International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 12, No. 4, p. 207-215, 2018

OPEN ACCESS

Organoleptic assessment after different processing techniques (drying, smoking, freezing and salting) of exotic and indigenous fish species of Pakistan

Riffat Yasin¹, Khizar Samiullah^{1*}, Muhammad Hafeez-Ur-Rehman¹, Inayat Ullah Malik², Muhammad Samee Mubarik³, Omer Draz³, Shakila Naz³, Mahpara Gilani³

'Department of Zoology, GC University, Faisalabad, Pakistan

²Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Ravi Campus, Pattoki, UVAS, Lahore, Pakistan ³Department of Biological Sciences, University of Sargodha, Sub campus Mianwali, Pakistan

Key words: Exotic, Indigenous, Fish species, Preservation techniques, Organoleptic analysis.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/12.4.207-215

Article published on April 28, 2018

Abstract

The study was conducted to assess the impact of drying, freezing, smoking and salting on organoleptic/sensory attributes of one exotic *Oreochromis niloticus* and two indigenous fish species *Labeorohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* of Pakistan. Fifty four fish specimens of exotic fish species *Oreochromis niloticus* and Fifty four indigenous fish species *Labeorohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* (total 108 specimens) were collected with the help of net from earthen fish ponds from Fish Farms Complex, Research and Training Facilities for Fisheries and Aquaculture at Ravi Campus Pattoki and Fish Hatchery, Satiana road, Faisalabad. The design of the research was completely randomized and the findings were assessed through two way ANOVA. Organoleptic study was carried out by a panel of ten trained judges using hedonic scale for each species. Graded results revealed a general decline in organoleptic properties such as colour, texture, freshness, and taste of the fishes stored. Among all the three fish species, *Labeorohita* exhibited the best result in all the treatments, followed by *Cirrhinus mrigala* and *Oreochromis niloticus*. Both *Labeorohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* came up as the best species in presenting quality meat to the judges.

* Corresponding Author: Khizar Samiullah 🖂 khizar 502@yahoo.com

Introduction

Fish is the economical, healthy and a very important foodstuff with high quality of animal protein (16-20%) and other required nutrients like amino acids, vitamin A and D, unsaturated fats and essential minerals that are rare in cereal based diets (Gadowaski and Caddell, 1991; Jamin and Ayinla, 2003; Virk and Sexena, 2003; Werner, 1991). Fresh fish is generally soft, it easily gets damaged and as fish dies fresh fish becomes unfit for human consumption within a day after capture (FAO, 1999; Adegunwa et al., 2013; Nunoo and Kombat, 2013). Fish culture and production is a source of income for millions of people and source of foreign exchange for many countries (Al-Jufaili and Opara, 2006; Oostervee, 2008). It needs proper handling during harvesting and safe preservation and processing to retain its quality and nutritional value because it deteriorates rapidly if not properly handled (Whittle 1997, Okonta and Ekelemu, 2005). Soon after death fish invite a favourite platform for proliferation of vide variety of microbes (Ojutiku et al., 2009; Aliya et al., 2012; Oparaku and Mgbenka, 2012). Therefore it is necessary to ensure that fish once caught is safe and is delivered to consumers in secure and contaminant free form. Preservation methods are applied with an intention to making the fish safer and extend its shelflife (Cihazala, 1994). Numerous handling methods and processing techniques are in use in different countries of the world which include chilling, depuration, freezing, salting, canning, drying, sundrying and smoking which increase fish availability to the consumers (Eyabi-Eyabi, 1998; Obodai et al., 2011). These techniques have numerous effects on the physical and nutritional quality of fish because different processing and drying methods have different effects on the nutritional compositions of fish (Oparaku and Mgbenka, 2012).

It has been observed that different processing and drying methods have different effects on nutritional compositions of fish. This is because heating, freezing and exposure to high concentration of salt lead to chemical and physical changes and therefore digestibility is increased due to protein denaturation but the content polyunsaturated fatty acids is often reduced (Eyo, 2001; Tao and Linchun, 2008). Therefore the qualities of fish using different methods cannot be the same. In this research paper we have performed organoleptic analysis of one exotic *Oreochro misniloticus* and two indigenous fish species *Labeorohita* and *Cirrhinus mrigala* of Pakistan after using different processing techniques likedrying, freezing, smoking and salting.

Materials and methods

Experimental site

Organoleptic analysis was conducted at the Central lab of Department of Zoology, GC University, Faisalabad.

Experimental Animal and Collection of Samples

In the current study, easily available exotic fish species Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* and two indigenous fish species Rohu *Labeorohita* and Mori *Cirrhinus mrigala* were selected as a candidate species.

The fifty four fish specimens of Tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* and fifty four specimens of which twenty seven samples of Rohu *Labeorohita* and twenty seven samples of mori *Cirrhinus mrigala* (total 108 specimens) were collected from earthen fish ponds located in Fisheries and Aquaculture Department at Ravi Campus Pattoki. The fishes were transported to Central lab of Department of Zoology, Wildlife and Fisheries, GC University, Faisalabad by using polythene plastic bag.

The size of specimens belonging to tilapia was 200 g approximately while the weight of specimens of rohu and mori was approximately 1 kg. Fish products were developed by different preservation methods like drying, freezing, smoking and salting (Fig. 1).

The developed products were subjected to chemical analysis for nutrients and organoleptic tests for physical evaluation. Two samples of each species were used to determine nutritional properties of the raw fish which was used as control group.

Organoleptic analysis

Organoleptic assessment was laid on twenty seven fish samples from each species rohu and mori of approximately 1 kg weight and fifty four specimens of tilapia of approximately 200 gfor the colour or appearance, aroma texture, taste and the overall acceptability of the fish as a control group and also after using different processing techniques like drying, freezing, smoking and salting (Fig. 1) were evaluated by using a ten point grading Hedonic scale {poor (1 to 3), fairly good (4 to 5), good (6 to 7) and very good (8 to 10)} conducted by a 10-man panellist (Desrosier and Desrosier, 1977).

Statistical analysis

Data was analysed by two way ANOVA. Means were expressed using Minitab statistical programme at significant values p < 0.05.

Results

Sensory analysis

Sensory analysis of three fish species tilapia, rohu and mori was conducted with 54tilapia of 200g and 27rohu and 27mori of approximately 1 kg each. From every species colour or appearance, aroma texture, taste and the overall acceptability was evaluated by using a ten point grading Hedonic scale.

Table 1. Sensory evaluation of fish by 10-man panelist through selected parameters.

| Parameters (mean value) | Tilapia | | Rohu | | | Mori | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|
| | Colour | Aroma | Texture | Taste | Colour | Aroma | Texture | Taste | Colour | Aroma | Texture | Taste |
| Control | 7 | 4.2 | 4.4 | 5.3 | 9 | 5.5 | 7.9 | 6.8 | 7 | 5.8 | 7 | 6 |
| Oven drying (110 °C, 45 min) | 6.1 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 7.4 | 5.9 | 6 | 6.3 | 7.5 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 6.5 | 7.5 |
| Smoking (70-85 °C, 20h) | 6.6 | 5.6 | 6.3 | 6.6 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.9 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 6.7 |
| Freezing (30 day) | 8.3 | 8.2 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 9.2 | 8.3 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 9 |
| Freezing (60 day) | 7.9 | 7.4 | 7.6 | 8 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 8.1 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 8.4 |
| Freshly smoked | 5.2 | 5.1 | 6 | 7.8 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 7.8 | 6.2 | 5.8 | 6 | 5.2 |
| Smoking (20 days) | 6.9 | 7.9 | 8 | 6.5 | 7.9 | 7.7 | 7.4 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 6.7 |
| Salting (30 days) | 7.6 | 5.9 | 5.6 | 6.1 | 7.3 | 5.6 | 6 | 6.2 | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| Salting (60 day) | 7 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 6.8 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 7.3 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 7.3 |

From the sensory evaluation results (Table 1), it is observed that in the control group the colour or appearance of rohu was of very good quality (9) while colour was good (7) in tilapia and mori. Aroma was fairly good (5.8) in mori while at lowest level in tilapia but still it was fairly good. In case of texture very good texture was observed in rohu (7.9) while in tilapia it was at lowest level and fairly good (4.4). Taste of raw fish was also evaluated and rohu was found good (6.8) in taste while it was at lowest level of taste (5.3) in tilapia.

| Table 3. Two-way ANOVA: Aroma versus | s Treatment, Species. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | Р |
|-----------|----|---------|---------|-------|-------|
| Treatment | 8 | 31.7467 | 3.96833 | 31.78 | 0.000 |
| Species | 2 | 1.2422 | 0.62111 | 4.97 | 0.021 |
| Error | 16 | 1.9978 | 0.12486 | | |
| Total | 26 | 34.9867 | | | |

 $S = 0.3534 \quad R\text{-}Sq = 94.29\% \quad R\text{-}Sq \text{ (adj)} = 90.72\%.$

Colour/appearance

Colour or appearance is critical in quality evaluation and is considered most important parameter which is used by the consumers to accept or reject the fish products. The colour or appearance was noted at highest level i.e. good (6.1) in tilapia fish and lowest (5.7) in mori in fish dried in electric oven while it was good 6.6 in tilapia and 6.1 in mori in fishes dried in smoking kiln which indicate that colour remain better after drying in smoking kiln.

The colour was found best and very good (8.3) in three species studied tilapia, rohu and mori after 30 days freezing while it was 7.9 in tilapia and mori and 7.7 in rohu after 60 days freezing. In freshly smoked fish colour or appearance was 6.2 in mori and 5.2 in tilapia while it was 7.7 in mori and 7.3 in rohu after 20 days preservation of smoking which indicate that freshly smoked fish is of poor quality may be due to over smoking. In 30 days salted fish colour value was maximum at 7.9 for rohu and minimum for tilapia 6.9 while it was 7.5 in rohu and 6.9 in mori after 60 days salting.

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | Р |
|-----------|----|---------|---------|------|-------|
| Treatment | 8 | 19.2896 | 2.41120 | 5.87 | 0.001 |
| Species | 2 | 0.9274 | 0.46370 | 1.13 | 0.348 |
| Error | 16 | 6.5726 | 0.41079 | | |
| Total | 26 | 26.7896 | | | |

 Table 4. Two-way ANOVA: Texture versus Treatment, Species.

S = 0.6409 R-Sq = 75.47% R-Sq(adj) = 60.13%.

Flavor/Aroma

Flavor/Aroma/Odour is an important quality parameter to accept or reject the product, as poor level will discourage people from accepting such food product. As the assessment was concerned the flavor or aroma of the fish product in processed fish species after using different techniques like drying, freezing, smoking and salting was also observed. It was noted that in fish dried in electric oven value was (6) highest in rohu and 5.6 in mori while drying in smoking kiln aroma value was 6.2 in rohu and 5.6 in tilapia. After 30 days freezing of fish aroma value was 8.5 in rohu and mori while 8.2 in tilapia. After 60 days freezing value was7.8 in mori and 7.4 in tilapia and rohu. In freshly smoked fish the value was 6.3 in rohu and 5.1 in tilapia while in fishes preserved up to 20 days after smoking the value was maximum 6.6 in mori and minimum 5.6 in tilapia. Processing by salting up to 30 days give value of aroma maximum in7.9 in tilapia and 7.7 in rohu while after 60 days salting value was 7.6 in mori a 7.2 in tilapia.

| Table 5.Two-way ANOVA | : Taste versus | Treatment, Species. |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|
|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|

| Source | DF | SS | MS | F | Р |
|-----------|----|---------|---------|------|-------|
| Treatment | 8 | 22.2000 | 2.77500 | 8.80 | 0.000 |
| Species | 2 | 1.1356 | 0.56778 | 1.80 | 0.197 |
| Error | 16 | 5.0444 | 0.31528 | | |
| Total | 26 | 28.3800 | | | |

S = 0.5615 R-Sq = 82.23% R-Sq(adj) = 71.12%.

Texture

It was noted that the textures of all three fish samples after applying 4 preservation techniques were rated above the average.

The values for texture in fishes dried in electric oven was 6.5 in mori and 6.1 in tilapia while in specimens dried in smoking kiln value was rated high in mori 6.5 and low 6.1 in rohu. After 30 days freezing texture value was 8.6 in rohu and 8.4 in mori while it was 8.1 in rohu and 7.6 in tilapia after 60 days freezing. Texture value in freshly smoked fish was 6.3 in rohu and 6 in mori and tilapia while it was noted 6.2 in mori and 5.6 in fish specimens smoked and preserved for 20 days. After processing by salting the values were 8 in tilapia and 7.4 in tilapia after 30 days salting and 7.4 in tilapia and 6.9 in rohu after 60 days salting.

Taste

Sensory evaluation of fish after drying by electric oven indicates that the value of taste was 7.5 in rohu and mori while 7.4 in tilapia. After drying by smoking kiln average rating value was maximum 6.9 in rohu and minimum 6.6 in tilapia. After 30 days, freezing value was maximum 9.2 in rohu and 8.9 in tilapia while it was observe 8.4 in mori and 8 in tilapia after 60 days freezing. Smoking result indicated that taste value in freshly smoked fish was 7.8 in rohu and tilapia and 5.2 in mori while fish smoked and preserved for 20 days indicates that taste value were 6.2 in mori and rohu while minimum value 6.1 was recorded in tilapia. Taste of salted fish was also observed and was noted that value was 7.1 in rohu and 6.5 in tilapia after 30 days salting while taste rating value was 7.3 in rohu and mori and 6.8 in tilapia.

Analysis of variance

Statistical analysis was conducted by Two-way ANOVA through Minitab programme and it was calculated that Colour had highly significant difference among treatments while non-significant among species. Aroma had highly significant difference among treatments while significant among species. Texture was highly significant among treatments while non-significant among species. Taste had also highly significant difference among treatments while non-significant among species as shown in the data described in Tables 2-5.

Discussion

Olley *et al.*, 1988 described the influence of drying and smoking on the nutritional properties of fish. Kumolu-Johnson and Ndimele (2001) studied effect of salting, brining and sun drying on the shelf-life of *Clarias gariepinus*. Nutrient composition of selected fresh and processed fish species from Lake Malawi was studied by Omodara and Olaniyan (2012). Arannilewa *et al.* (2005) described effect of frozen period on the chemical, microbiological and sensory quality of frozen tilapia fish (*Sarotherodon galilaleus*). Salan *et al.* (2006) observed use of smoking to add value to salmonid trout. Akinola *et al.* (2006) evaluated traditional and solar drying system towards enhancing fish storage and preservation in Nigeria. Nuray and Ozkan (2007) estimated proximate composition and mineral contents in aqua cultured sea brass (Dicentrarchus labrax) and sea bream (Sparus aurata). Saliu (2008) studied effect of smoking and frozen storage on the nutrient composition of some African fish. Turkkan et al., (2008) examined effects of cooking methods on the proximate composition and Fatty Acid composition of Seabass. Ojutiku et al., 2009 organized comparative study of sun drying and solar drying of Hyperopisus bebe occidentalis. Omodara and Olaniyan, 2012 studied effects of pre-treatments and drying temperatures on drying rate and quality of African catfish (Clarias gariepinus). Aliva et al. (2012) checked effects of freshness of starting material on the final product quality of dried salted shark. Mohammed and Karrar (2012) discovered salting and drying techniques on treated meat of Mormyrus niloticus collected from the White Nile in Sudan.

When we discuss our results, whole data for the colour was analyzed it was observed that all the three fish species i.e. rohu, mori and tilapia have very good colour after 30 days freezing and the lowest level was observed 5.2 in tilapia which was freshly smoked which indicate that smoking has adverse effect on fish colour or appearance.

The overall values of aroma after using different processing techniques indicates that fishes best in aroma were rohu and mori having value 8.5 after 30 days freezing while the lowest value of aroma was observed 5.1 in freshly smoked tilapia fish. This may be the result of over smoking, as the product had a burnt flavor.

The overall result of texture indicates that best texture value was observed in rohu 8.6 after 30 days freezing having firm skin which was not easily removed from the muscles and suitable for eating and the lowest texture was poor quality 5.6 in tilapia after 20 day preservation of smoked fish; the reason may be that due to this processing technique the product became very fragile. When overall analysis was

Int. J. Biosci.

conducted about taste of all processed specimens the best taste was observed in rohu having 9.2 value after 30 days freezing as samples were neither burnt nor fresh and hence possessed good cooking attribute while the lowest taste value was observed in freshly smoked fish which was 5.2 in mori indicating that smoking has adverse action on fish processing due to burning effect.



Fig. 1. Processing techniques: a- 30 days salting; b- 60 days salting; c- drying by smoking kilin; d- drying by electric oven; e- 30 days freezing; f- 60 days freezing; g- freshly smoked; h- smoking for 20 day preservation.

Our results discussed above are compared favorably with formerly described work of (Obemeata and Christopher 2012; Eyo 1998; Olley *et al.*, 1988; Kumolu-Johnson and Ndimele, 2001; Arannilewa *et al.*, 2005; Salan *et al.*, 2006; Saliu, 2008; Ojutiku *et al.*, 2009 and Aliya *et al.*, 2012) which revealed that the reduction in score of graded parameters such as: colour, texture, aroma and taste varied with different preservation techniques. Major changes were observed in freshness and taste which became unacceptable. Although a variety of biochemical, physical (Gill, 1992; Gill, 1997) and microbiological methods (Gram and Huss, 1996) have been used to assess freshness, the sensory evaluation is still the most satisfactory method to achieve such a goal Hassan and Ali (2011).

Conclusions

The present study concluded that different processing techniques improve some sensory attributes, like flavor, juiciness and tenderness, thereby increasing the overall acceptability of the finished product. It is concluded that all the three fish species i.e. rohu, mori and tilapia have very good colour, aroma, texture and taste after freezing, drying and salting but has adverse after smoking.

When overall analysis was conducted of all processed specimens the best was observed in rohu after every processing method as samples were neither burnt nor fresh and hence possessed good cooking attribute while the lowest value was observed in mori. However, this study was limited up to sensory characteristics; further study needs to be done on species chemical composition and volatile compounds.

As smoking is employed by remote fishing communities due to traditional preference of the local people due to lack of sophisticated preservation techniques so it is less recommended as wood smoke produce microscopic particles, have dull and unattractive colour and due to overall less acceptability which is observed during organoleptic analysis.

The health risk may also be faced due to inappropriate smoking. Finally it is conclude that fish may consume after freezing but try to consume the fish in fresh condition as early as possible since quality remain better in earlier stage and also freezing is better processing method when preservation of nutrient is the focus also this processing made fish less susceptible to spoilage.

Acknowledgements

The authors are extremely thankful to the Chairman, Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pattoki Campus, Lahore, Pakistan and Research Laboratory Staff of Department of Zoology, GC University, Faisalabad for providing facilities for conducting this study.

References

Adegunwa MO, Adebowale AA, Olisa ZG, Bakare HA.2013. Chemical and microbiological qualities of smoked herring (*sardinella eba*, valenciennes 1847) in Odeda, Ogun state, Nigeria. International Journal of Microbiology Research and Reviews **1(5)**, 085-087.

Aliya G, Humaid K, Nasser A, Sami G, Aziz K, Nashwa M, Ponnerassery SS. 2012. Effect of the freshness of starting material on the final product quality of dried salted shark. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology **4(2)**, 60-63. http://dx.doi.org/10.12691/ajfn-4-6-3

Al-Jufaili MS, Opara LU.2006 Status of fisheries post-harvest industry in the Sultanate of Oman: Part 1. Handling and marketing system of fresh fish. Journal of Fisheries International **1(2)**, 44-49. http://dx.doi.org/jfish.2006.144.149

Bilgin S, Unlusayin M, Izci L, Gunlu A. 2008.The determination of the shelf life and some nutritional components of gilthead seabream *Sparus aurata* L. 1758 after cold and hot smoking. Turkish Journal of Veterinary Animal Science **32(1)**, 49-56.

Cihazala S.1994. New packaging technology for seafood preservation, shelf-life extension and pathogen control. In Fisheries Processing Biotechnological Applications (ed. Am Marthin). Chapman and Hall, London, 83-110.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-5303-8_4

Desrosier NN, Desrosier JN. 1977. The Technology of Food Preservation, 4th edition, AVI publication Co. Inc. Westport Connecticut 343-347.

Eyabi-Eyabi GD. 1998. Techniques for Fish Handling, Marketing and Smoking in Cameroon. FAO Fisheries Report, **574**, 98-106.

Eyo AA. 2001. Fish Processing Technology in the Tropics. National Institute for Freshwater Fisheries Research (NIFFR), New Bussa, Nigeria 10-170.

FAO.1999. World Production of Fish, Crustaceans and Molluscs by Major Fishing Areas. Fisheries Information and Statistics Unit (FIDI), Fisheries Department, FAO, Rome, 32-37.

Gadowaski DM,Caddell SM. 1991. Effects of temperature on early-life-history stages of California halibut Paralichthys californicus. Fisheries Bulletin **89**, 567-576.

Jamu DM, **Ayinla OA**. 2003. Potential for the development of aquaculture in Africa. NAGA, World Fish Center Quarterly, **26(3)**, 9-13.

http://dx.doi.org/aquaticcommons.org/id/eprint/92 48

Nunoo FKE, Kombat EO. 2013. Analysis of the Microbiological Quality of Processed *Engraulis encrasicolus* and *Sardinella aurita* Obtained from Processing Houses and Retail Markets in Accra and Tema, Ghana. World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences **5(6)**, 686-692.

http://dx.doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wjfms.2013.05.06.75 83

Obodai EA, Nyarko HD, Boamponsem LK, Coomson SS, Aniwe Y. 2011. Microbial profile of smoked sardine (Sardilella aurita) at smoking sites and market centres of Tema, Ghana-1. Archives of Applied Science Research **3(3)**, 443-453.

Ojutiku RO, Kolo RJ, Mhammed ML. 2009. Comparative study of sun drying and solar tent drying of *Hyperopisus bebe occidentalis*. Pakistan Journal of Nutrition **8(7)**, 955-957.

Okonta AA, Ekelemu JK. 2005. A preliminary study of Micro- Organisms associated with fish spoilage in Asaba, Southern Nigeria. Proceedings of the 20th Annual conference of fisheries society of Nigeria (FISON) 557-560.

Oostervee P. 2008. Governing global fish provisioning: Ownership and management of marine resources. Ocean & Coastal Management **51**, 797-805.

http://dx.doi.org/library.wur.nl/WebQuery/wurpub/ 371608

Oparaku NF, Mgbenka BO. 2012. Effects of electric oven and solar dryer on a proximate and water activity of *Clarias gariepinus* Fish. European J. Sci. Res., **81(1)**, 139 -144.

Tao W, Linchun M. 2008. Influence of hot drying and microwave drying on nutritional and odorous properties of Grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idellus* fillets. Journal of Food Chemistry**110**, 647-653.

Virk P, Sexena PK. 2003. Potential of Amaranthes seeds as supplementary feed and its impact on growth in some carps. Bioresource Technology **86(1)**, 25-27.

Werner K. 1991. Fish meal – more than a protein source. Nutrition–Abstracts and Review Series – B, **128**, 583-584.

Whittle KJ. 1997. Opportunities for improving the quality of fisheries products. In: Luten, J.B., Borrosen, T. and Oehlenschlager, J. (eds) Sea food from producer to consumer, Integrated approach to quality. Proceedings of the international seafood Conference on the 25th anniversary of WEFTA, Netherlands, 13-16th November (1995) Elseveer, Amsterdam.

Akinola OA, Akinyemi AA, Bolaji BO. 2006. Evaluation of Traditional and solar drying system towards enhancing fish storage and preservation in Nigeria (Abeokuta Local Government as a case study). Journal of Fisheries International **1(4)**, 44-49.

http://dx.doi.org/medwelljournals.com/abstract/?do i=jfish.2006.44.49

Arannilewa ST, Salawa SO, Sorungbe AA, Olasalawu BB. 2005. Effect of frozen period on the chemical, microbiological and sensory quality of frozen tilapia fish (*Sarotherodon galilaleus*). African Journal of Biotechnology **4(8)**, 852-855. **Eyo AA.** 1998. Shelf-life of Moon fish (*Citharinus citharus*): Drying storage at ambient temperature. FAO Fisheries Report, **574**, 35-37.

Hassan F, Ali M. 2011. Quality Evaluation of Some Fresh and Imported Frozen Seafood. Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology **3(1)**, 83-88. http://dx.doi.org/10.12691/ajfst-1-4-3

Gill TA. 1992. Biochemical and chemical indices of seafood quality. In: H.H. Huss, M. Jacobsen and J. Liston (eds.) Quality Assurance in the Fish Industry. 1991. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 377-388.

Gill TA. 1997. Advanced analytical tools in seafood science. Developments in food science **38**, 479- 490.

Gram L, Huss HH. 1996. Microbiological spoilage of fish and fish products. Int. J. Food Microbiology 33, 121-137.

Kumolu-Johnson CA, Ndimele PE. 2001. Effect of salting, brining and sun drying on the shelf-life of *Clarias gariepinus* (LACEPEDE). Journal of Research Revision in Science **2**, 21-25.

Mohammed MO, Karrar AMH. 2012. Effect of salting and drying techniques on treated meat of Khashm El-Banat (*Mormyrus niloticus*) collected from the White Nile in Sudan. Pak. J. Biol. Sci., **15(5)**, 259-262.

Mumba PP, Jose M. 2005. Nutrient composition of selected fresh and processed fish species from Lake Malawi: A nutritional possibility for people living with HIV/AIDS. International Journal of Consumer Studies **29(1)**, 72-77.

Nuray E, Ozkan O. 2007. Proximate composition and mineral contents in aquacultured sea brass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) and sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) analysed by ICP-MS. Food chemistry. 102(3), 721-725.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1470-6431.2005.00377.x

Obemeata O, Christopher N. 2012. Organoleptic Assessment and Proximate Analysis of Stored *Tilapia guineensis* Annual Review & Research in Biology **2(2)**, 46-52.

Olley J, Doe PE, Heruwati ES. 1988. The influence of drying and smoking on the nutritional properties of fish. In Burth JR (ed); An Introd. Overview in fish smoking and drying. Elsevier, London, 1-14.

Omodara MA, Olaniyan AM. 2012. Effects of pretreatments and drying temperatures on drying rate and quality of African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*). Journal of Biology and Agriculture Healthcare **2(4)**, 1-11.

Salan OE, **Juliana AG**, **Marilia O**. 2006. Use of smoking to add value to salmoned trout. Brazil Arch. Biology and Technology **49(1)**, 57-62.

Saliu JK. 2008. Effect of smoking and frozen storage on the nutrient composition of some African fish. Advance and Natural Application Science **2(1)**, 16-20. **Turkkan AU, Cakli S, Kilinc B.** 2008. Effects of Cooking Methods on the Proximate Composition and Fatty Acid Composition of Seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*, L. 1758).Food and Bioproducts Processing **86**, 163-166.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fbp.2007.10.004