J. Bio. & Env. Sci. 2017



Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences (JBES) ISSN: 2220-6663 (Print) 2222-3045 (Online) Vol. 11, No. 3, p. 143-150, 2017 http://www.innspub.net

OPEN ACCESS

The genetic diversity of *Convolvulus* L. species inhabited in Pakistan based on intergenic spacer *trn*L-*trn*F

Shazia Kousar¹, Anjum Perveen², Ishrat Jamil³, Syeda Qamarunnisa^{*3}

¹Department of Botany, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan ²Centre for Plant Conservation, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan ³The Karachi Institute of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (KIBGE), University of Karachi, Pakistan

Article published on September 30, 2017

Key words: Intraspecific, trnL-trnF, Bayesian approach, Phylogenetics, Convolvulus

Abstract

Chloroplast non-coding regions are now frequently used in plant phylogenetic studies as they exhibit more genetic variations. The resolving power of an intergenic spacer region trnL-trnF was evaluated on *Convolvulus* species. Genus *Convolvulus* L. has many economic advantages. Genus specific primer set was designed and used for polymerase chain reaction and bi-directional Sanger sequencing. 15 ingroup and 2 outgroup species were used for the present study. A consensus tree based on Bayesian inference method was reconstructed to observe *Convolvulus* L. species associations. Results revealed two distinct lineages. The sister associations between *C. acanthocladus* and *C. pseudocantabricus; C. arvensis* and *C. kotschyanus; C. spinosus, C. prostratus* and *C. scindicus* are strongly supported by 1 posterior probability value. Another clade representing *C. lineatus, C. cephalopodus, C. fruticosus, C. glomeratus* and *C. rhyniospermus* also establishing close relationship between species included in the clade. The morphological data also agree the association of *C. glomeratus* and *C. rhyniospermus*. The study can help in many aspect of agronomical, medicinal and economical value of represented species in future studies.

*Corresponding Author: Syeda Qamarunnisa 🖂 sqnisa@gmail.com

Introduction

The family Convolvulaceae consists of many genera of agriculture importance in which Ipomoea is the most species rich genus followed by *Convolvulus* L. The genus *Convolvulus* L. included about 200 to 250 species (Mabberley, 2008), exhibiting a remarkable diversity of morphological characters and is distributed in a wide range of ecological habitats, mainly at dry, stony and sandy ranges. In Asia, it is distributed in all countries but most of the species are diversified in Iran. Only 21 species are reported from Pakistan (Austin and Ghazanfar, 1967).

It is a cosmopolitan genus but the Mediterranean and East Asia are the major points of diversity of *Convolvulus* L. It is also diverse in Eastern Asia, Africa and Australia but rarely found in America (Mitchell *et al.*, 2016). The plants are annual, biennial or perennial herbs, shrubs or under shrubs, bearing alternate, extremely variable leaves and funnel shaped flowers mostly white or pink and rarely blue, violet, purple and yellow with smooth or hairy banded petals (Austin and Ghazanfar, 1967, Wood *et al.*, 2015).

Many species of *Convolvulus* L. are economically important. In different areas of Pakistan *C. spinosus, C. scindicus* and *C. glomeratus* are used as fodder and medicinally as purgative (Austin and Ghazanfar, 1967; Abbas and Qaiser, 2011). *C. leiocalycinus* is a forage species (Hussain and Durrani, 2009) while *C. prostratus* and *C. arvensis* are familiar therapeutic species, used to treat many disorders (Qureshi and Bhatti, 2008; Qureshi *et al.*, 2010; Bhowmik *et al.*, 2012; Canbolat, 2012; Agarwal *et al.*, 2014; Brian and Lauriault, 2015). The *Convolvulus* L. species have showy flowers due to which many species like *C. arvensis, C. tricolor* and *C. lineatus* are used as ornamental (Sa`ad, 1967).

The genus *Convolvulus* L. has great economic value; however, little attention has been paid to the taxonomical relationships within the genus. Boisser (1875) and Sa'ad (1967) presented infrageneric classification of *Convolvulus* L. Many earlier taxonomists included different taxa within the genus *Convolvulus* L. Brown (1810) moved it to a new genus *Calystegia* L. Web (1841) transferred some species of *Convolvulus* L. into a new genus *rhodorhrriza* on the basis of the short style and the irregular capsule dehiscence and Choisy (1845) also comprised *rhodorrhiza* into *Convolvulus* L. Bentham and Hooker (1876) delimited the genus in their book Genera Plantarum. Hallier (1893) differentiated the *Convolvulus* L. from *Calystegia* L. by adding diagnostic characters of pollen grains. Austin (1998) positioned *Convolvulus* L., *Calystegia* L. and *Jacquemontia* L. under tribe Convolvuleae.

In tribe Convolvuleae, *Convolvulus* L. is closely related with *Calystegia*. Only pollen, stigmas and bracteoles morphology distinguished the *Convolvulus* L. from *Calystegia*. Molecular data also support the close relationship of both genus and nested *Calystegia* L. within *Convolvulus* L. (Carine *et al.*, 2004; Stefanovic *et al.*, 2002).

It is a challenging genus with a diverse and large number of species and several species has many synonymies (Williams *et al.*, 2014; wood *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, Physical comparison provides insufficient data for the specific result. To resolve this problem, molecular data provide a large amount of facts to examine hereditary molecular discrepancies and information about an organism's evolutionary relationships (Doebley, 1992; Vanderpoorten and Jonathan, 2014; Kousar *et al.*, 2016). Chloroplast DNA is widely used in phylogenetic studies at all taxonomic levels in plants.

It is easy to obtain sequencing data from polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products. Chloroplast *trnL-trn* F region is more variable and less homoplasious within the chloroplast genome and examined as a possible indicator for the phylogenetic relationship especially at lower taxonomic level (Kelchner, 2000; Bayer *et al.*, 2000; Hyosig and Susanne, 2005; Andreas *et al.*, 2007; Yang *et al.*, 2007). The aim of the present study is to delimit species and develop phylogeny for *Convolvulus* species using *trnL-trn*F sequence of chloroplast DNA.

Materials and methods

Plant Collection and Identification

The total of 15 *Convolvulus* L. specimens were included in the analysis, in which 11 species were collected as a herbarium material from Karachi University Herbarium, Centre for plant Conservation, and 7 fresh species (including outgroup).

Were collected from different areas of Sind and Karachi (Pakistan). All species were studied morphologically with the help of light and stereomicroscope and identified with the help of authentic literature and floras.

DNA Isolation, Purification and Amplification

The genomic DNA of Identified fresh specimens was isolated by using Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method described by Doyle and Doyle (1987).

With slight modifications as per requirement. Dried leaves from herbarium specimen were used to extract DNA by using Plant Direct PCR Kit (MOLEQULE-ON, NZ). The concentration of the isolated DNA was estimated at a wavelength of 260/280 nm using a NanoPhotometer (Implen, Germany).

The *trnL-trn*F region was amplified with the specifically designed genus specific primers 5'CAAATTCAGAGAAACC CTGGA3', and 5'AGATG CTGGGGTCTATGTCAA3'. Amplification reaction was performed in a final volume of 30µl, containing 1X PCR buffer, 1.5mM MgCl₂, 0.2mM dNTPs, 1µM of each forward and reverse primer and 1U of DNA Taq polymerase (MOLEQULE-ON, NZ), template DNA and Milli Q water in a thermocycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler, Germany).

Under following conditions; 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 55°C for 35sec, 72°C for 1min and a final extension at 72°C for 5min. Resulted PCR products were examined on 1% agarose gel stained with VisualaNA (MOLEQULE-ON, NZ) and after purification with PCR purification kit (MOLEQULE-ON, NZ), sent to direct sequencing to MOLEQULE-ON, New Zealand.

Sequence Editing and Alignment

The amplified DNA sequences were analyzed using NCBI BLAST Programme (Altschul *et al.*, 1990). To avoid any incongruity, sequences were manually edited. Multiple sequence alignment (MLA) was performed for all sequences using Multalin software (Corpet, 1988). The refined sequences were deposited to NCBI GenBank and accession numbers were recorded.

trn L-trn F sequences Data analysis

Phylogenetic relationship between different species of genus *Convolvulus* L. was conducted using Bayesian Inference (BI), a character and model based approach, which estimates probability value.

The different software of BEAST (v1.8.0) (Drummond and Rambaut, 2007) was used to reconstruct phylogenetic tree. Model selection for BI analysis was performed using default parameters of Jmodel Test (v 2.1.4) (Darriba *et al.*, 2012). Final trees were visualized in Fig Tree (v1.4.0). *Daturaalba* and *Solanum forskalii* from family Solanaceae were used as outgroup in the current analysis.

Result

A detail of deposited vouchers of studies materials and GenBank accession numbers is given in Table 1. The multiple alignment analysis result displayed as Fig. 1 demonstrated that various variable sites among species. *Convolvulus aitchisonii* exhibits most variations among all included species.

The variations in nucleotide found in the *Convolvulus* species were evident in the form of Indels and substitutions (both transitions and transversions). The transition of cytosine to thymine was most frequent among observed differences.

A phylogenetic tree was reconstructed based on the chloroplast *trnL-trn* F intergenic spacer sequence by Bayesian inference method. The tree topology was clear to a great extent (Fig. 2). Bayesian analysis supports a monophyletic clade of all ingroup taxa of genus *Convolvulus* L. with respect to outgroups from *Datura* and *Solanum* genus.

Name of species	Voucher Number	Gen Bank Accession Number
C. arvensis	GH86577	MF621879
C. prostratus	GH86567	MF621880
C. scindicus	GH87719	MF621881
C. glomeratus	GH86572	MF621882
C. spinosus	GH86624	MF621883
C. lineatus	GH46811	MF621884
C. fruticosus	GH58159	MF621885
C. virgatus	GH61433	MF621886
C. rhyniospermus	GH61350	MF621887
C. leiocalycinus	GH46813	MF621888
C. pseudocantabricus	GH61485	MF621889
C. cephalopodus	GH92213	MF621890
C. kotscyanus	GH74622	MF621891
C. acanthocladus	GH46700	MF621893
C. aitchisonii	GH46701	MF621894
Solanum forskalii	GH88012	MF621895
Datura alba	GH88010	MF621896

Table 1. Name of species with their voucher numbers and GenBank accession numbers.



Fig. 1. The multiple alignment analysis of *Convolvulus* species. The red nucleotides in consensus represent conserved segments in all investigated species.

146 | Kousar et al.



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic tree with mean branch lengths from a representative Bayesian analysis of Chloroplast *trn*L-*trn*F region data. Numbers on branches represent their respective posterior probabilities.

The *trnL-trn* F phylogenetic tree indicate that the monophyletic *Convolvulus* L. clade diverge into two lineages. The basal lineage comprises of eleven species of *Convolvulus* L. from which *C. rhyniospermus, C. glomeratus, C. fruticosus, C. cephalopodus* and *C. lineatus* are included in a single clade with 1PP (Posterior probability) within first nodal branch. Second nodal branch represents the sister association between *C. arvensis* and *C. kotscyanus* with 1PP (Posterior probability) while *C. prostratus, C. spinosus* and *C. scindicus* are weakly associated in a separate clade with 0.1PP (Posterior probability). *C. virgatus* shows weak association with all species with 0.57 PP.

The upper lineage comprises of four species with moderate support of 0.76 PP value further alienated into two nodes. The first nodal branch consists of *C. aitchisonii and C. leiocalycinus* with 0.59 PP. The second nodal branch represents the sister association of *C. acanthocladus* and *C. pseudocantabricus* with 0.99 PP.

Discussion

In the present study, the genus *Convolvulus* L. has been studied using *trnL-trn*F sequencing data by HKY with gamma distribution based Bayesian analysis. Although there is inconsistency between the resulted cladogram and the traditional taxonomy (based, to a large extent, on the spine, growth forms and ovary pubescence) of *Convolvulus* L. but similarities are also found to show the placement of different species.

Taxonomically, genus *Convolvulus* L. is very challenging. Linnaeus in 1735 described 31 species of *Convolvulus* L. in Species Plantarum with broad aspects (wood *et al.*, 2015). Boisser (1875) delimited *Convolvulus* L. into two series and ten sections. Sa'ad (1967) modified Boisser's classification and proposed 3 sections and 12 sub sections. In many phylogenetic studies including molecular studies, *Convolvulus* L. is treated as paraphyletic (Stefanovic *et al.*, 2002, Carine *et al.*, 2004). Carine *et al.*, (2003) found that the three infrageneric sections of *Convolvulus* L. are

polyphyletic because there is repeated convergence upon similar growth forms of species which are distantly related. Carine *et al.*, (2004) demonstrated that in Macaronesian and Mediterranean regions the genus *Convolvulus* L. exhibits a complex pattern of relationship. According to Wood *et al.*, (2015) it is difficult to correlate the DNA sequencing data of *Convolvulus* L. with morphology and geography to conclude infrageneric relationship.

The resultant tree diverged into two lineages. The first lineage contains the morphologically inconsistent species. It comprises three sections (sect. Scandentes, sect. Pannosi and sect. Acanthocladi) proposed by Boisser (1875) and all three sections (sect. Acanthocladi, sect. Inermes and sect. Convolvulus) modified by Sa'ad (1967) represented by C. rhyniospermus, C. glomeratus, C. fruticosus, C. cephalopodus, C. lineatus, C. kotscyanus, C. arvensis, C. scindicus, C. prostratus, C. spinosus and C. virgatus. Morphologically analogous species C. rhyniospermus and C. glomeratus illustrated sister association in first clade, both herbs acquired maximum morphological similarities, difficult to differentiate. Flower size is smaller and leaves are sub-sessile in C. rhyniospermus. C. scindicus and C. spinosus both are shrubs also similar morphologically to a great extent and traditionally included in a single section also showed sister association in a single clade. Geographically both species are found in different areas of sind region, in similar environmental and soil conditions.

Most of the species (*C. rhyniospermus, C. glomeratus, C. cephalopodus, C. lineatus, C. kotscyanus* and *C. prostratus* included in this lineage are herbs belong to section Inermes except *C. arvensis* included separately in section Convolvulus based on twining habit and *C. fruticosus* and *C. virgatus* are spiny shrubs placed in Acanthocladi section.

The second lineage contains representative species of sect. Acanthocladii, sect. spinescentes, sect. Inermes and sect. Diffusi proposed by Boisser (1875) and Sa'ad (1967). The four species anomalously associated in a single clade. The two spiny shrubs C. leiocalycinus and С. acanthocladus (share morphological similarities and traditionally placed in same section Acanthocladus) discretely associated with nonspiny herbs C. aitchisonii and C. pseudocantabricus (share similar morphology included in the section Inermes). C. leiocalycinus associated with C. aitchisonii and C. acanthocladus showed association with С. pseudocantabricus. The specie which showed sister association have maximum dissimilarity in their morphological characters.

In traditional classification spine is the basic feature used for the placement of *Convolvulus* L. species is dissimilar with molecular analysis. Carine *et al.*, (2014) described that the section Acanthocladi is polyphyletic, proposed by Sa'ad (1967) in which all spiny species are included. Kousar *et al.*, (2016) also demonstrated in their morphological study of *Convolvulus* L. that spiny species are also grouped together with non-spiny species.

From the four investigated spiny species, two species *C. fruticosus and C. scindicus* associated with non spiny herbs in basal lineage while *C. leiocalycinus* and *C. acanthocladus* also closely associated with non spiny herbs in upper lineage. Our results showed congruence with the earlier studies and confirmed the polyphyly of section Acanthocladi including other intraspecific sections of *Convolvulus* L. Further inclusion of samples from worldwide origin and use of different molecular markers could affect the associations between species.

References

Abbas H, Mohammad Q. 2011. Convolvulus scindicus: Conservation assessment and strategies to avoid extirpation. Pakistan Journal of Botany **43**, 1685-1690.

Agarwal P, Bhawna S, Sanjay KJ, Amreen F, Shashi A. 2014. Effect of *Convolvulus pluricaulis* Chois. On blood glucose and lipid profile in streptozocin induced diabetic rats. International journal of Pharmaceutical Science and Research **5**, 213-219. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ.1990. Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of Moecular Biology **215**, 403-410.

Andreas W, Dietmar Q, Anna-Magdalena B, Cornelia L, Khidir WH, Thomas B. 2007. Phylogeny of basal Eudicots: Insights from noncoding and rapidly evolving DNA. Organisms Diversity & Evolution 7, 55-77.

Austin DF, Ghazanfar S. 1979. Convolvulaceae. In: Nasir, E. Ali SI (Eds) Flora of Pakistan 126: Convolvulaceae. Department of Botany, University of Karachi 1-64.

Austin DF. 1998. Parallel and Convergent Evolution in the Convolvulaceae. In: Mathews P, Sivadasan M. (Eds) Diversity and Taxonomy of Tropical Flowering Plants. Mentor Books, Calicut 201-234.

Bayer RJ, Christopher FP, Scot AK. 2000. Phylogeny of South African Gnaphalieae (Asteraceae) based on two noncoding Chloroplastsequences. American Journal of Botany **87**, 259-272.

Bentham G, Joseph DH.1873. Genera Plantarum 2, 865-881.

Bhowmik D, Kumar KPS, Shravan P, Shweta S, Akhilesh PDY, Amitsankar D. 2012. Traditional Indian herbs *Convolvulus pluricaulis* and its medicinal importance. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry **1**, 44-51.

Boissier E. 1875a. Plantarum Orientalium novarumdecas prima. H. Georg, Geneva, Basle and Lyons 1-8.

Boissier E. 1875b. Convolvulaceae. In: Boissier, E. (Ed.) Flora Orientalis 4: Corolliflorae and Monochlamydeae. H. Georg, Geneva, Basle and Lyons 84-123.

Brian JS, Leonard L. 2015. Nutritive Value of Field Bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*) Roots as a Potential Livestock Feed and the Effect of *Aceria malherbae* on Root Components. Weed Technology **29**, 329-334. **Brown R.** 1810. Prodromus Florae Novae Hollandiaeet Insula van Diemen. Johnson & Co, London 1-445.

Canbolat O. 2012. Potential nutritive value of field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis* L.) harvested at three different maturity stages. Kafkas Universitesi Veteriner Fakultesi Dergisi **18**, 331-335.

Carine MA, Alexander JM, Russell SJ. 2003. Evolution of spines and the taxonomic status of *Convolvulus* section Acanthocladi: preliminary results from the ITS 2 region of nrDNA. Bocconea **16**, 703-710.

Carine MA, Stephen JR, Arnoldo SG, Javier FO. 2004. Relationships of island and continental floras: molecular evidence for multiple colonization into Macaronesia and subsequent back-colonization of the continent in *Convolvulus* L. American Journal of Botany **91**, 1070-1085.

Choisy, JD.1845. Convolvulaceae. In a. Decandole [Ed.], Prodromus **9**, 323-465.

Corpet F. 1988. Multiple sequence alignment with hierarchical clustering. Nucleic Acids Research16, 10881-10890.

Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D. 2012. jModel Test 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. Nature methods **9**, 772-772.

Doebley J. 1992. Molecular systematic of plants and crop evolution. In: Soltis, P.S., D.E. Soltis and J.J. Doyle, Eds. Molecular systematics of plants. New York, NY, USA: Chapman Hall 202-222.

Doyle JJ, Doyle JL. 1987. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. Phytochemical Bulletin **19**, 11-15.

Drummond AJ, Andrew R. 2007. BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. BMC Evolutionary Biology **7**, 214-222. Hallier HJG. 1893. Versuch einer natürlichen Gliederung der Convolvulaceae. Botanical Journal Arboretum 16, 479-591.

Hyosig W, Susanne SR. 2005. The Chloroplast trnL–trn F Region in the Seed Plant Lineage Gnetales. Journal of Moecular Evolution **61**, 425-436.

Kelchner SA. 2000. The Evolution of non-coding Chloroplast DNA and its application in Plant Systematics. Annals of the Missouri botanical garden **87**, 482-498.

Kousar S, Qamarunnisa S, Parveen A, Jamil I. 2016. Intraspecific relationship within the genus *Convolvulus* L. Inferred by rbcl gene using different phylogenetic approaches. Pakistan Journal of Botany **48**, 2025-2030.

Kousar S, Qamarunnisa S, Perveen A, Jamil I. 2016. Morphological features and relationship of genus *Convolvulus* L. (Convolvulaceae) from Pakistan. Journal of Agri-Food and Applied Sciences **4**, 7-12.

Mabberley. 2008. The plant books-a portable dictionary of the vascular plants. Cambridge University press PP. 213-214.

Mitchell TC, Bethany RMW, John RIW, David JH, Robert WS, Carine MA. 2016. How the temperate world was colonized by bindweeds: biogeography of the Convolvuleae (Convolvulaceae). BMC Evolutionary Biology 16.

DOI: 10.1186/s12862-016-0591-6.

Qureshi R, Raza BG, Rabia AM. 2010. Ethnomedicinal uses of herbs from northern part of Nara desert, Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Botany **42**, 839-851. **Qureshi R, Raza BG.** 2008. Short report (Ethno) Ethnobotany of plants used by the Thari people of Nara Desert, Pakistan. Fitoterapia **79**, 468-473.

Sa'ad F. 1967. The Convolvulus species of the Canary Isles, the Mediterranean region, and the near and Middle East. Mededelingen van het Botanisch Museum en Herbarium van de Rijksuniversite Utrecht. Bronder Offset, Rotterdam **281**, 1-287.

Stefanovic S, Krueger L, Olmstead RG. 2002. Monophyly of the Convolvulaceae and circumscription of their major lineages based on DNA sequences of multiple chloroplast loci. American Journal of Botany **89**, 1510-1522.

VanderpoortenA, Jonathan S. 2014. The application of molecular data to the Phylogenetic delimitation of species in Bryophytes: a note of caution. Phytotaxa **9**, 229-237.

Web PB. 1841. Miscellaneous notices no 152. Botanical Register 27, 69-71.

Williams BRM, John RIW, David JH, Robert WS, Carine MA. 2014. Integrating DNA barcode data in a monograph of *Convolvulus* L. Taxon **63**, 1287-1306.

Wood JR, Williams BRM, Thomas CM, Carine MA, David JH, Robert WS. 2015. A foundation monograph of *Convolvulus* L. (Convolvulaceae). PhytoKeys 18, 1-282.

Yang FS, Xiao-Quan W. 2007. Extensive length variation in the cpDNA trnL-trn F region of hemiparasitic Pedicularis and its phylogenetic implications. Plant Systematics and Evolution **264**, 251-264.