



RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Conjunctive use of different tillage implements and amendments for the reclamation of salt affected soils

Muhammad Rizwan¹, Khalil Ahmed^{1*}, Sarfraz Hussain¹, Muhammad Sarfraz¹, Muhammad Qaisar Nawaz¹, Muhammad Sultan Ali Bazmi¹, Muhammad Arif², Ghulam Qadir¹, Muhammad Nadeem³

¹Soil Salinity Research Institute (SSRI), Pindi Bhattian, Pakistan

²Soil and Water Testing Laboratory Layyah, Pakistan

³Soil and Water Testing Laboratory Hafizabad, Pakistan

Key words: Sulphuric acid; Gypsum; Subsoiler; Sorghum; Oats.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/15.6.73-81>

Article published on December 18, 2019

Abstract

Amelioration of undesirable conditions of salt prone degraded soils required the use of suitable amendments coupled with appropriate management practices. In this context, a field study was conducted to find out the best combination of tillage implements with gypsum and sulphuric acid for the reclamation of salt-affected soils. Implements used in the study were, T₁ Disc Plough, T₂ Rotavator, T₃ Chisel plough, T₄ Sub-Soiler. Whereas five levels of amendments were used, A₁ Control (Without amendments), A₂ Sulphuric acid equivalent to 50% GR of soil, A₃ Sulphuric acid equivalent to 100% GR of soil, A₄ Gypsum application @ 50% GR of soil, A₅ Gypsum application @ 100% GR of soil. Implements were kept in the main plots and amendments in subplots. The experiment was conducted with sorghum-oat crop rotation in split-plot design having three replications. Fresh fodder yield of sorghum and oats was recorded. The results of the study showed that fresh fodder yield of sorghum and oats was maximum with H₂SO₄ and gypsum @100 % gypsum requirement of soil and subsoiler. Soil health was also improved with the use of H₂SO₄/ gypsum and subsoiler as tillage implements. Therefore, it was concluded that deep tillage through subsoiler with H₂SO₄ and gypsum @100 % GR can be an effective technology to accelerate the reclamation process of salt-affected soils.

*Corresponding Author: Khalil Ahmed ✉ khalilahmeduaf@gmail.com

Introduction

Salt stress is a major environmental factor that degraded the soil's physical, chemical and biological properties (Al Yassin, 2005). Amelioration of such degraded salt-affected soils is accomplished with use of some amendments (gypsum, acids and CaCl_2 , organic manures, compost, etc.) and suitable management practices. Due to the presence of soluble salts and excessive Na^+ , salt-affected soils are generally compact, with low infiltration rate and high bulk density. Therefore, to improve these physical and chemical properties of such problematic soils, a suitable tillage system is a prerequisite that will not improve the efficiency of amendments but also produce the favorable crop growth conditions (Anikwe and Ubochi, 2007; Jabro *et al.*, 2009). Tillage practices during the soil preparation create the ideal conditions for plant emergence, root penetration and development (Licht and Al-Kaisi, 2005). During the reclamation process, leaching of toxic salts out of root zone is preliminary requisite, therefore the choice of suitable tillage implements during the land preparation is very critical which will facilitate the removal of salts and enhance the leaching process. Azhar *et al.* (2001) studied the combined effect of gypsum (50 and 75 % GR) and tillage implements (narrow-tine cultivator, chisel plough, disk plough and sub-soiler) on reclamation process of salt-affected soils. They reported that tillage implements significantly affected the reclamation process, subsoiler was the most effective tillage implements to accelerate the reclamation process. It significantly reduced the soil penetration resistance, infiltration rate, bulk density, soil pH_s, ESP and EC_e. Gypsum application with farmyard manure and chisel plough significantly improved the physical (hydraulic conductivity, bulk density) and chemical (pH_s, SAR and EC_e) properties of saline-sodic soil and growth of fodder beet (Ahmed *et al.*, 2015). Similarly, in a study, Amin *et al.* (2014) investigated the effect of five tillage implement i.e. chisels plow, tine cultivator, moldboard plow, disk plow and rotavator. They concluded that deep tillage implements (chisel plow, moldboard plow) were more effective to improve the soil moisture contents, bulk density and penetration

resistance. Sadiq *et al.* (2002) envaulted the effect of different tillage implements (rotavator, disc plough, chisel plough and cultivator) and rice stubbles on growth performance of wheat crop in salt-affected soil. They observed that among the tillage implements rotavator proved more effective in increasing the growth and yield of the wheat crop in salt-affected soil. In another study, Sadiq *et al.* (2002) studied the effect of H_2SO_4 (untreated, H_2SO_4 @ 20% GR) and tillage implements (disc plough, rotavator and cultivator) on chemical properties of saline-sodic soil under rice-wheat crop rotation. They reported that H_2SO_4 @ 20% GR gradually improved the soil properties like pH_s, SAR and EC_e. Among tillage implements, disc plough performed better over other implements and significantly improved the paddy/grain and straw yield of rice and wheat crops.

Aikins and Afuakwa (2012) evaluated the effect of different tillage systems on the production of cowpea and soil properties. They reported that the disc ploughing followed by disc harrowing treatment proved more superior over other treatments and produced more favorable soil conditions for the production of cowpea. Leghari *et al.* (2015) stated that cultivator is the most economical tillage implement as it reduced the bulk density (12-18%), conserving soil moisture up to 95% and increased the infiltration rate up to 61.5% in cotton-wheat rotation system as compared to disc plow. Therefore, the present study was carried out to evaluate the effect of different amendments (gypsum and H_2SO_4) and land preparation methods (disc plough, rotavator, chisel plough and subsoiler) for the reclamation of salt-affected soil.

Materials and methods

A field study was conducted from 2013 to 2016 adopting sorghum-oats crop rotation at the research farm of Soil Salinity Research Institute, Pindi Bhattian. A salt affected field {pH_s = 8.62, EC_e = 4.16 (dS m⁻¹), SAR = 32.35 and soil gypsum requirement (SGR) = 2.66 t. acre⁻¹} was selected and prepared by using four tillage implement i.e. disc plough, rotavator, chisel plough and subsoiler according to

treatment plan. Treatments tested were; T₁: Disc Plough, T₂: Rotavator, T₃: Chisel plough, T₄: Subsoiler, while five levels of amendments were; A₁: Control, A₂: H₂SO₄ 50% GR of soil, A₃: H₂SO₄ 100% GR of soil, A₄: Gypsum 50% GR of soil, A₅: Gypsum 100% GR of soil. Implements were kept in the main plots and amendments in subplots. The experiment was conducted with sorghum-oat crop rotation in Split Plot Design having three replications. H₂SO₄ and gypsum were applied (once) at the start of the study in the respective treatment plots. In Kharif season, sorghum crop was sown in the 2nd week of June and the recommended dose of NP (60-60 kg ha⁻¹) was applied. The crop was harvested on the second week of September, and the fodder yield was recorded. In Rabi season, oats crop was sown in the 3rd week of

November and the recommended dose of NP (95-60 kg ha⁻¹) was applied. At the harvest of each crop, soil samples were collected and analyzed for pH_s, EC_e, and SAR (US Salinity Lab. Staff, 1954). Collected data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and treatment means were compared through the least significance difference (LSD) test (Steel *et al.*, 1997) using STATISTIX 8.1 package software.

Results

Sorghum

In Kharif season 2013, fresh fodder yield (36.84 t ha⁻¹) of sorghum was significantly higher with the application of H₂SO₄ @ 100% GR of soil, however, it was insignificant with gypsum @100% GR of soil and both treatments were statistically alike (Table 1).

Table 1. Effect of tillage implements and amendments on sorghum fodder yield (t ha⁻¹) 2013.

Treatments	Amendments					Mean
	Control	H ₂ SO ₄ @50% GR of soil	H ₂ SO ₄ @ 100% GR of soil	Gyp. @50% GR of soil	Gyp. @100% GR of soil	
T ₁ : Disc Plough	23.33 j	31.33 ghi	35.67 bed	31.67 ghi	34.67 cdef	31.27 BC
T ₂ : Rotavator	22.33 j	30.00 i	34.67 cdef	30.33 hi	33.67 defg	30.20 C
T ₃ : Chisel plough	24.33 j	32.33 fghi	37.33 abc	33.33 defgh	35.33 bcde	32.53 AB
T ₄ : Subsoiler	24.67j	32.67 rfghi	39.67 a	34.00 defg	38.33 ab	33.87 A
Mean	23.67 C	31.58 B	36.84 A	32.25 B	35.50 A	

LSD for Treatment = 2.1815, LSD for Amendments = 1.4445

LSD for Treatment * Amendments = 3.384.

With respect to tillage implements, the highest fresh fodder yield (33.87 t ha⁻¹) of sorghum was observed when subsoiler was used for the land preparation. Data regarding interaction revealed that H₂SO₄ @ 100% GR of soil with subsoiler produced the maximum fresh fodder yield of 39.67 t ha⁻¹ which was statistically similar with gypsum @ 100% GR and

subsoiler used as tillage implements. A similar trend was observed during the next two years, the maximum fresh fodder yield of sorghum (41.54 t ha⁻¹) and (44.43 t ha⁻¹) was divulged with H₂SO₄ @ 100% GR during the 2014 and 2015 respectively (Table 2 and 3).

Table 2. Effect of tillage implements and amendments on sorghum fodder yield (t ha⁻¹) 2014.

Treatments	Amendments					Mean
	Control	H ₂ SO ₄ 50% GR of soil	H ₂ SO ₄ 100% GR of soil	Gyp. 50% GR of soil	Gyp. 100% GR of soil	
T ₁ Disc Plough	24.50 k	34.50 hi	40.67 bcd	34.50 hi	39.00 de	34.63 C
T ₂ Rotavator	23.50 jk	33.33 i	39.17 de	33.33 i	37.83 ef	33.43 D
T ₃ Chisel plough	25.50 jk	35.67 gh	42.00 bc	36.33 fgh	40.17 cd	35.93 B
T ₄ Subsoiler	26.17 j	36.17 fgh	44.33 a	37.17 efg	42.67 ab	37.30 A
Mean	24.92 D	34.92 C	41.54 A	35.33 C	39.92 B	

LSD for Treatment = 0.8338, LSD for Amendments= 1.0597

LSD for Treatment * Amendments= 2.1194.

Among the tillage implements, it was observed that the subsoiler performed better than all other implements and resultant fresh fodder yield was maximum with this tillage implement. Fresh fodder yield of sorghum 2014 was (37.30 t ha⁻¹) and sorghum 2015 was (40.02 t ha⁻¹) with subsoiler. The minimum fresh fodder yield of sorghum was observed with

rotavator in all successive three seasons. Amendments and tillage system interaction was also observed significant and it was recorded that fresh fodder yield was 44.33 t ha⁻¹ (2014) and 45.73 t ha⁻¹ (2015) with subsoiler and H₂SO₄ @ 100% GR and it remained insignificant with gypsum @ 100% GR and subsoiler used as tillage implements.

Table 3. Effect of tillage implements and amendments on sorghum fodder yield (t ha⁻¹)2015.

Treatments	Amendments					Mean
	Control	H ₂ SO ₄ 50% GR of soil	H ₂ SO ₄ 100% GR of soil	Gyp. 50% GR of soil	Gyp. 100% GR of soil	
T ₁ : Disc Plough	26.83 hi	37.95 fg	44.43 ab	37.95 fg	42.90 bcd	38.01 B
T ₂ : Rotavator	25.85 i	36.50 g	43.08 bcd	36.67 fg	42.03 cd	36.83 C
T ₃ : Chisel plough	27.00 hi	37.77 fg	44.50 ab	38.13 fg	43.45 bc	38.17 B
T ₄ : Subsoiler	28.78 h	38.92 ef	45.73 a	40.88 de	45.77 a	40.02 A
Mean	27.12 D	37.78 C	44.43 A	38.41 B	43.54 A	

LSD for Treatment = 0.9796, LSD for Amendments = 1.1385

LSD for Treatment * Amendments = 2.2770.

Table 4. Effect of tillage implements and amendments on oats fodder yield (t ha⁻¹) 2013-14.

Treatments	Amendments					Mean
	Control	H ₂ SO ₄ 50% GR of soil	H ₂ SO ₄ 100% GR of soil	Gyp. 50% GR of soil	Gyp. 100% GR of soil	
T ₁ : Disc Plough	37.43 hi	44.50 ef	53.07 abc	45.13 ef	51.07 bcd	46.24 BC
T ₂ : Rotavator	36.73 i	41.83 fgh	50.50 bcd	43.17 fg	49.30 cde	44.30 C
T ₃ : Chisel plough	38.87 ghi	46.67 def	55.40 ab	48.67 cde	52.40 abc	48.40 AB
T ₄ : Subsoiler	39.03 ghi	46.43 def	57.33 a	48.90 cde	56.77 a	49.69 A
Mean	38.12 C	44.86 B	54.08 A	46.47 B	52.38 A	

LSD for Treatment = 2.1815, LSD for Amendments = 1.4445

LSD for Treatment * Amendments = 3.384.

Oats

Data regarding the oats crop displayed that amendments, tillage system and their interaction significantly influenced the fresh fodder yield of oats. For oats 2013-14, fresh fodder yield (54.08 t ha⁻¹) was maximum with H₂SO₄ @ 100% GR followed by gypsum @100% GR and both the treatments were at par (Table 4).

With respect to tillage implements, the maximum fresh fodder yield (49.69 t ha⁻¹) was documented with subsoiler which was insignificant with chisel plough.

Interaction of tillage system and amendments showed that the greater fresh fodder yield (57.33 t ha⁻¹) was produced by H₂SO₄ @ 100% GR with subsoiler and it was statistically at par with gypsum @100% GR and subsoiler used as tillage implements. In oat 2014-15 and 2015-16 maximum fodder yield (55.59 t ha⁻¹) and (55.91 t ha⁻¹) respectively was obtained with application of H₂SO₄ @ 100% GR (Table 5 and 6).

Among the tillage implements used, it was observed subsoiler yielded maximum fresh fodder yield (51.65 t ha⁻¹) and (52.28 t ha⁻¹) during 2014-15 and 2015-16 respectively.

Table 5. Effect of tillage implements and amendments on oats fodder yield (t ha⁻¹) 2014-15.

Treatments	Amendments					Mean
	Control	H ₂ SO ₄ 50% GR of soil	H ₂ SO ₄ 100% GR of soil	Gyp. 50% GR of soil	Gyp. 100% GR of soil	
Disc Plough	38.13 i	45.80 gh	53.30 cd	46.47 gh	51.77 cdef	47.09 C
Rotavator	37.30 i	44.93 h	53.17 cde	44.03 h	49.97 ef	45.88 D
Chisel plough	40.00 i	49.07 fg	57.07 ab	50.40 def	54.80 bc	50.27 B
Subsoiler	40.20 i	51.13 def	58.83 a	50.40 def	57.67 ab	51.65 A
Mean	38.91D	47.73 C	55.59 A	47.82 C	53.55 B	

LSD for Treatment = 1.133, LSD for Amendments = 1.7208

LSD for Treatment * Amendments = 3.4416.

Table 6. Effect of tillage implements and amendments on oats fodder yield (t ha⁻¹) 2015-16.

Treatments	Amendments					Mean
	Control	H ₂ SO ₄ 50% GR of soil	H ₂ SO ₄ 100% GR of soil	Gyp. 50% GR of soil	Gyp. 100% GR of soil	
Disc Plough	39.97 k	44.90 i	53.97 c	49.37 g	53.50 cd	47.74 C
Rotavator	35.50 k	44.33 i	53.37 cd	47.30 h	52.03 de	46.51 D
Chisel plough	37.20 jk	50.13 fg	57.27 ab	51.10 efg	57.13 b	50.57 B
Subsoiler	38.90 j	51.63 def	59.03 a	53.10 cd	58.67 ab	52.28 A
Mean	37.14 D	47.75 C	55.91 A	50.22 B	55.33 A	

LSD for Treatment = 1.2962, LSD for Amendments = 0.7674

LSD for Treatment * Amendments = 1.5347.

Interactive effect of amendments and tillage implements depicted that the highest fodder yield was observed using the subsoiler with H₂SO₄ @ 100% GR however it remained insignificant with gypsum @

100% GR and subsoiler in first, second and third oat crop. The minimum fodder yield of oat was observed with rotavator + H₂SO₄ @ 50% GR for all three seasons.

Table 7. Effect of tillage implements and amendments on soil pH_s at the end of study.

Treatments	Control	H ₂ SO ₄ 50% GR of soil	H ₂ SO ₄ 100% GR of soil	Gyp. 50% GR of soil	Gyp. 100% GR of soil
T ₁ : Disc Plough	8.59	8.50	8.48	8.50	8.48
T ₂ : Rotavator	8.61	8.53	8.50	8.52	8.49
T ₃ : Chisel plough	8.58	8.50	8.46	8.49	8.45
T ₄ : Subsoiler	8.57	8.48	8.44	8.48	8.44

Soil properties

Data regarding the soil salinity index i.e (pH_s, EC_e and SAR) showed that amendments, tillage implements and their interaction significantly improved these properties at the end of the study (Table 7-9). With respect to soil pH_s a minimum value of 8.44 was recorded where subsoiler was used with H₂SO₄ and gypsum @ 100% GR and it was under the safe limits as compared to its initial value of 8.62. Similarly, EC_e

and SAR were also improved remarkably with the use of subsoiler and amendments. Minimum EC_e and SAR were 3.19 and 22.91 respectively in the treatments where subsoiler and H₂SO₄ @ 100% GR were used in combination.

Discussion

Soil degradation due to salinity is a common phenomenon of arid to semi-arid regions of the

world. The hyper salinized environment adversely affects the soil chemical, physical and biological activities and ultimately the soil productivity. Hence, long term improvement of such problematic soils to restore their original potential for crop production is a challenging and required an effective management strategy. Saline sodic soils are generally dense with low hydraulic conductivity and high bulk density than normal soil and required an appropriate tillage

system to improve these physical properties. Salt affected soil due to their poor physical properties need to be tilled (Birkas *et al.*, 2008). However, Different tillage systems have different impacts on soil properties and crop growth conditions (Jabro *et al.*, 2011). Different soil properties affected by tillage practices are soil infiltration rate, porosity, bulk density air capacity and water holding capacity (Strudley *et al.*, 2008).

Table 8. Effect of tillage implements and amendments on soil EC_e (dSm⁻¹) at the end of study.

Treatments	Control	H ₂ SO ₄ 50% GR of soil	H ₂ SO ₄ 100% GR of soil	Gyp. 50% GR of soil	Gyp. 100% GR of soil
T ₁ : Disc Plough	3.97	3.51	3.35	3.52	3.34
T ₂ : Rotavator	4.13	3.60	3.47	3.59	3.46
T ₃ : Chisel plough	3.81	3.35	3.27	3.36	3.28
T ₄ : Subsoiler	3.75	3.30	3.19	3.31	3.20

Nevertheless, deep tillage alone is not useful to reclaim such salt-affected soils and some suitable amendments are required in combination with deep ploughing (Azhar *et al.*, 2001). Therefore, in the present study, we used gypsum and H₂SO₄ with different tillage implements to reclaim the salt-

affected soil. Results of our study elaborated that the fodder yield of sorghum and oats crops increased gradually and was the highest in 3rd year where the H₂SO₄ and gypsum were applied @ 100% GR. Among the tillage implements, the subsoiler showed its supremacy over all other tillage implements.

Table 9. Effect of tillage implements and amendments on soil SAR at the end of study.

Treatments	Control	H ₂ SO ₄ 50% GR of soil	H ₂ SO ₄ 100% GR of soil	Gyp. 50% GR of soil	Gyp. 100% GR of soil
T ₁ : Disc Plough	28.45	25.85	23.95	25.82	23.96
T ₂ : Rotavator	28.84	26.19	24.82	26.21	24.71
T ₃ : Chisel plough	28.10	25.48	23.51	25.47	23.48
T ₄ : Subsoiler	27.96	25.11	22.91	25.08	22.95

The greater fresh fodder yield of sorghum and oats crops in treatment receiving H₂SO₄ and gypsum@ 100% GR may be attributed to ameliorative effect of H₂SO₄ and gypsum, H₂SO₄ react with the native CaCO₃ formed CaSO₄, which replaced the Na⁺ out of root zone (Sharma *et al.*, 1996), consequently lowered the soil pH_s, EC_e and SAR as shown in our study (Table 7-9).

Furthermore, deep tillage through subsoiler increased the soil permeability and soluble salts leached out from root zone, cut off the capillary movement of groundwater and prevented the rise of dissolved salts

to the soil surface (Xiong *et al.*, 2012). Similar results were reported by Sadiq *et al.* (2006) who reported that H₂SO₄ application along disc plow accelerated the amelioration process of salt-affected soils by lowering the soil pH_s, EC_e and SAR and promoted the paddy/grain yield of rice-wheat crops. H₂SO₄ is more efficient than gypsum in lowering the soil ESP (Vadyanina and Roi, 1971) which reinforced the findings of the present study. Positive effects of gypsum on soil health by improving the chemical and physical properties have been reported by several researchers (Lim *et al.*, 2011; Singh *et al.*, 2014; Kim *et al.*, 2016).

The favorable soil conditions for plant growth and microbial activities depend on its physical and chemical properties (Mulumba and Lal, 2008). The deep tillage and amendments application produced the conditions that were conducive for crop production and sustainability that is why the fodder yield of sorghum and oat was maximum with H_2SO_4 /gypsum and subsoiler. The deep tillage may increase wheat yield 8% to 12% (Khokhar and Nizami, 1987) which supports the findings of the current study. Amelioration of saline-sodic by deep tillage, green manuring and gypsum significantly increased the grain and straw yield of wheat (Singh *et al.*, 2014) which is in agreement with our results. Islam *et al.* (2015) also reported that gypsum with organic manure and tillage is the right choice to manage the silty-loam soils. Gypsum application with disc harrowing in sodium affected soil improved the SAR, bulk density and infiltration of water and increased the yield of sunflower crop as compared to with no-till without gypsum (Costa *et al.*, 2016) which are inconsistent with findings of the current study.

Conclusion

Soil is a natural resource and its health for crop production is determined by the integrated effect of management techniques. Amelioration of saline-sodic soil to preserve the soil quality is a complex phenomenon and required a mechanical intervention with suitable amendments. In this study we used different tillage systems with gypsum and H_2SO_4 . From the above results, it can be concluded that the application of H_2SO_4 and gypsum @ 100 % gypsum requirement of soil with subsoiler can be a very effective technology to accelerate the reclamation process of salt-affected soil and is recommended to farmers for adoption to achieve production potential of salt-affected soils.

References

Ahmed K, Qadir G, Jami AR, Nawaz MQ, Rehim A, Jabran K, Hussain M. 2015. Gypsum and farm manure application with chiseling improve soil properties and performance of fodder beet under saline-sodic conditions. *International Journal of*

Agriculture & Biology **17(6)**, 1225-1230.

Aikins SHM, Afuakwa JJ. 2012. Effect of four different tillage practices on soil physical properties under cowpea. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America* **3(1)**, 17-24.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5251/abjna.2012.3.1.17.24>

Al Yassin A. 2005. Adverse effects of salinity on citrus. *International Journal of Agricultural Biology* **4**, 668-680.

Amin M, Muhammad JK, Muhammad TJ, Masood R, Javaid AT, Muhammad H, Zahir S. 2014. Effect of different tillage practices on soil physical properties under wheat in semi-arid environment. *Soil and Environment* **33(1)**, 33-37.

Anikwe MAN, Ubochi JN. 2007. Short-term changes in soil properties under tillage systems and their effect on sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* L.) growth and yield in an ultisol in south-eastern nigeria. *Australian Journal of Soil Research* **45**, 351-358.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/SR07035>

Azhar M, Iqbal M, Khan MA, Ashraf M. 2001. Effect of tillage implements in combination with gypsum application on the reclamation of saline sodic soils. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology* **3(3)**, 301-304.

Azhar M, IQBAL M, Khan MA, Ashraf M. 2001. Effects of tillage implements in combination with gypsum applications on the reclamation of saline-sodic soils. *International journal of agriculture & biology* **3**, 301-304.

Birkas M, Jolankai M, Kisić I, Stipesević B. 2008. Soil tillage needs a radical change for sustainability. *Agriculturae Conspectus Scientificus* **73(3)**, 131-136.

Costa JL, Aparicio VC, Salleses LF, Frolla FD. 2016. Effect of tillage and application of gypsum in a

No-Till field under supplementary irrigation with sodium bicarbonate waters. *Agricultural Water Management* **177**, 291-297.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2016.08.005>

Islam MR, Rahman MM, Huda A, Afroz H, Bilkis S, Matin MA. 2015. Integrated application of fertilizer and compost on water transmission behavior and yield of wheat under different tillage systems. *International Journal of Agricultural Policy and Research* **3(7)**, 287-292.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.15739/IJAPR.051>

Jabro JD, Sainju UM, Lenssen AW, Evans RG. 2011. Tillage effects on dryland soil physical properties in northeastern Montana. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* **42**, 2179-2187.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2011.602449>

Jabro JD, Stevens WB, Evans RG, Iversen WM. 2009. Tillage Effects on Physical Properties in Two Soils of the Northern Great Plain. *Applied Engineering Agriculture* **25 (3)**, 377-382.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.13031/2013.26889>

Khokhar MA, Nizami MA. 1987. Effect of deep tillage as moisture conservation technique on yield of rainfed wheat. *Pakistan Journal Agricultural of Research* **8**, 87-90.

Kim HS, Kim KR, Lee SH, Kunhikrishnan A, Kim WI, Kim KH. 2016. Effect of gypsum on exchangeable sodium percentage and electrical conductivity in the Daeho reclaimed tidal land soil in Korea-a field scale study. *Journal of Soils and Sediments* **1-6**.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11368-016-1446-x>

Leghari N, Amanat A, Munir AM. 2015. Relative efficiency of different tillage practices and their effect on soil physical properties under semi-arid climate of tandojam, Pakistan. *Mehran University Research Journal of Engineering & Technology* **35(2)**, 239-246.

Licht MA, Al-Kaisi M. 2005. Strip-tillage effect on seedbed soil temperature and other soil physical properties. *Soil and Tillage Research* **80(1-2)**, 233-249.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2004.03.017>

Lim CH, Kim SY, Kim PJ. 2011. Effect of gypsum application on reducing methane (CH₄) emission in a reclaimed coastal paddy soil. *Korean Journal of Environmental Agriculture* **30**, 243-251 (in Korean).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5338/KJEA.2011.30.3.243>

Mulumba LN, Lal R. 2008. Mulching effects on selected soil physical properties. *Soil & Tillage Research* **98 (1)**, 106-111.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2007.10.011>

Sadiq M, Hassan G, Chaudhry GA, Hussain N, Mehdi SM, Jamil M. 2003. Appropriate land preparation methods and sulphuric acid use for amelioration of salt affected soils. *Pakistan journal of agronomy* **2(3)**, 138-145.

Sadiq M, Hassan G, Mehdi SH, Hussain N, Jamil M. 2006. Amelioration of saline-sodic soils with tillage implements and sulfuric acid application. *Pedosphere* **17(2)**, 182-190.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160\(07\)60024-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(07)60024-1)

Sadiq M, Jamil M, Mehdi SM, Hassan G, Akhtar A. 2002. Effect of different tillage implements on wheat production in rice-wheat cropping system in saline-sodic soil. *Pakistan journal of agronomy* **1(2-3)**, 98-100.

Sharma RD, Ali S, Pant GB. 1996. Effect of soil amendments on rice yield. *IRRI Notes* **21**, 72-73.

Singh K, Mishra AK, Singh B, Singh RP, Patra DD. 2014. Tillage effects on crop yield and physicochemical properties of sodic soils. *Land Degradation & Development* **27(2)**, 223-230.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2266>

Steel RGD, TorrieJH, Dickey DA. 1997.

Principles and Procedures of Statistic: A Biometrical Approach. 3rd edition. McGraw Hill book Co. Inc. New York, USA. 400–428 p.

Strudley MW, Green TR, Ascough JC. 2008. Tillage effects on soil hydraulic properties in space and time: state of the science. *Soil & Tillage Research* **99**, 4-48.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2008.01.007>

US Salinity Lab Staff. 1954. Diagnosis and Improvement of Saline and Alkali Soils. USDA Handbook 60, Washington DC, USA.

Vadyanina AF, Roi PK. 1974. Changes in aggregates status of saline sodic soil after their reclamation by different methods. *Vestn. Mask. Univ. Ser. 6 Boil Pochmned* **29**, 111-117.

Xiong X , Azuma A, Huijun Z, Ken A, Chinao T, Kazuhiko O, Feng L, Huibin J, Chunfeng Z, Baoguo Z, Nannan W, Qingying M, Shucun Y. 2012. Improvement of salt-affected soils by deep tillage. *Engineering in Agriculture, Environment and Food* **5(1)**, 29-35.

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1881-8366\(12\)80005-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1881-8366(12)80005-X)