

Effect of palm pollen grain, bee pollen grain and basil oil addition on hmf during honey storage

Abd-El-Rahman Metwaly^{1,2*}, Hesham Sayed Ghazzawy^{2,3}, Mohammed Ahmad Bkarya¹, Iheb Chakroun¹, Mohammed Mohsen¹, Hamza Alamin¹, Samir Ghonim¹

¹Administration of Prevention & Environmental Health. Al Madinah - Saudi Arabia ²Central Laboratory of Date Palm Researches and Development, Agricultural Research Center, Ministry of Agriculture, Giza, Egypt ³Date palm Research Center of Excellence, King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia

Key words: Honey, Pollen Grain, HMF, Temperature, Storage.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/13.3.114-119

Article published on September 07, 2018

Abstract

Honey is an important natural compound that is taken for food and medicine purposes and some additives can be used to increase its health effects and quality. In this research, we investigated the effect of addition palm pollen, bee pollen and basil oil under different storage temperatures on the formation of hydroxymethyl furfural (HMF) and pH. The treatments consist of adding the pollen grains (from 1 to 5%) and the oil (from 100 to 500 ppm) and storage them at different temperatures (30, 40 and 50°C) for one month, two months and three months. The quality was estimated by HMF determination by HPLC method and pH measurement. The storage temperature and best treatment for honey was at 30°C with addition of 2.5% and 5% palm pollen. Storage for one month is better than storage for two months at 40°C and also at 50°C. On the other hand, the pH increases from 4 to 6 with rising temperature and storage time. When adding pollen to honey, it is best to store at low temperature not exceeding 30°C. Also, the addition of basil oil has a better effect than pollen during storage

* Corresponding Author: Abd-El-Rahman Metwaly \boxtimes ab.metwaly40@gmail.com

Introduction

Benefits of honey are many in food and in medicine (Sultan A. *et al.*, 2017). Bee Pollen, Palm Pollen and basil oil are good additives choice for their health impact on food (Hazem M. M. Hassan, 2011) and (L.B.Almeida-Muradiana, 2005), (Veronika K. *et al*, 2014). (Lipika Basumallick *et al.*, Thermo). (White, JW, 1994). HMF (Hydroxy-methyl-furfural), or 5hydroxymethyl-2-furaldehyde is practically absent in fresh and untreated foods, but its concentration tends to rise as a result of heating processes or long-term storage and under acidic conditions that favor its generation. Therefore, it is an indicator of excessive heat-treatment, spoilage, and possible adulteration with other sugars or syrups.

It is often used as indicator for the quality of honey (Askar, 1984), (Fallico *et al.*, 2004) and (Mauro Marotti *et al.*, 1996). Due to its potential toxic effects, is essential for assessing the conformity of honey. Current European legislation regulates the concentration of HMF which must not exceed 40 mg/kg (for general types of honey) (Veronica K. *et al*, 2014). Exceptions for honey from countries with tropical temperatures: 80mg/kg. HPLC method for HMF determination, adopted as European Quality Standards, is slow but accurate (Spano *et al.*, 2006).

Therefore, in this study we investigate the effect of additives (bee pollen, palm pollen and basil oil) and storage temperature on the pH and the formation of HMF in honey. This study will enable us to contribute to optimize treated honey quality.

Material and methods

Sample Preparation:

Honey, Date Pollen and Bee Pollen was taken from the commerce but Essential oil (basil oil) is extracted in the Laboratory Medina city. A mix of honey and additive (Pollen Grain or Oil) is prepared in the Laboratory Medina city and has presented in (Table 1).

Sample storage and analysis

Each sample is stored at specific temperature (30 °C, 40 °C and 50 °C) during a period (30 and 60days). For samples under 30 ° C, we stored it until 90days. After that, pH and HMF had measured for each sample and then data is analyzed.

The pH value is done by a pH meter according the (AOAC, 1990) method after honey dilution (10 times).

HMF is measured by HPLC Method (HPLC method is set up in the laboratory according to standard DIN 10751-3) described below:

Five grams of honey samples ware dilutes up to 50 ml with distilled water filtered on 0.45 μ m filter and immediately injected in a HPLC (Thermo Scientific; Accella) equipped with PDA detector (Diode Array Detector). The HPLC Column (RESTEK C18, 5 μ m, 150 x 4.6 mm). HPLC conditions were the following: isocratic mobile phase, 90% water at 1% of acetic acid and 10% methanol; flow rate, 1ml/min; injection volume, 20µl.

All the solvents ware HPLC grade, and retention time (RT) is 5 min. The signal peak was detected by diode array detector. The chromatogram was monitored at Wavelength of 285 nm and HMF was identified, recorded and plotted. The area of the standard HMF peak VS the standard concentration is the area standard. HMF was identified by splitting the peak in honey with the standard HMF, and by comparison the spectrum of HMF standard with that of honey samples. The amount of HMF was determined using an external calibration curve, measuring the signal at Wavelength 285 nm.

All data are statistic analyzed by (SAS 2000 software by SAS Institute Inc.).

Results and discussion

HMF data during storage period for each temperature

Tables 2, 3 and 4 report results of HMF measured for prepared and stored honey samples. At 30° C, HMF

presented in Table 3. At 50° C, HMF is determined for each sample and for 1and 2 months duration of storage. Data and statistics analysis are presented in Table 4.

Table 1. Sample Preparation.

Sample number	Treatment and quantity			
1	Honey 100g	Control (no additive)		
2	Honey 100g	Addition of Palm Pollen Grain	1.0 g	
3	Honey 100g	Addition of Palm Pollen Grain	2.5 g	
4	Honey 100g	Addition of Palm Pollen Grain	5.0 g	
5	Honey 100g	Addition of Mix Bee Pollen Grain	1.0 g	
6	Honey 100g	Addition of Mix Bee Pollen Grain	2.5 g	
7	Honey 100g	Addition of Mix Bee Pollen Grain	5.0 g	
8	Honey 100g	Addition of Basil oil	50 µg	
9	Honey 100g	Addition of Basil oil	25 µg	
10	Honey 100g	Addition of Basil oil	10	g

Table 2. HMF value after 1, 2 and 3 months at 30°C storage.

	Storage	Samp	le numb	er								Mean
	Duration	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	-
HMF at	оМ	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
30 °C	1 M	62 f	59d	53.5b	48.9a	56.3c	58.4d	59.5d	62.1f	60.0e	59.2d	57.9A
	2 M	87e	85d	90f	94g	87e	90f	95h	80c	75b	72a	85.5B
	3 M	210 a	295j	246h	218g	213c	279i	308k	209a	211b	215d	240.4C

LSD between treatments at 5% is equal to 1.20 and LSD between Months at 5% is equal to 0.76.

Results, in Table 2, 3 and 4, show that, for 30° C, 40° C and 50° C, there are significant difference resulting from treatments for different storage duration and there are significant difference resulting from concentration inside treatments. Best result (lowest HMF) was, after one month, for 30° C and 40° C, 5% Palm Pollen Grain additive Honey and for 50° C was 50 and 25 µg Basil oil additive Honey and

worst result (highest HMF) result was for 5% Mix Bee Pollen Grain additive Honey after two months for 40°C, after 3 months for 30°C and for 5% Palm Pollen Grain additive Honey after two months for 50°C after two months. Best average HMF treatment was for one month and worst average HMF treatment was for two months for 40°C and 50°C and for 3 months for 30°C.

Table 3. and HMF value after 1 and 2 months at 40°C storage.

	Storage Sample number											
HMF	Duration	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	-
at	о М	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
40 °C	1 M	70e	64b	64b	62a	69.7d	67.8c	70e	68.5c	68c	68.9d	67.2A
	2 M	98d	92c	100e	101f	98d	102g	113h	92c	90b	88a	97.4B

LSD between treatments at 5% is equal to 0.83 and LSD between Months at 5% is equal to 0.53.

This result shows clearly that, for the control, during storage, HMF formation increases with rising of temperature which is conforming to the (Technical Sheet, 2016) and (Michael J. *et al.* 1990). Whereas, Pollen Grain addition make HMF Higher than control at High temperature (40 and 50°C) and during storage (more than on month) and effectively in literature we know that Pollen grain contains nutrients that could contribute to HMF formation. Pollen Grain content like amino acid and phenols (Hazem M. M. Hassan, 2011) and (L. B. Almeida Muradiana, 2005) reacts with sugar in Maillard reaction and HMF formation. Plant phenolic is generally degraded or undergoes undesirable reactions such as enzymatic oxidation by extended extraction times and high temperatures (Biesaga, M. *et al.* 2013), (Davidov-Pardo, G. *et al.* 2011).

	Storage		Sample number												
HMF	Duration	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	-			
at	o M	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50	50			
50 °C	1 M	200b	223e	246g	249f	210d	285h	370i	203c	190a	190a	254.6A			
	2 M	1800e	2216g	2357h	3517k	900a	923b	1409c	2719g	1815f	1751d	1940.7B			

Table 4. HMF value after 1 and 2 months at 50°C storage.

LSD between treatments at 5% is equal to 0.89 and LSD between Months at 5% is equal to 0.56.

Table 5. HMF value after 1 month at 30, 40 and 50	0°C storage temperature.
---	--------------------------

After	Storage Temperature	Sampl	e num	ber								
1 Month	1 Month		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Mean
	30°C	62c	59b	53.5a	48.9a	56.3b	58.49b	59.58b	62.15c	60.06c	59.26b	56.9A
	40°C	70b	64a	64a	62a	69.7b	67.8b	70b	68.5b	68b	68.9b	67.3B
	50°C	200b	223d	246e	249h	210c	285f	370g	203b	190a	190a	260.6C

LSD between treatments at 5% is equal to 4.19 and LSD between temperatures at 5% is equal 2.29.

HMF temperature data for each storage period

The HMF results are grouped in month 1 and month 2 in tables 5 and 6 for 30°C, 40°C and 50°C, while, table 7 deals with month 3 for 30 °C. Results in tables 5, 6 and 7 show that after 1 and 2 months storage, for 30, 40 and 50°C there are significant difference

resulting from treatments for different storage duration and there are significant difference resulting from concentration inside treatments. On the other hand, results, after 3 months storage show for 30°C there are significant difference resulting from treatments.

Table 6. HMF value after 2 months at 30, 40 and 50° C storage temperature.

	Storage	Sample r	Sample number										
After	2 Temperature	21	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
Month	30°C	87 e	85 d	90 f	94 g	87 e	90 f	95 g	80 c	75 b	72 a		
	40°C	98 d	92 C	100 e	101 e	98 d	102 f	113 g	92 c	90 b	88 a		
	50°C	1800e	2216g	2357h	3517k	900a	923b	1409c	2719i	1815f	1751d		

LSD between treatments at 5% is equal to 1.0 and LSD between temperatures at 5% is equal 1.9.

At 30° C, for the 1st month, best HMF treatment was for 2.5 and 5% Palm Pollen Grain additive Honey and worst HMF treatment was for 50 µl Basil Oil additive Honey, for the 2nd month, best HMF treatment was for 1µl Basil Oil additive Honey and worst HMF treatment was for 5% of Palm and Bee Pollen Grain additive Honey and at the 3rd month, best result was for 50µg Basil oil additive Honey and worst result was for 5% Bee Pollen Grain additive Honey. At 40°C, for the 1st month, best HMF treatment was for all Palm Pollen Grain additive Honey treatments and worst HMF treatment was for all other treatments and for the 2nd month, best HMF treatment was for all 1 μ l Basil Oil additive Honey and worst HMF treatment was for 5% Bee Pollen Grain additive Honey. At 50 °C, for the 1st month, best HMF treatment was for 25

and 10 µl Basil Oil additive Honey treatments and worst HMF treatment was for 5% Palm Pollen Grain additive Honey and for the 2nd month, best HMF treatment was for 1% Bee Pollen Grain additive Honey and worst HMF treatment was for 5% Palm Pollen Grain additive Honey.

Table 7. HMF value after three months at 30°C storage temperature.

After	Storage	Sample	Sample number											
3 Month	Temperature	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	30°C	210 a	295 k	246 f	218 e	213 c	279 g	308 l	209 a	211 b	215 d			

LSD between treatments at 5% is equal to 1.7.

After one month of storage, HMF is determined for each sample and at 30° C, 40° C and 50° C. Data and statistics analysis are presented in Table 5. After two months of storage, HMF is determined for each sample and at 30° C, 40° C and 50° C. Data and statistics analysis are presented in Table 6. After three months of storage, HMF is determined for each sample and at 30° C. Data and statistics analysis are presented in Table 7.

pH Between treatments inside each month results pH is determined after one and two months of storage and at 30° C, 40° C and 50° C. Data and statistics analysis are presented in Table 8.

Table 8. pH value after one and 1 and 2 months at 30, 40 and 50°C storage.

Storage	Storage	Sampl	Sample number										
Temperature	Duration	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	-	
	1 M	4.65	4.39	4.34	4.27	4.61	4.56	4.52	4.61	4.62	4.62	4.52	
30°C	2 M	4.52	4.47	4.28	4.24	4.27	4.38	4.35	4.39	4.42	4.4	4.37	
	1 M	4.48	4.33	4.33	4.54	4.42	4.43	4.84	4.84	4.84	4.84	4.60	
40°C	2 M	4.47	4.05	4.29	4.26	4.26	4.29	4.53	4.43	4.28	4.35	4.30	
	1 M	4.48	4.47	4.33	4.54	4.42	4.43	4.84	4.84	4.84	4.84	4.60	
50°C	2 M	6.03	5.93	5.65	5.69	5.71	5.65	5.55	5.49	5.64	5.62	5.70	

LSD between treatments at 5% is equal to 0.95 and LSD between temperatures at 5% is equal to 2.1.

PH data during storage period for each temperature: Result, in Table8, indicates that there is no effect on pH during storage of honey except at 50°C after 2 months were pH rise from 4 to 6.

This result shows clearly that, pH increases with rising of temperature and storage (from 50°C and 2 months) which is conforming to (Samina Q. *et al.* 2013).

Comparison Between treatments inside each month results

For the 1st month, 5% Palm Pollen Grain additive Honey was the best result for 30° C and 40° C bat it was 25 and 50μ l Basil Oil additive Honey at 50° C whereas the worst result was addition of 50 µg Basil Oil which is equal to control for 30°C, 5% Mix Bee Pollen Grain additive Honey which is equal to control for 40°C and 50°C; for the second month, addition of 10 µg Basil oil was the best result for 30°C and 40°C and 1 % addition of Mix Bee Pollen Grain for 50°C whereas the worst result was addition of 5% Mix Bee Pollen Grain for 30°C and for 40°C and it was for 5% Palm Pollen Grain additive Honey for 50°C. for the third month, for 30°C, 50 µg Basil oil was the best treatment whereas the worst result was addition of 5% Mix Bee Pollen Grain.

Conclusion

When using Pollen Grain as additives in honey for healthy and quality improvement during storage in the warm regions of the Middle East we expected for good results. Whereas HMF and pH indicates this Kind of additives don't fit this target at 40 and 50 but at 30 it was at limit accepted.

This study has enabled us to identify the best storage treatment. Thus during one month, 30°C was the better than 40°C and 40°C is better than 50°C for 2.5 and 5% Palm Pollen Grain additive Honey. Whereas one month storage is better than two months storage under a temperature of 40°C and 50°C. On the other hand, pH increases from 4 to 6 with rising of temperature and storage duration (from 50°C and 2 months). We suggest that addition of Pollen grain to honey need to be just before consumption or fridge storage but addition of essential oil can be any time. Further work can be done in using ginger, Ginseng and other essential oil as additives to check effect on HMF Formation and pH during storage.

References

Almeida-Muradiana LB, Pamplonaa LC, Coimbraa S, Barthb OM. 2005: Chemical composition and botanical evaluation of dried bee pollen pellets, Journal of Food Composition and Analysis **18(1)**, 105-111.

Antal Jm M, William SL, Geoffrey M, Richards N. 1990. Mechanism of formation of 5-(hydroxymethyl)-2-furaldehyde from d-fructose and sucrose, Carbohydrate Research **199**, 91-109.

AOAC. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis, association of official Analytical chemists, Inc. suite 400-2200 Wilson Boulevard, USA.

Basumallick L, Rohrer J. 2017. Application note 270, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Sunnyvale, CA, USA, Determination of Hydroxymethyl furfural in Honey and Biomass.

Biesaga M. Pyrzynska K. 2013. Stability of bioactive polyphenols from honey during different extraction methods. Food Chem **136**, 46–54.

Davidov-Pardo G, Arozarena Inigo Marın-Arroyo MR. 2011. Stability of polyphenolic extracts from grape seeds after thermal treatments. Eur. Food. Res. Technol **232**, 211–220.

Hazem M, Hassan M. 2011. Chemical Composition and Nutritional Value of Palm Pollen Grains, Global Journal of Biotechnology & Biochemistry **6(1)**, 01-07.

Kmecl V, Maja I, Smodiš Š. 2014. A comparison of two methods for determination of HMF in honey and bee food: HPLC method versus spectrophotometric Winkler method, CAB Direct, Conference paper **49**, 471-475.

Marotti M, Piccaglia R, Giovanelli M. 1996. Differences in Essential Oil Composition of Basil. Italian Cultivars Related to Morphological Characteristics J. Agric. Food Chem **44(12)**, 3926– 3929.

Qamer S, Farooq A, Alii SS, Shakoorii AA. 2013. Effect of Storage on Various Honey Quality Parameters of Apis dorsata Honey from Nepal, Pakistan J. Zoology **45(3)**, 741-747.

Schweitzer P. 2004: les critères de qualité du miel. Revue l'abeille de France N°916 Laboratoire d'analyse et d'écologie apicole.02.

Sultan A, Meoa S, AhmadAl-Asirib A, Latief M, Ansari MJ. 2017, Role of honey in modern medicine, Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 24, Issue 5, 975-978,

Technical Sheet. 2016. Apiculture Promotion Center ADECAL. Techno pole Novel Caledonia **16**.

White JW. 1994. The role of HMF and diastase assays in honey quality evaluation. Bee World **75(3)**, 104-117.