

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Effect of shade intensities and chilling duration on quality fruit and runner production of strawberry

Nadia Bostan*, Muhammad Sajid

Department of Horticulture, The University of Agriculture, Peshawar, Pakistan

Article published on August 20, 2020

Key words: Strawberry, Shade intensities, Chilling duration, Growth, Yield, Qualit

Abstract

Strawberry cv. Chandler is the only commercial cultivar in Pakistan which is majorly propagated through crowns. Its production is highly effected by temperature that is why a field experiment entitled "effect of shade intensities and chilling duration on quality fruit and runner production of strawberry" was undertaken at Agriculture Research Institute Swat during 2017-2018. The experiment was triplicated using randomized complete block design with split plot arrangement. Shade intensities (0, 30%, 50%, 70%) were kept in main plot while pre transplant chilling duration (0, 3, 6 and 9 days) at $4\pm 1^{\circ}$ C were subjected to subplots. The results showed maximum value for number of crowns plant-1 (32.6) and crown diameter (9.6mm) under 30% shade level while maximum plant height (31.1cm) was observed under 70-75% shade intensity. Yield and qualitative attributes like single fruit weight (12.6g), total yield (196.7g m⁻²), ascorbic acid (56.3mg 100g⁻¹), total soluble content (10.3 °brix), titratable acidity (1.0%), reducing sugar (5.0%) and non-reducing sugar (1.7%) were maximum under open field condition. Regarding chilling duration, higher values for plant height (28.7cm), single fruit weight (12.5g) and total yield (186.9g m⁻²) were observed in plants who received 6 days pretransplant chilling duration while number of crowns plant⁻¹ (27.3) and crown diameter (9.8mm) was recorded in plants subjected to 9 days pre-transplant chilling duration. It is concluded that for increase in crowns (daughter plants) production, strawberry cultivation should be done under 30% shade intensity with strawberry plants subjected to 6-9 days pre transplant chilling, while for qualitative as well as quantitative increase in fruit yield, open field along with 6 days pre-transplant chilling duration is recommended.

*Corresponding Author: Nadia Bostan 🖂 nadia.bostan11@gmail.com

Introduction

Strawberry is a nutritious crop produced in almost all provinces of Pakistan (Dad, 2011). Like other horticultural crops, its successful production depends upon several factors including supply of high quality planting material and temperature. Using low quality plants leads to irreversible losses that the grower cannot earn back (Hatfield and Preuger, 2015; Massetani and Neri, 2016). The ideal temperature to produce high quality strawberry is between 20 and 26°C; temperatures below 20°C slow down the growth and development of both the strawberry plant and fruit, whereas temperatures above 35°C cause the strawberry plant to stop growing and may succumb to heat stress (Galletta and Bringhurst, 1990). As expected by Intergovernmental Panel Climate Change (IPCC, 2007), the expected changes in temperature over the next 30-50 years are predicted to be in the range of 2-3°C. Thus, heat stress is a challenge in strawberry production that has been reported all over the world (Chen, 2013).

Several practices can be used to cope with temperature stress and shade netting is one technique used to reduce solar radiation, temperature, and ensure the optimal microclimate for the crop (Ambrozy et al., 2015). Plants optimize their growth and development in a given environment by responding to light quality, quantity, direction and periodicity (Oren-Shamir et al., 2001). Physiological factors like photosynthesis, evapotranspiration, respiration, water absorption and mineral elements and their transport are more effectively utilized under shade thus increasing precocity and productivity and enabling off season production (Lobos et al., 2013). Shading had significant effect on glucose and sucrose concentrations (Watson et al., 2002). Previous researches suggested that light shade screens increased quality and productivity of various crops like of tomato, pear (Pyrus sp.), apple (Malus domestica), strawberry (Fragaria ananassa), grape (Vitis vinifera), and chili pepper (Capsicum) by increasing water and radiation use efficiency in summer seasons (Rajapakse and Shahak, 2007; Shahak *et al.*, 2008).

Another option to improve seedling quality is pretransplant vernalization. Vernaliztion or pre-transplant chilling is the induction of a plant's reproductive process through natural or artificial exposure to chilling temperatures (e.g., o - 7°C). Chilling requirements vary from cultivar to cultivar (Bolda, 2008). Artificial vernalization of seedlings is a strategy to increase floral differentiation and increase fruit production (Costa et al., 2014). Low temperatures improve the quality of seedlings due to a higher accumulation of reserves in the crown and roots of plants grown in this climate (Ruan et al., 2009). Seedlings subjected to insufficient number of hours of cold have low yield (Wrege et al., 2007). Runnering has also been shown to increase in day-neutral cultivars through vernalization (Robert and Rice, 1990).

Keeping in view the importance of shade and vernalization the present experiment was designed to accomplish the prominent role of pre-transplant chilling duration and shade intensities on growth, yield and quality traits of June-bearing strawberry cultivar Chandler under the agro climatic conditions of District Swat.

Materials and methods

The experiment was conducted at Agriculture Research Institute (ARI) swat during the year 2017-2018. It is located at 34.7717°N latitude, 72.3602°E longitude with an attitude of 936 m above mean sea level. The soil of experimental site was Silt loam with a pH 6.6 (Soil Laboratory ARI Swat). Crowns of strawberry cv Chandler were collected from ARI Swat. The experimental designed in randomized complete block with split plot arrangement with four shade intensities and four chilling treatments replicated three times. Shade intensities (0, 30%, 50%, 70%) were kept in main plot while pre transplant chilling duration (0, 3, 6 and 9 days) at 4+1°C were subjected to subplots. Total of 48 plots were studied in the whole experiment having 16 treatments in each replication. Each treatment was having 8 daughter plants thus total 384 plants were used in the experiment.

The field was ploughed twice two weeks before the planting in both the years. The experimental area was prepared by cultivating it with rotavator a day before planting. Beds of 122 cm width and 31 cm height were formed manually with 61 cm bed to bed distance. Shade was applied 2 feet above the ground. Healthy runners of strawberry cv. Chandler collected from last year strawberry runners harvest at ARI Swat was used. Runners were transplanted having 6 inches plant to plant distance on both sides of beds with flood irrigation in furrows between the beds. Pre emergence herbicide Pend methylene was sprayed @7ml L-1 during primary irrigation. Both organic and in-organic fertilizers were applied to the experimental field. Well-rotten Farm Yard Manure (FYM) was applied @ 3600kg acre⁻¹ just after the soil was ploughed a week before planting. After three to four days of transplantation irrigation was done again. After 30-45 days weeding was done and during weeding chemical fertilizer was applied @ 12:42:27 NPKkg ha⁻¹ in the form of Di ammonium phosphate (DAP). Corrective measures were taken for the control of pest and diseases. Replacement for any dead plant was done within 2 weeks after sprouting was replaced. Data was collected on plant height, number of crowns (daughter plants) plant-1, crown diameter (mm), single fruit weight (g), total yield (g m⁻²), total soluble solids (°Brix), titratable acidity (%), ascorbic acid (mg 100⁻¹ ml juice), reducing sugar (%) and non-reducing sugar (%) using the standard procedure.

Statistical Analysis

All the data noted was subjected to *analysis of variance* process to confirm differences among treatments for the studied parameters. Least significant difference (LSD) test was used for mean differences of significant results (Jan *et al.*, 2009).

Results and discussions

Plant height (cm)

Data regarding plant height of strawberry is given in Table 1. Analysis of variance indicated that shade intensity, pre-transplant chilling duration as well as their interaction significantly affected plant height of strawberry. Mean values indicated that daughter plants produced under 70% shade intensity produced taller plants (31.1cm), while shorter plants (16.9cm) were noted in control treatment where daughter plants were produced under open field. In case of pre transplant chilling duration maximum plant height (28.6cm) was recorded in plots treated with 6 days chilling which was statistically at par with (27.7cm) reported in plants which received 9 days pre transplant chilling duration.

Interaction data for shade intensity and chilling duration revealed that taller plants (33.7cm) were recorded under 70% shade which received 6 days pre transplant chilling, while smaller plants (14.5cm) were observed in non-chilled plants in open field as presented in Fig. 1. Maximum plant height under 70% shade intensity might be due to apical dominance of the plant as a response to avoid shade stress (Moniruzzaman et al., 2009). Similarly Taiz and Zeiger (2010) also reported etiolated growth in plants under low light intensity. The taller plants observed under higher shade indicates a phototropism response in order to help the plants receive enough light (Mapes et al., 2014; Rezai et al., 2018). This increase in plant height under shade might also be due to increase in auxin transportation which leads to cell elongation below the zone of apical meristem leading to increased plant height (Keuskamp et al., 2010).

Literature supports our results by presenting maximum plant height under low light (Haque et al., 2009; Rajasekar et al., 2013). Pre-transplant chilling has great impact on plant canopy architecture (Heide, 1994). In case of chilling durations, plant height of strawberry increased up to the treatment of 6 days pre transplant chilling which might be due to the early sprouting of strawberry plant (Silva, 1982) associated with the fact that, at early phase of development plant depends on its own reserve food for canopy and root development, that will later be an important source of reserve and nutrient exportation that translates into fast growth (Magalhaes, 1985). Similar results are also obtained for other strawberry cultivars by Yamasaki, (2013) and Ledesma et al. (2017). Further support to our results is provided by Seno et al. (1993) who reported maximum plant height in garlic with increase in vernalization.

Treatments	Plant height Number of crown		Crown diameter	Single fruit	Total yield
Treatments	(cm)	(daughter plants) plant-1	(mm)	weight (g)	(g m ⁻²)
Shade intensity					
0	16.9 c	18.1 c	9.5 a	12.6 a	196.7 a
30%	28.6 b	32.6 a	9.6 a	11.9 b	180.2 ab
50%	29.5 b	27.0 b	9.2 ab	10.9 c	166.2 b
70%	31.1 a	22.1 bc	8.7 b	9.78 d	130.9 c
LSD value (P≤0.05)	1.59	4.89	0.548	0.237	18.30
Chilling duration					
o days	24.0 C	20.6 b	8.8 c	9.9 c	142.3 c
3 days	25.8 b	26.6 a	9.1 bc	10.8 b	168.3 b
6 days	28.6 a	26.4 a	9.4 ab	12.5 a	186.9 a
9 days	27.7 a	27.3 a	9.8 a	11.9 a	176.3 ab
LSD value (P≤0.05)	0.786	4.88	0.527	0.602	15.58
Interaction (SI x CD)	Fig. 1	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 1. Plant height, number of crowns plant⁻¹, crown diameter, single fruit weight and total yield of strawberry as affected by shade intensities and pre-transplant chilling durations.

Means of the same letter in column are not differ significantly from one another and NS = Non-significant at $P \le 0.05$.



Fig. 1. Interactive effect of chilling duration and shade intensity on strawberry plant height (cm).

Number of crowns plant¹

Statistical analysis of the data indicated that shade levels as well as pre transplant chilling durations significantly affected number of crowns plant⁻¹ of strawberry (Table 1). Number of crowns plant⁻¹ increased with increased in shade level up to 30% and then decrease with further increase in shade intensity. Maximum crowns (32.6) were counted in plants under 30% shade level, whereas fewer leaves (18.1) were noted in plants under open field condition. In case of pre transplant chilling duration more crowns (27.3) were produced by plants received 9 days pre transplant chilling duration which was statistically at par with number of crowns (26.4 and 25.6) produced

in plants subjected to 6 and 3 days pre-transplant chilling duration respectively. While fewer crowns (20.6) were counted in case of non-chilled plants. Photosynthesis; being the main factor for plant growth could be the reason for maximum and minimum crowns plant-1 under 30% and 70% shade level respectively (Raza et al., 2018). In our study minimum photosynthetic pigments were noted in open field due to high temperature. High temperature degrade photosynthetic pigments which ultimately reduces photosynthesis and reparation rate (Todorov et al., 2003; Sharkey and Zhang, 2010). Decline in photosynthesis results in limited resource availability for plant growth and development and thus lead to low plant growth (Young et al., 2004; Sumesh et al., 2008). Moreover decrease in crowns under maximum shade intensity might be due to the low light availability under high shade.

Literature shows that plant growth is directly associated with light intensity (Kiniry *et al.*, 2004) and any reductions in light decreased the plant growth (Maddonni and Otegui, 2004). Optimum light availability enhances plant growth by uplifting physiological and biochemical processes of the plants through capturing and utilizing maximum sunlight which leads to vigorous plant growth. Liu *et al.*, 2015). The low temperature under 30% shade might have increased crowns production (Sharma *et al.*, 2013). Our results are in conformity with that of Sharma et al. (2013) who reported a smaller number of crowns under high temperature. Further support of our result presented in literature that shade level reduces stomatal conductance, photosynthesis rate, carbon assimilation and ultimately plant growth (Kumar et al., 2013; Rezai, et al., 2018). Maximum count for crowns for 6 days pre transplant chilling duration might be due to the cultivar effect used in our study as It is a low chilled cultivar. Also pre transplant chilling helps the plant distribution of sugars during chilling duration in crowns which enhances vegetative growth (Gagnon et al., 1990). Our results are supported by Lieten (2006); Yamasaki, (2013) and Ledesma et al. (2017) who reported maximum growth in strawberry with pre transplant chilling.

Crown diameter (mm)

Shade intensity and pre transplant chilling duration significantly affected crown diameter of strawberry as reported in Table 1. Maximum crown diameter (9.6mm) was recorded in plots supplied with 30% shade level which is statistically at par with crown diameter (9.2mm and 9.5mm) of plants grown under 50% shade level as well as open field conditions respectively, while minimum crown diameter (8.7mm) was achieved in plants produced under 70% shade level. In case of pre transplant chilling duration, higher value for crown diameter (9.8mm) was noted in treatment of 9 days chilling duration which was statistically at par with 6 days pretransplant chilling duration. Whereas non-chilled plants gave lower value (8.8mm) for crown diameter of strawberry. Minimum crown diameter under maximum shade level might be due to the reason that shade reduce plant canopy and enhance vertical growth by distributing assimilated carbon towards it in order to capture maximum light energy (King, 1990; Wu and Tan, 2002). Shading reduces plant diameter while favoring upward growth (Kurepin et al., 2007; Gommers et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2014). Our results are in similarity with Sharma *et al.* (2013) who reported maximum crown diameter under low shade level. Maximum crown diameter with maximum pre transplant chilling duration might be due to maximum leaves which enhances photosynthesis. Maximum photosynthesis improves photosynthate formation in plant which is further transferred from leaves to the sink organ. Thus maximum photosynthates in the sink organ leads to maximum plant diameter (Long *et al.*, 2004; 2006). Our results are in line with Lefebvre *et al.* (2005) who reported maximum growth in tobacco due to maximum photosynthate accumulation.

Single fruit weight (g)

Table 1 represents data pertaining single fruit weight of strawberry. It is evident from analysis of variance that shade intensity and chilling duration exhibited significant variation in single fruit weight of strawberry. No application of shade i.e. open field produced weightier fruits (12.6g), while lighter fruits (9.8g) were noted under 70% shade level. Similar increase in single fruit weight of strawberry was observed with increasing chilling duration. Single fruit weight was maximum (12.5g) in plants which received 6 days chilling which is statistically at par with (11.9g) in plants which received 9 days pretransplant chilling, while it was minimum in control treatment (9.9g). Single fruit weight is major factor contributing to the total yield of strawberry. Although fruit weight is predominantly a genetic character, yet it totally depends upon different growth parameters (Asma, 2011). Shade application negatively affect fruit weight as observed in our study which might be due to low photosynthesis under shade. Reduced photosynthesis caused stomatal closure which leads to low gas exchange and carbon assimilation thus lead to reduced fruit weight. Our results are supported by the reports of Fletcher et al. (2002) and Ozturk et al. (2004) who reported fruit weight reduction due to shade. Fruit weight is totally dependent upon the food supply to the fruit which is directly related to number of leaves as well as leaf area of the plant (Zhu et al., 2010). This could be the possible reason of maximum fruit weight with 6 days pre transplant chilling duration as in our study we observed maximum leaf count as well as area with 6 days pre transplant chilling duration. Our results are supported by Mathan, et al. (2016) who reported maximum fruit weight with increase in leaves.

Total yield (g m⁻²)

It is evident from the analysis of variance that shade levels as well as chilling durations had significant while their interaction had non-significant effect on the yield of strawberry fruit (Table 1). The mean values indicated that maximum yield (196.7g m⁻²) was achieved by the control treatment, while minimum yield (130.9g m⁻²) were noted in plots which received 70% shade. In case of pre transplant chilling duration maximum total yield (186.9g m-2) was recorded in plots received 6 days chilling, while the lowest total yield (142.3g m⁻²) was recorded in non-chilled plants. Maximum fruit yield in open field might be due to adequate light availability. Light increase total yield by improving photosynthesis and delaying leaf senescence (Hovi et al., 2004; Hovi and Tahvonen 2008). Negative effect of shade on total fruit yield as presented in our study might be due to low fruit set caused by stamen abortion in low light (Johannes, 2008). Reduction in total yield due to shade is further supported by Ibrahim et al. (1997). Yield reduction under shade in strawberry is closely related to reduced photosynthesis in the plants due to unavailability of sufficient light (Choi et al., 2016). Fruit yield is directly related with vegetative growth of the plant. Thus maximum fruit yield with 6 days pre transplant chilling duration might be due to the maximum single fruit weight as well as leaves count and area at this level. Leaves are the primary site of photosynthesis, thus plants with maximum number of leaves as well as leaf area efficiently harvest light, leading to a faster growth rate and increased yield (Zhu *et al.*, 2010). Our results are supported by Mathan, *et al.* (2016) by reporting maximum yield with increase in leaves.

Total soluble solids (obrix)

Statistical analysis of the data indicated that shade levels significantly affected total soluble solids of strawberry (Table 2). More soluble solids (10.3°brix) were measured in plots treated with no shade followed by 30% shade level, whereas lesser amount of total soluble solids (7.0°brix) was noted in the strawberry of plots which received 70% shade. For proper plant growth and developmental processes soluble sugars are very necessary. They not only provide energy to the plant but also signalize and regulate important movements of plants (Wang and Tang, 2014). Sugar content along with other factors are much dependent on growing condition (Hamano et al., 2002). Maximum total soluble solids in open field might be due to more leaves in open field as according to Carlen et al. (2007). Decrease in total soluble solids with effect of shade might be due to low light stress caused by shade net (Morgan, 2006). Sen et al. (2012) also reported higher TSS in grapes under open field conditions. In case of pre transplant chilling duration total soluble solids didn't seem to be effected significantly and this result is supported by the findings of Oviedo et al. (2018).

Table 2. To	tal soluble	solids,	titratable	acidity,	ascorbic	acid,	reducing	sugar	and	non-reducin	g suga	r of
strawberry as	affected by	shade i	ntensities a	and pre-t	transplant	chilli	ng duratio	ns.				

Treatments	Total soluble solids (ºbrix)	Titratable acidity (%)	Ascorbic acid (mg 100 ml ⁻¹)	Reducing sugar (%)	Non reducing sugar (%)
Shade intensity					
0	10.3 a	1.0 a	56.3 a	5.0 a	1.7 a
30%	8.9 b	0.9 ab	54.0 b	4.7 ab	1.6 ab
50%	7.9 c	0.9 bc	46.5 c	4.2 C	1.5 bc
70%	7.0 d	0.80 c	43.1 d	3.5 bc	1.4 C
LSD value (P≤0.05)	0.351	0.084	1.267	0.441	0.177
Chilling duration					
o days	8.2	0.9	47.9	4.2	1.4
3 days	8.6	0.9	49.6	4.6	1.5
6 days	8.8	1.0	52.3	4.8	1.7
9 days	8.4	0.9	50.0	4.7	1.6
LSD value (P≤0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
Interaction (SI x CD)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Means of the same letter in column are not differ significantly from one another and NS = Non-significant at P≤0.05.

73 | Bostan and Sajid

Titratable acidity (%)

Statistical analysis of the data indicated that shade intensities has significantly while chilling duration as well as the interaction between shade intensity and chilling duration has non-significantly affected titratable acidity of strawberry (Table 2). Titratable acidity was maximum (1.0%) in fruits under control treatment and decreased with increasing shade levels. Minimum titratable acidity (0.8%) was noted in fruits under 70% shade intensity. Higher titratable acidity was observed in fruits grown without shade which might be due to high light intensity (Haselgrove, et al., 2000). Light favors quality enhancement due to synthesis of some plant hormones (Watson et al., 2002) or because of effects on the temperature of the fruit. TA increase with increase in light intensity (Anagnostou and Vasilakakis, 1995). Contrary to our results, Saidi et al. (2013) reported lower TA in tomato grown under nets compared with the no net.

Ascorbic acid (mg 100 ml-1)

Significant effect of shade level while non-significant effect of chilling duration as well as the interaction between shade level and chilling duration on ascorbic acid of strawberry is reported in Table 2. Maximum ascorbic acid (56.3mg 100ml-1) was recorded in the treatment of open field, while 70% shade resulted minimum ascorbic acid (43.1mg 100ml-1). Sourness and taste of fruit is regulated by ascorbic acid (Asma, 2011). It is regulated by both biotic as well as abiotic factors (Lopez-Marin et al., 2011, 2012). Maximum ascorbic acid in open field might be due to maximum light availability in open field. Light favors ascorbic acid accumulation (Dumas et al., 2003), while shade reduces it (Liu et al., 2004). Decrease in fruit ascorbic acid under shade might be due to low sugar levels (Nishikawa et al., 2005) as the sucrose regulates metabolism of ascorbic acid precursor in chloroplast. Thus sugar levels may act as a signal (Smeekens, 2000) and may consequently affect ascorbic acid precursor and ultimately ascorbic acid content in fruit. Our results are supported by and Milenkovic et al. (2012) who reported maximum ascorbic acid content in unshaded fruits.

Reducing sugar (%)

Shade levels significantly affected reducing sugar of strawberry (Table 2). The chilling duration and interactive effect of SL and CD was non-significant. Mean values of the data for shade intensities indicated that no shade resulted maximum reducing sugar (5.0%), while minimum reducing sugar (3.5%) was recorded in case of 70% shade intensity. Reducing sugar in strawberry is negatively affected by shade levels. Maximum reducing sugars in open field conditions might be due to the maximum amount of TSS in open field as total soluble solids in strawberry comprise more than 65% of reducing sugar such as fructose, glucose and sucrose (Wang and Lin, 2002). Our results are supported by Abevsinghe et al., 2019 who reported less sugar content in shaded berries. Callejon-Ferre et al. (2009) showed sugar reduction in tomato fruit content with increase in shade density.

Non-reducing sugar (%)

Statistically analyzed data revealed that non reducing sugar in strawberry significantly varied by various shade levels (Table 2). Chilling duration and interactive effect of shade levels and chilling duration was found non-significant. Mean values indicated that increasing shade level decreased the amount of non-reducing sugar in strawberry. Fruit production in open field resulted in maximum non reducing sugar (1.7%), while minimum non reducing sugar (1.4%) was recorded in case of 70% shade level. Maximum nonreducing sugar in strawberry under open field conditions might be due to the maximum amount of TSS in open field plants (Wang and Lin, 2002). Shade effects plant carbon balance of the plants as low light enhances the physiological processes decreases while photosynthetic rate (Yang et al., 2017). Support to our study is provided by Su et al. (2014) and Yang et al. (2017) who reported minimum photosynthesis and maximum assimilate demand in soybean with increase in shade. Our results are further supported by Abeysinghe et al. (2019) who reported less sugar content in shaded berries.

Conclusion

It is concluded from the results that for increase in daughter plants production, strawberry cultivation should be done under 30% shade intensity with subjection to 6-9 days pre transplant chilling, while for qualitative as well as quantitative fruit increase, open field along with 6 days pre-transplant chilling duration is recommended for the agro climatic condition of Swat, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa-Pakistan.

References

Abeysinghe SK, Greer DH, Rogiers SY. 2019. The effect of light intensity and temperature on berry growth and sugar accumulation in Vitis vinifera 'Shiraz' under vineyard conditions. Vitis **58**, 7-16.

Ambrozy ZS, Daood H, Nagy ZS, Darazsi HL, Helyes L. 2015. Effect of net shading technology and harvest times on yield and fruit quality of sweet pepper. Applied Ecology and environmental Research **4(1)**, 99-109.

Anagnostou K, Vasilakakis MD. 1995. Effects of substrate and cultivar on earliness, plant productivity and fruit quality of strawberry. Acta Horticulture **379**, 267-274.

Asma O. 2011. Shading effects on growth, yield and fruit quality of strawberry. MSc. Thesis. Faculty of Agriculture Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University Dhaka.

Bolda M. 2008. Chilling requirements in California Strawberries. Strawberries and Caneberries. ANR.

Callejon-Ferre AJ, Manzano-Agugliaro F, Diaz-Perez M, Carreno-Ortega A, Perez-Alonso J. 2009. Effect of shading with aluminised screens on fruit production and quality in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) under greenhouse conditions. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research **7**, 41-49.

Carlen C, Potel AM, Ancay A. 2007. Influence of leaf/fruit ratio of strawberry plants on the sensory quality of their fruits. Acta Horticulture **761**, 121-126.

Chen D. 2013. The effect of heat on fruit size of Dayneutral Strawberries. Master's thesis. The University of Guelph Canada. **Choi HG, Mooon BY, Kang NJ.** 2016. Correlation between strawberry productivity and photosynthesis related parameters under various growth conditions. Frontier Plant Science **7**, 1607.

Costa RC, Calvete EO, Mendonca HFC, Decosta LA. 2014. Phenology and leaf accumulation in vernalized and non-vernalized strawberry seedlings in neutral-days. Acta Science Agronomy Maringa **36(1)**, 57-62.

Dad A. 2011. Strawberry cultivation in Charsadda-Pakistan. Hafat Roza veterinary stresses in plants. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science **168**, 521-530.

Dumas Y, Dadomo M, Lucca GD, Grolier P. 2003. Effects of environmental factors and agricultural techniques on antioxidant content of tomatoes. Journal of Science Food and Agriculture **83(5)**, 369-382.

Fletcher JNL, Sutherland MIJ, Ames Ni, Battey NH. 2002. The effect of light integral on vegetative growth and fruit yield 01' 'Elsanta' strawberry. Strawberry research to 2001 Proc. 5th North America. Strawberry conference 157-160.

Gagnon B, Desjardins Y, Bedars R. 1990. Fruiting as a factor in accumulation of carbohydrates and nitrogen and in fall hardening of day neutral strawberries. Journal of American Society for Horticultural Science **115**, 520-525

Galletta GJ, Bringhurst RS. 1990. Strawberry management. In: Galletta GJ, Himelrick DG, eds. Small fruit crop management. Inglewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall 83-156.

Gommerscm, Visser EJ, St-Onge KR, Voesenek LA, Pierik R. 2013. Shade tolerance: When growing tall is not an option. Trends Plant Science 18, 65-71.

Hamano M, Yamato Y, Yamazaki H, Miura H. 2002. Change in sugar content and composition of strawberry fruit during development. Acta Horticulture **567(1)**, 369-372. Haquemm, Hasanuzzaman M, Rahman ML. 2009. Effect of light intensity on morpho-physiology and yield of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria vulgaris*). Academic. Journal of Plant Science **2(3)**, 158-161.

Haselgrove L, Botting D, Heeswijck RV, Hoj PB, Dry PR, Ford C, Iland PG. 2000. Canopy microclimate and berry composition: the effect of bunch exposure on the phenolic composition of *Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Shiraz grape berries. Australian Journal of Grape and Wine Research **6**, 141-149.

Hatfield JH, Prueger JH. 2015. Temperature extremes: Effect on plant growth and development Weather and Climate Extremes **10**, 4-10.

Heide OM. 1994. Control of flowering and reproduction in temperate grasses. New Phytologist **128**, 347-362.

Hovi T, Nakkila J, Tahvonen R. 2004. Interlighting improves production of year-round cucumber. Scienctia Horticulturae **102(3)**, 283-294.

Hovi T, Tahvonen R. 2008. Effect of inter-lighting on yield and external fruit quality in year-round cultivated cucumber. Scientia Horticulturae **116**, 152-161.

Ibrahim G, Nadi RM, Farran MT, Freiwatmm. 1997. Row cover effects on growth and yield of strawberry cultivars grown in a Mediterranean climate. Journal of Small fruit and Viticulture **5(2)**, 47-56.

Jan MT, Shah P, Hollington PA, Khan MJ, Sohail Q. 2009. Agriculture Research: Design and Analysis, A Monograph. NWFP Agricultural University Peshawar, Pakistan.

Johannes JV. 2008. The influence of different production systems, planting densities and levels of shading on the yield, quality and growth potential of 'Chandler' strawberry plants (*Fragaria* x *ananassa*) grown in coir. MSc thesis paper. Stellen Bosch University. South Africa pp. 73-74.

Keuskamp DH, Pollmann S, Voesenek LACJ, Peeters AJM, Pierik R. 2010. Auxin transport through PINFORMED 3 (PIN3) controls shade avoidance and fitness during competition. PNAS 107(52), 22740-22744.

King DA. 1990. Allometry of sap lings and understory trees of a Panamanian forest. Functional Ecology **4(27e)**, 32.

Kiniry JR, Bean B, Xie Y, Chen PY. 2004. Maize yield potential: Critical processes and simulation modeling in a high-yielding environment. Agricultural System **82**, 45-56.

Kumar R, Sharma S, Pathania V. 2013. Effect of shading and plant density on growth, yield and oil composition of clary sage (*Salvia sclarea* L.) in North Western Himalaya. Journal of Essential Oil Research. **25(1)**, 23-32.

Kurepin LV, Emey RN, Pharia RP, Reid DM. 2007. The interaction of light quality and irradiance with gibberellins, cytokinins and auxin in regulating growth of *Helianthus annuus* hypocotyls. Plant Cell and Environment **30**, 147-155.

Ledesma NA, Ragay CS, Delgado JC, Padu DP. 2017. Chilling differentially affects strawberries grown under high temperature conditions. Philippine Agricultural Science **100(2)**, 211-221.

Lefebvre S, Lawson T, Zakhleniuk OV, Lloyd JC, Raines CA. 2005. Increased sedoheptulose-1, 7bisphosphatase activity in transgenic tobacco plants stimulates photosynthesis and growth from an early stage in development. Plant Physiology **138 (2)**, 1174-1174.

Lieten P. 2006. Chilling Unit Model for Greenhouse Production of Strawberry cv. 'Elsanta'. Acta Horticulture **708**, 381-387.

Liu H, Haung Q, Chen R. 2004. Effects of shading on growth, flower stalk yield and quality in Chinese kale. Journal of Huazhong Agricultural University 35(sup.) 215-218. Liu W, Zou J, Zhang J, Yang F, Wan Y, Yang W. 2015. Evaluation of soybean (*Glycine max*) stem vining in maize-soybean relay strip intercropping system. Plant Production Science **18**, 69-75.

Lobos GA, Retamales JB, Hancock JF, Flore JA, Romero-Bravo S, Pozo A del. 2013. Productivity and fruit quality of *Vaccinium corymbosum* L. 'Elliott' under photo-selective shading nets. Scietia Horticulturae 153, 143-149.

Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Leakey ABD, Nosberger J, Ort R. 2006. Food for thought: Lower than expected crop yield stimulation with rising CO₂ concentrations. Science **312** (5782), 1918-21.

Long SP, Ainsworth EA, Rogers A, Ort DR. 2004. Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: Plants face the future. Annual Review of Plant Biology **55**, 591-628.

Lopez-Marin J, Galvez A, Gonzalez A, Egea-Gilabert C, Fernandez JA. 2012. Effect of shade on yield, quality, and photosynthesis-related parameters of sweet pepper plants. Acta Horticulture **956**, 545-552.

Lopez-Marin J, Gonzalez A, Galvez A. 2011. Effect of shade on quality of greenhouse peppers. Acta Horticulture **893**, 895-90.

Maddonni G, Otegui M. 2004. Intra-specific competition in maize: Early establishment of hierarchies among plants affects final kernel set. Field Crops Research **85**, 1-13.

Magalhaes ACN. 1985. Análise quantitative do crescimento. In: FERRImg. *Fisiologia Vegetal*. 2nd ed. São Paulo: EPU. 333-350

Mapes C, Xu Y. 2014. Photosynthesis, vegetative habit and culinary properties of sage (*Salvia officinalis*) in response to low-light conditions. Canadian Journal of Plant Science **94**, 881-889.

Massetani F, Neri D. 2016. Strawberry plant architecture in different cultivation systems. Acta Horticulture **11(17)**, 291-296. Mathan JJ, Bhattacharya, Ranjan A. 2016. Enhancing crop yield by optimizing plant developmental features. The Company of Biologists Ltd. Development **143**, 3283-3294.

Milenkovic L, Ilic ZS, Durovka M, Kapoulas N, Mirecki N, Fallik E. 2012. Yield and pepper quality as affected by light intensity using color shade nets. Agriculture and Forestry **58 (1)**, 19-33.

Moniruzzaman M, Islam MS, Hossainmm, Hossain T, Miahmg. 2009. Effects of shade and nitrogen levels on quality Bangladhonia production. Bangladesh Journal of Agriculture Research **34**, 205-213.

Morgan L. 2006. Hydroponic strawberry production.A technical guide to the hydroponic production of strawbenies. Suntee (NZ) Ltd. Tokomaru. New Zealand.

Nishikawa F, Kato M, Hyodo H, Ikoma Y, Sugiura M, Yano M. 2005. Effect of sucrose on ascorbate level and expression of genes involved in the ascorbate biosynthesis and recycling pathway in harvested broccoli florets. Journal of Experimental Botany 56, 65-72

Oren-Shamir M, Gussakovsky EE, Spiegel E, Nissim-Levi A, Ratner K, Giller YE, Shahak Y. 2001. Colored shade nets can improve the yield and quality of green decorative branches of *Pittosporum variegatum*. Journal of Horticultural Sciences and Biotechnology **76**, 353-361.

Oviedo VRS, Moises-Cabral M, Garay CRE, Arredondo GA de JC. 2018. Postharvest quality of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* (Duchesne ex Weston) Duchesne ex Rozier) genotypes according to vernalization. Acta Agronomy **67(2)**, 208-214.

Ozturk A, Demirsoy L. 2004. The effect of different shading on yield and growth in Camarosa strawberry variety. Journal of Attaturk Central Horticultural Research Institute **33(1-2)**, 39-49.

Rajapakse NC, Shahak Y. 2007. Light quality manipulation by horticulture industry, p. 290–312. In: G. Whitelam and K. Halliday (eds.). Light and plant development. Blackwell Publ, Oxford, UK.

Rajasekar M, Arumugam T, Ramehkumar S. 2013. Influence of weather growing environment on vegetable growth and yield. Journal of Horticulture **10**, 160-167.

Raza M, Feng L, Iqbal N, Manaf A, Khalid M, Wasaya A, Ansar M, Billah M Yang F, Yang W. 2018. Effect of sulphur application on photosynthesis and biomass accumulation of sesame varieties under rainfed conditions. Agronomy **8**, 149.

Rezai S, Etemadi N, Nikbakht A, Yousefi M, Majidimm. 2018. Effect of light intensity on leaf morphology, photosynthetic capacity, and chlorophyll content in sage (*Salvia officinalis* L.). Horticultural Sciences and Technology **36(1)**, 46-57.

Robert P, Rice Jr. 1990. Effects of cultivar and environmental interactions on runner production, fruit yield, and harvest timing of strawberry (*Fragaria x ananassa*) in Zimbabwe. Acta Horticulture **279**, 327-332.

Ruan J, Yoon C, Yeoung Y, Larson KD, Ponce L. 2009. Efficacy of highland production of strawberry transplants. African Journal of Biotechnology **8**, 1497-1501.

Saidi M, Gogo EO, Itulya FM, Martin T, Ngouajio M. 2013. Microclimate modification using eco-friendly nets and floating row covers improves tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) yield and quality for small holder farmers in East Africa. Agricultural Sciences **4(11)**, 577-584.

Sen F, Altun AA, Kesgin M, Inan MS. 2012. Effect of different shading practices used at preharvest stage on quality and storage life of Sultana seedless grapes. Abstracts of 7th International Postharvest Symposium 2012 (IPS2012), Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia 238.

Seno S, Castellane PD, Kimoto T. 1993. Influencia do tempo de vernalização e daepoca de plantio na cultura do alho (*Allium sativum* L), Cv. Roxo Perola de Caçador, na região de Ilha Solteira-SP. *Científca* **21**, 275-285. Shahak Y, Gal E, Offir Y, Ben-Yakir D. 2008. Photoselective shade netting integrated with greenhouse technologies for improved performance of vegetable and ornamental crops. Acta Horticulture **797**, 75-80.

Sharkey TD, Zhang R. 2010. High temperature effects on electron and proton circuits of photosynthesis. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology **52**, 712-722.

Sharma RM, Singh AK, Sharma S, Masood FA, Shankar U. 2013. Strawberry regeneration and assessment of runner quality in subtropical plains. Journal of Applied Horticulture **15(3)**, 191-194

Silva JLO. 1982. Analise de crescimento de alho (*Allium sativum* L.) cultivar Chonan, sob tres periodos de frigorifcação pre-plantio dos bulbos. Lavras: ESAL. 76p (Tese mestrado).

Smeekens S. 2000. Sugar-induced signal transduction in plants. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology **51**, 49-81.

Su BY, Song YX, Song C, Cui L, Yong TW, Yang WY. 2014. Growth and photosynthetic responses of soybean seedlings to maize shading in relay intercropping system in Southwest China. Photosynthetica **52**, 332-340.

Sumesh K, Sharma-Natu P, Ghildiyal M. 2008. Starch synthase activity and heat shock protein in relation to thermal tolerance of developing wheat grains. Biologia Plantarum **52**, 749-753.

Taiz L, Zeiger E. 2010. Plant Physiology, 5th ed.; Sinauer: Sunderland, MA, USA.

Todorov D, Karanov E, Smith AR, Hall MA. 2003. Chlorophyllase activity and chlorophyll content in wild and mutant plants of Arabidopsis thaliana. Biologia Plantarum **46**, 125-127.

Wang J, Tang Z. 2014. The regulation of soluble sugars in the growth and development of plants. Botanical Research 3, 71-76.

Wang SY, Lin SS. 2002. Composts as soil supplement enhanced plant growth and fruit quality of strawberry. Journal of Plant Nutrition **25**, 2243-2259.

Watson R, Wright CJ, McBurney T, Taylor AJ, Linforth RST. 2002. Influence of harvest date and light integral on the development of strawberry flavor compounds, Fruit Development and Ripening. Journal of Experimental Botany 53(377), 2121-2129.

Wrege MS, Junior CR, Antunes LEC, Oliveira RPD, Herter FG, Steinmetz S, Garrastuzu MC, Matzenauer R, Santos AMD. 2007. Zoneamento agroclimatico para produçao de mudas de morangueiro no Rio Grande do Sul. Documentos, 187. Pelotas: Embrapa Clima Temperado 27.

Wu NB, Tan F. 2002. Studies of water regimes of *Cinnamomum pauciflorum* seedlings grown under different light intensity conditions. Journal of Southwest Agriculture University **27**, 755-758

Yamasaki A. 2013. Recent progress of strawberry year-round production technology in Japan. JARQ **47(1)**, 37-42.

Yang F, Huang S, Gao R, Liu W, Yong T, Wang X, Wu X, Yang W. 2014. Growth of soybean seedlings in relay strip intercropping systems in relation to light quantity and red: Far-red ratio. Field Crops Research 155, 245-253.

Yang F, Liao D, Wu X, Gao R, Fan Y, Raza MA. 2017. Effect of aboveground and belowground interactions on the intercrop yields in maize-soybean relay intercropping systems. Field Crop Research **203**, 16-23.

Young LW, Wilen RW, Bonham-Smith PC. 2004. High temperature stress of *Brassica napus* during flowering reduces micro and megagametophyte fertility, induces fruit abortion, and disrupts seed production. Journal of Experimental Botany **55**, 485-495.

Zhu XG, Long SP, Ort DR. 2010. Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. Annual Review of Plant Biology **61**, 235-261.