International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 15, No. 6, p. 164-173 2019

Growth and yield response of peanut, (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) and soil characteristics with application of inorganic and organic fertilizer and dolomite addition

Violijim Ranay Arangote^{1,4*}, Rex Bomvet De Leon Saura^{2,4}, Reuben James Cillo Rollon³

¹Faculty, Surigao del Norte College of Agriculture and Technology, 8407 Magpayang, Mainit, Surigao del Norte, Philippines

²Faculty, Surigao State College of Technology-Mainit Campus, 8407 Magpayang, Mainit, Surigao del Norte, Philippines

^sFaculty, College of Agriculture and Agri-Industries, Caraga State University, 8600 Ampayon, Butuan City, Agusan del Norte, Philippines

^aGraduate Student, Caraga State University, 8600 Ampayon, Butuan City, Agusan del Norte, Philippines

Key words: Peanut, Growth and yield, Chicken manure, Soil properties, Dolomite.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/15.6.164-173

Article published on December 18, 2019

Abstract

Peanut is one of affordable nutrition rich leguminous crops. This experimental study evaluated the response of peanut, *Arachis hypogaea* and soil properties to the application of ten (10) treatments with three replication such as T_1 -unfertilization, 10 t ha⁻¹ of CM-chicken manure (T_2), CM-Biochar(T_3), GM-Goat manure(T_4), NPK -60-60-60 kg ha⁻¹ (T_5), dolomite -1 t ha⁻¹ (T_6), and T_7 (T_2 + dolomite), T_8 (T_3 + dolomite), T_9 (T_4 + dolomite) and T_{10} (T_5 + dolomite) in RCB design. Comparison of means revealed there is no significant difference on plant height, number of leaves, girth size diameter and no. of pods and weight of nodules but there is significant difference in the weight of pods, number of nodules, dry weight of roots and shoot provide by ANOVA test. However, there is an increase inplant height, number of leaves, girth size diameter observed treatments with either chicken manure or biochar with dolomite. Further, chicken manure increase in number and weight of pods and weight of nodules while number of nodules, dry matter of shoot and root observed higher in chicken manure biochar alone and/or with dolomite and also improve soil characteristics. Furthermore, soil analysis revealed heavy soil texture, 0.6-0.7 % SOM, Soil pH range 5.3-6.2 i.e. moderately to slightly acidic, P low to medium, K is low amount but relatively improve than initial. Furthermore, soil amended with chicken manure increases growth and yield of peanut *Arachis hypogaea* L.

*Corresponding Author: Violijim Ranay Arangote 🖂 vrarangote@tesda.gov.ph

Introduction

The cultivated peanut or groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is one of the important leguminous crop worldwide as well as in the local. Peanut is an annual oil seed belonging to the Leguminosae family originated in South America and is now grown in tropical and warm temperate regions of the world (Putnam et al., 1991; Sharma and Mathur, 2006; Bertioli et al., 2011). Utilize worldwide for its nutrition, protein and energy-rich to address the nutritional needs in developing countries. Currently, consider as good heart-healthy diet and value in phytonutrient such as resveratrol, isoflavonoids, phenolic acids, and phytosterols, which may enhance health and wellness (Isanga and Zhang, 2007; Francisco and Resurreccion, 2008; Akhtar et al., 2014; Toomer, 2018).

Peanut is the second most important food legume in the Philippines (Altoveros and Boromeo, 2007). However, peanut farmers got only 47% production of 0.9 t/ha to the potential output of 2 t/ha indicating a low yield performance due to operating capital, farm size, and total labor (Huelgas *et al.*, 1989).

The production remains low and erratic in the year 1990-1996 (Palomar, 1998) and in 2012 (Sicat and Buño, 2014). Further, the national peanut production as of first quarter of 2019 at 12.25 thousand metric tons it was 0.5 percent lower than its 2018 level of 12.30 thousand metric tons (Perez and Bautista, 2019). Inadequate and imbalance use of nutrient, poor soil health and drought stress, high levels of aflatoxin contamination in harvested kernels are the common problem worldwide in peanut production (Singh, 1999; Pitt *et al.*, 2013; Njoroge *et al.*, 2017).

According to relevant studies peanut can be grown considering the important macronutrients, (N, P, K, Ca, S and Mg) and micro-nutrients (Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, B, Mo and Cl). In addition nickel (Ni) and cobalt (Co) are also beneficial for groundnut and some other legume crop including pH requirements (Singh and Chaudhari, 2006; Balota, M 2014; Rajitha *et al.*, 2018). Increase supplementation of Phosphorous significant effect on groundnut yield and pod yield (Mupangwa and Tagwira, 2005; Kamara et al., 2011). Furthermore, soil amended with poultry manure recorded the best growth and yield of peanut enhance soil quality and improve peanut productivity and/or sustain peanut production in marginal lands with continuous cultivation and flat tilled soil (Ewulo et al., 2008; Amanullah et al., 2010; Aipa and Michael 2018, Uko et al., 2018). Goat manure increases yield production in maize grains (Law-Ogbomo et al., 2017) increased pod weight, Soil Organic Matter, pH, soil N, P, K and Mg contents in okra (Awodun, 2007) and in pepper (Awudon et al., 2007). Goat manure better quality when applied to the field than cattle manure for the macronutrient N, P and K in the field area/soil (Wuta and Nyamugafata, 2012). Biochar-chicken manure co-compost could substantially reduce soil N2O emissions, soil organic C stabilization and the activities of microbial functional groups, especially bacterial denitrifiers (Yuan et al., 2017)

The yield increases in radish can be attributed largely to the ability of these biochars to increase N availability (Chan *et al.*, 2008). Results indicated that initial high P release from manure can be mitigated by converting the manure into biochar (Liang *et al.*, 2014). The biochar is good for soil amendments, potential for improving crop production and environmental management (Antal and Gronli, 2003; Major, 2010).

Moreover, liming can help to maintain soil pH and Calcium availability. Dolomitic is superior high Calcium lime and widely used that increase soil pH and soil calcium levels (Rogers, 1948; Sullivan et al., 1974; Yang, 2015). Soil molybdenum availability, as indicated by plant leaf analysis, increased significantly when lime (dolomitic) was applied (Quaggio 2004). The improvement in peanut yield and the decrease in aflatoxin concentrations in kernels can be attributed to the improvement in soil moisture retention capacity and soil microbial activity arising from manure amendments (Chalwe et al., 2019). Organic manures are known to be rich sources of both macro and micro nutrients of the crop

(Soremi et al., 2017).

This experimental study attempted to evaluate the effects of chicken manure, Chicken manure biochar, goat manure and/or liming (dolomite) addition to the growth and yield of peanut, *Arachis hypogaea* L. and soil characteristics and infer its yield to the application of chemical fertilizer. This provides information relevant to farmers which of the organic manure equivalence or better to NPK Chemical fertilizer application of peanut production and soil condition.

Methodology

The experiment was conducted at CSU Greenhouse, Ampayon, Butuan City on January 26- April 27, 2019. The experiment was laid out in Random Complete Block (RCB) Design with a single factor having 10 treatments with three replications. There were a total number of 30 pots for the test crop which is peanut (variety is UPL Pn-2 and was readily available from CSU Soils Lab) and each pot has 3 kg air-dried soil based on fresh weight basis.

Soil collection and analysis

The soil samples that was used for raising the peanut seeds was taken from the surface (0-15cm), then was air-dried and sieved to 0.5mm for soil pH, soil organic matter, P and K. Samples was analyzed at the Regional Soils Laboratory, Department of Agriculture CARAGA Region located at Barangay Taguibo, Butuan City. The same process was done after the experiment.

Collection of organic materials and dolomite

All organic materials and dolomite was obtained from the Soil Laboratory of the College of Agriculture and Agri-Industries in Caraga State University, Ampayon, Butuan City.

Pot experiment

The soil that was used in the experiment was weighed to 3kg based on fresh weight basis. There are 4 treatments that was assigned in the experiment, namely: The treatments were:T₁-Control, T₂-Chicken Manure (CM) @ 10 t/ha, T₃-Chicken Manure Biochar (CMB) @ 10 t/ha, T₄-Goat Manure (GM) @ 10 t/ha, T₅-60-60 kg NPK/ha, T₆-1 t/ha lime (dolomite), T₇-CM @ 10 t/ha + 1 t/ha (dolomite), T₈-CMB @ 10 t/ha + 1 t/ha (dolomite), T9-GM @ 10 t/ha + 1 t/ha (dolomite) and T₁₀-60-60-60 kg NPK/ha + 1 t/ha (dolomite). The treatment was replicated 3 times and was arranged in Randomized Complete Block Design. The dimension of pot that was used in the experiment was 5"x5"x81/2". Prior to sowing, T2, T3, T4, T7, T8 and T9 was amended with 16g, 15g and 14g of chicken manure, chicken manure biochar, goat manure respectively, and then watered with 500ml of water. Three seeds were sown on each pot, and when the true leaves were visible, thinning process was done to avoid competition of nutrients. Complete fertilizer was dissolved in 100ml of water and was applied 15 days after seedling emergence in T5 and T10 in 0.56g. Dolomite was applied 1.3g on T7, T8, T9 and T10.

Data gathering

Measurements of the plant height and number of leaf was taken 1 week after sowing while the number of pods, weight of pods, number of nodules, weight of nodules, weight of grains, weight of oven dried roots and weight of oven dried shoot was taken after termination of study.

Statistical analysis

The data was statistically analyzed using Statistical Tool for Agricultural Research (STAR) Version: 2.0.1. Means was compared using Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD) test at 0.05 level of probability, when the F-values was significant.

Results and discussion

Plant Height, Number of Leaf and Girth Diameter

In Table 1 showed the growth response of the peanut *Arachis hypogaea* L. to the different treatments applied. The highest plant height 21 days after emergence observed in this order of the treatments $T_{10}>T_8> T_6> T_9> T_3> T_2\& T_5> T_7> T_4> T_1$. However, during termination final plant height recorded higher in this order; $T_7> T_9> T_3> T_8> T_2\& T_5> T_{10}> T_4> T_6> T_1$.Plant height after 14 days emergence chemical

fertilizer NPK plus dolomite provide higher growth response of the shoot but after the period of cropping the increment of height was changed and observed higher in the all manure added with dolomite.

Further, plant leaf in final stage observed higher number of leaves in Chicken Manure Biochar alone and dolomite addition. Furthermore, comparison on the calculated mean between treatments revealed no significant difference in all plant parameters such as initial and final; height and no. of leaves and size of girth diameter between treatments with p value >0.05.

Treatment' name	Parameters						
-	Plant Height (cm)		No. of Leaf (pcs)		Girth size diameter (mm)		
—	Initial	Final	initial	final			
T ₁ -Unfertilized	18.83	35.00	11.33	24.00	3.20		
T ₂ -CM	20.67	39.67	10.33	30.67	3.20		
T ₃ -CMB	21.67	41.67	12.00	31.67	2.90		
T_4 -GM	19.67	38.03	10.00	23.33	2.97		
T ₅ -NPK	20.67	39.67	9.33	26.00	2.83		
T ₆ -LIME (Dolomite)	22.17	36.83	9.67	17.67	3.10		
T_7 - (T_2 + dolomite)	20.30	44.33	8.00	23.33	3.03		
T_8 -(T_3 + dolomite)	22.67	40.97	11.00	31.67	3.57		
T_9 -(T_4 + dolomite)	21.83	42.97	9.00	27.00	2.97		
T_{10} -(T_5 + dolomite)	23.80	39.07	11.00	17.67	3.50		
*p-value	0.6122	0.6104	0.1798	0.2015	0.0968		

Note: * significance @ Alpha <0.05.

T₁-unfertilized, T₂-10 t ha⁻¹ of CM-chicken manure, T₃ -10 t ha⁻¹CM-Biochar, T₄ -10 t ha⁻¹GM-Goat manure, T₅ - NPK -60-60 kg ha⁻¹, T₆-Dolomite -1 t ha⁻¹and T₇(T₂ + dolomite), T₈ (T₃ + dolomite), T₉ (T₄ + dolomite) and T₁₀ (T₅ + dolomite).

This indicates that the treatment that provide readily absorb nutrients from the soil especially the synthetic NPK with lime (dolomite) will provide immediate nutrient during emergence and early stage of *A*. *hypogaea* growth patterns compare to the treatment organic fertilizer that needs to undergo decomposition until it becomes available to the plants providing higher shoot growth in the final termination.

Plant Yield Parameters

Furthermore, number and weight of pods and nodules weight observed highest in chicken manure alone with significant difference to control, dolomite alone and NPK chemical fertilizer application provided by Tukeys' HSD post hoc test (Table 2).

It was also observed in the result that in all treatment

with mixture of chicken manure (chicken manure biochar alone or with dolomite addition and, chicken manure with liming (dolomite) is higher number and weight of pods and dry matter of shoot and root of peanut . Also highest in nodulation together with chicken manure alone with significant difference to control, dolomite alone and NPK application revealed by Tukeys' HSD post hoc test.

Moreover, the comparison of the calculated means of Weight of Pods, number of Nodules, Dry weight of Roots and Shoot revealed high significant difference among groups with p value <0.05 and only no. of pods and weight of nodules does not give significant difference (p>0.05) provide by ANOVA test.

The highest yield of peanut grown on OxisolKaranganyar was 1.01 t/ha, and it obtained by

applying 100 kg rock-phosphate + 500 kg dolomite + 5 t chicken manure/ha (Taufiq, 2002). But Chicken manure gives higher yields in terms of weight of pods

that is in contrast to the study of Singh *et al.* (2011) integration of lime to farm yard manure and NPK could give best yield for groundnuts.

Table 2. The results of ANOVA and Tukey's HSD post hoc test of the mean of selected parameters of *A*. *hypogaea*.

Treatment' name	Parameters						
-	No of Pods	Weight of Pods	No. of Nodules	Weight of	Roots	Shoot	
	(pcs)	(g)	(pcs)	Nodules	Dry weight	Dry Weight	
				(g)	(g)	(g)	
T ₁ -Unfertilized	6.0	4.47 °	12.67 °	0.02	0.86 ab	2.8 °	
T ₂ -CM	14.33	21.57 ^a	155.67 ^a	3.22	1.21 ^{ab}	7.87 ^a	
T ₃ -CMB	12.33	19.45 ^{ab}	121.00 ^{ab}	0.85	1.34 ^a	8.48 ^a	
T ₄ -GM	9.00	14.75 ^{abc}	95.00 ^{abc}	0.59	1.16 ^{ab}	6.69 ^{ab}	
T ₅ -NPK	10.33	9.99 ^{bc}	29.00 bc	0.10	0.82 ^{ab}	3.54 °	
T ₆ -LIME (Dolomite)	3.67	5.14 °	5.33 °	0.01	0.52 ^b	2.06 ^c	
T_{7} - (T_2 + dolomite)	10.67	17.48 ab	158.67 ^a	0.83	1.38 a	7.77 ^a	
T_8 -(T_3 + dolomite)	12.00	19.11 ^{ab}	163.67 ^a	0.82	1.21 ^{ab}	7.29 ^a	
T_9 -(T_4 + dolomite)	8.00	11.47 ^{abc}	104.00 ^{abc}	0.43	1.20 ^{ab}	6.69 ^{ab}	
T_{10} -(T_5 + dolomite)	9.00	14.48 abc	69.00 ^{abc}	0.35	1.03 ^{ab}	4.47 ^{bc}	
p-value	0.826 ^{ns}	0.0002^{}	0.0001*	0.2597 ^{ns}	0.0098*	0.0001*	

Note: * significance @ Alpha <0.05, ns- no significant difference, Mean with the same letters has no significant difference.

T₁-unfertilized, T₂-10 t ha⁻¹ of CM-chicken manure, T₃ -10 t ha⁻¹ CM-Biochar, T₄ -10 t ha⁻¹ GM-Goat manure, T₅ - NPK -60-60 kg ha⁻¹, T₆-Dolomite -1 t ha⁻¹ and T₇(T₂ + dolomite), T₈ (T₃ + dolomite), T₉ (T₄ + dolomite) and T₁₀ (T₅ + dolomite).

These yields trend also to explain that liming alone cannot serve to achieve the maximum potential of an acid soil, thus suggesting that the soils are more depleted of N and K, which clearly influence crop performance as, was observed when these amendments (lime and P fertilizer) were applied in combination with manure (Farag and Zahran, 2014). The rate of dolomite plus that was higher than 2,500 kg ha-1 could decrease the yield (Sutriadi and Setyorini, 2012). Organic sources such as farm yard manure, ice husk ash, paper factory sludge along with chemical fertilizers improved the yield and quality of peanut kernels in a better and comparable way than lime (Basu et al., 2007).

Furthermore, as cited by Nelson and Janke (2007) Poultry manure both compost and manure have high P values compare to others. Application of60 kg p 105 ha-l significantly increased growth, yield and quality

168 Arangote et al.

parameters compared to 20 kg p 105 ha-l of *A*. *hypogaea* (Rao 2001). Results revealed that poultry manure 2.5 t/ha + neem cake 2.5 t/ha + vermicompost 2.5 t/ha + phosphocompost 2.5 t/ha gave 2.08 tonne pod yield/ha, which was 9.47% higher over recommended dose of chemical fertilizer (Patra *et al.*, 2011). Groundnut, *A. hypogaea* can be organically produced, provided adequate phosphorus is applied as phosphocompost.

The highest yield of groundnut obtained with combination of phosphocompost, poultry manure, neem cake and vermicompost (Patra and Sinha 2012). Poultry litter gave a greater yield than fertilizer when both increased yield above the control (Balkcom *et al.*, 2003). In 2002, Productivity of peanuts and green pea crop with chicken manure higher than with cow and sheep manure and higher to the national productivity in Japan (Sukartaatmaja *et al.*, 2002).

Soil characteristics

Soil health is the foundation of productive farming practices. Fertile soil provides essential nutrients to plants (Kime, 2012). Soil analysis determines the nutrients available, physical, and chemical and soil properties (Folnovic, 2019). Table 3 showed the texture, pH, P and K. properties of soil media used in *A. hypogaea* is in poor condition. By comparing the pH to the soil analysis before (Table 3) after treatment (Table 4) pH range from 4.80 increase to least of 5.3 in CM and 6.2 in CM Biochar. Planting *A. hypogaea* a leguminous help increase pH **as** observed in control without fertilizer.

Table 3. The initial result of soil analysis used in A. hypogaea soil media.

Soil Characteristics					
Texture	рН	SOM (%)	Phosphorous (ppm)	Potassium (ppm)	
Heavy	4.80 strongly acidic	0.40 low	4.00 <i>low</i>	7 2 low	

Note: (pH; Strongly acidic <5.1, Moderately acidic 5.2–6.0, Slightly acidic 6.1–6.5, Neutral 6.6–7.3, Moderately alkaline 7.4–8.4, Strongly alkaline >8.5), (Phosphorous ; Low <10, Medium 10–25, High 25–50 Excessive >50), (Potassium; Low <150 ppm, Medium 150–250 ppm , High 250–800 ppm , Excessive >800 ppm (Horneck *et al.*, 2011).

The soil composition analysis after the cropping period will help to determine how much nutrient where available and needed for the next production. The soil characteristics obtained after experimental utilization of peanuts in this study. The soil parameters included the texture, pH, Organic matter percentage, Phosphorous and Potassium tabulated in Table 4. All of the soil parameters have no significant difference among all treatments with the p value >0.05.

Table 4. The mean and interpretation and ANOVA of so	il properties parameter after cropping of peanuts.
--	--

Treatment Name	Soil Characteristics**					
	Texture	pH	SOM- Soil organic matter (%)	Phosphorous (P) ppm	Potassium (K) ppm	
T ₁ -Unfertilized	Heavy	5.8 moderately acidic	0.7 low	15.3 medium	68.3 low	
T ₂ -CM	Heavy	5.3 moderately acidic	0.6 low	3.0 low	62.0 low	
T ₃ -CMB	Heavy	6.2 slightly acidic	0.7 low	12.3 medium	87.7 low	
T ₄ -GM	Heavy	5.6 moderately acidic	0.6 low	15.3 medium	52.3 low	
T ₅ -NPK	Heavy	5.6 moderately acidic	0.7 low	7.7 low	81.0 low	
T ₆ -LIME (Dolomite)	Heavy	6.1 slightly acidic	0.6 low	11.3 medium	68.7 Iow	
T_{7} - (T_2 + dolomite)	Heavy	5.4 moderately acidic	o.6 low	2.0 low	62.0 low	
T_8 -(T_3 + dolomite)	Heavy	5.5 moderately acidic	0.7 low	13.0 medium	91.0 low	
T_9 -(T_4 + dolomite)	Heavy	5.9 moderate acidic	0.6 low	7.0 low	45.7 low	
T_{10} -(T_5 + dolomite)	Heavy	5.9 moderately acidic	0.7 low	6.0 low	78.0 low	
*p-value	-	0.483 ^{ns}	0.858 ns	0.402 ^{ns}	0.385 ^{ns}	

Note:* significance @ alpha <0.05, ns-no significant difference

 $\mathbf{T_{1}}\text{-unfertilized}, \mathbf{T_{2}}\text{-10 t ha^{-1} of CM-chicken manure}, \mathbf{T_{3}}\text{-10 t ha^{-1} CM-Biochar}, \mathbf{T_{4}}\text{-10 t ha^{-1} GM-Goat manure}, \mathbf{T_{5}}\text{-NPK} \quad 60\text{-}60\text{-}60\text{ kg ha^{-1}}, \mathbf{T_{6}}\text{-}Dolomite \text{-1 t ha^{-1} and } \mathbf{T_{7}}(\mathbf{T_{2}}\text{+}dolomite), \mathbf{T_{8}} (\mathbf{T_{3}}\text{+}dolomite), \mathbf{T_{9}} (\mathbf{T_{4}}\text{+}dolomite) \text{ and } \mathbf{T_{10}} (\mathbf{T_{5}}\text{+}dolomite)$

Methods and Interpretation: Texture- feel method, SOM-Walkley-Black, pH -Potentiometric, Phosporous- Olsen method, Potassium – exchangeable K (Cold sulphuric Acid Method). (pH**; *Strongly acidic <5.1*, *Moderately acidic 5.2–6.0*, *Slightly acidic 6.1–6.5*, *Neutral 6.6–7.3*, *Moderately alkaline 7.4–8.4*, *Strongly alkaline >8.5*), (*Phosphorous* ; Low <10, Medium 10–25, High 25–50 Excessive >50), (*Potassium*; Low <150 ppm, Medium 150–250 ppm , High 250–800 ppm , Excessive >800 ppm), DA Caraga RSL; Horneck et al 2011]

Int. J. Biosci.

The soil texture and Soil Organic matter classified as heavy and low SOM % respectively provide by DA Caraga, RSL- Regional Soil Laboratory. The pH, P and K interpretation utilized the guide from Horneck *et al*, (2011). Soil pH was moderately to slightly acidic condition, P content described as low to medium and then K is low amount after the termination. Some soil characteristics resulted to better values after treatment and planting but not as much.

According to Spargo et al, (2013), "pH range of 6.0 to 7.0 desired by most crops but acidity reduced availability of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. P deficiency of this nutrient can lead to impaired vegetative growth; weak root systems, poor fruit and seed quality, and low yield. Plants deficient in potassium are unable to utilize nitrogen and water efficiently and are more susceptible to disease". The soils that are low to moderate in fertility require quite reasonable management (Belachew and Abera, 2010). Soil organic matter is on-site biological decomposition affects the soil structure and porosity, water infiltration rate, moisture, diversity and biological activity of soil organisms and nutrient availability (Bot and Benitez 2005). Soil texture influences soil fertility and the way air and water move through the soil (Macie, 2013)

Conclusion

The present study concluded that there are no significant differences on plant height, number of leaves, girth size diameter and no. of pods and weight of nodules but there is significant difference in the weight of pods, number of nodules, dry weight of roots and shoot provide by ANOVA test. There is an increase in plant height, number of leaves, girth size diameter observed treatments with either chicken manure or biochar with dolomite. Further, chicken manure increase in number and weight of pods and weight of nodules while number of nodules, dry matter of shoot and root observed higher in chicken manure biochar alone and/or with dolomite and also improve soil characteristics. Furthermore, soil amended with chicken manure increases growth and vield of peanut Arachis hypogaea L.

References

Aipa J, Michael PS. 2018. Poultry manure application and fallow improves peanut production in a sandy soil under continuous cultivation International Journal of Environmental & Agriculture Research **4(2)**, p 68-75.

Akhtar S, Khalid N, Ahmed I, Shahzad A, Suleria HAR. 2014. Physicochemical Characteristics, Functional Properties, and Nutritional Benefits of Peanut Oil: A Review Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition **54(12)**, https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2011.644353

Altoveros NC, Boromeo TH. 2007. Country Report on the State Of Plant Genetic Resources For Food and Agriculture of the Philippines (1997 - 2006) A Country Report Department of Agriculture Bureau of Plant Industry.

Uko AE, Eff EB, Isong IA. 2018. Tillage Methods and Poultry Manure Application Effects on the Growth and Yield of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*) in Calabar, Nigeria. Journal of Agronomy **17**, 188-197. <u>https://doi.org/10.3923/ja.2018.188.197</u>

Antal MJ, Gronli M. 2003. The Art, Science, and Technology of Charcoal Production Soil amendments. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research **42(8)**, 1619-1640. <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/ie0207919</u>

Amanullah MM, Sekar S, Muthukrishnan P. 2010. Prospects and Potential of Poultry Manure. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences **9**, 172-182. https://doi.org/10.3923/ajps.2010.172.182

Awodun MA. 2007. Effect of Goat Manure and Urea Fertilizer on Soil, Growth and Yield of Okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.)Moench). International Journal of Agricultural Research **2**, 632-636.

Awodun MA, Omonijo LI, Ojeniyi SO. 2007. Effect of Goat Dung and NPK Fertilizer on Soil and Leaf Nutrient Content, Growth and Yield of Pepper. International Journal of Soil Science **2**, 142-147.

Int. J. Biosci.

Balkcom KF, Adams J, Hartzog D. 2003. Peanut Yield Response to Poultry Litter and Municipal Sludge Application. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis **34(5-6)** p 801-814. https://doi.org/10.1081/CSS-120018976

Balota M. 2014. Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea, L.*) Nutrition Virginia.VIrginia Cooperative Extension Polytechnic Institute and State University PPWS-40NP.

Basu M, Bhadoria PBS, Mahapatra SC. 2007. Comparative effectiveness of different organic and industrial wastes on peanut: Plant growth, yield, oil content, protein content, mineral composition and hydration coefficient of kernels, Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science **53(6)**, 645-658.

Belachew T, Abera Y. 2010, Assessment of Soil Fertility Status with Depth in Wheat Growing Highlands of Southeast Ethiopia. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences **6(5)**, p. 525-531.

BertioliDJ,SeijoG,FreitasFO, VallsJFM, Leal-BertioliSCM,MoretzsohnMC. 2011. An overview of peanut and its wildrelatives:Characterization and utilization. PlantGeneticResources 9, p134–149.https://doi.org/10.1017/S147926211000044

Bot A, Benitez J. 2005. The importance of soil organic matter: Key to drought-resistant soil and sustained food production FAO SOILS Bulletin **80**. Accessed May 28, 2019 from

Chalwe HM, Lungu OI, Mweetwa AM, Phiri E, Njoroge SMC, Brandenburg RL, Jordan DL. 2019. Effects of Compost Manure on Soil Microbial Respiration, Plant-Available-Water, Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*)Yield and Pre-Harvest Aflatoxin Contamination. Peanut Science: January 2, **46(10)**, p 42-49.

https://doi.org/10.3146/PS18-6.1

Chan KY, Van-Zwieten L, Meszaros I, Downy A, Joseph S. 2008. Using poultry litter biochars as

soil amendments Australian Journal of Soil Research 3, <u>https://doi.org/10.1071/SR08036</u>

Ewulo BS, Ojeniyi SO, Akanni DA. 2008 Effect of poultry manure on selected soil physical and chemical properties, growth, yield and nutrient status of tomato. African Journal of Agricultural Research **3(9)**, p 612-616.

Farag IAA, Zahran AA. 2014. Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*) growth and yield responses to seed irradiation and mineral fertilization. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science **7(15)**, p 63-70.

Francisco MLDL, Resurreccion AVA. 2008. Journal Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition **48**, 2008 - Issue 8 2008, p 715-746 Functional Components in Peanuts. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408390701640718

Horneck DA, Sullivan DM, Owen JS, Hart JM. 2011. Soil Test Interpretation Guide. Oregon State University Extension services May 30, 2019.

Huelgas RR, Manuel PC, Gabriel ES. 1989. Socio-economic study on peanut production in the Philippines. Book Series (Philippines) **90**,

Isanga J, Zhang GN. 2007. Biologically Active Components and Nutraceuticals in Peanuts and Related Products: Review Food Reviews International **23(2)**,

https://doi.org/10.1080/87559120701224956

Kamara A, Ekeleme F, Kwari J, Omoigui L, Chikoye D. 2011. Phosphorus effects on growth and yield of groundnut varieties in the tropical savannas of Northeast Nigeria.

Kime L. 2012. Soil Quality Information Healthy soils yield healthy crops, But what is healthy soil and how do we achieve it?

https://extension.psu.edu/soil-quality-information

Int. J. Biosci.

Law-Ogbomo KE, Osaigbovo AU, Kadiri IH. 2017. Influence of some animal manures on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays L.*) Under a humid ultisols environment. Journal of Organic Agriculture and Environment **5(2)**,

Liang Y, Cao X, Zhao L, Xu X, Harris W. 2014. Phosphorus release from dairy manure, the manure-derived biochar, and their amended soil: effects of phosphorus nature and soil property. Journal of Environmental Quality **43(4)**, 1504-9.

https://doi.org/10.2134/jeq2014.01.0021.

Macie E. 2013 Soil Characteristics and Tree Planting. May 30, 2019 https://articles.extension.org/pages/67507/soilcharacteristics-and-tree-planting

Major J. 2010. Guidelines on Practical Aspects of Biochar Application to Field Soil in Various Soil Management Systems Julie Major International Biochar Initiative Document Version Information: Ver. 1.0, 9.

Mupangwa W, Tagwira F. 2005. Groundnut Yield Response to Single Superphosphate, Calcitic Lime and Gypsum on Acid Granitic Sandy Soil. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems **73(2)**, 161-169. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10705-005-0075-3</u>

Nelson NO, Janke RR. 2007. Phosphorus Sources and Management in Organic Production Systems.

Njoroge SMC, Matumba L, Kanenga K, Siambi M, Waliyar F, Maruwo J, Machinjiri N, Monyo ES. 2017. Aflatoxin B1 levels in groundnut products from local markets in Zambia. Mycotoxin Research and Springer **33**, 113– 119.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12550-017-0270-5

Palomar MK. 1998. Peanut in the Philippine Food System.A Macro Study.Peanut in Local and Global Food Systems Series Report No.1. **Patra PS, Sinha AC, Mahesh SS.** 2011.Yield, nutrient uptake and quality of groundnut (Arachishypogaea) kernels as affected by organic sources of nutrient. Indian Journal of Agronomy **56** (3), 237_241.

Patra PS, Sinha AC. 2012. Studies on organic cultivation of groundnut (Arachis hypogaea) in Cooch Behar. Indian Journal of Agronomy **57(4)** p 386-389.

Perez JB, Bautista RP. 2019 Major Vegetables and Root Crops Quarterly Bulletin, January-March 2019.

Pitt JI, Taniwaki MH, Cole MB. 2013. Mycotoxin production in major crops as influenced by growing, harvesting, storage and processing, with emphasis on the achievement of Food Safety Objectives. Food Control **32**, 205–215.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.11.023.

Putnam DH, Oplinger ES, Teynor TM, Oelke
EA, Kelling KA, Doll JD. 1991. Peanut.
Alternative Field Crops Manual. Accessed June 04, 2019.

http://corn.agronomy.wisc.edu/Crops/Peanut.aspx

Quaggio JA, Gallo PB, Owino-Gerroh C, Abreu MF, Cantarella H. 2004.Peanut response to lime and molybdenum application in low pH soils Revista Brasileira de Ciência do Solo **28(4)**. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0100068320040004000 <u>08</u>

Rajitha G, Srinivasa M, Reddy PV, Babu R, Uma MP. 2018. Influence of Secondary and Micronutrients on Yield and Yield Components in Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences **7(09)**, 309-316.

https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.709.038

Rao SS. 2001. Effect of organic manure, phosphorus and gypsum on growth, yield and quality of

groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea L*.). Indian Journal of Plant Phisiology **6(3)**, p 306-311.

Rogers HT. 1948. Liming for peanuts in relation to exchangeable soil calcium and effect on yield, quality, and uptake of calcium and potassium. Journal of the American Society of Agronomy.

Sicat SR, Buño MTS. 2014.Printed at the Information Section Bureau of Plant Industry February 2014.

Sharma KK, Mathur PB. 2006. Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*).Methods Molecular Bioliology **343**, p 347-358.

https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59745-130-4:347

Singh GP, Singh PL, Panwar AS. 2011. Response of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) to biofertilizer, organic and inorganic sources of nutrient in north east India. Legume Research **34(3)**, 196-201.

Singh AL, Chaudhari V. 2006. Macronutrient requirement of groundnut: Effects on the growth and yield components. Indian Journal of Plant Physiology **11(4)**, (N.S.), p 401-409. Accessed June 05, 2019.

Singh AL. 1999. 8 Mineral Nutrition of Groundnut. Advances in Plant Physiology. 2 Ed A Hemantranjan Scientific Publishers. Jodhpur. P 161-200 Accessed June 05, 2019 From

Spargo J, Allen T, Kariuki S. 2013 Interpreting Your Soil Test Results. Soil and Plant Nutrient Testing Laboratory. May 30, 2019.

Soremi AO, Adetunji MT, Adejuyigbe CO, Bodunde JG, Azeez JO. 2017. Effects of Poultry Manure on Some Soil Chemical Properties and Nutrient Bioavailability to Soybean. Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International 11(3), 1-10.

https://doi.org/10.9734/JAERI/2017/32419

Sukartaatmaja S, Sato Y, Yamaji E, Ishikawa

M. 2002. Effects of Organic Matter on Soil Erosion and Runoff Peanuts and Green Pea in Cultivation Jurnal Keteknikan Pertanian **16(2)**, 69-77. http://journal.ipb.ac.id/index.php/jtep/article/view/ <u>2876/1858</u>

Sullivan GA, Moore RP, Jones GL. 1974. Effects of Dolomitic Limestone, Gypsum, and Potassium on Yield and Seed Quality of Peanuts Peanut Science 1(2), 73-77. https://doi.org/10.3146/i0095-3679-1-2-9

Sutriadi MT, Setyorini D. 2012. Response of Peanut due to Application of Dolomite Plus. Journal Tropical Soils 17, 143-150. https://doi.org/10.5400/jts.2012.17.2.143

Taufiq A. 2002. Response of groundnut to the application of rock-phosphate, dolomite, chicken manure and Saritana liquid fertilizer on Alfisol and Oxisol. International Information System for the Agricultural Science and Technology.

Toomer OT. 2018 Nutritional chemistry of the peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*). Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition **58(17)**, 3042-3053. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2017.1339015

Wuta M, Nyamugafata P. 2012. Management of cattle and goat manure in Wedza smallholder farming area, Zimbabwe. African Journal of Agricultural Research **7(26)**, p 3853-3859.

https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR12.038

Yang R. 2015. Calcium Availability to Runner-type Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea L.*) in the Southeastern United States.

Yuan Y, Chen H, Yuan W, Williams D, Walker JT, Shib W. 2017. Is biochar-manure co-compost a better solution for soil health improvement and N2O emissions mitigation? Soil Biology and Biochemistry 113, p 14–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.05.025