

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 21, No. 2, p. 121-168, 2022

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Emerging resistance of antibiotics among various bacterial infections

Syeda Ayesha Ali^{1,2*}, Muhammad Kamran Taj¹, Syeda Hafsa Ali³, Safa Farooqi⁴, Ashiq Hussain⁵, Saima Azam¹, Zaib-Un-Nisa Hanif⁶

¹Center for Advanced Studies in Vaccinology and Biotechnology (CASVAB), University of Balochistan, Quetta, Pakistan ²Department of Biochemistry, Sardar Bhadur Khan Women's University (SBKWU), Quetta, Pakistan ³Department of Microbiology, Balochistan University of Information Technology, Engineering, and Management Sciences(BUITEMS), Balochistan, Pakistan ⁴Department of Environmental Sciences, International Islamic University (IIUI), Islamabad, Pakistan ⁶Jalwan Medical College, Khuzdar, Balochistan, Pakistan ⁶Department of Zoology, Sardar Bhadur Khan Women's University (SBKWU), Quetta, Pakistan

Key words: Bacterial infections; treatment; antibiotics; resistance; genes.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/21.2.121-168

Article published on August 10, 2022

Abstract

Antibiotics are substances that have a wide range of uses for treating bacterial illnesses. They may be synthetic, naturally occurring, or semi-artificial. The use of antibiotics has enabled the treatment of bacterial infections, saving and enhancing the health of numerous patients around the globe. Antibiotics, however, are not working well enough to stop the spread of infection and the associated mortality rates. However, antibiotic resistance among common community-acquired infections has been on the rise, as has the number of medications to which they are resistant. Data from several researches provide significant evidence that antibiotic use and resistance are interrelated. The highest levels of resistance are found in nations with the highest per capita antibiotic use. Consumption of antimicrobial agents and the prevalence of antibiotic resistance are intricately related. The main causes of resistance are selective antibiotic pressure, the spread of resistant bacteria, and the transfer of resistance genes across bacteria. However, the impact of selective pressure varies depending on the type of bacteria and antibiotic class. The community should refrain from using a lot of antibacterials, especially broad-spectrum antibiotics. When possible, narrow-spectrum penicillins should be employed. Long-term prospective studies that track patterns of antibiotic usage and resistance are required. National measures intended to lessen the resistance of microorganisms to antibiotics should be based on reliable data on antimicrobial consumption and resistance.

* Corresponding Author: Syeda Ayesha Ali 🖂 s.ayeshaalii@yahoo.com

Introduction

Before the beginning of 20th century, high mortality as well as morbidity was associated with the infectious diseases. Men and women had an average life of 47 years with men living for 46 years whereas women lived 48 years of age, even during the industrial period. Infectious diseases like pneumonia, syphilis, smallpox, typhus, diphtheria, cholera, plaque, typhoid fever, and tuberculosis were uncontrolled (Shrestha, 2005).

Sir Alexander Fleming (1881-1955) in 1928 accidently discovered penicillin which brought upon the revolution in the antibiotics (Fleming, 1929). The credit of purifying the penicillin G for the first time goes to Howard Florey and Ernst Chain in 1942, but its wide availability to the military happened for the first time in 1945. This event is markedly the start of an era of antibiotics. In this era many new antibiotics were discovered, and time frame from the 1950s to the 1970s is referred to as golden era of novel antibiotics discovery, and from that period of time there have been no new discoveries of the antibiotics. Following that period, new drug discovery relied on the modifications of the already existing antibiotics (Aminov, 2010).

When 20th century started, almost all of the patients suffering with the Hib or pneumococcal meningitis as well as majority of the meningococcal meningitis patients faced death owing to the disease (Swartz, 2004). Using particular antiserums in the 1906 delivered intrathecally for treating meningococcal meningitis resulted in reducing the mortality associated with the meningococcal meningitis to 30.9% whereas reduction to 85% in the mortality of Hib meningitis was reported (Flexner, 1913; Swartz, Introduction of the antibiotics 2004). like chloramphenicol, sulfonamides, and penicillin for the use of treating bacterial meningitis following 1920s proved to be major breakthrough. This caused Hib, meningococcal, and pneumococcal prognosis to show distinctive improvement (Swartz, 2004). Since then, researchers have conducted a lot of studies on the best way to treat bacterial meningitis, and new

antibiotics have been developed. However, there is still more work to be done in order to improve the outcomes for people with this disease. Medical treatments against infectious diseases have changed a lot over the years. Clinicians now have vaccines as an option. Vaccines have been successful in completely or nearly eradicating viruses and bacteria. For example, smallpox has been eliminated whereas polio has also been nearly eradicated and the incidence of diseases like mumps, diphtheria, , pertussis, rubella, measles, and tetanus has decreased by more than 95% (Rappuoli et al., 2011). Today, vaccines can be developed quickly and efficiently with the help of reverse vaccinology and other innovative methods. This scientific approach allows for antigens identification in a detailed and comprehensive way, resulting in high quality vaccines (Sette and Rappuoli, 2010).

Antibiotics

Antibiotics are compounds that can be used to treat bacterial infections in a variety of applications. They can be naturally occurring, synthetic or semisynthetic (Davies and Davies, 2010). The use of antibiotics has led to the treatment of infections caused by bacteria, which has saved and improved the health of many patients all over the world. (Sette and Rappuoli, 2010). However, antibiotics are proving not enough for the control of the spread of infection and its consequent mortality rates. Antibiotics, antibacterials in particular, only work against bacteria. Furthermore, antibacterials are becoming less effective as bacteria mutate and become resistant to more and more drugs (Arias and Murray, 2009; Davies and Davies, 2010; Nikaido, 2009).

There are two types of antibacterials: bacteriostatic and bactericidal. Antibacterials that kill bacteria by making cell wall or membrane a target is referred to as bactericidal, while those which results in slowing or inhibition of bacterial growth are called bacteriostatic. Inhibiting protein synthesis or inhibition of some bacterial metabolic pathways is how bacteriostatic agents work. Due to the bacteriostatic action of such agents in the inhibition of the pathogenic bacterial growth, some of the times it proves difficult to establish a boundary between bactericidal and bacteriostatic, particularly in the cases where there is higher concentrations of bacteriostatic agents being used, and in such cases they might act as a bactericidal agent (Aminov, 2010). There are two types of antimicrobial drugs, synthetic and natural. The synthetic drugs, like the sulfonamides and quinolones, are manufactured in a lab. The second type, antibiotics are produced by microorganisms. In past years, there has been development in more and more semi-synthetic drug. These drugs are chemical derivatives of the antibiotics, which makes the distinction between synthetic and natural antibiotics more blurry (Ullah 2017). Cefamycins, cephalosporins, and Ali, gentamicin, and benzylpenicillin are among the examples of the natural antibiotics or antibacterials that are well known. Although high toxicity is exhibited by natural antibiotics than the synthetic antibacterials, semi-synthetic antibiotics like amikacin and ampicillin have low toxicity and increased effectiveness. Synthetic antibiotics are designed to be even more effective and less toxic, making them a better choice than natural antibiotics such that there is no exposure of the bacteria to the compounds of the drugs until their release.

Norfloxacin and moxifloxacin are two promising synthetic antibiotics (Oloke, 2000). Most antibiotics used in commercial settings are produced by fungi or bacteria as part of their defensive mechanisms. Penicillin, one of the most well-known antibiotics, is produced by mold Penicillium. However, many of the commonly used antibiotics like tetracycline and streptomycin originate from soil bacteria in genus Streptomyces(Procópio et al., 2012). Antibiotics as secondary metabolites are produced by microbes under specific conditions. It is not fully understood what their natural function is, although it is believed owing to a common theory that antibiotics play a role in competitively inhibiting the growth of neighboring bacteria to eliminate or minimize the burden on the surrounding local resources (Cornforth and Foster, 2015). In other instances, transcriptional responses of cell have been found to be affected by the antibiotics where they could be acting as the signaling molecules thereby regulating responses as well as interactions inside the bacterial communities (Goh et al., 2002; Linares et al., 2006). In the recent years, a suggestion has been made that antibiotics are a part of an organism's physiological function which produces them and play a role in regulating growth rate of the cell (Esnault et al., 2017)(as in table 1).

Table 1. Important classes of antibiotics, their cellular binding site, and the mechanism for inhibition of bacterial growth.

Class	Examples	Binding site	Mechanism of action
Aminoglycosides	Gentamicin Kanamycin Streptomycin	Ribosome	Inhibit protein synthesis
Tetracyclines	Tetracycline	Ribosomes	Inhibit protein synthesis
Amphenicols	Chloramphenicol	Ribosome	Inhibit protein synthesis
Lipopeptides	Daptomycin	Membrane	Disrupt cell membranes
Ansamycins	Rifampicin	RNAP	Inhibit RNA synthesis
Macrolides	Erythromycin	Ribosome	Inhibit protein synthesis
Beta-lactams	Carbapenems Cephalosporins Penicillins	PBPs	Inhibit cell wall synthesis
Oxazolidinones	Linezolid	Ribosome	Inhibit protein synthesis
Glycopeptides	Vancomycin	Peptidoglycan	Inhibit cell wall synthesis
Sulfonamides	Sulfamethoxazole	DHPS	Inhibit folic acid synthesis
Lincosamides	Clindamycin	Ribosome	Inhibit protein synthesis
Quinolones	Ciprofloxacin Nalidixic acid	Topoisomerases	Inhibit DNA replication
Polymyxins	Colistin Polymyxin B	Membrane	Disrupt cell membranes
Others	Fosfomycin Fusidic acid Trimethoprim	MurA	Inhibit cell wall synthesis Inhibits protein
		EF-G	synthesis Inhibit folic acid synthesis
		DHFR	

Classification on the basis of activity spectrum is a different way of classifying antibacterial agents or the antibiotics,that is based on the target specification. Owing to this category, antibacterial agents are either broad spectrum or narrow spectrum.

The interpretation of these terminologies have not been done specifically from the time of their use in the history of the antibiotics, but in the recent times they have got a clear meaning both in industrial as well as academic fields (Acar, 1997; Carbon and Isturiz, 2002). Those antibacterials which acts on a narrow range of the microbes are considered as the narrow spectrum antibacterials, as their action is established against only the gram-positive bacteria or the gram-negative bacteria, whereas broad spectrum antibacterials works against a wide variety of gram negative and gram-positive pathogens. Generally, preference is given to the narrow spectrum antibacterials over the broad spectrum due to the least collateral damage caused to the normal microbiota as well as narrowing down the chances of a superinfection. Additionally, narrow spectrum antibacterials contribute in a lesser way to the development of the resistance as it is only dealing with a single microbe (as in table 2).

Table.2. List of broad- and narrow-spectrum antibacterial drugs.

Broad-spectrum antibacterials (examples)	Narrow spectrum antibacterials (examples)
Ampicillin along with its derivative called as amoxicillin are	Benzathine penicillin G, clometocillin, penicillin V, propicillin,
termed as antibacterials having a broad-spectrum.	procaine penicillin, penamecillin, azidocillin, penicillin G, and
Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid is commonly called coamoxiclav, is	pheneticillin are first generation antibacterials that are
the antibiotic used for the treatment of numerous infections	sensitive to β-Lactamase and are accounted among the
caused by the bacteria	category of narrow spectrum antibiotics
Quinolones (King et al., 2000) like Levaquin (levofloxacin),	1^{st} generation showing resistance towards the $\beta\mbox{-Lactamase}$ are
Cinobac (cinoxacin), Zagam (sparfloxacin), Noroxin	temocillin, Cloxacillin (dicloxacillin flucloxacillin), nafcillin,
(norfloxacin), Maxaquin (lomefloxacin), NegGram (nalidixic	oxacillin, and methicillin and are considered as the antibiotics
acid), Trovan(trovafloxacin), Cipro (ciprofloxacin), Avelox	that have a narrow spectrum
(moxifloxacin), Floxin (ofloxacin), Factive(gemifloxacin), and	
Tequin (gatifloxacin) are accounted among the antibiotics	
having a broad spectrum	
Dibekacin, netilmicin, neomycin E (paromornycin), kanamycin	Both 1st and 2nd generation cephalosporins demonstrate
A, tobramycin, sisomicin, neomycins B, C, amikacin, and	relatively a narrow spectrum activity
gentamicin are accounted as the Aminoglycosides class of	
drugs and being the antibiotics having a broad spectrum (Kotra	
et al., 2000)	
3 rd , 4 th , and 5 th generation cephalosporins show relatively	Rifampin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol, polymixins, isoniazid,
extended, ranging to broader activity spectrum	vancomycin, glycopeptide, sulfonamides, nitroimidazoles,
Broader activity range is exhibited by the carbapenems such as	bacitracin, and clindamycin comprise this group of the
imipenems (Zhanel <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	antibiotics
Roxithromycin, dirithromycin, azithromycin, erythromycin,	
and clarithromycin comprise the class macrolides and are	
accounted in the category of antibiotics with broad spectrum	
(Hof, 1994).	
Minocycline, meclocycline, lymecycline, oxytetracycline,	
tetracycline, tigecycline, chlortetracycline, demeclocycline, and	
methacycline are accounted in the category of the antibiotics	
that show a broad spectrum activity	
Chloramphenicol	
Ticarcillin is a carboxypenicillin which demonstrates a broad	
activity spectrum	
Rifamycins also demonstrate broad spectrum activity (Floss	
and Yu, 2005).	

Mechanism of action of different antibiotics for gram positive bacteria

The primary classes of the antibiotics mainly target the enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of the cell wall as well as the substrates (for example, vancomycin, bacitracin and beta-lactams), protein synthesis of the bacteria (for example, aminoglycosides, tetracyclines, chloramphenicol, mupirocin, fusidic acid, macrolides, linezolid, and clindamycin), repair as well as the replication of nucleic acid inside the bacteria (for example, cotrimoxazole sulfamethoxazole that infers an antimetabolite mechanism, quinolones and rifampicin), and cell membranes (for example, mupirocin).

The need of novel antibiotics for the treatment of diseases is growing especially for the infections that gram positive bacteria induce. Numerous pathogenic bacteria are developing resistance against the potent antibiotics that are being utilized for their treatment. The situation turns more alarming by the fact that the resistance is not limited to a single agent but might extend to several antibiotics. The newer antibiotics with their direct spectrum are able to bypass the resistance mechanisms due to the novelty of their action mechanisms (Critchlev et al., 2003). So, a new regimen has been provided by such antibiotics in terms of chemotherapy against microbes and serve as a priceless tool against the rising issue of antibiotic resistance. The newer antibiotics used against grampositive bacteria are oxazolidinones (linezolid), daptomycin, Cationic antimicrobial peptides, ketolides, tigecycline, oritavancin and dalbavancin, mechanism for which will be discussed in the subsequent section along with the conventional antibiotics.

Cell wall active agents

β -Lactams mechanism of action

Beta-lactams kill all the susceptible bacteria via inhibiting their cell wall synthesis in gram-negative as well as gram positive bacteria both and are regarded as bactericidal agents. There are three main classes of β -lactams namely, carbapenems, cephalosporin, and penems which are considered to be potential drugs for treating serious conditions caused by gram positive bacteria.

Peptidoglycan is the primary constituent that makes up the cell wall of the bacteria. It is heteropolymer and is composed up of the strands of glycan where there are N-acetylmuramic acid residues and β -1,4-linked N- acetylglucosamine which exist in an alternating manner. Carboxyl group of every residue of N-acetylmuramic acid is substituted by the subunit of pentapeptide that has an alternating l- and d-amino acids and a dibasic amino acid, that is usually meso-diaminopimelic acid (m-DAP) in majority of the gram-negative bacteria as well as some of the grampositive bacteria, for instance some Bacillus species or l-lysine in majority of the gram-positive bacteria. Cross linking of the peptide subunit of one of the chains with a neighbor chain give rise to the three dimensional structure of the peptidoglycan network (Vollmer and Bertsche, 2008). Contrary to the uniformity of the glycan structure, the moiety of the peptide exhibits notable variations among the organisms. The commonest pentapeptide found is $l-Ala_{(1)}-d-Glu_{(2)}-(m-DAP \text{ or } l-Lys)_{(3)}-d-Ala_{(4)}-d-Ala_{(5)}.$ Formation of the cross linking of the peptides is achieved by an enzymatic action which links d-Ala(4) from one of the peptide chains to the other chain m-DAP or l-Lys(3) free amino group. Peptidoglycan cross linking is either achieved directly or by short peptide bridge as noted in many of the gram-positive bacteria. Such as cross linking in the S. aureus is done by five glycines (van Heijenoort and Gutmann, 2000). The last stage of the synthesis of peptidoglycan takes place outside of the cells when glycan strand catalyzed **PBPs** polymerization is by the (transglycosylation) and cross linking between the glycan chains occur (transpeptidation). PBPs are usually classified into: High-molecular-mass (HMM) PBPs. Classification of the HMM PBPs can be done further into either class A or B due to the functional domains they possess. PBPs belonging to class A are bifunctional meaning they have both the transpeptidase as well as transglycosylase activities, whereas PBPs belonging to class B only have transpeptidase activity. HMM PBPs are important for the survival of the cells and are actually targeted by the β-lactams.Low-molecular-mass (LMM) PBPs. are often the peptidases that are not essential for the survival of the bacteria, and so are termed as minor targets targeted by the β -lactams (Sauvage and Terrak, 2016).

 β -lactams have the ability to interact with the PBPs due to stereochemical similarity of the structure of

the β-lactams with residues of d-alanine-d-alanine which play their role in the peptidoglycan synthesis. Due to this blocking of transpeptidation reaction results in the form of bacterial cell wall integrity getting compromised subsequently leading to the lysis of the cell, followed by death. Modification in the structure of the PBPs due to mosaic structure formation following heterologous genes recombination or mutation causes lower affinities of the PBPs for the β -lactams and might be a potential explanation behind the affinities for beta-lactams and explains the acquired resistance process against the antibiotics, particularly for the gram positive bacteria (Zapun et al., 2008).

Mechanism of action of cephalosporins

Similar to all the β -lactams, the mechanism cephalosporin is through inhibiting the growth of a bacterial cell by the formation of a long term covalent acyl enzyme that target PBPs, the enzymes involved in the synthesis of the periplasmic cell wall and are attached to cytoplasmic membrane (Ryan *et al.*, 2000; Hebeisen *et al.*, 2001;Yoshizawa *et al.*, 2002;Malouin *et al.*, 2003;). Due to the significance of incorporating the MRSA in microbial spectrum, the study of such agents has been done specifically with respect to them binding with the PBP2a, which in an essential PBP playing role in the development of resistance in MRSA as it has lower affinity for majority of the conventional β -lactams.

Glycopeptides mechanism of action

Glycopeptide antibiotics such as vancomycin as well as teicoplanin are significant agents for treating Gram-positive pathogens that are resistant to multiple drugs, specifically MRSA. With nearly 20% of the enterococci found in the USA being resistant to the vancomycin and probability of the glycopeptideresistance on a global scale by the MRSA (Malabarba and Ciabatti, 2001;Linden, 2002), oritavancin for treating VRE and the dalbavancin for targeting MRSA are developed.

Both teicoplanin as well as vancomycin binds with the pentapeptide-glycosyl cell wall intermediate, D-

alanyl-Dalanine (D-Ala-D-Ala) terminal, thus preventing the transpeptidase reaction involved in the biosynthesis of the cell wall of the bacteria. Affinity against D-Ala-D-Ala is retained by both oritavancin as well as dalbavancin but might show further activity against an enzyme performing transglycosylase function in the biosynthesis of the peptidoglycan via the derivatized residues of the carbohydrate (Ge *et al.,* 1999; Malabarba and Ciabatti, 2001).

Moreover, the remarkable capability of the oritavancin and dalbavancin for the dimer formation and insertion into the membranes of the bacteria might results in improvement in the binding affinity as well as interaction with the residues of D-Ala-D-Ala of the precursors of nascent peptidoglycan (Beauregard *et al.*, 1995; Malabarba and Ciabatti, 2001).

Topoisomerase inhibitors

Different classes of the compounds like synthetic quinolones, naturally occurring products like cyclothialidines and coumarins, different toxins secreted by the bacteria including B17 and CcdB as well as GyrI which is a regulatory factor, results in inhibition of both or one of the essential Type II DNA topoisomerase enzymes known as topoisomerase IV and DNA gyrase. Among such agents, there are only quinolones which play a significant role for clinically managing serious infections caused by the grampositive bacteria (Abbanat *et al.*, 2003).

Mechanism of action of quinolones

Activity of two of the enzymes that are closely related with one another, one of them being DNA gyrase which consists of GyrB and GyrA subunits and other one being multi subunit topoisomerase IV which play a role in controlling the topology of the bacterial DNA as well as the chromosome function. Apparently quinolones form stable ternary complexes with DNA and enzyme that causes inhibition of the synthesis of DNA due to the replication fork blocking (Drlica and Zhao, 1997). Generally, in *S. aureus*, quinolones target topoisomerase IV whereas in *Escherichia coli*, they target DNA gyrase, but there also have been reports of exceptions (Discotto *et al.*, 2001; Roychoudhury *et al.*, 2001; Hooper, 2003).

While in the S. pneumoniae, there exists some complexities. In vitro, gemifloxacin has reportedly demonstrated better inhibition of the topoisomerase IV compared to DNA gyrase (Heaton et al., 2000; Morrissey and George, 2000; Yague et al., 2002), However it has been suggested by the stepwise mutant selection experiments that in vitro, this drug targets both of the enzymes, with slight incline towards the DNA gyrase (Heaton et al., 2000). Inhibition of topoisomerase IV has also been reported by garenoxacin in S. pneumoniae at low concentrations compared to DNA gyrase (Yamada et al., 2000). Nonetheless, rise of the pneumococci first step mutants in the GyrA, indicate that the main target inside bacterial cell is DNA gyrase (Hartman-Neumann et al., 2001). Contrary to that, it has been reported that sitafloxacin inhibits the topoisomerase IV as well as DNA gyrase in the S. pneumoniae, where the affinity is comparable (Morrissey and George, 1999; Onodera et al., 1999). Newer quinolones share a characteristic feature with no regards to what their primary target is for the S. pneumoniae, which is the better affinity for topoisomerase and DNA gyrase both. Due to this, such compounds in general inhibit strains of S. pneumoniae from growing at the lower concentrations compared to the old quinolones like ciprofloxacin.

Protein synthesis inhibitors Ketolides mechanism of action

Ketolides are described as semisynthetic derivatives of erythromycin, which was the first macrolide. Macrolides have a activity spectrum mainly against the gram positive bacteria and are conventionally used as beta-lactams alternative to treat infections of skin as well as respiratory tracts and otitis media, It has been used historically against *Staphylococcus spp.*, and *Streptococcus* along with some of the gram negative bacteria like *Helicobacter pylori*, *H. influenzae* and atypical intracellular *Chlamydia spp.Mycoplasma* and *Legionella* (Blondeau *et al.*, 2002). Resistance of the pathogens belonging to the grampositive group to the macrolides like the newly semisynthetic discovered derivatives like clarithromycin and azithromycin has shown a worldwide increase. Ketolides like telithromycin and cethromycin retain their activity against many of the gram-positive pathogens which are otherwise resistant to the macrolides. Target of both the macrolides as well as ketolides is the prokaryotic ribosome 50S subunit and bind to the 23S rRNA domain V reversibly (Hansen et al., 1999; Xiong et al., 1999;Schlünzen et al., 2001). Protein synthesis inhibition is resulted due to nascent peptides being released prematurely from the complex of mRNA and ribosome (Garza-Ramos et al., 2001; Menninger, 1995) and probably by interfering with ribosomal proteins assembly on 23S rRNA (Champney and Tober, 1998; Champney, 1999; Douthwaite and Champney, 2001).

Mutational analyses and RNA foot printing experiments along with the crystallographic studies indicate that there is a direct interaction between the residues of domain V of 23S rRNA (A2059, G2505 and A2058) and the ketolides as well as macrolides (Douthwaite and Aagaard, 1993; Hansen et al., 1999; Schlünzen et al., 2001; Xiong et al., 1999). Ketolides bind additionally to the domain V A2609 bases (Champney and Tober, 1998) as well as A752 of domain II (Hansen et al., 1999; Xiong et al., 1999; Douthwaite et al., 2000), and result in increasing the affinity of the ribosomal binding and probably posing as an explanation of the increase in the potency against the gram positive cocci which are resistant and susceptible to the macrolides (Douthwaite, 2001; Douthwaite and Champney, 2001; Douthwaite et al., 2000).

Mechanism of action of Oxazolidinones (linezolid)

Linezolid is synthetic oxazolidinone agent that is antimicrobial, binds to ribosomes inhibiting protein synthesis inside the microbe (Aoki *et al.*, 2002; Champney and Miller, 2002). This antibiotic acts reversibly and by blocking the protein synthesis initiation complex formation by binding to the 23S rRNA subunit closer to interface which forms with 30S ribosomal subunit (Hutchinson, 2003).

Binding of the linezolid takes place closer to sites of binding for lincomycin and chloramphenicol as there is competition of linezolid with these two agents (Lin *et al.*, 1997; Swaney *et al.*, 1998). Nonetheless, there is a difference between these antimicrobials in term of their mechanism of action, with inhibition of formation of peptide bond by chloramphenicol and inhibition of the formation of initiation complex by linezolid.

This mechanistic difference results in infrequent cross resistance lincomycin among or chloramphenicol and linezolid. As linezolid mechanism of action is novel, it demonstrates similar activity against bacteria that are either susceptible or resistant against antibiotics in vitro as well as show activity against pathogenic microbes that show resistance against vancomycin and methicillin (Saravolatz and Eliopoulos, 2003). Studies conducted in vitro proved linezolid to have good activity against majority of the gram-positive pathogens that are medically important (Rybak et al., 2000).

Mode of action of Glycylcyclines: tigecycline

Glycylcyclines are a modified form of tetracyclines which were selected so that the two main resistance mechanisms i.e., efflux and ribosomal protection against the tetracycline can be avoided. Tigecycline (9-[t-butylglycylamido]-minocycline) is broadspectrum derivative of glycylcycline, which shows structural relations with tetracyclines, and shows efficacy against the gram-positive bacteria that has high resistance (Abbanat et al., 2003), such as penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae and MRSA.Tigecycline inhibits protein synthesis by binding with 30S ribosomal subunit.

It is thought that it blocks the amino-acyl tRNAs to enter ribosomal A site, thus resulting in the prevention of peptide elongation (Projan, 2000). The mechanism used is deemed as bacteriostatic (Projan, 2000).

Mode of action of Lipopeptides: daptomycin

Daptomycin belongs to the newly discovered bactericidal antibiotics known as lipopeptides and exhibit in vitro ability to swiftly kill practically every gram positive organism that is clinically relevant through mechanism of action that has distinction from the antibiotics that are currently present at markets (Thorne and Alder, 2002). Mechanism of action behind the daptomycin is that it relies on calcium-dependent compound insertion in the cell membrane of bacteria. Understanding the daptomycin structure in the absence and presence of the calcium ions made possible to understand this process in a better way (Jung et al., 2004). Binding of the calcium among daptomycin two aspartate residues results in the decrease in the net negative charge of it and cause hydrophobic surface area to increase, making it possible for a better interaction with the membranes. Additionally, calcium ions encourage daptomycin to insert deeper in the membrane via bridging residual amino acids on the daptomycin with a negative charge and phospholipids also carrying a negative charge which are usually located in plasma membrane of the gram-positive pathogens. The true mechanism resulting in the death of the bacteria by the deeper insertion in the plasma membrane is debatable.

Movement of the ions has been shown to be caused by daptomycin across the cellular membranes, induction of the potassium efflux in the *Bacillus megaterium* and *S. aureus* is evidence of it (Allen *et al.*, 1987) and interaction that is dependent on the calcium with the planar bilayer membranes (Lakey and Lea, 1986) and the phospholipid vesicles (Lakey and Ptak, 1988). Thus, it is proposed that action of daptomycin effects that depend on calcium by which a phenomenon called depolarisation takes place, where dissipation of transmembrane electrical potential gradient occurs. (Allen *et al.*, 1991).

Maintaining a plasma membrane which is appropriately energized is essential for the bacterial growth as well as its survival (Alborn Jr *et al.*, 1991), but depolarisation in itself in not a lethal action, as

valinomycin, results in the depolarisation via potassium ions infers a bacteriostatic effect. Nonetheless when the proton motive force is absent, of which transmembrane electrical potential gradient is the primary component, cells lose their ability to take up the required nutrients for the growth or create ATP. Electrochemical gradient collapse might be the explanation behind the contrasting effects inferred by the daptomycin such as RNA, lipoteichoic acid, lipid biosynthesis, DNA and peptidoglycan inhibition in the *S. aureus* (Canepari *et al.*, 1990), or such events might be the independent consequences of the action of daptomycin.

Mechanism of action of different antibiotics for gram negative bacteria

Gram-negative microbes usually show more resistance to the antibiotics compared to the gram positive bacteria, explanation of which can be given as gram negative bacteria having an outer wall barrier of permeability that keeps in check the entry of antimicrobial compounds into the cell (Vaara, 1992) whereas efflux systems that are broadly specific accommodate numerous structurally unrelated antimicrobial compounds, which might include dyes, antibiotics, fatty acids, biocides, detergents, homoserine lactones, and organic solvents (Poole, 2000; Poole, 2001; Poole, 2002). Restricting the entry of the drugs while actively excreting the drug causes drug accumulation to get limited, thus incurring a protective effect for the bacteria and preventing any detrimental effects of such agents. Furthermore, infections resulting by the gramnegative bacteria are posing a huge challenge concerning the emergence of drug resistance in these pathogens. Multidrug resistance (MDR) as well as extensively drug resistance (XDR) makes most effective of the drugs to fail (Magiorakos et al., 2012). One of the major threats to the health of the human beings is resistance to drugs. Bacteria that produce beta-lactamases, carbapenemase, AmpC and extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) are emerging as a challenge in terms of therapy. Organisms which incur the highest risk have been grouped together under a collective term known as

"ESKAPE", which translates as *Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumanii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* species, due to the ability of these microbes to escape the detrimental effects of the antimicrobial compounds (Boucher *et al.*, 2009).

The coming portion presents a summary of crucial antimicrobial compounds that are being used nowadays as well as new drugs that are being studied for the treatment of gram-negative bacteria.

Drugs such as rifampicin, polymyxins, Fosfomycin and temocillin were used to treat infections in the past and have now been revived to treat gram negative infections.

Polymyxins

Rise of the MDR gram negative bacilli such as *P. aeruginosa, K. pneumoniae and A. baumannii*) along with absence of the newer antimicrobial agents caused revival of the polymyxins, as polymyxins are older class cyclic polypeptide antimicrobial agents which was discovered from *Bacillus polymyxa* in 1947 (Falagas *et al.*, 2005; Li and Nation, 2006). They are comprised of polymyxins A to E, and only polymyxin B and E (colistin) are available in the market currently.

There are two available forms of colistin: colistin sulfate (in the form of powder for using topically and in the form of syrup or tablets for the decontamination of the bowel) and secondly as colistin methane sulfonate (colistimethate sodium; CMS) to be administered in a parenteral way.

Colistin target the cell membrane of the bacteria on the point where polycationic peptide ring makes an interaction with lipopolysaccharide lipid A, permitting the penetration via outer membrane through displacement of the Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} . Insertion among the cytoplasmic membrane phospholipids causes integrity of the membrane to lose subsequently leading to the death of bacteria (Falagas *et al.*, 2005; Giamarellou, 2006).

Fosfomycin

FOM is broadly active against numerous grampositive as well as some of the gram-negative bacteria as it blocks off the synthesis of the cell wall irreversibly at the earlier stage compared to the betalactams or the glycopeptide antibiotics. FOM leads to the inhibition of peptidoglycan synthesis initial step that is triggered by the uridine diphosphate N-acetylglucosamine-enol-pyruvyl-transferase along with its co-enzyme called as phosphonole-pyruvate. The action of the target is performed inside the cytoplasm of the bacteria (Hendlin *et al.*, 1969; Kahan *et al.*, 1974).

FOM used either of two pathways of active transport to gain entry into the cell. The facultative system of hexose-monophosphate transport system (uhpT) has its dependence on G-6-P presence which is an inductor of extracellular hexose monophosphate.

Different species of the bacteria like *Staphylococcus*, *Salmonella*, *Klebsiella*, *Enterococcus*, *Shigella* and *Enterobacter* uses this mechanism of transport. The secondary pathway L- α -glycerophosphate transport system (glpT), is induced by glycolysis intermediate, that is glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (Lin, 1976).

Tigecycline

In the middle of the 1990s, a newer analogs of tetracycline were investigated for the activity against bacteria that are resistant to tetracycline (Tally *et al.*, 1995). Adding an N-N dimethylglycylamido (DMG) group to the minocycline D ring's 9th position gave rise to first glycylcyclines called, tigecyclines (GAR-936) (Sum *et al.*, 1994; Sum and Petersen, 1999; Tally *et al.*, 1995). This structural change permits binding of the tigecycline to target site of the ribosomes as well as allows it to escape majority of resistance mechanisms against tetracycline that are encoded by tet gene. This causes tigecycline to show greater activity against broad range of bacteria that are resistant to tetracycline.

Entry of the tigecycline into the bacterial cell is via passive diffusion method or via routes of active transportation. Resembling the tetracyclines as well as the structural analogs in the cytoplasm, it binds reversibly to the 30S ribosome subunit thereby blocking the biosynthesis of the proteins subsequently halting the bacterial growth (Chopra and Roberts, 2001; Bauer *et al.*, 2004).

In majority of the bacteria the effect incurred is bacteriostatic rather than bactericidal. Translation mediated via tigecycline is inhibited same as tetracyclines by interference of aminoacyl-tRNA accommodation in A site of the ribosome, that precedes reaction of peptidyl transfer (Bauer *et al.*, 2004; Chopra and Roberts, 2001; Olson *et al.*, 2006). Most notably, there is 5 folds increased binding of the tigecycline to the high affinity sites of the ribosomes compared to the tetracyclines even though the orientation is different (Chopra and Roberts, 2001; Bauer *et al.*, 2004; Olson *et al.*, 2006).

The data regarding the structure of complex of ribosome and tigecycline is still lacking even though 3D model of 30S ribosomal subunit of *T*. *thermophilus* bound with the tetracycline as shown by the x-ray crystallography demonstrated location of Tet-1 (binding site 1 with high affinity) to be between shoulder as well as head of 30S subunit that is proximal to the 16S rRNA helix 34 as well as the A site (Brodersen *et al.*, 2000).

The 16S rRNA phosphate backbone oxygen atom react with tetracycline's hydrophilic part via many interactions of the hydrogen bonding as well as Mg^{2+} coordination that are bound to the molecule of the tetracycline. From the data regarding the structures, binding of the tetracycline is proposedly shown creating steric hindrance preventing aminoacyl-tRNA from the rotation of in the site A for the reaction of peptidyl transfer (Brodersen *et al.*, 2000). Tigecycline is thought to have a same mode of the action.

Aminoglycosides

Even though aminoglycosides are not a newly found class of antimicrobials, they are clinically thought to be a valuable asset against the infections. They are

effective against a broader range of the organisms such as aerobic bacteria, as well as mycobacteria and several gram-negative bacteria mycobacteria. They show particular potency against the bacteria belonging to family Enterobacteriaceae, including K. oxytoca, E. aerogenes, Proteus spp., Serratia spp., Escherichia, Providencia spp., Morganella spp., and Klebsiella pneumoniae(Ristuccia and Cunha, 1985; Aggen et al., 2010; Landman et al., 2010). This class of the drug also exhibit good activity the Staphylococcus aureus, isolates of P. aeruginosa that are resistant to both vancomycin and methicillin and to some extent against Acinetobacter baumannii (Ristuccia and Cunha, 1985; Aggen et al., 2010; Landman et al., 2011).

Inhibition of protein synthesis takes place when aminoglycosides bind in high affinity with 16S ribosomal RNA, A site of 30s ribosomes (Kotra *et al.*, 2000).

Even though members of the aminoglycoside class have a varied specificity for the various regions on site A, they all result in alteration in its conformation. This interaction results in antibiotic promoting mistranslation via induced misreading of the codon on aminoacyl tRNA delivery. This causes errors to arise in the protein synthesis, permitting incorrect assembly of the amino acids into polypeptides which is afterwards released causing damaging to plasma membrane as well as elsewhere (Davis *et al.*, 1986; Mingeot-Leclercq *et al.*, 1999; Ramirez and Tolmasky, 2010; Wilson, 2014).

Some of the aminoglycosides also have an impact on the synthesis of the proteins via blockage of the elongation or inhibition of the initiation altogether (Davis, 1987; *Kotra et al.*, 2000; Wilson, 2014). The actual mechanism behind the binding followed by downstream effects is different due to the chemical structure, but all the aminoglycosides are swiftly bactericidal (Davis, 1987; Mingeot-Leclercq *et al.*, 1999).Entry of the aminoglycosides is completed in three particular stages, in the first step bacterial membrane permeability is increased, while second In the first step, polycationic aminoglycoside binds electrostatically with bacterial membrane components that are negatively charged like teichoic acids, phospholipids, LPS after magnesium ions are displaced (Davis, 1987; Taber *et al.*, 1987; Ramirez and Tolmasky, 2010).

Bacterial membrane lipid components stabilization as well as cross bridging is resulted by the cations and removal of them causes outer membrane to be disrupted subsequently causing permeability to enhance and initiating uptake of the aminoglycoside (Hancock *et al.*, 1981; Hancock, 1984; Hancock *etal.*, 1991; Ramirez and Tolmasky, 2010).

Entrance into the cytoplasm through a process that is mediated by the electron transport, depends on energy and is slow (Kislak, 1972; Martin et al., 1972; Davis, 1987; Taber et al., 1987). Protein synthesis is inhibited, and protein mistranslation takes place once the molecules of aminoglycosides gain cytoplasmic access. Insertion of these mistranslated proteins into the plasma membrane results in damaging it while also allowing more aminoglycosides to enter (Davis et al., 1986; Nichols and Young, 1985). This results in rapid uptake of any additional molecules of aminoglycosides into cytoplasm, increase in the protein synthesis inhibition, mistranslation, as well as accelerating death of the cell (Davis et al., 1986; Davis, 1987; Taber et al., 1987; Ramirez and Tolmasky, 2010).

Antibiotic resistance and its basic mechanisms adapted by the bacteria

Antibiotic resistance can be defined as capability of any bacteria to make certain changes in itself to avoid detrimental effects of the drugs – "that is, the germs are not killed, and their growth is not stopped" (Centers of Disease Control and Prevention, 2021). Antibiotic resistance rise translates to loss of inexpensive and effective treatment of the simple infections (WHO, 2018), driving us towards an era when antibiotics were not a thing (WHO, 2014). It is estimated that in 2050, 10 million people are subject to death directly resulting due to antibiotic resistance, that is higher than the number of deaths resulting due to cancer or accidental deaths due to traffic (Lancet, 2009). Antibiotic resistance is becoming one of the most threatening crisis of the public health in the world. Use of the antibiotics is the primary cause behind the rise in the resistance (Davies and Davies, 2010; Miller *et al.*, 2014;Holmes *et al.*, 2016). In the healthcare, using antibiotics inappropriately like when the benefits are either inexistent or minimal contributes in increased rates of resistance (Kothari *et al.*, 2013).

Rise of the resistance is credited either to the mutations or to the selective growth in the presence of gradually increasing antibiotic concentrations or by acquiring foreign determinants of the resistance. Resistance can take vertical routes of dissemination or via the resistant clones like demonstrated by global spread of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), or it can spread horizontally via intra and inter species transfer of specific genes by i) transformation, ii) transduction and iii) conjugation.

Transduction is a bacteriophage mediated process and restricted to a narrow range of hosts i.e., to particular species. Conjugation covers a wide range of the organisms encompassing even the gram barrier, requiring direct contact between cells as well as conjugative elements presence. Lastly, strains show transformations that are conventionally competent such as *pneumococci* that are capable of taking in the naked DNA from the surrounding environment. This permits remodeling of the sequences of the DNA via exchange of the DNA cassettes resulting in the mosaic gene formation.

Antibiotic resistance mechanism involves interference with the action of the molecule of antibiotic or preventing it altogether. Bacterial cells possess numerous strategies, and it sometimes happen that a single mechanism works against an identical antibiotic. General mechanism behind the resistance is (I) reducing antibiotic concentration in the cell by either enhancing the efflux or preventing its uptake. Reduction in the antibiotic uptake can be achieved for example via deleting membrane porins via which antibiotics gain entry into the cell (Nikaido, 2001; Nikaido, 2003). AcrAB-TolC is an unspecified efflux pump located across the whole membrane of numerous clinically important gram-negative bacteria and are responsible for transporting huge variety of the toxic materials including different antibiotics such as chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, and tetracycline. Genetics changes that result in the overexpressing the pumps subsequently cause resistance to increase (Baucheron et al., 2004; Keeney et al., 2008; Swick et al., 2011). Another common strategy employed is (II) prevention of the binding of antibiotic to its specified cellular target. This is achieved by causing alteration to the target molecule's binding site for the antibiotic via enzymatic activity or mutation (Brandis et al., 2015; Hooper, 2000), or via protective molecule presence which competes in binding with the antibiotic (Connell et al., 2003) or via destruction or alteration of antibiotic molecule by the enzymes (Bonnet, 2004; Robicsek et al., 2006). Resistance to both quinolones as well as rifampicin is acquired via mutations in cellular target, DNA gyrase and RNA polymerase, inhibiting antibiotic molecule binding (Brandis et al., 2015; Heisig and Tschorny, 1994; Marcusson et al., 2009; Piddock et al., 1999; Telenti et al., 1993). Proteins responsible for the protection of ribosomes like TetM serves to protect from binding of the tetracycline (Connell et al., 2003; Dönhöfer et al., 2012) whereas resistance against fluroquinolone antibiotics is conferred by proteins for topoisomerase protection (Tran et al., 2005; Garoff et al., 2018). Mechanism of resistance against important betalactams is inferred by the beta-lactamases which destroy the antibiotics (Bonnet, 2004). Moreover, the cell (III) takes measures to bypass the detrimental effects of the antibiotic.

This is usually done by deserting target molecule usage through finding alternate proteins for performing similar biochemical functions like target molecule or via increased expression of target molecule. An example pertaining the alternate protein is resistance mediated via MecA in MRSA (Stapleton and Taylor, 2002) as well as MurA overexpression, which is targeted by fosfomycin can cause resistance of clinical levels (Couce *et al.*, 2012).

Thepathways may differ in their extent depending upon different types of resistance, different kinds of the bacteria as well as on the variety of the environments and locations (Woolhouse and Ward, 2013).

Classification of antibiotics into different generations due to antibiotic resistance

The effect of the antibiotics has not been on the treatment of the infectious disease only but on the society by introducing a change in the mortality as well morbidity. From the time when the first antimicrobials were introduced (1911), there has been discovery of numerous new drugs which provided clinicians with various therapeutic opportunities for the diseases that were previously thought of as life threatening. Nonetheless, using antibiotics in an unrestricted manner has given rise to a newer era where clinicians are facing the pathogens which are resistant to these antibiotics (Zaffiri et al., 2012). Bacterial infections resistant to the drugs are escalating at a continuous phase and becoming a problem which threatens the health of the public significantly and there is dire need of developing newer strategies to fight them off (Worthington and Melander, 2013).

 β -Lactams are among the three major classes of the antibiotics (Fisher *et al.*, 2005), and action mechanism as well as the history of beta lactams have gone through extensive reviews (Burger, 2003). B-lactams are counted among one of the most successful of the drugs for treating infections caused by different species of bacteria for past six decades (Coleman, 2011), but the increase in the clinical resistance is the problem that plagues it. B-Lactams mimic the naturally found substrate called D-Ala-D-Ala from the penicillin-binding proteins (PBP) family of the enzymes that are crucial for the cross-linking of peptidoglycan component found in the cell wall of the

bacteria for exerting their antibiotic effects (Tipper and Strominger, 1965). A complex of acyl and enzyme is formed by the B-Lactams with the PBPs, thus transpeptidation activity is inhibited as well cell wall integrity is disrupted that subsequently leads to lysis of the cell (Lee *et al.*, 2001). Modifying the PBPs is a well-established strategy behind the enterococci, pneumococci and MRSA resistance (Mulligan *et al.*, 1993; Kaplan and Mason Jr, 1998). B-lactams have numerous classes such as monobactams, penicillins, carbapenems, and cephalosporins (Worthington and Melander, 2013).

Classification of penicillins

All of the members from the class penicillin are 6aminopenicillanic acid derivatives containing a structure of beta-lactam ring which is critical for the antibiotic activity (Rolinson, 1979). Penicillin classification is dependent on base of the extra chemical substitutes which are linked on the side chains that induce changes in the activity spectrum and the bioavailability extending all the way towards gram-negative bacteria in comparison with penicillin G. Based on the activity spectrum there are four different classes of penicillin: very-narrow-spectrum (penicillin resistant to penicillinase), broad-spectrum penicillin or the antipseudomonal penicillins, narrowspectrum penicillin or natural penicillin, and extended-spectrum penicillins or aminopenicillins (Zaffiri et al., 2012). Development of newer types of the penicillin is driven by resistance emergence. Betalactam ring getting cleaved is the major action taken for the resistance by the bacteria (Gold and Moellering Jr, 1996). Penicillinases belong to particular group of the enzymes belong to the family of beta-lactamases that are capable of hydrolyzing beta-lactams ring. Their identification was done initially in the 1940 in the strains of E.coli but a rapid spread has been observed in other bacteria (Abraham and Chain, 1988).

Penicillin V (1944), benzathine penicillin (1954), penicillin G (1945), and procaine penicillin (1947) all belong to group of narrow-spectrum penicillin. Gram positive rods and cocci as well as gram negative cocci

show susceptibility against penicillin G along with the anaerobes. Sadly, using penicillin G widely following its introduction has led to the production of resistant bacterial strains (Finland et al., 1950;Eickhoff et al., 1965; Lowy, 1998; Whitney et al., 2000). The quick emergence of the staphylococci which was resistant to the penicillin provided drive for the research for other alternatives. During the late 1950s, a semi-synthesis surrounding the 6-aminopenicillanic acid gave birth to newer class of penicillin that was resistant to penicillinase: dicloxacillin, methicillin, cloxacillin, and oxacillin (Donowitz and Mandell, 1988; Rolinson, 1979; Rolinson et al., 1960). Their use is only for the methicillin-sensitive Staphylococcus aureus (MSSA). It is crucial that activity spectrum is very narrow and give rise to MRSA strains (Barber, 1961; Jarvis et al., 2007).

Amoxicillin as well as ampicillin are counted among the first of the antibiotics belonging to broad spectrum or second-generation penicillins. Introduction of the ampicillin was done so that gramnegative bacteria can be covered in a better way, but it was found out soon that these organisms demonstrate varied susceptibility. They demonstrated similar chemical structure but serum concentrations in higher level was showed by amoxicillin upon the oral administration (Brogden et al., 1975). Secondgeneration penicillins demonstrate activity against numerous bacteria including strains of Bacillus anthracis, enterococci, streptococci, staphylococci, L. monocytogenes, and Clostridia spp which were nonpenicillinase producing. Talking about gram negative bacteria these penicillins show activity against Shigella, H. influenzae, Salmonella and E.coli (Maiti et al., 1998). Amoxicillin (1972) shows efficacy against numerous pathogens and is regarded as preferred drug for the treating numerous infections (Ronald et al., 1977;George et al., 1990; Shvartzman et al., 1993; Alary et al., 1994; Huang et al., 1998; D'Elios et al., 1999; Deeks et al., 1999; Dowell et al., 1999; Lorber, 1997; Workowski et al., 2002).

Tircacillin (1971), piperacillin (1977), and carbenicillin (1957) represent penicillin's next generation. These

antibiotics are labelled as extended-spectrum antibiotics and demonstrate activity against gram negative bacteria, cocci of gram positives and anaerobes. Furthermore, chromosomal betalactamases are resisted by these drugs like as produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa as well as Enterobacter species. In 1995 there was introduction of the combination called piperacillin- tazobactam (1989) and is possibly the mostly used one owing to its activity against gram-positive bacteria as well as enterococci and streptococci. Nonetheless, this combination favored mainly due to its activity against Ρ. aeruginosa and other gram-negative bacilli(Sanders Jr and Sanders, 1996).

Different generations of cephalosporins

On the chronological order, cephalosporins are presented as the class that was discovered and developed secondly following the discovery of penicillins. Cephalosporins have a chemical structure where the beta-lactam ring is conjugated with the 6 membered ring that contains nitrogen atom and sulphur (Darville and Yamauchi, 1994). Being β lactam antibiotics, cephalosporins have bactericidal activity and interference in the synthesis of the cell wall is their major mode of action.

There is variability in the individual activity of the cephalosporins and can get affected via numerous factors such as their capability of resisting enzymatic degradation as well as ability of penetrating the cell wall of the bacteria. There are five different groups of the cephalosporins on the basis of their activity spectrum against both gram negative and grampositive bacteria as well as temporal discovery.

First generation cephalosporins

Cephradine, cefazolin, cefadroxil, cephalothin, cephalexin, and cephapirin are counted among the first generation of the cephalosporins.

The first generation of the cephalosporins show activity against cocci of gram positives like *streptococci spp* and *staphylococci spp* while they show minimal coverage against the gram-negative bacteria. Gram negative bacteria showing highest susceptibility against the first generation of cephalosporins are *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *E coli*, and *streptococci spp*.

There is usually prescription of first generation of cephalosporins via oral route for the uncomplicated issues of the soft tissue and skin like cellulitis as well as abscesses commonly occurring because of the infection by *Staphylococci spp* and *Streptococci spp*. (Bergeron *et al.*, 1973; Hsieh and Ho, 1975).

Second generation cephalosporins

The division of second generation cephalosporins into two different subgroups: the second generation as well as the cephamycin subgroup. among the secondgeneration subgroups some are cephprozil and cefuroxime while the cephamycin subgroup consist of cefoxitin, cefmetazole, and cefotetan. In the first group cefuroxime shows increased coverage against the H. influenzae. Indication for it also consist of in both children and pregnant women for the Lyme disease. The subgroup cephamycin shows greater coverage for Bacteroides species. Gram positive cocci are less susceptible to second generation cephalosporins compared to generation 1st cephalosporins but show greater activity against bacilli of gram negative. They are usually prescribed for the treatment of respiratory infections like pneumonia or bronchiolitis. Moreover, Other than providing coverage against the bacteria which first generation cephalosporins show activity against second generation cephalosporins also cover Neisseria species, Serratia marcescens, H. influenza and Enterobacter aerogenes(Tartaglione and Polk, 1985). It is notable that, cefuroxime is the only second generation cephalosporin which crosses the BBB and is utilized in the treatment of meningitis (Schaad et al., 1990).

Third generation of the cephalosporins

Ceftazidime, cefotaxime, cefixime, ceftriaxone, cefpodoxime, and cefdinir are all counted among third generation of the cephalosporins. Third generation of the cephalosporins show extended bacterial coverage against gram negative bacteria and are used generally to treat the infections caused by gram negative bacteria which are resistant to both the first- and second-generation drugs as well as other beta lactam drugs. When given intravenously penetration of the blood brain barrier is achieved by the third generation cephalosporins and coverage of the bacteria is done in CSF particularly by cefotaxime as well as ceftriaxone. Administration of ceftriaxone is done for the treatment of meningitis that is caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae, H. influenza and Neisseria meningitidis or it can be used for the treatment of both the Lyme disease and gonorrhea. Importance of ceftazidime is its activity against Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Klein and Cunha, 1995).

Fourth generation of cephalosporins

Cefepime belongs to the 4th generation of cephalosporins. It is considered a broad-spectrum antibiotic which can penetrate CSF. The presence of an extra quaternary ammonium group permits their better penetration of the gram negative bacterial outer membrane. Showing similarity to activity of the ceftriaxone and cefotaxime, cefepime shows coverage against MSSA as well as Streptococcus pneumoniae. Akin to the ceftazidime, cefepime are very important in terms of their against coverage Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Additionally, to gram negative bacteria which are covered by third generation cephalosporins, cefepime can also provide cover against gram negative bacilli which produce beta lactamases. Even though they are effective against gram negative bacteria as well as gram positive bacteria, cefepime is usually kept reserved for systemic infections with high severity in the patients who might potentially have organisms that are resistant to multiple drugs (Okamoto et al., 1994).

Fifth generation cephalosporins

Ceftaroline falls into the category of 5th generation cephalosporins. It is counted among antimicrobials with broad spectrum that can cover numerous gram positive as well as gram negative organisms which are susceptible to it, nonetheless the quality that differentiates it from the other cephalosporins is its coverage against MRSA. *Listeria monocytogenes* as well as *Enterococcus faecalis* are also covered by ceftaroline. Nonetheless it should be noted that *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* it is not covered by ceftaroline (Zhanel *et al.*, 2009).

Antimicrobial Resistance genes in K. pneumoniae

K. pneumoniae has numerous genes which player role in resistance against antibiotics via different mechanisms and a few studies have been conducted which address contribution done by mechanisms of antibiotic resistance to the virulence when the antibiotic pressure is absent.

The resistance determinants for tetracycline, fluoroquinolones, aminoglycosides, and the trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole are found usually linked on the transferable or the conjugal plasmids which contains the genes that are responsible for extended spectrum beta lactamases (ESBLs) as well as carbapenemase.

ESBLs (for example TEM, CTX-M and SHV) are modified form of broad spectrum beta-lactamases which have the ability to cause hydrolyzation of aztreonam, 3rd generation cephalosporins, and in some cases fourth generation of the cephalosporins as well, additionally to antimicrobial compounds which broad spectrum beta lactamases have the capability to hydrolyze (Surgers *et al.*, 2016; Yu *et al.*, 2017; Xu *et al.*, 2018).

AmpC β -lactamases expression in higher levels gives resistance to similar substrates like ESBLs do, moreover to cephamycins (for example cefotetan and cefoxitin). Some of *K. pneumoniae* strains like isolates of hvKp, show acquisition of the plasmids which contains genes for AmpC β -lactamase (Xie *et al.*, 2018; Xu *et al.*, 2018).

Furthermore, OmpK36 as well as OmpK35 are two of the porins the expression of which observes downregulation in numerous clinical isolates encompassing strains that produce ESBL and are resistant to carbapenems. By downregulating the OmpK36 and OmpK35, antibiotic influx is limited inside the bacteria (Ardanuy *et al.*, 1998; Hernández-Allés *et al.*, 1999; Mena *et al.*, 2006; Shin *et al.*, 2012).

Κ. pneumoniae along with the other Enterobacteriaceae have an efflux pump known as AcrAB that plays the role in antibiotic resistance (Li et al., 2015). When expression of AcrAB is increased it plays a significant role in providing resistance against numerous antibiotic compounds in the clinical isolates (Bialek-Davenet et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015) which is in accordance with the huge range of the substrates this pumps play the role in transporting (Li et al., 2015). there has been demonstration of numerous regulators which influence expression of AcrAB in both Enterobacteriaceae and Klebsiella (Weston et al., 2018). As an example, RamA is a transcriptional regulator for AraC family which plays a role in resistance against tigecycline through upregulating the AcrAB (Rosenblum et al., 2011).

Carbapenemases (for example VIM, OXA [class D], KPC [class A], IMP [class B], and NDM) provide resistance against the similar drugs ESBL do, moreover against the carbapenems as well as cephamycins. Spread mediated via transposons (for example Tn4401 for the KPC) is also of great importance. Pertaining to rise in the number of the reports mainly but not only from Asia (Cejas et al., 2014; Compain etal., 2017; Becker et al.. 2018; Roulston et al., 2018), note acquisition of carbapenemases by strains of hvKp. Acquiring the KPC is the commonest (Cejas et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2016; Zhan et al., 2017; Feng et al., 2018; Gu et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2018), but isolates producing both OXA and NDM-1 have also been described (Shankar et al., 2016; Mei et al., 2017; Becker et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2018; Roulston et al., 2018; Simner et al., 2018; Wei et al., 2018).

There has been identification of numerous mechanisms that might be involved in resistance

against polymyxins. The concern has been raised by the discovery of resistance gene known as mcr-l on a transferable and stable plasmid which can infer resistance against polymyxins as polymyxins B as well as E (colistin) or the last defense against the pathogenic strains which produce different metallocarbapenemases like NDM-1. Sadly, there are descriptions for this mechanism of resistance by hvKp (Lu et al., 2018). There is another mechanism which facilitates resistance against polymyxins is by increasing expression of PhoP-PhoQ-Arn pathway. Activation of the PhoP-PhoQ causes arn operon to overexpress, subsequently resulting in adding the cationic groups into the moieties of phosphate in lipid A. This, consequently, causes negative charge to decrease as well as decreasing the activity of the polymyxins. Getting inserted into the mgrB, that is responsible for encoding PhoP-PhoQ regulation pathway suppressor molecule mgrB and infers resistance against polymyxins (Cannatelli et al., 2014). There is data available showing the same mechanism against the polymyxins opted by strains of hvKp (Dong et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2018).

Antimicrobial Resistance genes in S. penumoniae

Resistance against beta lactam drugs in the pneumococci is inferred from mosaic pbp genes development which demonstrates reduction in affinity to antimicrobial molecule. Penicillin resistance expression phenotype is caused by modification of genetic structure in either one or sometimes more than one of the PBPs, thus causing reduction in the synthesis of peptidoglycan. the decrease in the binding affinity cause weakening of the cell wall of the bacteria which can subsequently lead to lysis of the cell and eventually cell death. Six of the PBPs have been noted in the S. penumoniae. Most of the resistance against beta lactams is presumably related to these three alterations of PBP: PBP1a, 2b and 2x. The association of PBP2a has been seen with decrease in the susceptibility as well as high MICs compared to the PBP2x as well as the PBP2b that confers resistance against beta lactam antibiotics (Smith and Klugman, 1998). Right now, resistance against macrolides in the S. pneumoniae is inferred

by two major mechanisms: (1) efflux of the antimicrobial compound from bacteria whereas (2) altering the target ribosome. Gene labeled as *mefA* Is usually responsible for induction of resistance considering the former way described. In the past this mechanism was generally regarded as conferring lower resistance level (MIC₉₀ of 4–8 μ g/mL) (Jenkins *et al.*, 2008). Altering the targeted ribosome via the use of ribosomal methylase which is coded by the gene known as *ermB*. This mechanism is usually associated with conferring higher resistance level (MIC₉₀ >32 μ g/mL) (Niederman, 2015).

Resistance against the fluoroquinolones in the pneumococci has its origin from altering the binding site for the fluoroquinolone due to collection of the spontaneous mutations in quinolone resistance determinant region (QRDR) of both parC as well as gyrA. Genetic as well as biochemical studies have demonstrated the mutations responsible for altering the *parC* contributing in the acquisition of resistance against ciprofloxacin but failed to confer resistance against newly developed fluoroquinolones on their own (Esteban fernández-moreira et al., 2000). This QRDR mutation of the parC adds into the risk of consequent mutations that might further lead to enhanced resistance (Lim et al., 2003). Most of the resistance against fluoroquinolones $\geq 16 \,\mu g/ml$ has its origins from the occurrence of mutation in gyrA and parC both. Occurrence of mutation in any of these provides resistance genes against newer fluoroquinolones: gemifloxacin, moxifloxacin, levofloxacin, and gatifloxacin (Brueggemann et al., 2002).

The mechanism that is most important for the resistance of *S. pneumoniae* against the tetracyclines is the protection to the ribosome facilitated by tet(O) and tet(M) genes (Chopra and Roberts, 2001; Doherty *et al.*, 2000).

Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TMP-SMX) is a combination of drugs that was made public in 1968, these drugs have a synergetic effect and work in tandem as well as have their own individual

mechanism for the inhibition of synthesis of folic acid inside the bacteria (Eliopoulos and Huovinen, 2001). Sulfamethoxazole inhibits the enzyme known as dihydropteroate synthetase (DHPS), which plays a critical role in the formation of dihydrofolate from the para-aminobenzoic acid (Hitchings, 1973). In this pathway, dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) is inhibited by TMP that causes reduction of dihydrofolate into tetrahydrofolate, that is folate's biological active form (Burchall, 1973; Eliopoulos and Huovinen, 2001). If considered as two separate drugs, there have been observed different mechanisms for resistance against SMX as well as TMP. nonetheless as there is transfer of resistance among the two drugs, there is a link of resistance traits among these drugs(Eliopoulos and Huovinen, 2001). Particularly, resistance against trimethoprim occurs via single substitution of the amino acid in the gene that encodes for DHFR in the S pneumoniae, which causes compromise a shun in the trimethoprim binding and leaving DHFR functionally intact. Repetition regarding one or two of the amino acids in the chromosomal dihydropteroate synthetase causes S. pneumoniae to become resistant against sulphonamides (Widdowson and Klugman, 1999).

Antimicrobial Resistance genes in N. Meningitidis

N. meningitidis is regarded as a pathogenic bacteria which has a natural transforming capability with population structure defined as 'panmictic' and the characterizing feature is horizontal gene transfer (Maiden, 1998). Furthermore species of Neisseria that are closely related carry plasmids that are related with resistance against antibiotics that are be mobilized to the meningococci (Bäckman *et al.*, 2000), introducing resistance against antibiotic. Lastly, occurrence of the point mutation in the target genes of the chromosome serves as an extra mechanism for acquiring resistance against the antibiotic (Nolte, 1997).

Sulfonamides prove a competitive inhibitor of the DHPS that are responsible for catalyzing the dihydropteroic acid formation on the pathway of folate synthesis inside the bacteria. Resistance against

sulfonamides in the N. meningitidis is facilitated by the alteration of *dhps* form in the chromosome. Due to this, DHPS loses the capacity to bind with sulfonamides. there are descriptions of two different type of genes that are responsible for resistance in the N. meningitidis one of them being found frequently with conventional six base pair insertion that is known to cause reduction in the affinity for sulfonamides where is the other one is the less commonly observed form that lack six base pair insert (Rådström et al., 1992). A suggestion has been given that these determinants of resistance against sulfonamide might have a appeared due to them combining with different species (Fermer et al., 1995), but it is also suggested that they maybe mutant variants of *dhps* genes found in the wild type organism as in the case of a different species of the bacteria (Lopez et al., 1987).

From the time of the first reports of meningococci that is resistant to sulfonamide, there has been recommendation of use of rifampicin on a wide scale for chemoprophylaxis of the meningococcal disease (MD). Rifampicin has action on the beta subunit that is encoded by *rpoB* gene of the RNA polymerase that is directed by the DNA and cause inhibition of the elongation of RNA chain. occurrence of single point mutation in particular region of *rpoB* gene causes development of the resistance (Nolte, 1997).

Resistance against the tetracycline has numerous descriptions in the meningococci, related to a conjugative plasmid being present with *tet* M determinant insertion (Winterscheid *et al.*, 1994). Isolates of the *N. meningitidis* carrying the plasmid maybe uncommon (Bäckmann *et al.*, 1993) but the determinant of the *tet* M resistance can also get inherited via conjugative events among other species of *Neisseria* (Winterscheid *et al.*, 1994).

Isolates of the meningococci with lower resistance level to the penicillin have been labeled as moderately resistant to the penicillin, with their susceptibility decreased or by some other definitions (Oppenheim, 1997), but nowadays the tendency has tilted towards referring to them as strains that are moderately susceptible (Pen^{ms}) due to the uncertainty of their clinical significance. Resistance demonstrated by these isolates it's because of partly, to reduction in the affinity of PBP2 (Sáez-Nieto *et al.*, 1992). Alteration in the forms of PBP2 are because of the different sequences of *penA* gene being expressed that encodes for that protein.

Another mechanism to penicillin is through production of β -lactamase. The gene for β -lactamase is located on the plasmid, and at only one instance has it been sequenced (Bäckman *et al.*, 2000) moreover it was noted to share similarities with the gonococcal plasmids pJD5 and pJD4. It was implied by those results that up take off the plasmid may have been from strain of *N. gonorrhoeae* via conjugative transfer (Bäckman *et al.*, 2000).

Antibiotic called as chloramphenicol has been utilized as standard therapy for the MD in the countries that are yet developing (Galimand *et al.*, 1998).

The occurrence of the resistance it's because of the gene known as *catP*, that is it transposon Tn4451 fragment from the *Clostridium perfringens* that has undergone the larger deletion of its total sequence making up 80% (Galimand *et al.*, 1998). Transposons in the strains of *N. meningitidis* that are resistant to chloramphenicol render immobile due to the fact that there has been the loss of genes that are mandatory for the excision.

Antimicrobial Resistance genes in S. aureus

A frequently observed phenomenon in the *S. Aureus* is MDR. Resistance against multiple drugs is one of those phenomenon where pathogenic organisms acquire resistance to numerous chemotherapeutic agents (Nikaido, 2009). Following, is schematic diagram depicting all the methods used for resistance mechanism.

One of the well-known examples is resistance against the methicillin by the *Staphylococcus aureus*. Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) became highlighted when Methicillin-Susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus* (MSSA) showed adaptation towards a particular gene (methicillin-resistant gene) labelled as MecA that is intervened by the genetic element known as Staphylococcus cassette chromosome (SCC) and transfer of it takes place into MSSA through conjugation or transformation (Horizontal gene transfer).

There have been observations made that plasmid of *S. aureus* that has origins from a different environment of the bacteria had a few avant-garde resistant genes labeled as vgaC and ampA. the resistance gene known as amp showed resistance against antimicrobial compound known as apramycin whereas vgaC resistance gene showed resistance against Streptogramin A (Kadlec and Schwarz, 2009;Feßler *et al.*, 2011).

Going to the higher resistance against the methicillin as well as following drug failure, a huge role was played by vancomycin for the treatment of majority of MRSA infections but gradually due to the long-term use of drug, it became resistant to infections of MRSA. the predicted mechanism of the action is thought to be transfer facilitated by the plasmid among species. Genes labeled as *rpoB, graR, vraS,* and *msrR* were noted to have mutations that were playing role for the bacteria to acquire resistance against the mechanism of the vancomycin (Ito *et al.,* 1999; Matsuo *et al.,* 2013).

Concerning the case of penicillin, penicillinase is encoded by the R plasmid, the gene responsible for carrying enzyme is called as *blaz*, and organisms acquiring resistance against penicillin had this gene that cause inactivation of antibiotic via splitting of the beta lactam ring. Resistance against the fluroquinolones was developed by the S. aureus via overexpressing the NorA efflux pumps. Similar to that occurrence of the point mutations proves as another way via which organism gains resistance against quinolones. Occurrence of the point mutations on topoisomerase subunits happens. Like point mutation occurrence at the Gr1A site in the subunit of

topoisomerase IV as well as in the Gyrase subunit *GyrA* (Gnanamani *et al.*, 2017).

AntimicrobialResistance genes in *H. Influenzae* The antibiotics, β -lactams, are the drugs of choice to treat *H. Influenzae* infections. *H. Influenzae* utilizes two mechanisms against ampicillin resistance, i.e., 1) the alteration in the PBPs which confer reduced affinity to β -lactams, and 2) the production of a β lactamase. The dominant mechanism among the two is the production of β -lactamase, which is often encoded by *bla*_{TEM-1} and by *bla*_{ROB-1} rarely (Farrell *et al.*, 2005). The strains are termed as β -lactamase positive, ampicillin resistant (BLPAR).

Another mechanism involves the substitution of amino acid in the transpeptidase enzyme called PBP3, which is encoded by *ftsI* gene (Dabernat *et al.*, 2002). Strains which do not produce β -lactamase but are resistant or intermediate to ampicillin are termed βlactamase negative, ampicillin resistant (BLNAR) or negative, ampicillin intermediate β-lactamase (BLNAI) according to the breakpoints for ampicillin (Hotomi et al., 2007). Strains that are unable to produce β -lactamase but are completely or intermediately resistant to ampicillin are called as β lactamase negative, ampicillin intermediate (BLNAI) β -lactamase negative, ampicillin resistant or (BLNAR) (Hotomi et al., 2007).

H. influenzae possess intrinsic resistance to ketolides and macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B agents which corresponds to *acrA/acrB* gene encodingefflux pump, which is homologous but not same as that of *E. coli* efflux mechanism encoded by *acrAB* genes or other efflux pumps and contribute towards the ineffectiveness of these agents against wild-type strains of this pathogen (Sánchez *et al.*, 1997a; Sánchez *et al.*, 1997b; Peric *etal.*, 2003;Peric *et al.*, 2004; Bogdanovich *et al.*, 2006).

H. influenzae also show resistance to tetracycline which is due to the *tet*(B) gene which encodes for efflux pump and lies on conjugative plasmids (Chopra and Roberts, 2001).

As with other bacteria, the resistance to quinolones in *H. Influenzae* comes through mutations in thequinolone resistance-determining region of the gene which encodes for topoisomerase IV and DNA gyrase (Davies *et al.*, 2000; Nazir *et al.*, 2004; Rodríguez-Martínez *et al.*, 2006).

H. influenzae produces chloramphenicol acetyltransferase (CAT) encoded by *cat* gene which confers plasmid-mediated resistance against Chloramphenicol (Burns *et al.*, 1985; Roberts *et al.*, 1980). The gene is particularly present in the conjugative plasmid which the chromosome can also incorporate (Powell and Livermore, 1988).

Trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole (either used in combination or alone) perform an antimicrobial activity by interrupting cellular metabolism and replication, as it sequentially blocks the tetrahydrofolate production. In case of normal cellular metabolism, the reduction of dihydrofolate to tetrahydrofolate takes places, aided by the dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) enzyme (Burchall and Hitchings, 1965). Alterations in the DHFR encoding genes confers resistance to trimethoprim by decreasing the affinity between DHFR and trimethoprim. These genes are often present on transposons or plasmids and have probably been originated from bacteria that are closely related. Among the strains of H. Influenzae, trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole resistance is common and occurs due to increased production of DHFR having decreased trimethoprim affinity (de Groot et al., 1991).

Antimicrobial resistance genes in E. coli

The main target of the fluoroquinolones in the *E coli* is the gyrase of it, that has in total 4 subunits two of which are *GyrA* and the remaining two are *GyrB*. Topoisomerase serve as secondary target inside the gram-negative bacteria. There are four subunits of this enzyme two of them are *ParC* while the remaining two are *ParE*. Majority of the mutations were located inside the QRDR region that is found between *Gln107* and *Ala67* in the *GyrA*, while codons

87 and 83 are the points associated with occurrence of most of the mutations (Hopkins *et al.*, 2005). Occurrence of single mutations in the gene labeled as *GyrA* might confer into the resistance against quinolones but for acquiring resistance against fluoroquinolones there is further requirement of more mutations inside *GyrA*, *parC* or both. Most of the mutations that occur in *parC*, takes place at 84 and 80 codons (Hopkins *et al.*, 2005).

From the time when determinant of first plasmid facilitated quinolone resistance (PMQR) was identified, by the name of *qnrA1*, in the 1997, It has raised serious concerns on a global scale about the international dissemination of genes of PMQR (Cattoir and Nordmann, 2009; Rodríguez-Martínez et al., 2016). Numerous resistance mechanisms are encoded by the plasmid have been observed such as (i) Qnr-like proteins (QnrD, QnrC, QnrS, QnrA, and QnrB) that provide protection to the DNA from binding of the quinolone, (ii) AAC(6')-Ib-cr acetyltransferase which causes modification of particular fluoroquinolones like enrofloxacin as well as the ciprofloxacin, and (iii) activated efflux pumps (*OqxAB* and *QepA*) (Rodríguez-Martínez *et al.*, 2016). Resistance against aminoglycosides can be caused by targeted mutations which involve 16S RNA and S5 and ribosomal protein S12 (Fourmy et al., 1998; Griffey et al., 1999; Llano-Sotelo et al., 2009). Nonetheless this proves as a successful strategy in conferring higher levels of resistance. Modifying the sites that are targeted by a minor glycosides can be done via methylation of the G1405 as well as A1408 residues on 16S RNA site A, that leads to high resistance level against netilmicin, tobramycin, amikacin and gentamicin (Griffey et al., 1999). The methylases for 16S RNA consist of RmtA/B/C/D/E/F/G/H, NmpA and ArmA have their origins from the organisms that naturally produce aminoglycoside as a mechanism of self-defense. (Edwards and Puente, 1998).

MurA enzyme is inhibited by fosfomycin that plays a critical role in the synthesis of peptidoglycan. There are two major mechanisms involved in the resistance against fosfomycin: (i) Occurrence of mutations in uhpA/T as well as glpT genes that encode for the protein that play a role in up taking of the fosfomycin and (ii) Acquiring enzymes that modify fosfomycin like metalloenzymes *FosX*, *FosA* and *FosB* or some kinases like *FomB* or *FomA* (Silver, 2017).

Majority of the genes that are like *fos* are located on the plasmid, and it has been observed that plasmids that carry *fos* genes are also carrying extra genes of resistance (Lupo *et al.*, 2018; Wang *et al.*, 2017; Wang *et al.*, 2018) which can cause rise in coselection of resistance against the fosfomycin under selective pressure elicited by other antimicrobial compounds.

According to the nomenclature center for tetracycline (https://faculty.washington resistance gene .edu/marilynr/), nine of genes for tetracycline efflux [tet(E), tet(Y), tet(G), tet(A), tet(L), tet(C), tet(D),tet(J), and tet(B)], two of the tetracycline genes for resistance that encode for ribosomal protection proteins [tet(W) and tet(M)], as well as presence of one gene that encodes for oxidoreductase which causes inactivation of the tetracyclines [tet(X)] has been noted in E coli. The main mechanisms of resistance against tetracycline found in the E. coli isolated from the animal samples consists of (i) efflux by the proteins in an active manner whereas the proteins were from the major facilitator protein superfamily and (ii) ribosomal protection (Shin et al., 2015).

Resistance against the phenicol in the E. coli isolated from the animal origins is facilitated by three main mechanisms: (i) enzymatically in activating the nonfluorinated phenicols via chloramphenicol acetyltransferases that is encoded by cat genes, (ii) causing active efflux of the non-fluorinated phenicols (cmlA genes) or via the non-fluorinated as well as by the fluorinated phenicols (floR genes) through proteins of the major facilitator super family, and (iii) methylation of the target site via rRNA methylase that is included by multi resistance gene known as CFR that provide resistance against five different classes of antimicrobial compounds such as non-fluorinated as well as fluorinated phenicols (Schwarz et al., 2004).

Resistance against polymyxin in the E coli isolates might be associated to the genes that encode for enzymes that modify LPS. Operon pmrCAB encodes three distinct proteins labeled as sensor kinase protein PmrB (also called BasS), phosphoethanolamine phosphotransferase PmrC, and response regulator PmrA (also called BasR) (Poirel et al., 2017). Occurrence of the mutations in the pmrB and pmrA have been demonstrated to play a role in acquiring resistance against isolates of the E. coli that were obtained from the poultry farm in the Spain (Quesada et al., 2015). Nonetheless, majority of the mutations that lead to resistance against polymyxins have been noted in the isolates of the E. coli from the humans.

In the month of November in 2016, there was identification of the first polymyxin resistance of the plasmid borne origins. The name this gene was given, was mcr-1 and the product it encodes is called MCR-1 phosphoethanolamine transferase (Liu *et al.*, 2016). MCR-1 production in the *E. coli* results in increase by 4 to 8 folds in MIC of the polymyxins (Poirel *et al.*, 2017). There has been detection of the mcr-1 gene in the isolates of the E. coli but among other genera of the Enterobacteriaceae like Enterobacter, Salmonella, Klebsiella and Shigella (Schwarz and Johnson, 2016).

One particular problem with antimicrobial resistance that affect both animals as well as humans is the presence of the ESBL producing *E.coli* (Chong *et al.*, 2018). These bacteria exhibit resistance against cephalosporins, aztreonam as well as penicillins chiefly by producing SHV, CTX-M and TEM β lactamases that are encoded via *blashv*, *blactx-m*, and *blatem* genes respectively.

These genes can either be facilitated by the plasmids or have a chromosomal expression. In between these three genes, CTX-M enzymes turned out to have the most extensive spread in humans as well as animals. CTX reflects the potential hydrolyzing activity of the beta lactamases against the cefotaxime, and they do not show many similarities to the SHV or TEM betalactamases (Michael *et al.*, 2015; Shaikh *et al.*, 2015). Sources of antibiotic resistance in the Environment Concern regarding the resistance was confined originally to acquiring the resistance by the microbes that resulted in epidemic disease as well as was considered an issue with regards to the strains isolated clinically. Nonetheless, in the years passing by, isolation of bacteria that are resistant to the antibiotics have been done from almost every environment of our planet (Morgan et al., 1976; Marques et al., 1979; McNicol et al., 1980; Goñi-Urriza et al., 2000; Chee-Sanford et al., 2001). This proved surprising to a lot of clinicians due to fact that resistance was observed in the regions which had never been subjected to the human impact. Even after the increase in the awareness of the resistance in the environment, the focus of numerous researchers has remained the pathogens which show yet environmental survivability. It was thought that humans are only endangered by them if the disease caused by them involved antibiotic resistance. For numerous years, the research focused on the environmental resistance showed this particular viewpoint. Nonetheless, it is now known to us that genes inferring the resistance wider and far away compared to what was believed once and that there is development in the pool of resistance regarding the nonpathogenic microbes located in the environment, in animals or in humans (Fredrickson et al., 1988; Wiener et al., 1998). These non-pathogenic microbes prove a source via which pathogenic microbes might acquire genes which confer the resistance, and sequentially, they can get resistant via acquiring resistance genes from the pathogens which they discharge into the environment for example through the sewage (Top et al., 1994) or through the runoff from the agricultural site (Gotz andSmalla, 1997; Jensen et al., 2001). So, dissemination of the bacteria that are resistant proves not a problem only by the resistant microbes themselves but the availability of the resistance genes to the pathogenic microbes through gene transfer is also a major problem. Even though the resistant microbes can naturally be found only in the environment, majority of the resistance is related to the impacts made by the humans, this impact can be agricultural in nature, or any other

impact directly made by humans. Use of the antibiotics by the humans can progress towards environmental resistance through domestic waste discharge, hospital wastewater discharge, and industrial pollution discharge (Harwood et al., 2001; Iversen et al., 2002;Blanch et al., 2003; Schwartz et al., 2003). In addition to that, some of the antibiotics are mixed with the feed given to the animals for the treatment of their infections, as subtherapeutic doses or as prophylactics as the growth promoters (Kelley et al., 1998). Even though there is lack of definitive numbers, some of the authors have made publications and estimate that by the 1980s nearly fifty percent of all the antimicrobial agents that were being used in the USA were also made part of the feed for the animals (DuPont and Steele, 1987). In 1994 in Denmark, vancomycin weighing only 24 kg was used for the treatment of the infections in the human population compared to animals where 24,000 kg was used (Witte, 1998). According to the study by Levy(Levy, 2001), in the USA in 1998, 25 million pounds of the antibiotics that was produced was used for the agricultural purposes.

This practice caused rise of numerous bacteria in the gut of the food animals which were resistant to antibiotics. From that point these microbes made their way into the food chain of the humans through contamination acquired during slaughtering, or via environmental discharge of the waste. Observation of the resistance has been made to closely following the use of any of the antibiotics (Aarestrup, 1999).

Using antibiotics in such a manner can result in the emergence of pathogens that are resistant, in higher concentrations as well as non-pathogenic resistant organisms and resistance genes in the whole environment of the farm as well as the nearby surrounding environments which can get affected through the runoff from the farms. As discussed later, once there is a spread of the resistant microbes into the environment, a health risk is posed by them if there is their colonization or if there is dissemination of the resistance genes to the microbiota which colonize the humans.

Antibiotics that are utilized in the medicine for treating infections as well as prophylactically are chiefly released un-metabolized in the aqueous bodies through the wastewater. The effect is given off by the presence of the active compound. Sometimes therapeutic drugs which are not used are thrown in the drains. Among the compounds that are active in the hospital effluent, there are also antibiotics as well as disinfectants (Kümmerer, 2001). It was found by (Schwartz et al., 2003) that there are vanA genes which are carried by the bacteria in the effluent from the hospital. The gene coding for the resistance against the methicillin called MecA that staphylococci was found only in the wastewater from the hospital and not found the in the wastewater of the municipal waste (Heuer et al., 2002). Observation of the genes giving resistance against gentamycin were noted in Enterobacteriaceae as well as Acinetobacter pseudomonas in the sewage from the hospital waste (Heuer *et al.*, 2002).

Input of resistant bacteria into the municipal sewage Hospitals are presumed as the crucial source of inputting the bacteria that are resistant into the municipal wastewater. The number of bacteria observed in the ICU effluent were found to fall in the same range as the municipal sewage treatment plants (STPs) influent (Hingst et al., 1995). Considering that hospital effluent dilution via the municipal sewage is usually greater than a 100-fold (Kümmerer and Henninger, 2003) and also considering the fact that resistant bacteria are found anyways in the municipal waste (Hingst et al., 1995) due to antibiotics use at the home level and it can be concluded that the general population plays a key role in the input of the resistant bacteria in the STPs. Another point can be made that in Germany only one fourth of all the antibiotics usage can be associated with the hospitals (Kümmerer and Henninger, 2003). Considering that the effluent from the hospital participates makes up <1% of the whole municipal waste, it becomes logical that hospitals are not the chief source of the resistant bacteria in the municipal waste. Situation might present differently for MDR bacteria. Presumably,

selection of the MDR bacteria takes place in the hospitals from where they are discharged and made part of the wastewater (Römling *et al.*, 1994). There was a correlation observed between the amount of MDR bacteria in the municipal sewage with number as well as size of the hospitals that were connected to the STP. It was noted that numbers as well as the types of bacteria that showed resistance isolated from the fully working and operational hospital effluent fall into the same range to that of influent of STP (Hingst *etal.*, 1995). A study by Reinthaler *et al.* (2003) noted that STP that received municipal waste containing effluent from the hospitals showed highest rates of the resistant microbes.

Municipal sewage and activate sludge of STPs

Disinfectants as well as the antibiotics have been observed in the water from sewage at the concentrations that there were few microbes for every liter. There is presence of resistant microbes in the anaerobic digestion process, municipal waste as well as the aeration tanks in the processing of sewage treatment plants (Römling et al., 1994; Heuer et al., 2002; Schwartz et al., 2003). Reinthaler et al. (2003) along with the other co-workers researched on resistance of the E.coli in three treatment plants for sewage in Austria against 24 antibiotics via classical method i.e., isolation followed by cultivation and then resistance testing. It was seen that E.coli is resistant to numerous antibiotics like penicillins (piperacillin, ampicillin), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole, (nalidixic quinolones acid), cephalosporins (cefuroxime, cephalothin) and tetracycline. Resistant as well as MDR bacteria which are pathogenic in nature like Acinetobacter spp. (Heuer et al., 2002) have been seen in the STPs as well as the wastewater and noted in the transfer of the resistance (Römling et al., 1994; Marcinek et al., 1998; Kümmerer and Henninger, 2003). There have been reports of gene exchange among the E.coli and pseudomonas in the sewage sludge (Schwartz et al., 2003).

Surface water

Bacteria which are resistant to the antibiotics have been found in the surface water samples (Muela et

al., 1994; Marcinek *et al.*, 1998). Goñi-Urriza *et al.* (2000) found in their study a correlation among the resistant bacteria in the input of urban water as well as in the rivers. Schwartz *et al.* (2003) successfully amplified genetic sequences for AmpC beta lactamase via PCR in the surface water. There have been reports of generic transformations in the *E.coli*(Baur *et al.*, 1996).

Ground water

Surprisingly, higher incidence of resistant *E.coli* has been reported in the rural ground water samples (McKeon *et al.*, 1995). There is lack of speculation of the authors regarding the origins of the resistance but the manure runoff either from the farms or any leakages from the septic tanks provide a clear possibility in the inputting of bacteria in the ground water. Broken sewage pipes might also play a role.

Drinking water

Detection of bacteria resistant to the antibiotic was done from 1980s to the 1990s (Armstrong *et al.*, 1981; Al-Ahmad *et al.*, 2000). These authors noted the identification of the resistant bacteria via the classical methods of microbiology such as standardized plate counting, took place inside the drinking water distribution network system.

They reached the conclusion that raw water treatment as well as the consequent distribution puts a selective pressure for the development of` antibiotic resistance in the bacteria. In concurrent with the data, increased phenotypic rate of resistance were also noted for the samples of drinking water points in the study conducted by Schwartz *et al.* (2003). It was noted by the authors that there was presence of AmpC and vanA genes in the heterotrophic bacteria found in the biofilms of the drinking water. Enterococci were absent from the samples. It was concluded by the authors that this is the indicator of the probable transfer of resistance to the autochthonous bacteria.

Sediments

Presence of resistant bacteria might be due to application of the antibiotics in the fish or due to

selection by antibiotics which are found in the sediments. Bacteria showing resistance to antibiotic compounds have been observed in the sediments. Andersen and Sandaa (1994) and Samuelsen *et al.* (1992) extracted and isolated gram-negative bacteria resistant to tetracycline from the unpolluted as well as the polluted marine sediments. Increase resistance to the antibiotics in the bacteria found in the sediments serves usually as the most delicate indicator, indicating that antibiotics have been used in the past.

Soil

Use of the antibiotics for the veterinary purposes or simply as substance that promote growth are excreted out by the animals and often end up in form of manure along with the disinfectants that are used in the livestock. The use of the manure happens in the form of the fertilizers in the agricultural land. If there is use of the antibiotics in the animal husbandry, they pass out in the soil from the manure. Concentrations up to 0.3mg per kg of tetracyclines have been noted in the soil (Kümmerer, 2001). Soil has strong absorption for some of the sulphonamides, quinolones, and tetracycline (Marengo et al., 1997; Tolls, 2001Golet et al., 2002; Hamscher et al., 2002; De Liguoro et al., 2003;Gavalchin and Katz, 2020). Pang et al. (1994), in their study, noted mycobacterium acquiring a gram-positive resistance gene against the tetracycline in a test conducted in the laboratory. This is among the few reported cases indicating a probable transfer of gene among the bacteria found in the soil and bacteria found in the intestine of the humans. Lorenz and Wackernagel (1994) also demonstrated genetic material exchange among the bacteria of the soil. Variations in the amino acid GyrA bacterial subunit are potentially related with the natural resistance against the fluroquinolones (Waters and Davies, 1997).

Spread and evolution of resistance genes in the environment

Resistance against the antibiotics can root from both the mutation in the genomic makeup of the bacteria and from up taking of the foreign DNA. Mutations occur readily and can get fixated in patient or any animal getting treated with any antibiotic. Such strong selection pressure is seldomly found anywhere else. Moreover, this process remains independent from the genetic reservoir in the other species. Thus, environmental conditions externally are usually rare for the provision of the huge contribution to the resistance evolution that is based on the mutation for numerous pathogens. Regarding the uptake of the novel resistance factors, soil, water and the other environments where there the ecological niches observe a vast variability leads to the provision of a gigantic gene pool where the diversity exceeds by far from what is offered by animal and human microbiota (Rinke *et al.*, 2013; Schulz *et al.*, 2017).

It is indeed most striking that environmental microbiota is immensely diverse and provide many of the genes which can be acquired potentially and pathogens can use them to nullify or diminish the effects of the antibiotics (Dantas *et al.*, 2008; Forsberg *et al.*, 2012; Pawlowski *et al.*, 2016;Berglund *et al.*, 2017;Berglund *et al.*, 2020). So far, all of the approved classes of the antibiotics might they be synthetic, natural, or semi-synthetic in nature have so far met some kind of resistance by their target pathogens. This indicates that there is presence of the resistance factors in the environment for the antibiotics that will never enter the developmental phase unless there is advancement and change in our understanding regarding the design of the antibiotics.

By the passing eons, majority of the ARGs might have evolved slowly from the genes with the other functions (Morar and Wright, 2010;Andersson *et al.*, 2015). The events pertaining to the evolution are mainly caused by the ancestral species transfer events where there was shaping of the overall genetic makeup. Carrying on from immobile chromosomal ARG, a stepwise typical evolution leading to the acquisition of resistance in pathogen. The initial step involves capability of movement of the ARG inside the genome, attained such as via associating with the insertion of the sequences (Partridge *et al.*, 2018; Razavi *et al.*, 2020) or forming gene cassettes as well as incorporating in the integrons (Gillings *et al.*, 2008; Razavi *et al.*, 2017). In the second step the gene is relocated to the element which has the ability to move autonomously among the cells, like a plasmid or a conjugative element.

It is more likely for some of the environments to provide the needed genetic elements which are usually involved in the transfer and mobilization of the ARGs, via presence of the fecal bacteria which are well known for carrying similar elements or might be via environmental conditions where the frequent transfer of the genes is considered favorable (Flach et al., 2017; Shintani et al., 2020). Third step include horizontal transfer of the mobilized gene transfer by having direct pathogen contact or through one of the bacterial hosts that serve as an intermediate. Fourth step might take place at any time of the process is bacterium carrying ARGs is physically transferred to the normal microbiota of the animal or human gut which is an ability defined by the term known as 'ecological connectivity' (Baquero et al., 2019). Higher metabolic activity as well as extensive contact among the cell are factors contributing to increased rate of majority of the steps. All of the said steps such as mobilization by e.g., the insertion sequences (Vandecraen et al., 2017) or the integrons (Depardieu et al., 2007), observes an increase in the abundance of donor cell and subsequently in the opportunities for the transfer as well as horizontal gene transfer (HGT) rate (Scornec et al., 2017; Jutkina et al., 2018), might be increased by the antibiotics. Mainly, even though occurrence of majority of the steps happens when the antibiotics are absent, but the rates are different (Aminov, 2011; Knöppel et al., 2017). Thus, it is important that bottlenecks be studied which play a part in resistance evolution in the pathogen. A likely crucial bottleneck would be that rare genotypes are selected who have resistance which is caused by the mobilization or the HGT or both, otherwise there would be disappearance of the genotypes (Kimura and Ohta, 1969). At all of the stages, mutations for the sake of compensation might occur in the genome of the bacteria which carries the ARG, which in turn lowers the potential costs of the fitness, via causing reduction in the niche overlap or via causing an

increase in the competitive capability (Letten *et al.*, 2021). Emergence of the newer ARGs is dependent on the fact that all the events have to align in space and time (Waglechner and Wright, 2017).

References

Aarestrup FM. 1999. Association between the consumption of antimicrobial agents in animal husbandry and the occurrence of resistant bacteria among food animals. International journal of antimicrobial agents **12(4)**, 279-285. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-8579(99)90059-6

Abbanat D, Macielag M, Bush K. 2003. Novel antibacterial agents for the treatment of serious Gram-positive infections. Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs **12(3)**, 379-399.

Abraham E, Chain E. 1988. An enzyme from bacteria able to destroy penicillin. 1940. Reviews of infectious diseases **10(4)**, 677-678.

Acar J. 1997. Broad-and narrow-spectrum antibiotics: an unhelpful categorization. Clinical Microbiology and Infection **3(4)**, 395-396.

Aggen JB, Armstrong ES, Goldblum AA, Dozzo P, Linsell MS, Gliedt MJ, Matias RD. 2010. Synthesis and spectrum of the neoglycoside ACHN-490. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **54(11)**, 4636-4642.

Al-Ahmad A, Wiedmann-Al-Ahmad M, Schön G, Daschner FD, Kümmerer K. 2000. Role of Acinetobacter for Biodegradability of Quaternary Ammonium Compounds. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology **64(6)**, 764-770. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001280000069

Alary M, Joly JR, Mondor M, Moutquin J, Boucher M, Fortier A, Chamberland H. 1994. Randomised comparison of amoxycillin and erythromycin in treatment of genital chlamydial infection in pregnancy. The lancet **344(8935)**, 1461-1465.

Alborn Jr W, Allen N, Preston D. 1991. Daptomycin disrupts membrane potential in growing Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **35(11)**, 2282-2287.

Allen N, Alborn Jr W, Hobbs Jr J. 1991. Inhibition of membrane potential-dependent amino acid transport by daptomycin. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **35(12)**, 2639-2642.

Allen N, Hobbs J, Alborn Jr W. 1987. Inhibition of peptidoglycan biosynthesis in gram-positive bacteria by LY146032. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **31(7)**, 1093-1099.

Aminov R. 2011. Horizontal Gene Exchange in Environmental Microbiota. Frontiers in microbiology, 2.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2011.00158

Aminov RI. 2010. A brief history of the antibiotic era: lessons learned and challenges for the future. Frontiers in microbiology **1**, 134.

Aminov RI. 2010. A brief history of the antibiotic era: lessons learned and challenges for the future. Frontiers in microbiology **1**, 134.

Andersen SR, Sandaa RA. 1994. Distribution of tetracycline resistance determinants among gramnegative bacteria isolated from polluted and unpolluted marine sediments. Applied and environmental microbiology **60(3)**, 908-912. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.60.3.908-912.1994

Andersson DI, Jerlström-Hultqvist J, Näsvall J. 2015. Evolution of new functions de novo and from preexisting genes. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7(6).

https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a017996

Aoki H, Ke L, Poppe SM, Poel, T J, Weaver EA, Gadwood RC, Ganoza MC. 2002. Oxazolidinone antibiotics target the P site on Escherichia coli ribosomes. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 46(4), 1080-1085.

Ardanuy C, Liñares J, Domínguez MA, Hernández-Allés S, Benedí VJ, Martínez-Martínez L. 1998. Outer membrane profiles of clonally related Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from clinical samples and activities of cephalosporins and carbapenems. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **42(7)**, 1636-1640.

Arias CA, Murray BE. 2009. Antibiotic-resistant bugs in the 21st century—a clinical super-challenge. New England Journal of Medicine **360(5)**, 439-443.

Armstrong JL, Shigeno DS, Calomiris JJ, Seidler RJ. 1981. Antibiotic-resistant bacteria in drinking water. Applied and environmental microbiology **42(2)**, 277-283. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.42.2.277-283.1981

Bäckman A, Orvelid P, Vazquez JA, Sköld O, Olcén P. 2000. Complete sequence of a β -lactamaseencoding plasmid in Neisseria meningitidis. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **44(1)**, 210-212.

BaqueroF, Coque TM, Martínez JL, Aracil-Gisbert S, Lanza VF. 2019. Gene Transmission in the One Health Microbiosphere and the Channels of Antimicrobial Resistance. Frontiers in microbiology, **10.**

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02892

Barber M. 1961. Methicillin-resistant staphylococci. Journal of clinical pathology **14(4)**, 385.

Baucheron S, Tyler S, Boyd D, Mulvey MR, Chaslus-Dancla E, Cloeckaert A. 2004. AcrAB-TolC directs efflux-mediated multidrug resistance in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium DT104. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **48(10)**, 3729-3735.

Bauer G, Berens, C, Projan SJ, Hillen W. 2004. Comparison of tetracycline and tigecycline binding to

ribosomes mapped by dimethylsulphate and drugdirected Fe2+ cleavage of 16S rRNA. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **53(4)**, 592-599.

Baur B, Hanselmann K, Schlimme W, Jenni B. 1996. Genetic transformation in freshwater: Escherichia coli is able to develop natural Applied competence. and environmental microbiology 62(10), 3673-3678. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.62.10.3673-3678.1996

Beauregard DA, Williams DH, Gwynn MN, Knowles D. 1995. Dimerization and membrane anchors in extracellular targeting of vancomycin group antibiotics. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **39(3)**, 781-785.

Becker L, Kaase M, Pfeifer Y, Fuchs S, Reuss A, von Laer A, Werner G. 2018. Genome-based analysis of Carbapenemase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates from German hospital patients, 2008-2014. Antimicrobial Resistance & Infection Control 7(1), 62.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-018-0352-y

Bergeron MG, Brusch JL, Barza M, Weinstein L. 1973. Bactericidal Activity and Pharmacology of Cefazolin. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 4(4), 396-401.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.4.4.396

Berglund F, Böhm ME, Martinsson A, Ebmeyer S, Österlund T, Johnning A, Kristiansson E. 2020. Comprehensive screening of genomic and metagenomic data reveals a large diversity of tetracycline resistance genes. Microbial genomics 6(11), mgen000455.

https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000455

Berglund F, Marathe NP, Österlund T, Bengtsson-Palme J, Kotsakis S, Flach CF, Kristiansson E. 2017. Identification of 76 novel B1 metallo- β -lactamases through large-scale screening of genomic and metagenomic data. Microbiome 5(1), 134.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0353-8

Bialek Davenet S, Lavigne JP, Guyot K, Mayer N, Tournebize R, Brisse S, Nicolas Chanoine MH. 2015. Differential contribution of AcrAB and OqxAB efflux pumps to multidrug resistance and virulence in Klebsiella pneumoniae. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **70(1)**, 81-88.

Blanch AR, Caplin JL, Iversen A, Kühn I, Manero A, Taylor HD, Vilanova X. 2003. Comparison of enterococcal populations related to urban and hospital wastewater in various climatic and geographic European regions. Journal of Applied Microbiology **94(6)**, 994-1002.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.01919.x

Blondeau J.M, DeCarolis E, Metzler KL, Hansen GT. 2002. The macrolides. Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs **11(2)**, 189-215.

Bogdanovich T, Bozdogan B, Appelbaum PC. 2006. Effect of Efflux on Telithromycin and Macrolide Susceptibility in <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy **50(3)**, 893-898. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.50.3.893-898.2006</u>

Bonnet R. 2004. Growing group of extendedspectrum β -lactamases: the CTX-M enzymes. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **48(1)**, 1-14.

Boucher HW, Talbot GH, Bradley JS, Edwards JE, Gilbert D, Rice LB, Bartlett J. 2009. Bad bugs, no drugs: no ESKAPE! An update from the Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clinical infectious diseases **48(1)**, 1-12.

Brandis G, Pietsch F, Alemayehu R, Hughes D. 2015. Comprehensive phenotypic characterization of rifampicin resistance mutations in Salmonella provides insight into the evolution of resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **70(3)**, 680-685.

Brandis G, Pietsch F, Alemayehu R, Hughes D. 2015. Comprehensive phenotypic characterization of rifampicin resistance mutations in Salmonella provides insight into the evolution of resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **70(3)**, 680-685.

Brodersen DE, Clemons Jr WM, Carter A P, Morgan Warren RJ, Wimberly BT, Ramakrishnan V. 2000. The structural basis for the action of the antibiotics tetracycline, pactamycin, and hygromycin B on the 30S ribosomal subunit. Cell, **103(7)**, 1143-1154.

Brogden R, Speight T, Avery G. 1975. Amoxycillin: A review of its antibacterial and pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic use. Drugs **9(2)**, 88-140.

Brueggemann AB, Coffman SL, Rhomberg P, Huynh H, Almer L, Nilius A, Doern GV. 2002. Fluoroquinolone Resistance in <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i> in United States since 1994-1995. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **46(3)**, 680-688.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.46.3.680-688.2002

Burchall JJ. 1973. Mechanism of Action of Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole—II. The Journal of infectious diseases **128(3)**, S437-S441.

https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/128.Supplement_3.S4 37

Burchall JJ, Hitchings GH. 1965. Inhibitor Binding Analysis of Dihydrofolate Reductases from Various Species. Molecular Pharmacology **1(2)**, 126.

Burger A. 2003. Medicinal Chemistry and Drug Discovery: Cardiovascular agents and endocrines **(3)**, Wiley.

Burns JL, Mendelman PM, Levy J, Stull TL, Smith AL. 1985. A permeability barrier as a mechanism of chloramphenicol resistance in Haemophilus influenzae. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy **27(1)**, 46-54. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.27.1.46

Canepari P, Boaretti M, Lleo MM, Satta G. 1990. Lipoteichoic acid as a new target for activity of antibiotics: mode of action of daptomycin (LY146032). Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, **34(6)**, 1220-1226.

Cannatelli A, Giani T, D'Andrea MM, Pilato VD, Arena F, Conte V, Rossolini G M. 2014. MgrB Inactivation Is a Common Mechanism of Colistin Resistance in KPC-Producing Klebsiella pneumoniae of Clinical Origin. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **58(10)**, 5696-5703.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.03110-14

Carbon C, Isturiz R. 2002. Narrow Versus Broad Spectrum Antibacterials. Drugs **62(9)**, 1289-1294.

Cattoir V, Nordmann P. 2009. Plasmid-mediated quinolone resistance in gram-negative bacterial species: an update. Current medicinal chemistry, **16(8)**, 1028-1046.

Cejas D, Canigia LF, Cruz GR, Elena AX, Maldonado I, Gutkind GO, Diekema DJ. 2014. First Isolate of KPC-2-Producing Klebsiella pneumonaie Sequence Type 23 from the Americas. Journal of clinical microbiology **52(9)**, 3483-3485. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00726-14

Centers of Disease Control and Prevention. 2021. Antibiotic / Antimicrobial Resistance. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/drugresistance/index.html

Champney W. 1999. Macrolide antibiotic inhibition of 50S ribosomal subunit formation in bacterial cells. Recent Res Dev Antimicrob Agents Chemother **3**, 39-58.

Champney WS, Miller M. 2002. Linezolid is a specific inhibitor of 50S ribosomal subunit formation in Staphylococcus aureus cells. Current microbiology, **44(5)**, 350-356.

Champney WS, Tober CL. 1998. Inhibition of translation and 50S ribosomal subunit formation in Staphylococcus aureus cells by 11 different ketolide antibiotics. Current microbiology **37(6)**, 418-425.

Champney WS, Tober CL. 1998. Inhibition of translation and 50S ribosomal subunit formation in Staphylococcus aureus cells by 11 different ketolide antibiotics. Current microbiology **37(6)**, 418-425.

Chee-Sanford JC, Aminov RI, Krapac IJ, Garrigues-Jeanjean N, Mackie RI. 2001 Occurrence and Diversity of Tetracycline Resistance Genes in Lagoons and Groundwater Underlying Two Swine Production Facilities. Applied and environmental microbiology **67(4)**, 1494-1502. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.4.1494-1502.2001

Chong Y, Shimoda S, Shimono N. 2018. Current epidemiology, genetic evolution and clinical impact of extended-spectrum β -lactamase-producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae. Infection, Genetics and Evolution **61**, 185-188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.04.005

Chopra I, Roberts M. 2001. Tetracycline Antibiotics: Mode of Action, Applications, Molecular Biology, and Epidemiology of Bacterial Resistance. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews **65(2)**, 232-260.

https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.65.2.232-260.2001

Chopra I, Roberts M. 2001. Tetracycline Antibiotics: Mode of Action, Applications, Molecular Biology, and Epidemiology of Bacterial Resistance. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews **65(2)**, 232-260.

https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.65.2.232-260.2001

Coleman K. 2011. Diazabicyclooctanes (DBOs): a potent new class of non- β -lactam β -lactamase inhibitors. Current opinion in microbiology **14(5)**, 550-555.

Compain F, Vandenberghe A, Gominet M,

Genel N, Lebeaux D, Ramahefasolo A, Decré D. 2017. Primary osteomyelitis caused by an NDM-1-producing K. pneumoniae strain of the highly virulent sequence type 23. Emerging Microbes & Infections, **6(1)**, 1-3.

https://doi.org/10.1038/emi.2017.43

Connell SR, Tracz DM, Nierhaus K H, Taylor DE. 2003. Ribosomal protection proteins and their mechanism of tetracycline resistance. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **47(12)**, 3675-3681.

Connell SR, Tracz DM, Nierhaus K H, Taylor DE. 2003. Ribosomal protection proteins and their mechanism of tetracycline resistance. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **47(12)**, 3675-3681.

Cornforth DM, Foster KR. 2015. Antibiotics and the art of bacterial war. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences **112(35)**, 10827-10828.

Couce A, Briales A, Rodríguez-Rojas A, Costas C, Pascual Á, Blázquez J. 2012. Genomewide overexpression screen for fosfomycin resistance in Escherichia coli: MurA confers clinical resistance at low fitness cost. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **56(5)**, 2767-2769.

Critchley IA, Draghi DC, Sahm DF, Thornsberry C, Jones ME, Karlowsky JA. 2003. Activity of daptomycin against susceptible and multidrug-resistant Gram-positive pathogens collected in the SECURE study (Europe) during 2000–2001. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 51(3), 639-649.

Dabernat H, Delmas C, Seguy, M, Pelissier R, Faucon G, Bennamani S, Pasquier C. 2002. Diversity of β-Lactam Resistance-Conferring Amino Acid Substitutions in Penicillin-Binding Protein 3 of <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i>. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy **46(7)**, 2208-2218.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.46.7.2208-2218.2002

Dantas G, Sommer MOA, Oluwasegun RD, Church GM. 2008. Bacteria Subsisting on Antibiotics. Science **320(5872)**, 100-103. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1155157

Darville T, Yamauchi T. 1994. The cephalosporin antibiotics. Pediatrics in Review **15(2)**, 54-62.

Davies J, Davies D. 2010. Resistance origins and evolution of antibiotic. Microbiology and Molecular Biology reviews. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews **74(3)**, 417-433.

Davies J, Davies D. 2010. Resistance origins and evolution of antibiotic. Microbiology and Molecular Biology reviews. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews **74(3)**, 417-433.

Davis BD. 1987. Mechanism of bactericidal action of aminoglycosides. Microbiological reviews **51(3)**, 341-350.

Davis BD, Chen L, Tai PC. 1986. Misread protein creates membrane channels: an essential step in the bactericidal action of aminoglycosides. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences **83(16)**, 6164-6168.

de Groot R, Chaffin DO, Kuehn M, Smith AL 1991. Trimethoprim resistance in Haemophilus influenzae is due to altered dihydrofolate reductase(s). Biochemical Journal **274(3)**, 657-662. <u>https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2740657</u>

De Liguoro M, Cibin V, Capolongo F, Halling-Sørensen B, Montesissa. 2003. Use of oxytetracycline and tylosin in intensive calf farming: evaluation of transfer to manure and soil. Chemosphere **52(1)**, 203-212.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(03)00284-4

Deeks SL, Palacio R, Ruvinsky Rl, Kertesz DA, Hortal M, Rossi A, Group SpW. 1999. Risk factors and course of illness among children with invasive penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae. Pediatrics **103(2)**, 409-413. **D'Elios MM, Amedei A, Manghetti M, Costa F, Baldari CT, Quazi AS, del Prete G.** 1999. Impaired T-cell regulation of B-cell growth in Helicobacter pylori–related gastric low-grade MALT lymphoma. Gastroenterology **117(5)**, 1105-1112.

Discotto L, Lawrence L, Denbleyker K, Barrett J. 2001. Staphylococcus aureus mutants selected by BMS-284756. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **45(11)**, 3273-3275.

Doherty N, Trzcinski K, Pickerill P, Zawadzki P, Dowson, CG. 2000. Genetic Diversity of the <i>tet</i>(M) Gene in Tetracycline-Resistant Clonal Lineages of <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **44(11)**, 2979-2984.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.44.11.2979-2984.2000

Dong N, Yang X, Zhang R, Chan EWC, Chen S. 2018. Tracking microevolution events among ST11 carbapenemase-producing hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae outbreak strains. Emerging Microbes & Infections **7(1)**, 1-8.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0146-6

Dönhöfer A, Franckenberg S, Wickles S, Berninghausen Beckmann R, Wilson DN. 2012. Structural basis for TetM-mediated tetracycline resistance. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences **109(42)**, 16900-16905.

Donowitz GR, Mandell GL 1988. Beta-lactam antibiotics. New England Journal of Medicine, **318(7)**, 419-426.

Douthwaite S. 2001. Structure–activity relationships of ketolides vs. macrolides. Clinical Microbiology and Infection 7, 11-17.

Douthwaite S, Aagaard C. 1993. Erythromycin binding is reduced in ribosomes with conformational alterations in the 23 S rRNA peptidyl transferase loop. Journal of molecular biology **232(3)**, 725-731.

Douthwaite S, Champney WS. 2001. Structures of ketolides and macrolides determine their mode of interaction with the ribosomal target site. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **48(2)**, 1-8.

Douthwaite S, Hansen LH, Mauvais P. 2000. Macrolide–ketolide inhibition of MLS-resistant ribosomes is improved by alternative drug interaction with domain II of 23S rRNA. Molecular microbiology, **36(1)**, 183-193.

Dowell SF, Butler JC, GIEBINK GS, Jacobs MR, JERNIGAN D, MUSHER DM, SCHWARTZ B. 1999. Acute otitis media: management and surveillance in an era of pneumococcal resistance-a report from the Drugresistant Streptococcus pneumoniae Therapeutic Working Group. The Pediatric infectious disease journal **18(1)**, 1-9.

Drlica K, Zhao X. 1997. DNA gyrase, topoisomerase IV, and the 4-quinolones. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews **61(3)**, 377-392.

DuPont HL, Steele JH. 1987. Use of Antimicrobial Agents in Animal Feeds: Implications for Human Health. Reviews of infectious diseases **9(3)**, 447-460. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/9.3.447

Edwards RA, Puente JL. 1998. Fimbrial expression in enteric bacteria: a critical step in intestinal pathogenesis. Trends in microbiology **6(7)**, 282-287.

Eickhoff TC, Finland M, Wilcox C. 1965. Changing susceptibility of meningococci to antimicrobial agents. New England Journal of Medicine **272(8)**, 395-398.

Eliopoulos GM, Huovinen P. 2001. Resistance to Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole. Clinical infectious diseases **32(11)**, 1608-1614. https://doi.org/10.1086/320532 **Esnault C, Dulermo T, Smirnov A, Askora A, David M, Deniset-Besseau A, Virolle MJ.** 2017. Strong antibiotic production is correlated with highly active oxidative metabolism in Streptomyces coelicolor M145. Scientific reports **7(1)**, 1-10.

Esteban FM, Delia B, Iren EG, Campa A. 2000. Fluoroquinolones Inhibit Preferentially Streptococcus pneumoniae DNA Topoisomerase IV Than DNA Gyrase Native Proteins. Microbial Drug Resistance **6(4)**, 259-267.

https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2000.6.259

Falagas ME, Kasiakou SK, Saravolatz LD. 2005. Colistin: the revival of polymyxins for the management of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacterial infections. Clinical infectious diseases, **40(9)**, 1333-1341.

Farrell DJ, Morrissey I, Bakker S, Buckridge S, Felmingham D. 2005. Global distribution of TEM-1 and ROB-1 β-lactamases in Haemophilus influenzae. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, **56(4)**, 773-776.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki281

Feng Y, LuY, Yao Z, Zong Z. 2018. Carbapenem-Resistant Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae of Sequence Type 36. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **62(7)**, e02644-02617. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02644-17

Fermer C, Kristiansen BE, Sköld O, Swedberg G. 1995. Sulfonamide resistance in Neisseria meningitidis as defined by site-directed mutagenesis could have its origin in other species. Journal of bacteriology 177(16), 4669-4675.

https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.177.16.4669-4675.1995

Feßler AT, Kadlec K, Schwarz S. 2011. Novel apramycin resistance gene apmA in bovine and porcine methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus ST398 isolates. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **55(1)**, 373-375.

Finland M, Frank PF, Wilcox C. 1950. In vitro Susceptibility of Pathogenic Staphylococci to Seven Antibiotics. (Penicillin, Streptomycin, Bacitracin, Polymyxin, Aerosporin, Aureomyein and Chloromycetin). With a Note on the Changing Resistance of Staphylococci to Penicillin. American Journal of Clinical Pathology **20(4)**, 325-334.

Fisher JF, Meroueh SO, Mobashery S. 2005. Bacterial resistance to β -lactam antibiotics: compelling opportunism, compelling opportunity. Chemical reviews **105(2)**, 395-424.

Flach CF, Pal C, Svensson CJ, Kristiansson E, Östman M, Bengtsson-Palme J, Larsson DG J. 2017. Does antifouling paint select for antibiotic resistance? Science of the total environment 590-591, 461-468.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.213

Fleming A. 1929. On the antibacterial action of cultures of a penicillium, with special reference to their use in the isolation of B. influenzae. British journal of experimental pathology **10(3)**, 226.

Flexner S. 1913. The results of the serum treatment in thirteen hundred cases of epidemic meningitis. The Journal of experimental medicine **17(5)**, 553-576.

Floss HG, Yu TW. 2005. Rifamycin mode of action, resistance, and biosynthesis. Chemical reviews **105(2)**, 621-632.

Forsberg KJ, Reyes A, Wang B, Selleck EM, Sommer MOA, Dantas G. 2012. The Shared Antibiotic Resistome of Soil Bacteria and Human Pathogens. Science **337(6098)**, 1107-1111. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1220761

Fourmy D, Yoshizawa S, Puglisi JD. 1998. Paromomycin binding induces a local conformational change in the A-site of 16 S rRNA. Journal of molecular biology **277(2)**, 333-345.

Fredrickson JK, Hicks RJ, Li SW, Brockman

FJ. 1988. Plasmid Incidence in Bacteria from Deep Subsurface Sediments. Applied and environmental microbiology **54(12)**, 2916-2923.

https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.54.12.2916-2923.1988

Galimand M, Gerbaud G, Guibourdenche M, Riou JY, Courvalin P. 1998. High-level chloramphenicol resistance in Neisseria meningitidis. New England Journal of Medicine **339(13)**, 868-874.

Garoff L, Yadav K, Hughes D. 2018. Increased expression of Qnr is sufficient to confer clinical resistance to ciprofloxacin in Escherichia coli. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **73(2)**, 348-352.

Garza-Ramos G, Xiong L, Zhong P, Mankin A. 2001. Binding site of macrolide antibiotics on the ribosome: new resistance mutation identifies a specific interaction of ketolides with rRNA. Journal of bacteriology **183(23)**, 6898-6907.

Gavalchin J, Katz SE. 2020. The Persistence of Fecal-Borne Antibiotics in Soil. Journal of AOAC International 77(2), 481-485. https://doi.org/10.1093/jaoac/77.2.481

Ge M, Chen Z, Russell H, Onishi Kohler J, Silver LL, Kahne D. 1999. Vancomycin derivatives that inhibit peptidoglycan biosynthesis without binding D-Ala-D-Ala. Science **284(513)**, 507-511.

George LL, Borody TJ, Andrews P, Devine M, Moore-Jones D, Walton M, Brandi S. 1990. Cure of duodenal ulcer after eradication of Helicobacter pylori. Medical Journal of Australia, 153(3), 145-149.

Giamarellou H. 2006. Treatment options for multidrug-resistant bacteria. Expert review of antiinfective therapy **4(4)**, 601-618.

Gillings M, Boucher Y, Labbate M, Holmes A, Krishnan S, Holley M, Stokes H. 2008. The Evolution of Class 1 Integrons and the Rise of Antibiotic Resistance. Journal of bacteriology,

190(14), 5095-5100. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00152-08

Gnanamani A, Hariharan P, Paul-Satyaseela M. 2017. Staphylococcus aureus: Overview of bacteriology, clinical diseases, epidemiology, antibiotic resistance and therapeutic approach. Frontiers in Staphylococcus aureus **4**, 28.

Goh EB, Yim G, Tsui W, McClure J, Surette G, Davies J. 2002. Transcriptional modulation of bacterial gene expression by subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences **99(26)**, 17025-17030.

Gold HS, Moellering Jr RC. 1996. Antimicrobialdrug resistance. New England Journal of Medicine, **335(19)**, 1445-1453.

Golet EM, Strehler A, Alder AC, Giger W. 2002. Determination of Fluoroquinolone Antibacterial Agents in Sewage Sludge and Sludge-Treated Soil Using Accelerated Solvent Extraction Followed by Solid-Phase Extraction. Analytical Chemistry, 74(21), 5455-5462. https://doi.org/10.1021/ac025762m

Goñi-Urriza M, Pineau L, Capdepuy M, Roques C, Caumette P, Quentin C. 2000. Antimicrobial resistance of mesophilic Aeromonas spp. isolated from two European rivers. Journal of

https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/46.2.297

Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 46(2), 297-301.

Gotz A, Smalla K. 1997. Manure Enhances Plasmid Mobilization and Survival of Pseudomonas putida Introduced into Field Soil. Applied and environmental microbiology **63(5)**, 1980-1986. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.63.5.1980-1986.1997</u>

Griffey RH, Hofstadler SA, Sannes-Lowery KA, Ecker DJ, Crooke ST. 1999. Determinants of aminoglycoside-binding specificity for rRNA by using mass spectrometry. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences **96(18)**, 10129-10133. Gu D, Dong N, Zheng Z, Lin D, Huang M, Wang L, Chen S. 2018. A fatal outbreak of ST11 carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae in a Chinese hospital: a molecular epidemiological study. The Lancet infectious diseases, **18(1)**, 37-46.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30489-9

Hamscher G, Sczesny S, Höper H, Nau H. 2002. Determination of Persistent Tetracycline Residues in Soil Fertilized with Liquid Manure by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography with Electrospray Ionization Tandem Mass Spectrometry. Analytical Chemistry 74(7), 1509-1518.

https://doi.org/10.1021/ac015588m

Hancock RE. 1984. Alterations in outer membrane permeability. Annual review of microbiology **38(1)**, 237-264.

Hancock R, Farmer SW, Li Z, Poole K. 1991. Interaction of aminoglycosides with the outer membranes and purified lipopolysaccharide and OmpF porin of Escherichia coli. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **35(7)**, 1309-1314.

Hancock R, Raffle VJ, Nicas TI. 1981. Involvement of the outer membrane in gentamicin and streptomycin uptake and killing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, **19(5)**, 777-785.

Hansen LH, Mauvais P, Douthwaite S. 1999. The macrolide-ketolide antibiotic binding site is formed by structures in domains II and V of 23S ribosomal RNA. Molecular microbiology **31(2)**, 623-631.

Hartman-Neumann S, DenBleyker K, Pelosi L A, Lawrence LE, Barrett JF, Dougherty TJ. 2001. Selection and genetic characterization of Streptococcus pneumoniae mutants resistant to the des-F (6) quinolone BMS-284756. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **45(10)**, 2865-2870.

Harwood VJ, Brownell M, Perusek W, Whitlock JE. 2001. Vancomycin-Resistant <i>Enterococcus</i> spp. Isolated from Wastewater and Chicken Feces in the United States. Applied and environmental microbiology **67(10)**, 4930-4933. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.67.10.4930-4933.2001

Heaton VJ, Ambler JE, Fisher LM. 2000. Potent antipneumococcal activity of gemifloxacin is associated with dual targeting of gyrase and topoisomerase IV, an in vivo target preference for gyrase, and enhanced stabilization of cleavable complexes in vitro. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **44(11)**, 3112-3117.

Heaton VJ, Ambler JE, Fisher LM. 2000. Potent antipneumococcal activity of gemifloxacin is associated with dual targeting of gyrase and topoisomerase IV, an in vivo target preference for gyrase, and enhanced stabilization of cleavable complexes in vitro. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **44(11)**, 3112-3117.

Hebeisen P, Heinze-Krauss I, Angehrn P, Hohl P, Page MG, Then RL. 2001. In vitro and in vivo properties of Ro 63-9141, a novel broadspectrum cephalosporin with activity against methicillin-resistant staphylococci. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **45(3)**, 825-836.

Heisig P, Tschorny R. 1994. Characterization of fluoroquinolone-resistant mutants of Escherichia coli selected in vitro. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **38(6)**, 1284-1291.

Hendlin D, Stapley E, Jackson M, Wallick H, Miller A, Wolf F, Foltz E. 1969. Phosphonomycin, a new antibiotic produced by strains of Streptomyces. Science **166(3901)**, 122-123.

Hernández-Allés S, Albertí S, Álvarez D, Doménech-Sánchez A, Martínez-Martínez, L, Gil J, Benedí VJ. 1999. Porin expression in clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae. Microbiology, 145(3), 673-679. Heuer H, Krögerrecklenfort E, Wellington EMH, Egan S, van Elsas JD, Van Overbeek L, Smalla K. 2002. Gentamicin resistance genes in environmental bacteria: prevalence and transfer. FEMS Microbiology Ecology **42(2)**, 289-302. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2002.tb01019.x

Hingst V, Klippel KM, Sonntag HG. 1995. [Epidemiology of microbial resistance to biocides]. Zentralblatt fur Hygiene und Umweltmedizin = International journal of hygiene and environmental medicine **197(1-3)**, 232-251.

Hitchings GH. 1973. Mechanism of Action of Trimethoprim-Sulfamethoxazole—I. The Journal of infectious diseases, **1283**), S433-S436.

https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/128.Supplement 3.S4

Hof H. 1994. Macrolides, a group of antibiotics with a broad spectrum of activity. Immunitat und Infektion **22(2)**, 66-71.

Holmes A, Moore L, Sundsfjord A, Steinba M, Regmi S. 2016. Kar ey A., Guerin PJ and Piddoc LJV Understanding the mechanisms and drivers of antimicrobial resistance. Lancet **387**, 176-187.

Hooper DC. 2000. Mechanisms of action and resistance of older and newer fluoroquinolones. Clinical infectious diseases **31(2)**, S24-S28.

Hooper DC. 2003. Mechanisms of quinolone resistance. Quinolone antimicrobial agents, 41-67.

Hopkins KL, Davies RH, Threlfall EJ. 2005. Mechanisms of quinolone resistance in Escherichia coli and Salmonella: recent developments. International journal of antimicrobial agents **25(5)**, 358-373.

Hotomi M, Fujihara K, Billal DS, Suzuki K, Nishimura T, Baba S, Yamanaka N. 2007. Genetic Characteristics and Clonal Dissemination of β-Lactamase-Negative Ampicillin-Resistant

<i><i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> Strains Isolated from the Upper Respiratory Tract of Patients in Japan. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, **51(11)**, 3969-3976.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00422-07

Hsieh WC, Ho SW. 1975. Evaluation of antibacterial activities of cephalosporin antibiotics: cefazolin, cephaloridine, cephalothin, and cephalexin. Zhonghua Minguo wei sheng wu xue za zhi = Chinese journal of microbiology **8(1)**, 1-11.

Huang JQ, Sridhar S, Chen Y, Hunt RH. 1998. Meta-analysis of the relationship between Helicobacter pylori seropositivity and gastric cancer. Gastroenterology **114(6)**, 1169-1179.

Huang YH, Chou SH, Liang SW, Ni CE, Lin YT, Huang YW, Yang TC. 2018. Emergence of an XDR and carbapenemase-producing hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae strain in Taiwan. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy 73(8), 2039-2046. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dky164

Hutchinson DK. 2003. Oxazolidinone antibacterial agents: a critical review. Current topics in medicinal chemistry **3(9)**, 1021-1042.

Ito T, Katayama Y, Hiramatsu K. 1999. Cloning and nucleotide sequence determination of the entire mec DNA of pre-methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus N315. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **43(6)**, 1449-1458.

Iversen A, Kühn I, Franklin A, Möllby R. 2002. High Prevalence of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci in Swedish Sewage. Applied and environmental microbiology **68(6)**, 2838-2842. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.6.2838-2842.2002

Jarvis W R, Schlosser J, Chinn R Y, Tweeten S, Jackson M. 2007. National prevalence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in inpatients at US health care facilities, 2006. American journal of infection control **35(10)**, 631-637.

Jenkins SG, Brown SD, Farrell DJ. 2008. Trends in antibacterial resistance among Streptococcus pneumoniae isolated in the USA: update from PROTEKT US Years 1–4. Annals of Clinical Microbiology and Antimicrobials **7(1)**, 1. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-0711-7-1

Jensen LB, Baloda S, Boye M, Aarestrup FM. 2001. Antimicrobial resistance among Pseudomonas spp. and the Bacillus cereus group isolated from Danish agricultural soil. Environment international, **26(7)**, 581-587.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(01)00045-9

Jung D, Rozek A, Okon M, Hancock RE. 2004. Structural transitions as determinants of the action of the calcium-dependent antibiotic daptomycin. Chemistry & biology **11(7)**, 949-957.

Jutkina J, Marathe NP, Flach CF, Larsson DGJ. 2018. Antibiotics and common antibacterial biocides stimulate horizontal transfer of resistance at low concentrations. Science of the total environment, 616-617, 172-178.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.312

Kadlec K, Schwarz S. 2009. Novel ABC transporter gene, vga (C), located on a multiresistance plasmid from a porcine methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus ST398 strain. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **53(8)**, 3589-3591.

Kahan FM, Kahan J S, Cassidy PJ, Kropp H. 1974. The mechanism of action of fosfomycin (phosphonomycin). Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences **235(1)**, 364-386.

Kaplan SL, Mason Jr EO. 1998. Management of infections due to antibiotic-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae. Clinical microbiology reviews **11(4)**, 628-644.

Katayama Y, Ito T, Hiramatsu K. 2000. A new class of genetic element, staphylococcus cassette chromosome mec, encodes methicillin resistance in

Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **44(6)**, 1549-1555.

Keeney D, Ruzin A, McAleese F, Murphy E, Bradford PA. 2008. MarA-mediated overexpression of the AcrAB efflux pump results in decreased susceptibility to tigecycline in Escherichia coli. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **61(1)**, 46-53.

Kelley TR, Pancorbo OC, Merka WC, Barnhart HM. 1998. Antibiotic resistance of bacterial litter isolates. Poultry Science 77(2), 243-247.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/77.2.243

Kimura M, Ohta T. 1969. The Average Number of Generations until Fixation of a Mutant Gene in a Finite Population. Genetics **61(3)**, 763-771. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/61.3.763

King DE, Malone R, Lilley SH. 2000. New classification and update on the quinolone antibiotics. American family physician **61(9)**, 2741-2748.

Kislak JW. 1972. The susceptibility of Bacteroides fragilis to 24 antibiotics. Journal of Infectious Diseases **125(3)**, 295-299.

Klein NC, Cunha BA. 1995. Third-generation cephalosporins. Med Clin North Am **79(4)**, 705-719. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/s0025-7125(16)30034-7</u>

Knöppel A, Näsvall J, Andersson DI. 2017. Evolution of Antibiotic Resistance without Antibiotic Exposure. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, **61(11)**, e01495-01417.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01495-17

Kothari C, Gaind R, Singh LC, Sinha A, Kumari V, Arya S, Deb M. 2013. Community acquisition of β -lactamase producing Enterobacteriaceae in neonatal gut. BMC microbiology **13(1)**, 1-6.

Kotra LP, Haddad J, Mobashery S. 2000.

Aminoglycosides: perspectives on mechanisms of action and resistance and strategies to counter resistance. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, **44(12)**, 3249-3256.

Kotra LP, Haddad J, Mobashery S. 2000. Aminoglycosides: perspectives on mechanisms of action and resistance and strategies to counter resistance. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, **44(12)**, 3249-3256.

Kümmerer K. 2001. Drugs in the environment: emission of drugs, diagnostic aids and disinfectants into wastewater by hospitals in relation to other sources – a review. Chemosphere **45(6)**, 957-969. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-6535(01)00144-8

Kümmerer K, Henninger A. 2003. Promoting resistance by the emission of antibiotics from hospitals and households into effluent. Clinical Microbiology and Infection **9(12)**, 1203-1214. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2003.00739.x

Lakey JH, Lea EJ. 1986. The role of acyl chain character and other determinants on the bilayer activity of A21978C an acidic lipopeptide antibiotic. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes, **859(2)**, 219-226.

Lakey JH, Ptak M. 1988. Fluorescence indicates a calcium-dependent interaction between the lipopeptide antibiotic LY 146032 and phospholipid membranes. Biochemistry **27(13)**, 4639-4645.

Lancet T. 2009. Urgently needed: new antibiotics 374, p 1868, Elsevier.

Landman D, Babu E, Shah N, Kelly P, Bäcker M, Bratu S, Quale J. 2010. Activity of a novel aminoglycoside, ACHN-490, against clinical isolates of Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae from New York City. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **65(10)**, 2123-2127.

Lee W, McDonough MA, Kotra LP, LiZH,

Silvaggi NR, Takeda Y, Mobashery S. 2001. A 1.2-Å snapshot of the final step of bacterial cell wall biosynthesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **98(4)**, 1427-1431.

Letten AD, Hall AR, Levine JM. 2021. Using ecological coexistence theory to understand antibiotic resistance and microbial competition. Nature Ecology & Evolution **5(4)**, 431-441.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-01385-w

Levy SB. 2001. Antibiotic Resistance: Consequences of Inaction. Clinical infectious diseases **33(3)**, S124-S129. https://doi.org/10.1086/321837

Li J, Nation RL. 2006. Old polymyxins are back: is resistance close? Clinical infectious diseases **43(5)**, 663-664.

Li XZ, Plésiat P, Nikaido H. 2015. The challenge of efflux-mediated antibiotic resistance in Gramnegative bacteria. Clinical microbiology reviews, **28(2)**, 337-418.

Lim S, Bast D, McGeer A, de Azavedo J, Low DE. 2003. Antimicrobial susceptibility breakpoints and first-step parC mutations in Streptococcus pneumoniae: redefining fluoroquinolone resistance. Emerging infectious diseases **9(7)**, 833-837. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0907.020589

Lin AH, Murray RW, Vidmar TJ, Marotti KR. 1997. The oxazolidinone eperezolid binds to the 50S ribosomal subunit and competes with binding of chloramphenicol and lincomycin. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **41(10)**, 2127-2131.

Lin E. 1976. Glycerol dissimilation and its regulation in bacteria. Annual review of microbiology **30(1)**, 535-578.

Linares JF, Gustafsson I, Baquero F, Martinez J. 2006. Antibiotics as intermicrobial signaling agents instead of weapons. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences 103(51), 19484-19489.

Linden PK. 2002. Treatment options for vancomycin-resistant enterococcal infections. Drugs, **62(3)**, 425-441.

Liu YY, Wang Y, Walsh TR, Yi LX, Zhang R, Spencer J, Huang X. 2016. Emergence of plasmidmediated colistin resistance mechanism MCR-1 in animals and human beings in China: a microbiological and molecular biological study. The Lancet infectious diseases **16(2)**, 161-168.

Llano-Sotelo B, Hickerson RP, Lancaster L, Noller HF, Mankin AS. 2009. Fluorescently labeled ribosomes as a tool for analyzing antibiotic binding. Rna, **15(8)**, 1597-1604.

Lopez P, Espinosa M, Greenberg B, Lacks SA. 1987. Sulfonamide resistance in Streptococcus pneumoniae: DNA sequence of the gene encoding dihydropteroate synthase and characterization of the enzyme. Journal of bacteriology **169(9)**, 4320-4326. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.169.9.4320-4326.1987

Lorber B. 1997. Listeriosis. Clinical Infectious Diseases 24(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1093/clinids/24.1.1

Lorenz MG, Wackernagel W. 1994. Bacterial gene transfer by natural genetic transformation in the environment. Microbiological reviews **58(3)**, 563 https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.58.3.563-602.1994

Lowy FD. 1998. Staphylococcus aureus infections. New England Journal of Medicine **339(8)**, 520-532.

Lupo A, Saras E, Madec JY, Haenni M. 2018. Emergence of bla CTX-M-55 associated with fosA, rmtB and mcr gene variants in Escherichia coli from various animal species in France. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **73(4)**, 867-872.

Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas M, Giske C, Olsson-

Liljequist B. 2012. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clinical Microbiology and Infection **18(3)**, 268-281.

Maiden MC. 1998. Horizontal genetic exchange, evolution, and spread of antibiotic resistance in bacteria. Clinical infectious diseases **27(1)**, S12-S20.

Maiti SN, Phillips OA, Micetich RG, Livermore DM. 1998. Beta-lactamase inhibitors: agents to overcome bacterial resistance. Current medicinal chemistry **5(6)**, 441-456.

Malabarba A, Ciabatti R. 2001. Glycopeptide derivatives. Current medicinal chemistry 8(14), 1759-1773.

Malouin F, Blais J, Chamberland S, Hoang M, Park C, Chan C, Liu E. 2003. RWJ-54428 (MC-02,479), a new cephalosporin with high affinity for penicillin-binding proteins, including PBP 2a, and stability to staphylococcal beta-lactamases. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **47(2)**, 658-664.

Marcinek H, Wirth R, Muscholl-Silberhorn A, Gauer M. 1998. <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i> Gene Transfer under Natural Conditions in Municipal Sewage Water Treatment Plants. Applied and environmental microbiology **64(2)**, 626-632. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.64.2.626-632.1998

Marcusson LL, Frimodt-Møller N, Hughes D. 2009. Interplay in the selection of fluoroquinolone resistance and bacterial fitness. PLoS pathogens, **5(8)**, e1000541.

Marengo JR, Kok RA, O'Brien K, Velagaleti RR, Stamm JM. 1997. Aerobic biodegradation of (14C)-sarafloxacin hydrochloride in soil. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 16(3), 462-471.

https://doi.org/10.1002/etc.5620160311

Marques AM, Congregado F, Simonpujol DM. 1979. Antibiotic and Heavy Metal Resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolated from Soils. Journal of Applied Bacteriology **47(2)**, 347-350.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1979.tb01765.x

Martin WJ, Gardner M, Washington JA. 1972. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of anaerobic bacteria isolated from clinical specimens. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **1(2)**, 148-158.

Matsuo M, Cui L, Kim J, Hiramatsu K. 2013. Comprehensive identification of mutations responsible for heterogeneous vancomycinintermediate Staphylococcus aureus (hVISA)-to-VISA conversion in laboratory-generated VISA strains hVISA derived from clinical strain Mu3. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy 57(12), 5843-5853.

McKeon DM, Calabrese JP, Bissonnette GK. 1995. Antibiotic resistant gram-negative bacteria in rural groundwater supplies. Water Research **29(8)**, 1902-1908.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(95)00013-B

McNicol LA, Aziz KM, Huq I, Kaper JB, Lockman HA, Remmers EF, Colwell RR. 1980. Isolation of drug-resistant Aeromonas hydrophila from aquatic environments. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **17(3)**, 477-483.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.17.3.477

Mena A, Plasencia V, García L, Hidalgo O, Ayestarán JI, Alberti S, Oliver A. 2006. Characterization of a large outbreak by CTX-M-1producing Klebsiella pneumoniae and mechanisms leading to in vivo carbapenem resistance development. Journal of clinical microbiology, 44(8), 2831-2837.

Menninger JR. 1995. Mechanism of inhibition of protein synthesis by macrolide and lincosamide antibiotics. Journal of basic and clinical physiology

and pharmacology 6(3-4), 229-250.

Michael GB, Freitag C, Wendlandt S, Eidam C, Feßler AT, Lopes, GV, Schwarz S. 2015. Emerging issues in antimicrobial resistance of bacteria from food-producing animals. Future Microbiology **10(3)**, 427-443.

https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.14.93

Miller WR, Munita JM, Arias CA. 2014. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in enterococci. Expert review of anti-infective therapy **12(10)**, 1221-1236.

Mingeot-Leclercq MP, Glupczynski Y, Tulkens PM. 1999. Aminoglycosides: activity and resistance. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **43(4)**, 727-737.

Morar M, Wright GD. 2010. The Genomic Enzymology of Antibiotic Resistance. Annual Review of Genetics **44(1)**, 25-51.

https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-102209-163517

Morgan RC, Guerry P, Colwell RR. 1976. Antibiotic resistant bacteria in Chesapeake Bay. Chesapeake Science 17(3), 216-219. https://doi.org/10.2307/1351201

Morrissey I, George J. 1999. Activities of fluoroquinolones against Streptococcus pneumoniae type II topoisomerases purified as recombinant proteins. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, **43(11)**, 2579-2585.

Morrissey I, George JT. 2000. Purification of pneumococcal type II topoisomerases and inhibition by gemifloxacin and other quinolones. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **45(3)**, 101-101.

Muela A, Pocino M, Arana I, Justo JI, Iriberri J, Barcina I. 1994. Effect of growth phase and parental cell survival in river water on plasmid transfer between Escherichia coli strains. Applied and

environmental microbiology **60(12)**, 4273-4278. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.60.12.4273-4278.1994

Mulligan ME, Murray-Leisure KA, Ribner BS, Standiford HC, JohnJF, Korvick JA, Victor LY. 1993. Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: a consensus review of the microbiology, pathogenesis, and epidemiology with implications for prevention and management. The American journal of medicine **94(3)**, 313-328.

Nazir J, Urban C, Mariano N, Burns J, Tommasulo B, Rosenber C, Rahal JJ. 2004. Quinolone-Resistant Haemophilus influenzae in a Long-Term Care Facility: Clinical and Molecular Epidemiology. Clinical Infectious Diseases **38(11)**, 1564-1569.

https://doi.org/10.1086/420820

Nichols WW, Young SN. 1985. Respirationdependent uptake of dihydrostreptomycin by Escherichia coli. Its irreversible nature and lack of evidence for a uniport process. Biochemical Journal, 228(2), 505-512.

Niederman MS. 2015. Macrolide-Resistant Pneumococcus in Community-acquired Pneumonia. Is There Still a Role for Macrolide Therapy? American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, **191(11)**, 1216-1217.

https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201504-0701ED

Nikaido H. 2001. Preventing drug access to targets: cell surface permeability barriers and active efflux in bacteria. Paper presented at the Seminars in cell & developmental biology.

Nikaido H. 2003. Molecular basis of bacterial outer membrane permeability revisited. Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews **67(4)**, 593-656.

Nikaido H. 2009. Multidrug resistance in bacteria. Annual review of biochemistry **78**, 119-146.

Nolte O. 1997. Rifampicin resistance in Neisseria

meningitidis: evidence from a study of sibling strains, description of new mutations and notes on population genetics. The Journal of antimicrobial chemotherapy, **39(6)**, 747-755.

Okamoto MP, Nakahiro RK, Chin A, Bedikian A, Gill MA. 1994. Cefepime: A new fourthgeneration cephalosporin. American Journal of Hospital Pharmacy **51(4)**, 463-477. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajhp/51.4.463

Oloke J. 2000. Activity pattern of natural and synthetic antibacterial agents among hospital isolates. Microbios **102(403)**, 175-181.

Olson MW, Ruzin A, Feyfant E, Rush III TS, O'Connell J, Bradford PA. 2006. Functional, biophysical, and structural bases for antibacterial activity of tigecycline. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **50(6)**, 2156-2166.

Onodera Y, Uchida Y, Tanaka M, Sato K. 1999. Dual inhibitory activity of sitafloxacin (DU-6859a) against DNA gyrase and topoisomerase IV of Streptococcus pneumoniae. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, **44(4)**, 533-536.

Oppenheim BA. 1997. Antibiotic resistance in Neisseria meningitidis. Clinical infectious diseases, **24(1)**, S98-S101.

Pang Y, Brown BA, Steingrube VA, Wallace
RJ, Roberts MC. 1994. Tetracycline resistance
determinants in Mycobacterium and Streptomyces
species. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy,
38(6), 1408-1412.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.38.6.1408

Partridge SR, Kwong SM, Firth N, Jensen SO. 2018. Mobile Genetic Elements Associated with Antimicrobial Resistance. Clinical microbiology reviews **31(4)**, e00088-00017. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00088-17

Pawlowski AC, Wang W, Koteva K, Barton HA,

McArthur AG, Wright GD. 2016. A diverse intrinsic antibiotic resistome from a cave bacterium. Nature communications **7(1)**, 13803. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13803

Peric M, Bozdogan B, Galderisi C, Krissinger D, Rager T, Appelbaum PC. 2004. Inability of L22 ribosomal protein alteration to increase macrolide MICs in the absence of efflux mechanism in Haemophilus influenzae HMC-S. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **54(2)**, 393-400. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh364

Peric M, Bozdogan B, Jacobs MR, Appelbaum PC. 2003. Effects of an Efflux Mechanism and Ribosomal Mutations on Macrolide Susceptibility of <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> Clinical Isolates. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy **47(3)**, 1017-1022.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.47.3.1017-1022.2003

Piddock LJ, Jin YF, Ricci V, Asuquo AE. 1999. Quinolone accumulation by Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **43(1)**, 61-70.

Poirel L, Jayol A, Nordmann P. 2017. Polymyxins: antibacterial activity, susceptibility testing, and resistance mechanisms encoded by plasmids or chromosomes. Clinical microbiology reviews **30(2)**, 557-596.

Poole K. 2000. Efflux-mediated resistance to fluoroquinolones in gram-negative bacteria. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **44(9)**, 2233-2241.

Poole K. 2000. Multidrug efflux pumps and antimicrobial resistance in Pseudomonas aeruginosa and related organisms. Journal of molecular microbiology and biotechnology **3(2)**, 255-264.

Poole K. 2002. Outer membranes and efflux: the path to multidrug resistance in Gram-negative

bacteria. Current pharmaceutical biotechnology, **3(2)**, 77-98.

Powell M, Livermore DM. 1988. Mechanisms of chloramphenicol resistance in Haemophilus influenzae in the United Kingdom. Journal of Medical Microbiology **27(2)**, 89-93.

https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-27-2-89

Procópio REdL, Silva IRd, Martins MK, Azevedo JLd, Araújo JMd. 2012. Antibiotics produced by Streptomyces. Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases 16(5), 466-471.

Projan SJ. 2000. Preclinical pharmacology of GAR-936, a novel glycylcycline antibacterial agent. Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy **20(9P2)**, 219S-223 S.

Quesada A, Porrero MC, Téllez S, Palomo G, García M, Domínguez L. 2015. Polymorphism of genes encoding PmrAB in colistin-resistant strains of Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica isolated from poultry and swine. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **70(1)**, 71-74.

Rådström P, Fermér C, Kristiansen BE, Jenkins A, Sköld O, Swedberg G. 1992. Transformational exchanges in the dihydropteroate synthase gene of Neisseria meningitidis: a novel mechanism for acquisition of sulfonamide resistance. Journal of bacteriology **174(20)**, 6386-6393 <u>https://doi.org/0.1128/jb.174.20.6386-6393.1992</u>

Ramirez MS, Tolmasky ME. 2010. Aminoglycoside modifying enzymes. Drug resistance updates **13(6)**, 151-171.

Rappuoli, R, Mandl CW, Black S, De GregorioE. 2011. Vaccines for the twenty-first century society.Nature reviews immunology 11(12), 865-872.

Razavi M, Kristiansson E, Flach CF, Larsson DGJ, LaPara TM. 2020. The Association between

Insertion Sequences and Antibiotic Resistance Genes. mSphere **5(5)**, e00418-00420. <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00418-20</u>

Razavi M, Marathe NP, Gillings MR, Flach CF, Kristiansso E, Joakim Larsson DG. 2017. Discovery of the fourth mobile sulfonamide resistance gene. Microbiome **5(1)**, 160.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0379-y

Reinthaler FF, Posch J, Feierl G, Wüst G, Haas D, Ruckenbauer G, Marth E. 2003. Antibiotic resistance of E. coli in sewage and sludge. Water Research **37(8)**, 1685-1690.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00569-9

Rinke C, Schwientek P, Sczyrba A, Ivanova NN, Anderson IJ, ChengJF, Woyke T. 2013. Insights into the phylogeny and coding potential of microbial dark matter. Nature **499(7459)**, 431-437. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12352

Ristuccia AM, Cunha BA. 1985. An overview of amikacin. Therapeutic drug monitoring, **7(1)**, 12-25.

Roberts MC, Swenson C.D, Owens LM, Smith AL. 1980. Characterization of chloramphenicolresistant Haemophilus influenzae. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy **18(4)**, 610-615. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.18.4.610

Robicsek A, Strahilevitz J, Jacoby GA, Macielag M, Abbanat D, Hye Park C, Hooper DC. 2006. Fluoroquinolone-modifying enzyme: a new adaptation of a common aminoglycoside acetyltransferase. Nature medicine **12(1)**, 83-88.

Rodríguez-Martínez JM, López L, García I, Pascual A. 2006. Characterization of a clinical isolate of Haemophilus influenzae with a high level of fluoroquinolone resistance. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **57(3)**, 577-578. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dki488

Rolinson G. 1979. 6-APA and the development of

the β -lactam antibiotics. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **5(1)**, 7-14.

Rolinson G. 1979. 6-APA and the development of the β -lactam antibiotics. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **5(1)**, 7-14.

Rolinson G, Stevens S, Batchelor F, Wood JC, Chain E. 1960. Bacteriological studies on a new penicillin-BRL. 1241. Lancet 564-567.

Römling U, Wingender J, Müller H, Tümmler B. 1994. A major Pseudomonas aeruginosa clone common to patients and aquatic habitats. Applied and environmental microbiology **60(6)**, 1734-1738. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.60.6.1734-1738.1994

Ronald A, Jagdis F, Harding G, Hoban S, Muir P, Gurwith M. 1977. Amoxicillin therapy of acute urinary infections in adults. Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy **11(5)**, 780-784.

Rosenblum R, Khan E, Gonzalez G, Hasan R, Schneiders T. 2011. Genetic regulation of the ramA locus and its expression in clinical isolates of Klebsiella pneumoniae. International journal of antimicrobial agents **38(1)**, 39-45.

Roulston KJ, Bharucha T, Turton JF, Hopkins KL, Mack DJF. 2018. A case of NDMcarbapenemase-producing hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae sequence type 23 from the UK. JMM case reports **5(9)**, e005130-e005130.

https://doi.org/10.1099/jmmcr.0.005130

Roychoudhury S, Catrenich C E, McIntosh EJ, McKeever HD, Makin KM, Koenigs PM, Ledoussal B. 2001. Quinolone resistance in staphylococci: activities of new nonfluorinated quinolones against molecular targets in whole cells and clinical isolates. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **45(4)**, 1115-1120.

Ryan B, Ho HT, Wu P, Frosco MB, Dougherty T, Barrett JF. 2000. 40th Interscience Conference on antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy (ICAAC). Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs **9(12)**, 2945-2972.

Rybak M J, Hershberger E, Moldovan T, Grucz RG. 2000. In vitro activities of daptomycin, vancomycin, linezolid, and quinupristin-dalfopristin against staphylococci and enterococci, including vancomycin-intermediate and-resistant strains. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **44(4)**, 1062-1066.

Sácz-NietoJA, Lujan R, Berrón S, Campos J, Viñas M, Fusté C, Martinez-Suarez JV. 1992. Epidemiology and molecular basis of penicillinresistant Neisseria meningitidis in Spain: a 5-year history (1985–1989). Clinical infectious diseases 14(2), 394-402.

Samuelsen O B, Torsvik V, Ervik A. 1992. Longrange changes in oxytetracycline concentration and bacterial resistance towards oxytetracycline in a fish farm sediment after medication. Science of the total environment **114**, 25-36.

https://doi.org/10.1016/0048-9697(92)90411-K

Sánchez L, Leranoz S, Puig M, Lorén JG, Nikaido H, Viñas M. 1997a. Molecular basis of antimicrobial resistance in non-typable Haemophilus influenzae. Microbiologia (Madrid, Spain) **13(3)**, 309-314.

Sánchez L, Pan W, Viñas M, Nikaido H. 1997b. The acrAB homolog of Haemophilus influenzae codes for a functional multidrug efflux pump. Journal of bacteriology **179(21)**, 6855-6857.

https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.21.6855-6857.1997

Sanders Jr WE, Sanders CC. 1996. Piperacillin/tazobactam: a critical review of the evolving clinical literature. Clinical Infectious Diseases **22(1)**, 107-123.

Saravolatz LD, Eliopoulos GM. 2003. Quinupristin-dalfopristin and linezolid: evidence and

opinion. Clinical infectious diseases 36(4), 473-481.

Sauvage E, Terrak M. 2016. Glycosyltransferases and transpeptidases/penicillin-binding proteins: valuable targets for new antibacterials. Antibiotics, **5(1)**, 12.

Schaad UB, Suter S, Gianella-Borradori A, Pfenninger J, Auckenthaler R, Bernath O, Wedgwood J. 1990. A Comparison of Ceftriaxone and Cefuroxime for the Treatment of Bacterial Meningitis in Children. New England Journal of Medicine **322(3)**, 141-147.

https://doi.org/10.1056/nejm199001183220301

Schlünzen F, Zarivach R, Harms J, Bashan, A, Tocilj A, Albrecht R, Franceschi F. 2001. Structural basis for the interaction of antibiotics with the peptidyl transferase centre in eubacteria. Nature **413(6858)**, 814-821.

Schlünzen F, Zarivach R, Harms J, Bashan A, Tocilj A, Albrecht R, Franceschi F. 2001. Structural basis for the interaction of antibiotics with the peptidyl transferase centre in eubacteria. Nature **413(6858)**, 814-821.

Schulz F, Eloe-Fadrosh EA, Bowers RM, Jarett J, Nielsen T, Ivanova NN, Woyke T. 2017. Towards a balanced view of the bacterial tree of life. Microbiome **5(1)**, 140.

https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0360-9

Schwartz T, Kohnen W, Jansen B, Obst U. 2003. Detection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and their resistance genes in wastewater, surface water, and drinking water biofilms. FEMS Microbiology Ecology **43(3)**, 325-335.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2003.tb01073.x

Schwartz T, Kohnen W, Jansen B, Obst U. 2003. Detection of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and their resistance genes in wastewater, surface water, and drinking water biofilms. FEMS Microbiology Ecology **43(3)**, 325-335.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2003.tb01073.x

Schwarz S, Johnson AP. 2016. Transferable resistance to colistin: a new but old threat. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **71(8)**, 2066-2070.

Schwarz S, Kehrenberg C, Doublet B, Cloeckaert A. 2004. Molecular basis of bacterial resistance to chloramphenicol and florfenicol. FEMS microbiology reviews **28(5)**, 519-542.

Scornec H, Bellanger X, Guilloteau H, Groshenry G, Merlin C. 2017. Inducibility of Tn916 conjugative transfer in Enterococcus faecalis by subinhibitory concentrations of ribosometargeting antibiotics. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **72(10)**, 2722-2728. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx202

Sette A, Rappuoli R. 2010. Reverse vaccinology: developing vaccines in the era of genomics. Immunity **33(4)**, 530-541.

Shaikh S, Fatima J, Shakil S, Rizvi SMD, Kamal MA. 2015. Antibiotic resistance and extended spectrum beta-lactamases: Types, epidemiology and treatment. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences, **22(1)**, 90-101.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2014.08.002

Shin S W, Shin MK, Jung M, Belaynehe KM, Yoo HS. 2015. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance and transfer of tetracycline resistance genes in Escherichia coli isolates from beef cattle. Applied and environmental microbiology **81(16)**, 5560-5566.

Shin SY, Bae IK, Kim J, Jeong S H, Yong D, Kim JM, Lee K. 2012. Resistance to carbapenems in sequence type 11 Klebsiella pneumoniae is related to DHA-1 and loss of OmpK35 and/or OmpK36. Journal of medical microbiology **61(2)**, 239-245.

Shintani M, Nour E, Elsayed T, Blau K, Wall I, Jechalke S, Smalla K. 2020. Plant Species-Dependent Increased Abundance and Diversity of IncP-1 Plasmids in the Rhizosphere: New Insights Into Their Role and Ecology. Frontiers in microbiology **11**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.590776

Shrestha LB. 2005. Life expectancy in the United States.

Shvartzman P, Tabenkin H, Rosentzwaig A, Dolginov F. 1993. Treatment of streptococcal pharyngitis with amoxycillin once a day. British Medical Journal **306(6886)**, 1170-1172.

Silver LL. 2017. Fosfomycin: mechanism and resistance. Cold Spring Harbor perspectives in medicine **7(2)**, a025262.

Smith A M, Klugman KP. 1998. Alterations in PBP 1A Essential for High-Level Penicillin Resistance in <i>Streptococcus pneumoniae</i>. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **42(6)**, 1329-1333. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.42.6.1329

Sum PE, Petersen P. 1999. Synthesis and structure-activity relationship of novel glycylcycline derivatives leading to the discovery of GAR-936. Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters **9(10)**, 1459-1462.

Sum PE, Lee VJ, Testa RT, Hlavka JJ, Ellestad GA, Bloom JD, Tally FP. 1994. Glycylcyclines. 1. A new generation of potent antibacterial agents through modification of 9-aminotetracyclines. Journal of medicinal chemistry **37(1)**, 184-188.

Surgers L, Boyd A, Girard PM, Arlet G, Decré
D. 2016. ESBL-producing strain of hypervirulent
Klebsiella pneumoniae K2, France. Emerging infectious diseases 22(9), 1687.

Swaney SM, Aoki H, Ganoza MC, Shinabarger DL. 1998. The oxazolidinone linezolid inhibits initiation of protein synthesis in bacteria. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **42(12)**, 3251-3255.

Swartz MN. 2004. Bacterial meningitis—a view of the past 90 years. New England Journal of Medicine, **351(18)**, 1826-1828.

Swick MC, Morgan-Linnell SK, Carlson K M, Zechiedrich L. 2011. Expression of multidrug efflux pump genes acrAB-tolC, mdfA, and norE in Escherichia coli clinical isolates as a function of fluoroquinolone and multidrug resistance. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **55(2)**, 921-924.

Taber HW, Mueller JP, Miller PF, Arrow A. 1987. Bacterial uptake of aminoglycoside antibiotics. Microbiological reviews **51(4)**, 439-457.

Tally F, Ellestad G, Testa R. 1995. Glycylcyclines: a new generation of tetracyclines. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy **35(4)**, 449-452.

Tartaglione TA, Polk RE. 1985. Review of the New Second-Generation Cephalosporins: Cefonicid, Ceforanide, and Cefuroxime. Drug Intelligence & Clinical Pharmacy **19(3)**, 188-198.

https://doi.org/10.1177/106002808501900304

Telenti A, Imboden P, Marches F, Matter L, Schopfer K, Bodmer T, Cole S. 1993. Detection of rifampicin-resistance mutations in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. The Lancet **341(8846)**, 647-651.

Thorne GM, Alder J. 2002. Daptomycin: a novel lipopeptide antibiotic. Clinical Microbiology Newsletter **24(5)**, 33-40.

Tipper DJ, Strominger JL. 1965. Mechanism of action of penicillins: a proposal based on their structural similarity to acyl-D-alanyl-D-alanine. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **54(4)**, 1133.

Tolls J. 2001. Sorption of Veterinary Pharmaceuticals in Soils: A Review. Environmental Science & Technology **35(17)**, 3397-3406. https://doi.org/10.1021/es0003021

Top E, Smet ID, Verstraete W, Dijkmans R, Mergeay M. 1994. Exogenous Isolation of Mobilizing Plasmids from Polluted Soils and Sludges. Applied and environmental microbiology **60(3)**, 831-839.

https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.60.3.831-839.1994

Tran JH, Jacoby GA, Hooper DC. 2005. Interaction of the plasmid-encoded quinolone resistance protein Qnr with Escherichia coli DNA gyrase. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy, **49(1)**, 118-125.

Ullah H, Ali S. 2017. Classification of anti-bacterial agents and their functions. Antibacterial agents **10**, 1-10.

Vaara M. 1992. Agents that increase the permeability of the outer membrane. Microbiological reviews **56(3)**, 395-411.

van Heijenoort J, Gutmann L. 2000. Correlation between the structure of the bacterial peptidoglycan monomer unit, the specificity of transpeptidation, and susceptibility to β -lactams. Proceedings of the national academy of sciences **97(10)**, 5028-5030.

Vandecraen J, Chandler M, Aertsen A, Van Houdt R. 2017. The impact of insertion sequences on bacterial genome plasticity and adaptability. Critical Reviews in Microbiology **43(6)**, 709-730. https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2017.1303661

Vollmer W, Bertsche U. 2008. Murein (peptidoglycan) structure, architecture and biosynthesis in Escherichia coli. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes 1778(9), 1714-1734.

Waglechner N, Wright GD. 2017. Antibiotic resistance: it's bad, but why isn't it worse? BMC Biology **15(1)**, 84. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-017-0423-1

Wang X, Chen H, Zhang Y, Wang Q, Zhao C, Li

H, **Li S.** 2015. Genetic characterisation of clinical Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates with reduced susceptibility to tigecycline: role of the global regulator RamA and its local repressor RamR. International journal of antimicrobial agents **45(6)**, 635-640.

Wang X, Zhu Y, Hua X, Chen F, Wang C, Zhang Y, Zhang W. 2018. F14: A-: B-and IncX4 Inc group cfr-positive plasmids circulating in Escherichia coli of animal origin in Northeast China. Veterinary microbiology **217**, 53-57.

Wang XM, Dong Z, Schwarz, S, Zhu Y, Hua X, Zhang Y, Zhang WJ. 2017. Plasmids of diverse Inc groups disseminate the fosfomycin resistance gene fosA3 among Escherichia coli isolates from pigs, chickens, and dairy cows in Northeast China. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **61(9)**, e00859-00817.

Waters B, Davies J. 1997. Amino acid variation in the GyrA subunit of bacteria potentially associated with natural resistance to fluoroquinolone antibiotics. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **41(12)**, 2766-2769.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.41.12.2766

Wei Dd, Wan LG, Deng Q, Liu Y. 2016. Emergence of KPC-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae hypervirulent clone of capsular serotype K1 that belongs to sequence type 11 in Mainland China. Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease, **85(2)**, 192-194.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2015.03.012

Weston N, Sharma P, Ricci V, Piddock LJ. 2018. Regulation of the AcrAB-TolC efflux pump in Enterobacteriaceae. Research in microbiology, 169(7-8), 425-431.

Whitney CG, Farley MM, Hadler J, Harrison LH, Lexau C, Reingold A, Zell ER. 2000. Increasing prevalence of multidrug-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae in the United States. New England Journal of Medicine 343(26), 1917-1924.

WHO G. 2018. Antibiotic resistance: World Health Organization Geneva.

WHO. 2014. Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance: World Health Organization.

Widdowson CA, Klugman KP. 1999. Molecular mechanisms of resistance to commonly used nonbetalactam drugs in Streptococcus pneumoniae. Seminars in respiratory infections 14(3), 255-268.

Wiener P, Egan S, Wellington EMH. 1998. Evidence for transfer of antibiotic-resistance genes in soil populations of streptomycetes. Molecular Ecology 7(9), 1205-1216. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00450.x

Wilson DN. 2014. Ribosome-targeting antibiotics and mechanisms of bacterial resistance. Nature Reviews Microbiology **12(1)**, 35-48.

Winterscheid KK, Whittington W, Roberts MC, Schwebke JR, Holmes KK. 1994. Decreased susceptibility to penicillin G and Tet M plasmids in genital and anorectal isolates of Neisseria meningitidis. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **38(7)**, 1661-1663.

Witte W. 1998. Medical Consequences of Antibiotic Use in Agriculture. Science **279(5353)**, 996-997. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.279.5353.996

Woolhouse ME, Ward MJ. 2013. Sources of antimicrobial resistance. Science **341(6153)**, 1460-1461.

Workowski KA, Levine WC, Wasserheit JN. 2002. US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines for the treatment of sexually transmitted diseases: an opportunity to unify clinical and public health practice. Annals of internal medicine **137(4)**, 255-262.

Worthington RJ, Melander C. 2013. Overcoming resistance to β -lactam antibiotics. The Journal of organic chemistry **78(9)**, 4207-4213.

Xie Y, Tian L, Li G, Qu H, Sun J, Liang W, Liu J. 2018. Emergence of the third-generation cephalosporin-resistant hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae due to the acquisition of a self-transferable bla DHA-1-carrying plasmid by an ST23 strain. Virulence **9(1)**, 838-844.

Xiong L, Shah S, Mauvais P, Mankin AS. 1999. A ketolide resistance mutation in domain II of 23S rRNA reveals the proximity of hairpin 35 to the peptidyl transferase centre. Molecular microbiology, **31(2)**, 633-639.

Xiong L, Shah S, Mauvais P, Mankin AS. 1999. A ketolide resistance mutation in domain II of 23S rRNA reveals the proximity of hairpin 35 to the peptidyl transferase centre. Molecular microbiology, **31(2)**, 633-639.

Xu M, Li A, Kong H, Zhang W, Chen H, Fu Y, Fu Y. 2018. Endogenous endophthalmitis caused by a multidrug-resistant hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae strain belonging to a novel single locus variant of ST23: first case report in China. BMC infectious diseases **18(1**), 1-6.

Yague G, Morris JE, Pan XS, Gould KA, Fisher LM. 2002. Cleavable-complex formation by wild-type and quinolone-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae type II topoisomerases mediated by gemifloxacin and other fluoroquinolones. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **46(2)**, 413-419.

Yamada H, Hisada H, Mitsuyama J, Takahata M, Todo Y, Minami S, Narita H. 2000. *BMS*-284756 (T-3811ME), a des-fluoro (6)-quinolone. Selectivity between bacterial and human type II DNA topoisomerases, abstr. C-753. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the 37th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.

Yoshizawa H, Itani H, Ishikura K, Irie T, Yokoo K, Kubota T, Nishitani Y. 2002. S-3578, a new broad spectrum parenteral cephalosporin exhibiting potent activity against both methicillinresistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Synthesis and structureactivity relationships. J Antibiot (Tokyo) **55(11)**, 975-992.

https://doi.org/10.7164/antibiotics.55.975

Yu WL, Lee MF, Chen CC, Tang HJ, Ho CH, Chuang YC. 2017. Impacts of hypervirulence determinants on clinical features and outcomes of bacteremia caused by extended-spectrum β lactamase-producing Klebsiella pneumoniae. Microbial Drug Resistance **23(3)**, 376-383.

Zaffiri L, Gardner J, Toledo-Pereyra LH. 2012.
History of antibiotics. From salvarsan to cephalosporins. Journal of Investigative Surgery,
25(2), 67-77.

Zapun A, Contreras-Martel C, Vernet T. 2008. Penicillin-binding proteins and β-lactam resistance. FEMS microbiology reviews **32(2)**, 361-385.

Zhan L, Wang S, Guo Y, Jin Y, Duan J, Hao Z,Yu F. 2017. Outbreak by HypermucoviscousKlebsiella pneumoniae ST11 Isolates with

Carbapenem Resistance in a Tertiary Hospital in China. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology **7**.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00182

Zhanel GG, Sniezek G, Schweizer F, Zelenitsky S, LagacéWiens PRS, Rubinstein E, Karlowsky JA. 2009. Ceftaroline. Drugs **69(7)**, 809-831. https://doi.org/10.2165/00003495-200969070-00003

Zhanel GG, Wiebe R, Dilay L, Thomson K, Rubinstein E, Hoban DJ, Karlowsky JA. 2007. Comparative review of the carbapenems. Drugs 67(7), 1027-1052.

Zhang R, Lin D, Chan EWc, GuD, Chen GX, Chen S. 2016. Emergence of Carbapenem-Resistant Serotype K1 Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae Strains in China. Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **60(1)**, 709-711.

https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02173-15

Zhang Y, Zeng J, Liu W, Zhao F, Hu Z, Zhao C, Wang H. 2015. Emergence of a hypervirulent carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae isolate from clinical infections in China. Journal of Infection, **71(5)**, 553-560.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2015.07.010