrease in color. Moisture gain cause disruption in texture, while disturbance in acidity have caused taste disruption. Overall acceptability is a countenance of the individual sensory factors like color, texture, taste and other qualitative characters.

### Conclusion

In the present study, glazed tomato slices was prepared by using different sweeteners (sucrose and glucose) with different concentrations. From this study, it was revealed that the sample treatment T<sub>3</sub>

treated with (25% sucrose and 15% glucose) followed by T<sub>4</sub> (20% sucrose and 20% glucose) showed best results in both physicochemically and organoleptically.

It is also evident from the results that the physical and sensory properties of the glazed tomato slices have been significantly affected by the storage period. This study will help the food producer or the manufacturer of the confectionaries to select the appropriate concentration of sucrose and glucose solution to developed glazed tomato slices.

### References

- Divya AR, Jayashree S, Bhogi B.** 2014. Effect of storage methods on the nutritional quality of sapota candy. *Asian Journal of Dairy and Food Research* **33(2)**, 104-108.
- Hasanuzzaman M, Kamruzzaman M, Mominul I, Sultana AAK, Rahman MML, Lisa A, Paul DK.** 2014. A Study on Tomato Candy Prepared by Dehydration Technique Using Different Sugar Solutions. *Food and Nutrition Sciences* **5**, 1261-1271.
- Tamunu NJE.** 2015. Effect of Packaging Materials, Storage Conditions on the Vitamin C and pH Value of Cashew Apple (*Anacardium occidentale L.*) Juice. *Journal of Food and Nutrition Science* **3(4)**, 160-165.

**Jones VP.** 2010. Historical narrative of the canning industry in British Columbia's southern interior. Retrieved from <http://hdl.handle.net/2429/306.86>

**Khan MR.** 2012. Osmotic Dehydration Technique for Fruits Preservation—A Review. *Pakistan Journal of Food Science* **22**, 71-85.

**Kumar PS, Sagar VR.** 2008. Quality of osmo-vac dehydrated ripe mango slices influenced by packaging material and storage temperature. *Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research* **67**, 1108-1114.

**Mirza I.** 2007. Tomato paste plant to be set up at Killa Saifullah. Available at <http://www.pakissan.com/english/news/newsDetail.php?newsid=15041>

(Accessed on 31 August, 2007).

**Pedro AMK, Ferreira MMC.** 2007. Simultaneously calibrating solids, sugars and acidity of tomato products using PLS2 and NIR spectroscopy. *Analytica Chimica Acta* **595**, 221-227.

**Ministry of National Food Security and Research.** 2015. Agriculture statistics of Pakistan.

**U.S.D.A** 1991. US Standards for Grades of Fresh Tomatoes. USDA, Agricultural Marketing Service, Washington DC.

**Zia A, Ayub M.** 2012. Quality evaluation of melon cubes preserved in sweetener solution. M.Sc. Thesis. Food Science and Technology Department, University of Agriculture Peshawar.