

International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR)

ISSN: 2223-7054 (Print) 2225-3610 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 12, No. 3, p. 9-18, 2018

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Gene action for various morphological and yield contributing traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.)

Babar Usman¹, Munir Ahmad^{*1}, Mozammil Hussain², Mohsin Niaz¹, Mudassar Abbas¹, Umair Khalid¹, Gul Nawaz^{3, 4}, Zhao Neng^{3, 4}

¹Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, PMAS-Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Pakistan ²Maize, Sorghum, Millet & Fodder Program, Crop Sciences Institute, NARC, Pakistan ³ College of Agriculture, Guangxi University, Nanning, P.R. China ⁴State Key Laboratory for Conservation and Utilization of Subtropical Agro Bioresources, Guangxi University, Nanning, P.R. China

Article published on March 28, 2018

Key words: Gene action, Dominance, Epistasis, Heritability, Variance

Abstract

The present study was carried out to determine the type of gene action to design the efficient breeding program which helps to generate high yielding and adaptive cultivars. The experiment was conducted at National Agricultural Research Centre Islamabad (Pakistan) and experimental material comprised of 30 crosses derived from 10 inbred lines and three testers of maize. The evaluation trial was laid out using Randomized complete block design with three replications. Data were collected on plant height, flag leaf area, ear leaf area, chlorophyll content, kernel rows per ear, 1000 kernel weight and seed depth. Analysis of variance exhibited significant differences for all parameters except seed depth. There were significant mean square differences due to line GCA for all the traits analyzed. Estimation of general combining ability (GCA) indicating the dominant type of gene action. The results indicate that the values of variation due to non-additive type of gene action (V_h) are greater than variation due to additive type of gene action (V_d). The gene distribution was asymmetrical for all traits. The dominance of non-additive type of gene actions clearly indicated that selection of superior plants should be postponed to later generation.

* Corresponding Author: MunirAhmad 🖂 muneer.ahmad@uaar.edu.pk

Introduction

Maize (Zea mays L.) is an important cereal crop which can be used for food, feed and as an industrial crop. The areas under cultivation, production and yield of maize have increased several-fold over the last 50 years. It is expected that the demand of maize will be doubled by 2050, which implies extra need to increase productivity significantly (Prasanna et al., 2014). Study of the gene action concerned with the expression of characters, for improving the traits of interest it is necessary to know about the level of additive effects and the degree of dominance in scheming a breeding scheme. Information of the way genes act and act together will conclude which breeding technique can optimize gene action more resourcefully. Scientists estimated some maize populations and found additive effects were more significant for days to silking, whereas additive × dominance effects were predominant for days to tasselling.

Whereas recessive gene were observed to control the inheritance of anthesissilking interval (ASI) through generation means analysis using maize inbred lines, recessive genes were found to control the inheritance of ASI with prominent additive gene effects (Sheret al., 2012). Umar et al. (2014) obtained results of the combining ability analysis studied that dominance and additive gene actions were equally responsible for the manipulation of the traits. However, the dominance gene action was found more ascendant in the genetic manipulation of all the characters. Reddy et al. (2014) revealed significant mean squares because of SCA and GCA representing that both additive and non-additive gene actions were significant in the inheritance of traits under studied. Variances observed due to SCA were better than GCA for most of the characters representing the high proportion of non-additive gene action for different traits. Netravati et al. (2015) studied both non additive and additive gene action was found for all the characters except shelling percentage. Additive gene action has less proportion than non-additive gene action because the ratio of GCA over SCA variance was lower than combined characters.

Chandel and Mankotia (2014) studied variance due to GCA components was higher than that SCA variance components for ear length and grain yield indicating that additive type of gene action played the key role in the inheritance of these traits, while SCA variance components was larger than GCA variance components for plant height, ear height and rows per ear showing that non-additive type of gene action was important for controlling these characters. The Combined data revealed that the GCA variance components × environment interaction was smaller than the SCA variance components × environment interaction for almost studied traits indicating, additive type of gene action was less affected by environmental conditions than non-additive gene action. Moradi et al. (2014) indicated major deviations among the parents and crosses for GCA and SCA and thus in the inheritance of all the parameters. Umar et al. (2014) studied that additive and non-additive gene actions were equally responsible for the control of grain yield and many other yielding traits that studied under drought and normal conditions. The variance due to non-additive genetic effects was better than the additive genetic for controlling the inheritance of all studied parameters (Rajitha et al., 2015). The objectives of this study were to estimate general combining ability of parents, specific combining abilities of crosses and type of gene action for grain yield and other traits of maize.

Material and methods

Genetic materials and experimental procedure

The present study was conducted in the research area of the Crop Sciences Institute (CSI), National Agricultural Research Centre (NARC) Islamabad during spring season 2015.These genotypes were evaluated to investigate the gene action for morphological and yield contributing traits. Row length was kept three meters, row to row distance 75 cm and plant to plant distance 24 cm. Recommended cultural practices were carried out uniformly in all treatments. Ten lines were crossed with three testers in line × tester fashion to generate a total of 30 hybrids. All the genotypes (13 parents and 30 F_1) were evaluated in Randomized Block Design with three replications during Rabi 2015. These genotypes were evaluated to investigate the gene action for morphological and yield contributing traits. Row length was kept three meters; row to row distance 75 cm and plant to plant distance 24 cm. Recommended cultural practices were carried out uniformly in all treatments.

Data analysis

Data was collected on various stages of crop, to identify the type of gene action in the maize genotypes. The data was recorded from five randomly selected plants for plant height, ear height, flag leaf area, ear leaf are, chlorophyll content, kernel rows per ear, 1000 kernel weight and seed depth. Five randomly selected plants were used for data recording. The means calculated from the data recorded for various parameters was statistically analyzed by using the method Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to determine the differences among different maize inbred lines and their crosses (Steel *et al.*, 1997). Gene action was determined by the line \times tester cross method. The means of the five selected plants per unit for each trait were analyzed using randomized complete block design(RCBD) following standard method of Steel *et al.* (1997). Parameters having significantly different results among genotypes were subjected to combining ability analysis following Kepmthorn (1957). The data was analyzed by CropStat 7.2 software.

Results and discussion

Mean performance of parents and crosses

The analysis of variance revealed significant differences among the parents and hybrids for all the traits studied. Variance due to lines was highly significant for all the characters and Variance due to testers was highly significant for all the characters except for the seed depth. Variance due to interaction effects of lines and testers were highly significant for all the characters. Obviously due to the diverse nature of the line and testers the crosses between them were also found to be significant for all the characters.

Table 1. Line × Tester arrangement for 10 inbred lines and three testers.

Lines		Testers	
	Sp-14-5760-2	Sp-14-5760-2	Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-325-Sp-14	NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	NCML-325-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-379-Sp-14	NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-383-Sp-14	NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-384-Sp-14	NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-396-Sp-14	NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-402-Sp-14	NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-403-Sp-14	NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-412-Sp-14	NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-415-Sp-14	NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3
NCML-421-Sp-14	NCML-421-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	$\text{NCML-421-Sp-14} \times \text{Sp-14-5760-2}$	NCML-421-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-3

The significant variance of line x tester interaction indicated the importance of specific combining ability. The mean squares due to testers were of a larger magnitude than those of lines and line x tester for all characters except seed depth indicating greater diversity among the testers than the lines. NCML-415-Sp-14 gained maximum plant height, ear leaf area and kernel rows per ear, among female parents, while NCML-383-Sp-14 outperform in flag leaf area, NCML-421-Sp-14 for ear leaf area and kernel rows per ear, NCML-384-Sp-14 and NCML-421-Sp-14 for chlorophyll content, NCML-396-Sp-14 for seed depth, NCML-402-Sp-14 for 1000 kernel weight. In case of restorer Sp-14-5760-1 gained maximum plant height, flag leaf area and 1000 kernel weight, Sp-14-5760-2 have maximum values for ear height and kernel rows per ear and Sp-14-5760-3 gained maximum ear leaf area, chlorophyll content, kernel rows per ear and seed depth. As regards from cross combinations, NCML-403-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-2 attained maximum plant height and seed depth, NCML-379-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-3 gained maximum ear height, NCML-396-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-2 and NCML-325-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-3 performed best for flag leaf area and seed depth, NCML-383-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-1 gained maximum value for chlorophyll

content, NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 have maximum number of kernel rows per ear, NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3 gained maximum 1000 kernel weight NCML-421-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1, NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2, NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-

5760-3, NCML-396-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-3, NCML-402-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-2, NCML-421-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-2 and NCML-421-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-3 gained maximum seed depth.

SOV	DF	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Flag leaf area (cm²)	Ear leaf area (cm²)	Chlorophyll content	Kernel rows per ear	1000 kernel weight (g)	Seed depth (mm)
Replications	2	4.1938	0.8605	7.6278	26.1369	11.5346	0.8682	136.9070	0.0286
Treatments		1984.16**	486.65**	112.31**	768.37**	77.62**	6.59**	2054.02**	0.77**
	42								
Error	84	11.8605	4.4160	1.2346	4.3772	5.4552	1.1222	56.3038	0.1293
CV (%)		2.10	3.93	5.45	3.13	5.78	6.56	2.59	7.01

**= Highly significant, *= Significant, ns= Non-Significant.

SOV	DF	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area	Ear leaf area	Chlorophyll content	Kernel rows	1000 kernel	Seed depth
			(cm ²)	(cm ²)		per ear	weight (g)	(mm)
Replication	2	4.19	7.62	26.13	11.53	0.87	136.90	0.02
Treatments	42	1984.16**	112.31**	768.37**	77.62**	6.59**	2054.02**	0.77**
Crosses	29	1687.0**	111.73**	899.71**	57.24**	5.89**	1538.19**	0.61**
Lines	9	4107.95**	249.20**	740.57**	122.14**	5.92**	1610.74**	0.80**
Tester	2	146.81**	63.32**	567.28**	66.80**	0.93 ^{ns}	511.21**	0.09 ^{ns}
Line × Tester	18	647.66**	48.38**	1016.21**	88.17**	6.41**	1616.03**	0.57**
Parents	12	469.39**	35.95**	128.78**	34.71**	2.36*	862.31**	0.82**
Parent vs. crosses	1	28779.14**	625.37**	4634.34**	23.66*	77.92**	31313.36**	4.96**
Error	84	11.86	1.23	4.38	5.39	1.12	56.30	0.13

Table 3. Analysis of variance of Line × Tester experiment.

** = Significant at 1% level of probability, * = Significant at 5% level of probability, ns= Non-significant.

General combining ability (GCA) effects

The GCA effects of parents are presented in Table 5 among the lines. NCML-421-Sp-14 showed minimum negative GCA value (-27.74) while NCML-403-Sp-14 indicated maximum positive GCA value (42.81) for plant height.

In restorer Sp-14-5760-2 indicated maximum negative GCA value (-2.39) while maximum positive GCA value (1.98) for plant height was shown by restorer Sp-14-5760-1. Specific combining ability (SCA) effects in (Table 6) had shown that minimum negative SCA for plant height was indicated by cross combination NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3 i.e. - 22.41 while maximum positive SCA results were observed in combinations NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3 i.e. 19.37. Fifteen out of thirty crosses exhibited negative SCA values for plant height.

Our findings are similar with the previous results of Irshad-Ul-Haq et al. (2010), Amiruzzaman, et al. (2013) and Konak et al. (2001) who found highly significant differences in genotypes and non-additive type of gene action and observed negative GCA and SCA values for plant height.NCML-384-Sp-14 showed minimum negative GCA value (-15.28) while NCML-403-Sp-14 indicated maximum positive GCA value (13.39) for ear height. In restorer Sp-14-5760-3 indicated minimum negative GCA value (-4.36) while maximum positive GCA value (3.44) for ear height was shown by restorer Sp-14-5760-2. Specific combining ability effects in (Table 6) shown that minimum negative SCA for ear height was indicated by cross combination NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3 i.e. -11.64 while maximum positive SCA results were observed in combinations NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3 i.e. 10.69.

Thirteen out of thirty crosses exhibited negative SCA values for ear height. If the ear height is more than later the plant matures (Surányi and Mándy, 1955), but earliness and lower ear height have no complete

reciprocality. NCML-384-Sp-14 showed minimum negative GCA value (-4.62) while NCML-396-Sp-14 indicated maximum positive GCA value (8.73) for flag leaf area.

Tuble 4. Mean performance of yield and yield related traits in 4.) maize genotypes.	Table 4. Mean	performance of yield	d and yield related	d traits in 43 maize genotypes.
--	---------------	----------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------

Parents/F1 Hybrids	Plant height	Flag leaf area		Chlorophyll	Kernel rows	1000 kernel	Seed depth
	(cm)	(cm ²)	(cm ²)	content	per ear	weight (g)	(mm)
NCML-325-Sp-14	127	20	47	37	15	266	5
NCML-379-Sp-14	130	18	51	36	13	246	4
NCML-383-Sp-14	136	25	53	35	15	229	5
NCML-384-Sp-14	154	20	56	45	15	272	5
NCML-396-Sp-14	122	18	58	40	15	271	6
NCML-402-Sp-14	149	12	61	41	13	244	6
NCML-403-Sp-14	157	15	48	39	14	273	5
NCML-412-Sp-14	134	16	64	36	15	260	5
NCML-415-Sp-14	157	14	66	43	16	267	4
NCML-421-Sp-14	127	17	66	45	16	280	5
Sp-14-5760-1	144	15	60	37	14	286	5
Sp-14-5760-2	151	15	55	39	15	279	5
Sp-14-5760-3	151	13	64	40	15	281	5
$NCML-325-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-1$	195	26	70	43	17	296	5
NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	170	31	59	41	15	288	6
NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	211	34	103	36	17	322	5
NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	168	24	70	39	15	320	5
NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	182	19	55	37	17	320	5
NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	163	12	54	46	15	292	4
NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	156	15	62	+° 52	15	321	5
NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	169	19	100	35	17	275	5
NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	169	15	75	35 42	15	263	6
NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	151	20	73 62	38	15	262	5
NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	151		50	30 42	15 17	202	
NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2 NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	185	14 17	50 77	42 41	17 19	293 304	4
NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	169			-	19 16		5
NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	109	30	74 100	47		297	5 6
NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2 NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	1/2	35	80	43	15	332	6
		27		49	17	275	
NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	204	27 20	77	42	17	316 269	5
NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2 NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	194		47	44	17	-	5 6
NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3 NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	195 204	15 23	58 86	43	17 17	274 307	
NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	204 228			31	17		5 6
NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2 NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	228	19 26	74 65	39 41		324 326	
NCML-403-5p-14 × 5p-14-5760-1	-	19	69	-	19	-	5
NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	179 167	19 21	69 67	45	17 17	323 288	5
NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2 NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	10/	12	60	35 43	17	288 338	5 5
NCML-412-5p-14 × 5p-14-5760-1	170	22		43 21		301	5 5
NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	170	22	37 96	21	19 18	255	5 5
NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2 NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	150	28 23	90 54	28 40	13	255 296	
NCML-415-5p-14 × 5p-14-5760-3 NCML-421-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	152	23 17	54 49	40 36	13 17	290 300	5 6
NCML-421-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 NCML-421-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	105	17 21	49 91	30 38	17	300 319	6
NCML-421-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5/60-2 NCML-421-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5/60-3	120	21	91 92	38 38	17 17	319 296	6
Range	140	12-35	92 37-103	30 21-52	17 13-19	290 229-338	4-6
Nunge	122-220	12-35	3/-103	21-92	10-19	229-330	4-0

In restorer Sp-14-5760-3 indicated minimum negative GCA value (-1.60) while maximum positive GCA value (1.00) for flag leaf area was shown by restorer Sp-14-5760-2. Specific combining ability effects in (Table 6) had showed that minimum negative SCA for flag leaf area was indicated by cross combination NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3 i.e. -4.83 while maximum positive SCA results were observed in combinations NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-145760-3 i.e. 4.88. Fifteen out of thirty crosses exhibited negative SCA values for flag leaf area. These observations are also in accordance with the results of Sumalini *et al.*, 2010 who found non additive gene action for flag leaf area.NCML-379-Sp-14 showed minimum negative GCA value (-10.70) while NCML-796-Sp-14 indicated maximum positive GCA value (14.20) for ear leaf area. Restorer Sp-14-5760-1 indicated minimum negative GCA value (-4.88) while maximum positive GCA value (3.47) for ear leaf area was shown by restorer Sp-14-5760-2. Specific combining ability effects in (Table 6) shown that minimum negative SCA for ear leaf area was indicated by cross combination NCML-421-Sp-14 \times Sp-145760-2 i.e. -23.63 while maximum positive SCA results were observed in combinations NCML-415-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-2 i.e. 29.89. Eighteen out of thirty crosses exhibited negative SCA values for ear leaf area.

Table 5. Estimates of GCA effects.

Lines/Testers	Plant height (cm)	Flag leaf area (cm²)	Ear leaf area (cm²)	Chlorophyll content	Kernel rows per ear	1000 kernel weight (g)	Seed depth (mm)
NCML-325-Sp-14	17.48	8.71	6.52	-0.17	-0.44	2.26	-0.01
NCML-379-Sp-14	-2.86	-3.26	-10.70	0.55	-1.11	11.14	-0.37
NCML-383-Sp-14	-9.52	-5.62	8.57	3.18	-1.11	-13.52	0.16
NCML-384-Sp-14	-8.97	-4.62	-7.65	0.25	0.44	-13.74	-0.42
NCML-396-Sp-14	-5.41	8.73	14.20	6.03	0.44	1.37	0.35
NCML-402-Sp-14	23.48	-1.18	-9.78	2.59	0.44	-13.30	-0.07
NCML-403-Sp-14	42.81	1.12	4.61	-3.05	1.33	19.59	0.19
NCML-412-Sp-14	-12.19	-4.57	-4.86	0.74	0.44	16.59	-0.26
NCML-415-Sp-14	-17.08	2.54	-7.85	-7.01	-0.00	-15.63	-0.05
NCML-421-Sp-14	-27.7	-1.86	6.92	-3.11	0.67	5.26	0.48
Sp-14-5760-1	1.98	0.67	-4.88	-7.01	-0.20	4.59	-0.06
Sp-14-5760-2	-2.39	1.00	3.47	-0.77	0.07	-3.41	-0.06
Sp-14-5760-3	0.41	-1.67	1.41	1.72	0.13	-1.18	0.02

These observations are also in accordance with the results of Mohammad *et al.* (2013) who found non additive type of gene action for ear leaf area. NCML-415-Sp-14 showed minimum negative GCA value (-7.01) while NCML-396-Sp-14 indicated maximum positive GCA value (6.03) for chlorophyll content. In restorer Sp-14-5760-1 indicated minimum negative GCA value (-7.01) while maximum positive GCA value (1.72) for chlorophyll content was shown by restorer Sp-14-5760-3.

Specific combining ability effects in (Table 6) had shown that minimum negative SCA for chlorophyll content was indicated by cross combination NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 i.e. -11.24 while maximum positive SCA results were observed in combinations NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 i.e. 9.37. Fourteen of thirty crosses exhibited negative SCA values for chlorophyll content.

These parents and cross combinations can be used in future for hybrid seed development for chlorophyll content. Our findings are in agreement with the previous findings of Estakhr et al. (2012) who found highly significant differences in genotypes and non-additive type of gene action for chlorophyll content. NCML-379-Sp-14 and NCML-383-Sp-14 showed minimum negative GCA value (-1.11) while NCML-403-Sp-14 indicated maximum positive GCA value (1.33) for kernel rows per ear. Restorer Sp-14-5760-1 indicated minimum negative GCA value (-0.20) while maximum positive GCA value (0.13) for kernel rows per ear was shown by restorer Sp-14-5760-3. Specific combining ability effects in (Table 6) had shown that minimum negative SCA for kernel rows per ear was indicated by cross combination NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3 i.e. -3.47 while maximum positive SCA results were observed in combinations NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 i.e. 2.20. Fourteen of thirty crosses exhibited negative SCA values for kernel rows per ear.NCML-415-Sp-14 showed minimum negative GCA value (-15.63) while NCML-403-Sp-14 indicated maximum positive GCA value (19.59) for 1000 kernel weight.

	Plant height	Flag leaf	Ear leaf	Chlorophyll	Kernel rows	1000 kernel	Seed depth
F1 Hybrids	(cm)	area (cm²)	area (cm²)	content	per ear	weight (g)	(mm)
NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	1.02	-4.76	-2.73	3.70	1.31	-10.59	0.21
NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	-19.61	-0.12	-21.6	1.97	-1.62	-10.26	0.31
NCML-325-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	18.59	4.88	24.39	-5.67	0.31	20.84	-0.52
NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	-4.98	5.04	15.28	-1.06	-0.69	4.52	0.30
NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	13.39	-0.21	-8.15	-2.30	1.04	12.86	0.34
NCML-379-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	-8.41	-4.83	-7.1	3.35	-0.36	-17.38	-0.64
NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	-10.64	-2.18	-12.08	9.37	-0.69	30.19	-0.45
NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	6.72	2.06	17.78	-6.90	1.04	-7.81	0.05
NCML-383-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	3.92	0.12	-5.70	-2.47	-0.36	-22.38	0.40
NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	-15.53	2.40	3.90	-1.75	-1.58	-28.92	0.33
NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	-3.83	-3.97	-16.45	3.05	0.16	10.08	-0.62
NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	19.37	1.57	12.55	-1.30	1.42	18.84	0.29
NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	-1.42	-0.98	-5.99	1.45	0.20	-9.03	-0.50
NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	6.28	3.19	12.12	-1.95	-1.40	33.97	0.41
NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	-4.86	-2.22	-6.13	0.51	1.20	-24.93	0.10
NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	4.36	5.26	21.32	0.32	0.42	24.97	-0.02
$\rm NCML\text{-}402\text{-}Sp\text{-}14 \times Sp\text{-}14\text{-}5760\text{-}2$	-0.94	-1.77	-17.37	1.92	0.16	-13.70	-0.42
NCML-402-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	-3.41	-3.49	-3.95	-2.24	-0.58	-11.27	0.45
NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	-14.98	-0.13	15.52	-5.10	-1.13	-16.59	0.07
NCML-403-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-2	13.39	-4.56	-4.48	2.01	-0.07	8.41	0.09
NCML-403-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	1.59	4.69	-11.05	3.09	1.20	8.18	-0.16
NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	14.69	1.48	8.73	5.06	-0.24	2.08	-0.36
NCML-412-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	7.72	2.50	-2.21	-5.59	-0.51	-25.26	-0.05
NCML-412-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-3	-22.41	-3.98	-6.51	0.53	0.76	23.18	0.41
NCML-415-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-1	10.58	-2.30	-20.30	-11.24	2.20	12.30	0.40
NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-2	-4.72	2.27	29.89	6.04	1.27	-25.37	-0.02
NCML-415-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	-5.86	0.03	-9.59	5.20	-3.47	13.07	-0.38
NCML-421-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1	16.91	-3.83	-23.63	-0.74	0.20	-8.92	0.04
NCML-421-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-2	-18.39	0.59	10.52	1.75	-0.07	17.08	-0.09
NCML-421-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-3	1.48	3.23	13.11	-1.02	-0.13	-8.16	0.05

Table 6. Estimation of SCA effects.

In restorer Sp-14-5760-2 indicated minimum negative GCA value (-3.41) while maximum positive GCA value (4.59) for 1000 kernel weight was shown by restorer Sp-14-5760-1. Specific combining ability effects in (Table 6) had shown that maximum negative SCA for 1000 kernel weight was indicated by cross combination NCML-384-Sp-14 × Sp-14-5760-1 i.e. -28.92 while maximum positive SCA results were observed in combinations NCML-396-Sp-14 × Sp-145760-2 i.e. 33.97. Fifteen of thirty crosses exhibited negative SCA values for 1000 kernel weight. Motamedi *et al.* (2014) observed non-additive type of gene action which also similar with present findings.NCML-384-Sp-14 showed minimum negative GCA value (-0.42) while NCML-421-Sp-14 indicated maximum positive GCA value (0.48) for seed depth.

Table 7. Estimat	ion of prope	ortional cor	ntribution.
------------------	--------------	--------------	-------------

Proportional	Plant height (cm)	Flag	leaf	Ear	leaf	Chlorophyll	Kernel rows per	1000	kernel	Seed	depth
Contribution		area (c	m²)	area (c	m²)	content	ear	weigh	t (g)	(mm)	
Lines	75.57	69.22		25.55		38.98	31.25	32.50		40.78	
Testers	0.60	3.91		4.35		4.74	1.09	2.29		1.12	
Line × Testers	23.83	26.88		70.11		56.28	67.66	65.21		58.11	

In restorer Sp-14-5760-1 and Sp-14-5760-2 indicated minimum negative GCA value (-0.06) while maximum positive GCA value (0.02) for seed depth was shown by restorer Sp-14-5760-3. Specific combining ability effects in (Table 6) had shown that minimum negative SCA for seed depth was indicated by cross combination NCML-379-Sp-14 \times Sp-145760-3 i.e. -0.64 while maximum positive SCA results were observed in combinations NCML-402-Sp-14 \times Sp-14-5760-3 i.e. 0.45. Thirteen of thirty crosses exhibited negative SCA values for seed depth. These observations are also in accordance with the results of Zare *et al.* (2011) who found non additive type of gene action for seed depth.

Gene Action	Plant height	Flag leaf area	Ear leaf area	Chlorophyll	Kernel rows	1000 kernel	Seed depth
	(cm)	(cm ²)	(cm ²)	content	per ear	weight (g)	(mm)
Variation due to additive type of gene	38.8664	2.3692	-4.3567	0.3392	-0.019	-2.9108	0.0015
action(V _d)							
Variation due to non-additive type of		15.6743	336.9046	26.9130	1.7597	515.1179	0.1368
gene action(V _h)	211.5862						
V _d /V _h	0.1836	0.151	-0.013	0.012	-0.011	-0.005	0.011
Variance of GCA	19.4332	1.1846	-2.1784	0.1696	-0.009	-1.4554	0.0007
Variance of SCA	211.5862	15.6743	336.9046	26.9130	1.7597	515.1179	0.1368
GCA/SCA	0.092	0.075	-0.006	0.006	-0.005	-0.003	0.005

Table 8. Estimation of genetic componing	ients.
--	--------

Variance of General Combining ability, Specific combining ability and the proportional contribution of lines, testers and their interactions

The proportional contribution of lines, testers and their interaction to the total variance showed that crosses played an important role towards the total variance for ear leaf area chlorophyll content, kernel rows per ear , 1000 kernel weight and seed depth indicating predominant crosses influence while lines have more total variance for flag leaf area and plant height. Estimation of genetic components for plant height is presented in (Table 8). For plant height variance of specific combining ability was 211.58 and variance of general combining ability was 19.43 and ratio of variances of GCA and SCA was less than unity (0.09) and relative contribution of lines, testers, and Line × Testers was 75.57, 0.60 and 23.83 respectively. For ear height variance of specific combining ability was 55.93 and variance of general combining ability was 4.43 and Lines, testers, and Line × Testers showed proportional contribution 65.81, 8 and 26.19 respectively. For ear leaf area variance of specific combining ability was 15.67 and variance of general combining ability was 1.18 and ratio of variances of GCA and SCA was less than unity (0.075) and relative contribution of lines, testers, and Line × Testers was 69.22, 3.91 and 26.88 respectively.

For ear leaf area variance of specific combining ability was 336.90 and variance of general combining ability was -2.18 and ratio of variances of GCA and SCA was less than unity (-0.006) and relative contribution of lines, testers, and Line × Testers was 25.55, 4.35 and 70.11 respectively. For chlorophyll content variance of specific combining ability was 26.91and variance of general combining ability was 0.16 and ratio of variances of GCA and SCA was less than unity (0.006) and lines, testers, and Line × Testers showed proportional contribution of 38.98, 4.74 and 56.28 respectively. For kernel rows per ear variance of specific combining ability was 1.76 and variance of general combining ability was -0.009 and ratio of variances of GCA and SCA was less than unity (-0.005) and lines, testers, and Line × Testers showed proportional contribution of 31.25, 1.09 and 67.06 respectively. For 1000 kernel weight variance of specific combining ability was 515.11 and variance of general combining ability was -1.54 and ratio of variances of GCA and SCA was less than unity (-0.003) and lines, testers, and Line × Testers showed proportional contribution of 32.50, 2.29 and 65.21 respectively. For seed depth variance of specific combining ability was 0.13 and variance of general combining ability was 0.0007 and ratio of variances of GCA and SCA was less than unity (-0.005) and lines, testers, and Line × Testers showed proportional contribution of 40.78, 1.12 and 58.11 respectively.

Conclusion

Significant variance was observed for all the characters studied as presented in. The ratios of yield and related traits and their genetic components are in (Table 8). It is revealed that dominance type of gene effects were predominantly involved in controlling the inheritance of all the parameters under study. Due to heterozygous nature of genes at all the loci the gene action is non-additive. The results revealed nonadditive gene action to be predominant in the inheritance of the most traits under study and hence, there is a little possibility for improvement in these traits by early selection. Further, over dominance (non-additive gene action) for most traits reveals that selection in later generations may be more effective and the selection in early generations will be more effective for the traits which are additively controlled. From present findings it can be determined that none of the above parents have all the characters studied. So to obtain desirable characters and superior recombinants multiple crossing should be done among parents including seed yield per plant.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Munir Ahmad and Dr. Nasir Mehmood Minhas for careful reading of the manuscript. We also thank to Dr. Mozammil Hussain for providing us necessary material and resources to write this manuscript. We apologize to colleagues whose work could not be cited owing to space limitations.

References

Amiruzzaman M, Islam MA, Hasan L, Kadir M, Rohman MM. 2013. Heterosis and combining ability in a diallel among elite inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture, p. 132.

https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.v25i2.6084

Chandel U, Mankotia BS. 2014. Combining ability in local and cimmyt inbred lines of maize (*Zea mays* L.) for grain yield and yield components using line× tester analysis. SABRAO Journal of Breeding and Genetics, 256-264 P. **Estakhr A, Heidari B.** 2012. Combining ability and gene action for maturity and agronomic traits in different heterotic groups of maize inbred lines and their diallel crosses. Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology, p. 219-229.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12892-012-0030-1

Hiremath N, Shantakumar G, Adiger S, Gangashetty P. 2013. Heterosis Beeding for Maturity, Yield and Quality Characters in Maize (*Zea mays* L.). Molecular Plant Breeding. P. 4. https://doi.org/10.5376/mpb.2013.04.0006

Irshad-ul-Haq M, Ajmal SU, Munir M, Gulfaraz M. 2010. Gene action studies of different quantitative traits in maize. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 1021-1030.

Kempthorne O. 1957. An introduction to genetic statistics. John Wiley And Sons, Inc. New York.

KONAK C, Aydın ÜN, BAŞAL H, SERTER E. 2001. Combining ability and heterotic effects in some characteristics of second crop maize. Turkish Journal of Field Crops, 64-70.

Moradi M. 2014. Genetic analysis for grain yield and yield contributing characters in maize (*Zea mays* L.). International Journal of Biosciences (IJB), 173-9 P. https://doi.org/10.12692/ijb/5.8.173-179

Motamedi M, Choukan R, Hervan EM, Bihamta MR, Darvish F. 2014. Evaluation of genetic potential for various traits in maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) extracted from crosses with CIMMYT germplasm. International Journal of Biosciences (IJB), 70-75 p.

https://doi.org/10.12692/ijb/4.11.70-75

Prasanna BM, Vivek B, Sadananda AR, Jeffers DP, Zaidi PH, Boeber C, Erenstein O, Babu R, Nair SK, Gerard B, Jat ML. 2014. 12th Asian Maize Conference and Expert Consultation on maize for food, feed, nutrition; and environmental security; Bangkok (Thailand), extended summaries. **Rajitha A, Babu DR, Lal A, Rao VS.** 2014. Heterosis and combining ability for grain yield and yield component traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding, p. 378-84.

Reddy V, Jabeen F, Sudarshan MR. 2014. Combining ability studies for grain yield and other traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.) over locations. Research on Crops, p. 863-870.

https://doi.org/10.5958/2348-7542.2014.01423.5

Sher H, Iqbal M, Khan K. 2012.Genetic analysis of maturity and flowering characteristics in maize (*Zea mays* L.).Asian Pacific journal of tropical biomedicine, 621-627 P.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s2221-1691(12)60108-7

Steel RG, Torrie JH, Dickey DA. 1997. Principles and procedures of statistics: A biological approach. Mc Graw-Hill. **Sumalini K, Rani TS.** 2010. Heterosis and combining ability for polygenic traits in late maturity hybrids of maize, *Zea mays* (L.). Madras Agricultural Journal, 340-343 P.

Suranyi J, Mandy GY. 1955. Akukoria. (Corn) Magyarorszag Kulturfloraja. Culture flora of Hungary) Akademiai Kiado, Budapest.

Umar UU, Ado SG, Aba DA, Bugaje SM. 2014. Estimates of combining ability and gene action in maize (*Zea mays* L.) under water stress and nonstress conditions. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare, 247-253 P.

Zare M, Choukan R, Bihamta MR, Majidi HE, Kamelmanesh MM. 2011. Gene action for some agronomic traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Crop Breeding Journal, 133-141 P.