J. Bio. & Env. Sci. 2019



RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

The effects of co-inoculation of PGPR bacteria and *Sinorhizobium meliloti* on nutrient contents, plant growth and yield of alfalfa

Alireza Tavasolee*1, Kazem Khavazi², Ahmad Asgharzadeh³, Hassan Monirifar⁴, Saeid Ghassemi⁵

¹Soil and Water Research Department, East Azerbaijan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, AREEO, Tabriz, Iran

²⁶³Soil and Water Research Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Karaj, Iran

*Seed and Plant Improvement Research Department, East Azerbaijan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research and Education Center, AREEO, Tabriz, Iran

⁵Department of Plant Eco-physiology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

Article published on November 30, 2019

Key words: alfalfa, nutrient contents, PGPR, plant height, Sinorhizobium meliloti.

Abstract

Two field experiments with factorial arrangement based on a randomized complete block design with three replications were conducted in 2013 and 2014 to evaluate the effects of co-inoculation of PGPR bacteria (control and mixed of azotobacter, azospirillum and pseudomonas inoculations) and *Sinorhizobium meliloti* (control, strain 1, strain 2, strain 3 and mixed of these strains) on nutrient contents, plant growth and yield of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*). Results showed that application of PGPR bacteria enhanced nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), manganese (Mn), zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu) contents of alfalfa in compared with control. Plant height, fresh and dry weight of forage in 2013 were significantly higher than 2014. PGPR treatment significantly increased plant height, number of branches, fresh and dry weight of forage of alfalfa compared with control.

*Corresponding Author: Alireza Tavasolee 🖂 ar.tavasolee@yahoo.ca

Introduction

One the most common forage species that cultivated in the world is Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) and about 30 Mha of this plant is grown on worldwide. This plant has good quantity and quality of production and can be to improve the characteristics of the land where it is cultivated (Jiang *et al.*, 2006). Alfalfa has high protein content, good digestibility and palatability. It is widely planted throughout the world for hay, pasture, silage making and livestock feed (Hu and Cash, 2009).

Chemical fertilizers are costly and create environmental problems. Therefore, in present agricultural system use the organic, sustainable and environmentally friendly methods (Esitken et al., 2005). Bio-fertilizers can be used to improve plant growth and help to sustain environmental health and soil productivity of containing instead of synthetic chemicals (O'Connell, 1992). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have beneficial effects on biocontrol function, disease-resistance mechanisms, reduction of the plant ethylene level, the production of phytohormones and promote growth, crop yield and crop quality (Babalola, 2010). These bacteria actively colonize plant roots and improved plant development. The PGPR (1) effected fixing nitrogen, producing hormones or solubilizing phosphates and promote the plant growth or increasing the enzymatic activity of the plant, enhancing root development, affected the plant metabolism by increasing the uptake of minerals and water or increased microorganisms' activities to enhance the plants yield; (2) or suppressing plant pathogens and promote the plant growth. These abilities of PGPR bacteria can reduce the negative impact of chemical fertilizers and improve the soil fertility and plant yield (Pérez-Montano et al., 2014).

One of the most important model systems of symbiotic nitrogen fixation is the partnership between the legumes of the genus Medicago (alfalfa and relatives) and Rhizobium *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. Rhizobia are terminally differentiated into bacteroids inside root nodules (indeterminate nodules) in this partnership and lose the ability to reproduce (Sprent, 2001; Gibson *et al.*, 2008). Rhizobial invasion in the symbiotic model *Medicago sativa/Sinorhizobium meliloti* occurs via root hairs. The perception of bacterial nodulation factors by the host plant leads to cell division in the root per cycle and in the root cortex, where the nodule primordial forms. Simultaneously, root hairs deform and curl. The bacteria are entrapped in this curl, the local cell wall is hydrolyzed, and a plasma membrane invagination occurs, leading to the formation of an infection thread (Brewin, 2004). The aim of the study reported here was to investigate the influence of co-inoculation of PGPR bacteria and *Sinorhizobium meliloti* on nutrient contents, plant growth and yield of alfalfa.

Materials and methods

Two field experiments with factorial arrangement based on randomized complete block design with three replications was conducted in 2013 and 2014 in an experimental farm (latitude 38.15° N, longitude 46.45° E, altitude 1349 m) to evaluate the effects of co-inoculation of PGPR bacteria (control and mixed of azotobacter, azotobacter and pseudomonas treatments) and Sinorhizobium meliloti (control, strain 1, strain 2, strain 3 and mixed of these strains) on nutrient contents, plant growth and yield of an ecotype of alfalfa (Kara yonje). The averages of maximum and minimum temperatures and rainfall during the work in 2013 and 2014 were shown in Table 1. The Main properties of the experimental soil appear in Table 2. Three strains of Sinorhizobium meliloti were chosen according the pretests, and then ecotype of alfalfa (Kara yonje) was inoculated with these bacterias (Seeds of this ecotype were inoculated with 108 bacterias per ml). Before the sowing, 20 kg urea per hectare was added to the farm (Starter fertilizer for legumes) and inoculated seeds were sown.

Table 1. Averages of maximum and minimum temperatures and rainfall during the work in 2013 and 2014.

Month	Tempera	ture (°C)	Rainfall (mm)		
WOITH	2013	2014	2013	2014	
April	13.3	13.1	47.3	22	
May	16.6	19.3	39.5	53.9	
June	23	24.2	7.8	0.1	
July	26.4	27.2	4.5	18.8	
August	25.3	28.4	0	1	
September	21.8	22.9	0.4	2.4	

	Table 2. Some	physical ai	nd chemical	properties of ex	perimental soil.
--	---------------	-------------	-------------	------------------	------------------

Depth	EC	DII	Organic	Р	Κ	Cu	Mn	Fe	Zn	Sand	Silt	Clay
(cm)	(ds/m)	РН	Carbon (%)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(mg/kg)	(%)	(%)	(%)
0-35	3.23	8	0.9	6.64	209	0.7	2.5	4.2	1.4	78	14	8

For measurement of morphological traits and yield of alfalfa, the forage at 1m² of the middle part of each plot was separately harvested (Cut off 5cm from the soil surface at the 25% of flowering stage) than fresh weight and number of branches were determined. The dry weight of each sample was determined after oven drying at 80°C for 48 h.

For measurement of nutrient contents, 1 gram of dried samples was powdered and used for determination of nitrogen (N_2) content in plant tissues with kjeldahl (Nelson and Sommers, 1973). Phosphorus (P) was measured by yellow method, in which vanadate-molybdate (Tandon *et al.*, 1968) is used as an indicator. P content was determined at 430nm using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV3100, Japan). To determine the iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu) and manganese (Mn) content in plant tissues of alfalfa, plant samples were dry-ashed at 500°C for 7h and then, 500mg of dried samples was digested in 5ml HNO₃. Tubes were filled up to volume (50mL) with double-distilled water and analyzed for ion content (mg g⁻¹ dry weight) with atomic absorption spectrophotometry (Shimadzu model: AA-7000, Kyoto, Japan).

SPSS 16 software used for the data analyzed and the means of traits were compared using LSD tests at $P \le 0.05$. To draw fig. s, excel software was used.

Results

PGPR bacteria significantly influenced N_2 , P, Mn, Zn and Cu contents of alfalfa (Table 3).

Table 3. Analysis of variance of nutrient contents of alfalfa in treatments by PGPR bacteria and *Sinorhizobiummeliloti* in 2013.

Source	df	Mean Square						
Source	ui	N_2	Р	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu	
Repeat	2	7662.39	43.749	54082.7	14396.4	4021.5	802.76	
Sinorhizobium meliloti (Sm)	4	1387.17 ns	10.043 ns	57961.4 ns	11332.5 ns	1132.3 ns	7833.4 ns	
PGPR bacteria (PGPR)	1	17275.3 *	95.027 *	308743.4 ns	104200.2 *	14462.4 *	5119.5 *	
$PGPR \times Sm$	4	1570.12 ns	10.287 ns	28423.6 ns	8261.5 ns	626.1 ns	691.07 ns	
Error	18	2194.64	12.442	78223.5	16134.6	1908.687	794.6	
CV (%)		28.69	25.33	36.76	32.91	32.84	34.51	

ns,*,**: No significant and significant at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.01$, respectively

Application of PGPR bacteria enhanced the N₂, P, Mn, Zn and Cu contents of alfalfa compared with control plants. The Iron content in treating plants with PGPR increased by about 30.77%, but, this superiority was not statistically significant (Table 4).

Table 4. Means of of total element concentration of alfalfa in treatments by PGPR bacteria and *Sinorhizobium* meliloti in 2013.

Treatment	N (mg/kg)	P (mg/kg)	Fe (mg/kg)	Mn (mg/kg)	Zn (mg/kg)	Cu (mg/kg)		
control	139.26 b	12.15 b	659.36 a	327.02 b	111.06 b	68.63 b		
PGPR bacteria	187.26 a	15.71 a	862.29 a	154.86 a	154.86 a	994.76 a		
Different letters in each column indicate significant difference at n < 0.05								

Different letters in each column indicate significant difference at $p \le 0.05$.

Combined analyses of variance for morphological traits and yield of alfalfa showed significant effects of the year and PGPR bacteria on plant height, fresh and dry weight of forage. The effect of PGPR for branches per plant was also significant (Table 5).

Source	df	Mean Square				
bource	ui	Plant height	Number of branches	Fresh weight of forage	Dry weight of forage	
Year (Y)	1	1734.19 **	7580.2 ^{ns}	523.62 **	44.712 **	
Repeat	4	18.718 ns	2439.9 ^{ns}	16.518 ns	1.4 ^{ns}	
Sinorhizobium meliloti (Sm)	4	51.609 ^{ns}	3473.5 ^{ns}	9.865 ^{ns}	0.872 ^{ns}	
$Sm \times Y$	4	5.774 ^{ns}	1392.6 ^{ns}	8.892 ^{ns}	1.027 ^{ns}	
PGPR bacteria (PGPR)	1	239.48 *	28967.009*	226.01 **	26.432 **	
$PGPR \times Y$	1	25.415 ^{ns}	1230.35 ^{ns}	0.852 ^{ns}	0.109 ^{ns}	
$PGPR \times Sm$	4	68.193 ^{ns}	4941.6 ^{ns}	11.17 ^{ns}	1.138 ^{ns}	
$PGPR \times Sm \times Y$	4	18.435 ^{ns}	3273.388 ^{ns}	10.659 ^{ns}	0.673 ^{ns}	
Error	36	55.544	4335.88	15.43	1.231	
CV (%)		12.25	21.27	30.36	26.50	

Table 5. Combined analysis of variance of morphological traits and yield of alfalfa in treatments by PGPR bacteria and *Sinorhizobium meliloti* in 2013 and 2014.

ns,*,**: No significant and significant at $P \le 0.05$ and $P \le 0.01$, respectively

Plant height, fresh and dry weight of forage in 2013 was significantly higher than 2014. PGPR treatment significantly increased plant height, number of branches, fresh and dry weight of forage of alfalfa compared with control (Table 6).

Table 6. Means of morphological traits and yield ofalfalfa in treatments by PGPR bacteria andSinorhizobium meliloti in 2013 and 2014.

Treatn	nents	Plant height (cm)	Number of branches (per m ²)	Fresh weight of forage (tons per hectare)	Dry weight of forage (tons per hectare)
Year	2013	66.19 a	320.86 a	15.89 a	50.51 a
	2014	55.44 b	298.38 a	9.98 b	3.325 b
PGP	Rcontrol	58.82 b	287.64 b	11 b	3.524 b
bacter	ia PGPR	62.81 a	331.59 a	14.88 a	4.852 a
Differe	nt letters	s in eac	h column	indicate	significant

difference at $p \le 0.05$

Discussion

N2, P, Mn, Zn and Cu contents increased by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) (Table 4). Increased the uptake of nutrient elements from the soil, enhanced the N₂ contents of plants (Marschner, 1995). This evidence confirms the data showing that the percentage of N2, P, Mn, Zn and Cu was significant and relatively increased in the bacteriatreated plants, which may be explained by higher concentrations of N2 stimulated by bacterial application. PGPR can improve the nutrient status of plants by increasing plant phytohormones production. This response has been demonstrated in many plant species and increases the nutrient contents in these plants (Cappellari et al., 2017).

The high plant height, fresh and dry weight of forage of alfalfa (Table 6) in 2013 may be caused by low temperature and rainfall before harvesting, compared with 2014 (Table 1). Competition of plants for nutrients and water availability, reduced the plant height due to water stress (Ghassemi-Golezani *et al.*, 2010). Leaf and stem growth rate dependent on cell expansion so, these organs are very sensitive to water stress (Hearn, 1994). Decline in the cell enlargement and more leaf senescence reduced the plant fresh and dry weight under water stress (Shao *et al.*, 2008).

PGPR bacteria may stimulate plant growth (Table 6) by several mechanisms. That includes mechanisms such, nitrogen fixation, active the microorganisms, suppression of disease and production of plant growth regulators such as auxins and gibberellins (Holl et al., 1988; Chanway, 2002). Auxin or indol-3acetic acid (IAA), is a class of plant hormones stimulate both rapid and long term responses in plants (Cleland, 1971) and increased plant height (Table 6). Pseudomonas strains have increased root and shoot elongation in tomato, lettuce and canola (Glick et al., 1997). They regulate growth by affecting physiological and morphological processes at very low concentrations (Arshad and Frankenberger, 1998). The IAA and nitrogenase activity was detected in two bacterial suspensions in this study and they are forming a beneficial association with the plants as evidence of the increase in plant dry weight and nutrient level uptake (Lindberg and Granhall, 1984).

Conclusions

The results of the present study showed coinoculation of PGPR bacteria improved the nutrient contents, plant height and forage yield of *Medicago sativa, but, Sinorhizobium meliloti* doesn't have a significant effect on these traits. Therefore, PGPR bacteria are useful tool for alfalfa plants.

References

Arshad MF, Frankenberger WT. 1998. Plant growth-regulating substances in the rhizosphere: microbial production and functions. Advances in Agronomy **62**, 45-51.

Babalola OO. 2010. Beneficial bacteria of agricultural importance. Biotechnology Letters **32**, 1559-1570.

Brewin NJ. 2004. Plant cell wall remodelling in the Rhizobium-legume symbiosis. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences **25**, 1-24.

Cappellari L, Chiappero J, Valeria Santoro M, Giordano W, Banchio E. 2017. Inducing phenolic production and volatile organic compounds emission by inoculating *Mentha piperita* with plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria. Scientia Horticulturae **220**, 193-198.

Chanway CP. 2002. Plant growth promotion by Bacillus and relatives. In: Berkeley, R., Heyndrickx, M., Logan, N., De Vos, P. (Eds.), B. subtilis for Biocontrol in Variety of Plants. Blackwell Publishing, Malden, MA, pp. 219-235.

Cleland R. 1971. Cell wall extension. Annual Review of Plant Physiology **22**, 197-222.

Esitken A, Ercisli S, Karlidag H, Sahin F. 2005. Potential use of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) in organic apricot production. In: Proceedings of the International Scientific Conference of Environmentally Friendly Fruit Growing, Tartu-Estonia pp. 90-97.

Ghassemi-Golezani K, Zafarani-Moattar P, Raey Y, Mohammadi M. 2010. Response of pinto bean cultivars to water deficit at reproductive stages. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment **8**, 801-804. **Gibson KE, Kobayashi H, Walker GC.** 2008. Molecular determinants of a symbiotic chronic infection. Annual Review of Genetics **42**, 413-441.

Glick BR, Changping L, Sibdas G, Dumbroff EB. 1997. Early development of canola seedlings in the presence of the plant growth promoting rhizobacteria Pseudomonas putida GR12-2. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **29**, 1233-1239.

Hearn AB. 1994. The principles of cotton water relations and their application in management, in: Constable, G.A., Forrester, N.W. (Eds.), Challenging the Future, Proc. World Cotton Conf. Brisbane, Australia pp. 66-92.

Holl FB, Chanway CP, Turkington R, Radley RA. 1988. Response of crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum* L.), perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* L.), and white clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) to inoculation with Bacillus polymyxa. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **20**, 19-24.

Hu YG, Cash D. 2009. Global status and development trends of alfalfa. In alfalfa management guide for Ningxia. United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization. Beining, China.

Jiang HM, Jiang JP, Jia Y, Li FM, Xu JZ. 2006. Soil carbon pool and effects of soil fertility in seeded alfalfa fields on the semi-arid Loess Plateau in China, Soil Biology and Biochemistry **38**, 2350-2358.

Lindberg T, Granhall U. 1984. Isolation and characterization of dinitrogen-fixing bacteria from the rhizosphere of temperate cereals and forage grasses. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **48**, 683-689.

Marschner H. 1995. Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 2nd ed. Academic Press, London.

O'Connell PF. 1992. Sustainable agriculture valid alternative. Outlook on Agriculture **21**, 5-12.

Pérez-Montano F, Alías-Villegas C, Bellogín RA, del Cerro P, Espuny MR, Jiménez-Guerrero I, López-Baena FJ, Ollero FJ, Cubo T. 2014. Plant growth promotion in cereal and leguminous agriculturalimportant plants: From microorganism capacities to crop production. Microbiological Research 169, 325-336. **Shao HB, Chu LY, Jaleel CA, Zhao CX.** 2008. Water-deficit stress-induced anatomical changes in higher plants. Comptes Rendus Biologies **331**, 215-225.

Sprent JI. 2001. Nodulation in Legumes. London: Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew.