

**RESEARCH PAPER****OPEN ACCESS**

## Growth and protein content of mud crabs (*Scylla serrata*) fed with different natural feeds

Leilidyn Y Zurbano\*, Mary Lynn M Mariposque, Lyka M Buenaobra,  
John Christopher Marquez

*Agribusiness Department, Polytechnic University of the Philippines, Lopez Quezon, Philippines*

Article published on March 20, 2021

**Key words:** *Scylla serrata*, Horn snail, Yellow corn, Trash fish, Protein content

### Abstract

Mud crab (*Scylla serrata*) farming is one of the main sources of livelihood in Calauag, Quezon. Commonly, they use trash fish as their major source of feeds for mud crabs. However, fluctuation on its prices happen because of supply and demand, thus the use of other natural feeds were conceptualized. This aimed to determine if there are significant differences on the weight, protein content and meat characteristics of mud crabs fed with different natural feeds. It also aimed to assess the profitability of mud crab farming in plastic cages using different natural feeds. The experiment was laid out in Complete Randomized Design with three treatments replicated thrice: T<sub>1</sub> - trash fish, T<sub>2</sub> - horn snail meat and T<sub>3</sub> - yellow corn. Results revealed that mud crabs fed with horn snail had the highest weight and protein content as compared to the other treatments. Hence, had the highest ROI. While, in the sensory analysis of its meat characteristics, mud crabs fed with yellow corn had the highest mean which was rated as very much juicy, flavorful and very much acceptable.

\*Corresponding Author: Leilidyn Y Zurbano ✉ leydenzurbano@yahoo.com

## Introduction

Mud crab aquaculture has been practiced for many years in Southeast Asia, including the Philippines. It is based predominantly on capture and fattening of juvenile crabs from the wild. However, nowadays, mud crab farmers resort to culture of crablets also to be sold to other farmers. It is available in brackish coastal waters and estuaries and has a great potential for aquaculture. Crab culture and fattening are nevertheless, still in the trial stage in South Asia (Samarasinghe *et al.*, 1992). However, some parts of the Philippines are into mud crab farming since they have experienced it to be profitable. Its prominence as an export commodity has also unlocked great opportunities for crab farming. The mud crab remains species with good potential for aquaculture due to its fast growth and good market acceptability and price. There have been rise in demand for the live mud crabs than the supply in the world market. Because of their delicacy and larger size, the live mud crabs are always in greater demand and fetch a higher price (Kathirvel, 1993). The high price of mud crabs provides a strong incentive for mud crabs fishing as it can be among the major source of income for the coastal people and contributes to the national income.

Mud crabs or ‘alimango’ in Filipino is considered one of the most important foods from the sea. Commonly, mud crab is fed with trash fish - marine fish having little or no market value as human food but used sometimes in the production of fish meal. Another type of feed is the telescope snail meat which is locally known as bagongon. Yellow corn, on the other hand, is sometimes used as feed which has the greater contribution in the growth and survival of different fishery products (Rabia, 2015). Since mud crabs in the locality uses only trash feeds as source of feeds and because of its fluctuating price in the market, the idea of using other natural feeds was conceptualized. Thus, this study aimed to determine the effects of different natural feeds on the weight, protein content and meat characteristics of mud crabs. Profitability using those natural feeds were also assessed.

This study would greatly benefit mud crab farmers since they will have now an option on what to give to

the crabs to increase growth and eventually profit or to make their crabs more palatable and meaty. Moreover, consumers can be assured that the mud crabs are free from synthetic chemicals. The scope of the study was only limited to one growing period. The study was conducted from June 2019 to September 2019 at Calauag, Quezon.

## Materials and methods

### *Species of Mud Crabs Used in the Study*

Mud crabs used in the study was *Scylla serrata*. The identification was based on the description given by Department of Fisheries (2013), the mud crab species *S. serrata* can grow up to 300 millimetres in shell width and 2.5 kilograms. Its elbow has more than one prominent sharp spine. Its claws is dark green with purple color and claw spines are large and distinct. It has also long narrow lobes between the eyes.

### *Location of the Study*

The study was conducted in Brgy, Biyan, Calauag, Quezon. The fishpond was 2000 m<sup>2</sup> with brackish water, which is best suited for mud crab farming as they are highly tolerant to varying salinity conditions. The water depth of the pond is about 5 feet (1.5 meters). Water exchange in the pond is carried through tidal water and sluice gates were used for the purpose. The gates can regulate the inflow and outflow of the tidal water.

### *Source of Mud Crabs*

Crablets were obtained from Yngente Fish Farm in Calauag, Quezon. The crablets were approximately 50 g each and about a month old. The gender is still unidentifiable when it was put in the pond.

### *Collection of Mud Crab Feeds*

Trash fish and yellow corn were purchased from the market. While telescope snails were collected from fishpond and in the river near the area. It was pounded to extract the meat.

### *Treatments and Layout of the Experiment*

The study was composed of 3 treatments with 10 mudcrabs on each cages. The treatments were the following: T1- Trash fish; T2-Telescope Snail meat

and; T3- Yellow corn. The cages were laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) replicated 3 times.

#### *Water Quality*

Water quality was tested everyday. Salinity and temperature were determined using EC meter (E3 Portable TDS and EC Meter) and pH was determined using pH meter (UOTO Water Quality Tester). The average salinity was 20 ppt, the average temperature was 27°C and the average pH was 8.0. The optimum salinity for mud crab farming is 15-25 ppt and the water temperature should be kept below 32°C to avoid water quality problems and above 20°C as growth is greatly reduced at temperatures below this level (<https://www.business.qld.gov.au/industries/mud-crab/growing>). Water pH should be 8.0 to 8.5 ([www.fisheriesjournal.com](http://www.fisheriesjournal.com)).

#### *Stocking and Feeding of Mud Crabs in Cages*

Three plastic cages measuring 252x252x162 cm<sup>2</sup> were used in the study. Each plastic cage has 30 compartments which accommodated one crab. One crab was put in each of the plastic cages. Trash fish, horn snail meat and yellow corn were given to the crab twice or thrice a day at a rate of 5-8 percent of body weight for the entire duration of the study. It is given twice a day if it is low tide and thrice a day if it is high tide. The difference in tides is due to the phases of the moon.

#### *Pond Management*

In the pond, water is replaced twice a day during high tide and during low tide. When it is low tide, the water is removed from the pond and when it is high tide, the water is allowed to go into the pond and enclosed by the sluice gates.

#### *Crab Harvesting*

Harvesting was done early in the morning, on its 3rd month after putting in the crab pond. It was weighed and claws were tied with a plastic straw to avoid damage to the handler.

#### *Sensory Evaluation of Mud Crab Meat Characteristics*

For the sensory evaluation of its meat characteristics, mudcrabs meat were removed from its body and

steamed. Then it was presented to the 30-member taste panel for the evaluation of its meat characteristics. For every sample they taste, they drink water, eat crackers and drink water again to remove the taste of the previous sample.

#### *Data Gathered*

##### *Mud crab weight*

The weight (g) of the mud crab was gathered every 2<sup>nd</sup> week using digital weighing scale.

#### *Mortality*

Number of dead mud crabs were counted

#### *Meat Characteristics*

Sensory evaluation of the mud crab's meat was determined by a 30-member taste panel. They were instructed to rate the taste on a 1-9 scale based on Hedonic scale developed by Peryams and Pilgrims (1957). The sensory attributes included juiciness, taste and general acceptability.

#### *Crude protein (%)*

It was analyzed at SGS (Standard Global Services) company in Makati City using Kjeldahl method. The researchers used rating and free tasting technique as an instrument in evaluating the acceptability of the mud crab meat. Rating included blanks provided for respondent's profile including name, age, gender and name of organizations. The next part includes the sensory attributes of the mud crab meat such as juiciness, taste and general acceptability. The respondents answers based on their perception were tallied.

#### *Statistical Analysis*

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used in analyzing if there were significant differences on the weight and organoleptic properties of mud crabs fed with different natural feeds. Further test used was Scheffes to test the significance between means. For the sensory analysis of the meat of mud crabs, the data gathered were interpreted using mean and ANOVA. Statistical Analysis for Agricultural Research (STAR) was the software used in the study.

## Results and discussion

### Growth of Mud Crabs Fed with Different Natural Feeds

Mudcrabs which are fattened are feed twice or thrice a day depending on its body weight. A crab fattening cycle typically takes from 14 to 60 days (if they started at 500g), during which time their protein content can increase from 8 to 15 percent (Shelley and Lovatelli, 2011). However, young crabs can also be grown for a

period of 5 to 6 months till they attain desirable size ([www.vikaspedia.in](http://www.vikaspedia.in)). As shown in Table 1, mud crabs fed with horn snail meat had the highest weight and significantly different from those fed with trash fish and yellow corn. During the initial and final week of weighing the mud crabs, the weight pattern remained still, and those fed with Telescope snail meat had the highest weight (Fig. 2).

**Table 1.** Mean Weight of Mud Crab Fed with Different Natural Feeds.

Treatment	2 week (g)	4 week (g)	6 week (g)	8 week (g)	10 week (g)	12 week (g)
Trash Fish	47.87 b	103.74 b	150.35 b	166.60 b	201.94 b	233.62 b
Telescope Snail Meat	72.00 a	132.05 a	174.68 a	207.04 a	248.02 a	278.05 a
Yellow Corn	50.05 b	89.14 b	145.05 b	170.94 b	184.15 b	219.94 b
CV (%)	7.82	12.53	4.79	9.09	9.07	8.07

\*Mean with the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 level of significance



**Fig. 1.** Feeds Given to Mud Crab A) Trash Fishes B) Telescope Snail Meat and C) Yellow Corn.



**Fig. 2.** Mud crabs fed with A) trash fish B) telescope snail meat C) yellow corn.

Telescope snail meat are rich in protein. It contains 52-63% protein (DM), ash varies from 11 and 27% DM, 3-4% calcium, 0.4-1.2% phosphorus, carbohydrate is

15.3% and fat content is generally less than 5% (Tran, 2016). Trash fish on the other hand contains 10.4 to 20.22% protein, ash is 0.7 to 3.3%, carbohydrates range from 0.00 to 0.34% and fats range from 0.3 to 4.2% (Azmat, 2008). Yellow corn contains 8.30% protein, ash is 1.20%, carbohydrate content is 70.80% and fat content is 4.20%. (<http://www.fao.org/3/w6928e/w6928e1.htm>).

Horn snail has higher protein content than trash fish and yellow corn, thus might be the reason for the higher weight of crab fed with telescope snail meat. As reported by Unnikrishnan & Paulrajs (2010), dietary protein levels suggested that 46.9^47.03% dietary protein is required for the best growth response and protein deposition in *S. serrata*. Moreover, its abundance makes it a readily available feed source (Alber, 2003).

The study is similar with the study of Rabia (2015), where those mud crabs fed with golden apple snail had higher weight gain than those fed with trash fish. Furthermore, in a study of Alber (2003) on mud crabs fed with telescope snail and fish meal, those fed with snail meat had higher weight gain compared to those fed with fish meal alone or combination of fish meal and telescope snail. Furthermore, Severo *et al.* (2016) reported that apple snail (*Pomacea canaliculata*) is a potential feed source for mud crabs or it could be administered in combination with by-catch fish. Similarly, Muchlisin Z.A. and Azizah (2013) reported that both the golden snail and chicken intestine are possible alternative feeds for mud crab culture as they showed no significant differences compared to the conventional trash fish feed. However, in study done by Das *et al.* (2019), those mud crabs fed with chicken intestine had higher growth rate as compared to those fed with trash fish and golden apple snail.

#### *Mortality of Mud Crab*

There are several factors affecting the survival in crab culture such as cannibalism, molting, salinity and temperature fluctuations, feed, shelter and stocking density (Ruscoe *et al.*, 2004; Holme *et al.*, 2007; Mann *et al.*, 2007; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2007; Mirera 2009; Quinitio & Estepa 2011). Most of the time the mortality could be attributed to environmental conditions. As shown in Table 2, highest mortality was recorded in those fed with trash fish as compared to those fed with horn snail meat and yellow corn. According to Unnikrishnan and Paulraj (2010), the higher the protein content of the feeds, the lower the mortality rate which can be seen on the mortality exhibited by mud crabs fed with telescope snail meat.

**Table 2.** Mortality (%) of Mud Crab Fed with Different Natural Feeds.

Treatment	Mortality (%)
Trash Fish	16.00 a
Horn Snail Meat	3.33 b
Yellow Corn	6.67 c
CV (%)	7.82

\*Mean with the same letter are not significantly different at 0.05 level of significance

The findings of the study coincides with the study of Unnikrishnan and Paulraj (2010) where the crabs fed with 15% and 20% dietary protein levels showed 100% and 12.5% of mortalities respectively. The mortalities observed in the above treatments were associated with the prolonged intermoult duration (46 and 32 days respectively). All other treatments recorded 100% survival. The best growth performance as well as the nutrient turn-over was recorded in crabs fed with 45% crude protein in the diet. Second-order polynomial regression of specific growth rate (SGR) as well as body protein gain vs. dietary protein levels suggested that 46.9–47.03% dietary protein is required for the best growth response and protein deposition in juvenile *S. serrata*.

#### *Protein Content of Mud Crabs*

Crab is said to be a complete source of protein, which supplies each of the 20 amino acids the body needs to make new proteins. <https://healthyeating.sfgate.com/crab-meat-healthy-3614.html>. It is also extremely low in fat and carbohydrates. In general, a 3-oz cooked serving has around 80 calories, 20gms of protein, 1gm of fat, and less than 1gm of carbohydrate ([www.sharecare.com](http://www.sharecare.com)). As shown in Table 3, mud crab fed with telescope snail meat had the highest crude protein content (18%), followed by yellow corn (16.76%) and trash fish (16.44%). The high amount of protein present in those mud crabs fed with telescope snail could be attributed to the high protein content of snails (52-63%).

**Table 3.** Protein Content of Mud Crabs Fed with Different Natural Feeds.

Treatment	Crude Protein
Fed with Trash Fish	16.44%
Fed with Horn Snail	18.00%
Fed with Yellow Corn	16.76%

As reported by Sreelakshmi *et al.* (2016), the protein content of mud crab meat range from 12.22% to 16.42%. While, in a study done by Severo *et al.* (2016), crude protein of mud crabs fed with apple snail meat had 15.40% and those fed with trash fish had a protein content of 19%. Moreover, Ali *et al.* (2011) reported a protein content of 12.10 to 13.64%

on mud crab meat which were fed with a combination of fish meal, squid meal, shrimp meal, mantis shrimp meal, soyabean meal, bread flour and other additives which contains 41.6% crude protein.

#### *Sensory Evaluation of Mud Crab's Meat Characteristics*

Mud crabs are praise for their impressive size and delicious taste. It has been reported by Pitogo and Dela Peña (2004) that the meat characteristics of the crab are usually affected by parasite that causes sterility and alteration of taste. Tang *et al.* (2020) also reported that temperature is one of the factors affecting the taste of mud crabs.

No significant differences were reported in terms of mud crab's sensory attributes such as juiciness, taste and overall acceptability (Table 4). However, those fed with yellow corn were the juiciest, most flavorful and very much acceptable to the respondents. According to Nozowitz (2013), if some component of feed, like corn, makes up the majority of an animal's diet throughout its life, that will definitely flavor the meat. Yellow corn given to the crabs were sweet, offering flavors of almond and sugar and the kernels were succulent. Thus, it could be the reason for the juiciness and flavorful taste of the meat.

**Table 4.** Sensory Attributes of Mud Crab Meat as Perceived by the Respondents.

Treatment	Juiciness	Taste	Overall Acceptability
Trash fish	7.38 (VMJ)	7.03 (VMF)	7.31 (VMA)
Telescope Snail Meat	7.26 (VMJ)	6.87 (VMF)	7.05 (VMA)
Yellow corn	7.47 (VMJ)	7.88 (VMF)	7.68 (VMA)
CV (%)	2.73	31	4.72

Legend: VMJ – Very Much Juicy; VMF – Very Much Flavorful; VMA – Very Much Acceptable

A similar study was done by Lampe *et al.* (2004) where meat of those animals fed with yellow corn and with higher ratio in mixed feed were most flavorful as compared to those without yellow corn in the diet. In addition, Mandell *et al.* (2013) reported a corn-fed meat had significantly more intense flavor and higher flavor desirability than forage-finished meat.

However, in a study done by Muir *et al.* (1998) comparing the meat characteristics of those fed with different grains and forages, results showed that the type of feeding system had no effect per se on tenderness, juiciness, lean meat colour, marbling, and flavor.

#### *Profitability*

Mud crab farming is a very lucrative business with a 1.54 M return on investment in only 60 days (Rabia, 2016). There has been a huge interest in the aquaculture of this species due to its high demand and price, high flesh content and rapid growth rates in captivity. For a three month period in cages, Table 5 shows the cost and return analysis in the production of mud crab for each treatment. The data presented is the average yield for the 10 crablets on each replicate for each treatment. Since, those crabs fed with telescope snail meat had the highest weight, it also gained the highest profit and return on investment.

**Table 5.** Profitability of Mud crab Farming Fed with Different Natural Feeds.

Cost of Materials	Treatment		
	Trash Fish	Telescope Snail Meat	Yellow corn
Plastic cages	100.00	100.00	100.00
Synthetic rope	115.00	115.00	115.00
Crablets	300.00	300.00	300.00
Feeds	625.00	750.00	500.00
Transportation	100.00	100.00	100.00
Total expense	1,240.00	1,365.00	1,115.00
Total harvest(kg)	3.00	4.00	2.40
Income(Php 500/kg)	1,500.00	2,000.00	1,200.00
Profit	260.00	635.00	85.00
ROI(%)	20%	46.52%	7.62%

#### **Conclusions**

Mud crab fed with telescope snail meat had the highest protein content and weight, thus higher profit. While, those fed with trash fish had the highest mortality as compared with other treatments. In terms of its sensory attributes, those fed with yellow corn had the highest rating in terms of juiciness, taste and overall acceptability.

#### **References**

- Ahamad AS, Syama Dayal J, Ambasankar K.** 2011. Presentation and Evaluation of Formulated Feed For Mud Crab *Scylla serrata*. Indian Journal of Fisheries **58(2)**, 67-73, 2011

- Alber R.** 2003. Growth and Survival of Mudcrab (*Scylla serrata*) Fed with Bagungon Meat (*Telescopium telescopium*) as Alternative Feed Ingredient in Mudcrab Fattening. 15th National Research Symposium held at the BSWM Convention Hall. Retrieved from: <https://businessdiary.com.ph/11462/bagungon-fishpond-pest-mudcrab-fattener/>
- Ali SA, Dayal JS, Kondusamy A.** 2011. Presentation and evaluation of formulated feed for mud crab *Scylla serrata*. Indian Journal of Fisheries **58(2)**, 67-73.
- Azmat R, Ahmed K, Jahnzeb Q, Malik I, Ahmed T.** 2008. Case Study of Trash Fish under Environmental Stress for Their Survival and Utilization. International Journal of Zoological Research **4**, 225-229.
- Das KP, Mondal S, Al-Asif A.** 2019. Growth and economic performance of different supplementary feed on female mud crab (*Scylla olivacea*) fattening in plastic box. Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research **5(4)**, 303-315.
- Description of major feedstuffs available in the Philippines.** 1975.  
<http://www.fao.org/3/W6928E/w6928e17.htm>
- Fisheries Fact Sheet.** 2013.  
<https://www.fish.wa.gov.au/>
- Heuzé V, Tran G.** 2016. *Snail meal*. Feedipedia, a programme by INRA, CIRAD, AFZ and FAO.  
<https://www.feedipedia.org/node/200>.
- Holme HM, Southgate P, Zeng C.** 2007. Survival, development and growth response of mud crab, *Scylla serrata*, megalopae fed semi-purified diets containing various fish oil: corn oil ratios. Aquaculture **269**, 427-435.
- Kathirvel M.** 1993. Mud crab. In: Handbook on Aqua farming of Shrimps, lobsters and Mud crabs. Cochin: MPEDA Publication pp.72.
- Lampe JF, Mabry JW, Holden PJ.** 2004. Comparison of Grain Sources (Barley, White Corn, and Yellow Corn) for Swine Diets and Their Effects on Meat Quality and Production Traits. Animal Industry Report: AS 650, ASL R1954.  
DOI: [https://doi.org/10.31274/ans\\_air-180814-1380](https://doi.org/10.31274/ans_air-180814-1380)
- Mandell I.** 2013. Effect of Method of Forage Finishing and Cattle Breed on Growth Performance, Carcass Characteristics, Eating Quality, and Nutrient Composition of Forage Finished Beef Relative to a Grain Finished Product. <http://www.beefresearch.ca/factsheet.cfm/how-does-forage-finishing-affect-product-quality-86>
- Mann DL, Asakawa T, Kelly B, Lindsay T, Paterson B.** 2007. Stocking density and artificial habitat influence stock structure and yield from intensive nursery systems for mud crabs *Scylla serrata* (Forsskal 1775). Aquaculture Research **38**, 1580-1587.
- Mirera DO.** 2009. Mud crab (*Scylla serrata*) culture: understanding the technology in a silvofisheries perspective. Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science **8**, 127-137.
- Muchlisin ZA, Azizah MN.** 2013. Alternative feeds and daily rations for mud crab (*Scylla serrata F*) culture. <https://www.academia.edu>
- Muir PD, Deaker JM, Bown MD.** 1998. Effects of forage- and grain-based feeding systems on beef quality: A review, New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research **41(4)**, 623-635,  
DOI: [10.1080/00288233.1998.9513346](https://doi.org/10.1080/00288233.1998.9513346).
- Nosowitz D.** 2013. Will a Chicken That's Fed Lemons Taste Like Lemons. Available from: <http://popsci.com>.
- Pitogo CR, Dela Peña LD.** 2004. Diseases in Farmed Mud Crabs *Scylla* spp.: Diagnosis, Prevention, and Control. Aquaculture Department Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center Tigbauan, Iloilo. ISBN No. 971-8511-71-7

**Quinitio ET, Estepa FDP.** 2011. Survival and growth of mud crab, *Scylla serrata*, juveniles subjected to removal or trimming of chelipeds. Aquaculture **318**, 229-234.

**Rabia MDS.** 2015. Golden apple snail as source of protein diets of fattened mud crab (*Scylla serrata* Forskal) in cellular bamboo cages. International Journal of Environment and Rural Development **6(1)**, 188-193.

**Rabia MDS.** 2016. Length and Weight Characteristics of Mud Crab (*Scylla serrata*) Fed with Indigenous Feeds in Plastic Container. Environmental Sciences **4(1)**, 53 - 61.

**Rodriguez EM, Parado-Estepa FD, Quinitio ET.** 2007. Extension of nursery culture of *Scylla serrata* (Forsskal) juveniles in net cages and ponds. Aquaculture Research **38**, 1588-1592.

**Ruscoe IM, Shelley CC, Williams GR.** 2004. The combined effects of temperature and salinity on growth and survival of juvenile mud crabs (*Scylla serrata* Forskål). Aquaculture **238(1)**, 239-247.

**Severo RT Jr, Amparado L, Amparado ML.** 2016. Effect of Apple Snail (*Pomacea canaliculata*) and By-Catch Fish Feeding on the Growth, Production and Nutrient Composition of Mudcrab (*Scylla serrata*). Ponds Journal of Academic Research **1(4)**, 1-10.

**Shelley C, Lovatelli A.** 2011. Mud Crab Aquaculture: A Practical Manual. FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper. ISBN 978-92-5-106990-5

**Sreelakshmi KR, Manjusha L, Vartak VR, Venkateshwarlu G.** 2016. Note Variation in proximate composition and fatty acid profiles of mud crab meat with regard to sex and body parts. Indian Journal of Fisheries **63(2)**, 147-150.

**Tang L, Wang H, Wang C.** 2020. Temperature potentially induced distinctive flavor of mud crab *Scylla paramamosain* mediated by gut microbiota. Sci Rep **10**, 3720  
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60685-0>

**Unnikrishnan U, Paulraj R.** 2010. Dietary Protein Requirement of Giant Mud Crab *Scylla serrata* juveniles fed iso-energetic formulated diets having graded protein levels. Agriculture Research **41**, 278-294.  
 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2009.0233.x

**What is the nutritional value of crab?** 1999.  
 Available from: <http://www.sharecare.com/health/diet-nutrition/nutritional-value-of-crab>.