

**RESEARCH PAPER** 

OPEN ACCESS

# Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on growth attributes of NaCl stressed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) B90068 genotype

Ikram-ul-Haq\*, Ghulam Yasin1, Nazia Parveen Gill<sup>2</sup>, Sajid Ali, Abdul Ghaffar

Institute of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (IBGE), University of Sindh,

Jamshoro, Pakistan

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Pure and Applied Biology, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics, University of Sindh, Jamshoro, Pakistan

Article published on December 30, 2017

Key words: Hordeum vulgare, Growth rate, Photosynthesis, Urea fertilizer, Sodic saline

# Abstract

Salinity is the big threat of drought as well as flooded areas for crop production. In this experiment, effects of urea fertilizer (50kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) application on rate of vegetative growth and yield production of NaCl (0, 100 and 200mM) stressed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) genotype B90068 was assessed. High NaCl level decreased plant biomass, net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (*A*) rate, K<sup>+</sup> concentration and grain yields but Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-1</sup> contents increased significantly especially in salt sensitive cultivar. With urea application, plant growth, photo-assimilation rates and plant yield was maximum in control plants as well as each increased than NaCl stressed plants ( $p \le 0.05$ ). It indicates that influence of urea fertilizer, which is playing a significant role in barley production even when grown in saline conditions. Among NaCl stressed plants, carotenoids were increased while chlorophyll contents were decrease significantly. A non-significant alteration in Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>/Cl<sup>-</sup>, which were decreased with NaCl application while reversed under the influence of urea fertilizer. Transpiration (*E*) rate and sub-stomatal CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations observed upward under NaCl stress than control plants, while down towards normal form with application of urea but gs (stomatal conductance) noted as reversed than *E* and *Ci*. Application of NaCl in root medium is decreasing plant growth and its final yield while influence of urea fertilizer reduces ( $p \le 0.05$ ) the deleterious effects of NaCl stress.

\*Corresponding Author: Ikram-ul-Haq 🖂 rao.ikram@yahoo.com

# Introduction

Barley is one among the major cereal crops after wheat, rice and maize with 148.78 million tons annual production (Kathy et al., 2016). It is cultivated and consumed as feed from more than 10,000 years ago (Gujral and Gaur, 2005; Salamini et al., 2002) with wide utilizations for animal feed (60%), malt production (30%), seed production (7%) and human food (3%) (Baik and Ullrich, 2008; Dawson et al., 2015). Increasing world's population and drought in crop cultivation area are demanding good quantity of water. At present, it is fulfilled with recycling of drainage water especially for crop production (Yordanov et al., 2003), while it is inducing salinity and reducing plant growth and its production significantly (Tabatabaei and Ehsanzadeh, 2016). Barley species has been considered as most salttolerant then other cereal crops.

Survival of plant genotypes on saline area have to adopt a number of physio-chemical mechanisms. As salinity imbalance the concentration of certain ions, which increases osmotic potential of soil solution and causes deteriorations of soil structure (Munns, 2002; Saeed et al., 2009). High osmotic potential of soil solution inhibits uptake of water, which causes inhibitions of cell enlargement, biosynthesis of cell wall, gas exchange attributes and development of buds (Munns and Tester, 2008). Systematic transportation of salts from roots to shoots apexes induces ion-specific stress within plant organs including leaves. Leaf mortality increases due to their chlorosis and necrosis, which decrease an active area for cellular metabolic activity including photosynthesis (Munns, 2005; Witzel et al., 2014; Yeo and Flowers, 1986).

Traditionally, cultivation of plant genotypes on saline area are selected on the basis of their grain yields (Kiani-Pouya and Rasouli, 2014) but from last decades some specific physiological parameters (Ashrafi *et al.*, 2014; Widodo *et al.* 2009) are included like as rate of photo-assimilations, ability to retain K<sup>+</sup> (Chen *et al.*, 2005; Ligaba and Katsuhara, 2010; Witzel *et al.*, 2014) and avoidance of Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation (Wu *et al.*, 2013). A continuous mono-cropping system on a land area has also been decreasing soil fertility and its pH, which is increasing soil erosion (Desta, 1987). Application of nitrogen fertilizers is increasing growth and yield attributes of barley on soil erosion area (Agegnehu *et al.*, 2006; Blanchard, 1986; Desalegn *et al.*, 2016; Ozturk *et al.*, 2003). Meanwhile, inorganic soil nitrogen and aerobic nitrogen released from organic matter's decomposition (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) is directly available to uptake as plant nutrition. Crop plants prefer to uptake NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> for its usage in protein synthesis directly, while other first have to reduce to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> form (Baethgen *et al.*, 1995; Kolovos, 2010). Application of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> increases 7 to 47% yield and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> enhances plant tillering (Prystupa *et al.*, 2003).

Major constraint for cereal's production is low availability of nitrogen, as barley responded significantly better under balanced N fertilizer application (Cheng et al., 2002; Chu et al., 2007; Foster et al., 2004). In this study, selected barley genotype may possess diverse physiological traits including nitrogen use efficiency under saline stressed root medium. To unravel the beneficial traits in response to salt tolerance and ranked for its contribution in increasing the grain yield under influence of nitrogen. Effects of salt stresses in presence or absence urea fertilizer on net photoassimilation rate, chlorophyll contents, Na+, K+ contents, nitrogen and grain yields of barley genotype is assessed in earthen pots filled with soil. To find out the possible elevated traits with urea fertilizer application to endeavor or maintain good grain yield character in saline stressed plants.

# Materials and methods

#### Plant materials and growth conditions

For this experiment, a local barley genotype B90068 with specific adopted characters was selected. Experiment was conducted under greenhouse conditions. Healthy seeds were collected and washed with 10% sodium hypochlorite for 5min for surface sterilization. Seeds were rinsed thoroughly with distilled water. Ten to twelve seeds of each cultivar were sowed in earthen pots, which filled with pure-sand (20kg each pot) as thoroughly washed with tap-water. Five pots (replicates) per treatment were arranged in a randomized complete block design. After two weeks of seed sowing, 100ml plant Hoagland nutrient solution was supplied to each pot daily (Hoagland and Arnon, 1950).

#### Salinity (NaCl) and urea fertilizer applications

First number of germinated plants per pot were reduced to 4 plants. Three levels of NaCl treatment were maintained when 3<sup>rd</sup> leaf of plants expanded completely. In this experiment, 2 levels of salt gradients were raised to 100 and 200mM NaCl against control pots contains normal sand (considered o mM NaCl). The salt levels were raised gradually with an increments of 25mM NaCl per day. These 3-levels of NaCl stresses were maintained with one level of urea fertilizer at the rate of 50kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. After both salt and urea fertilizer treatments, plants were irrigated with tap-water for 2-weeks. For morph-physiological data collection plants harvested on 45<sup>th</sup> day of sowing.

# Measurements of morphological attributes

Five individual plants from each pot per treatment and control were harvested for comparative estimation of morphological traits. Plants were cutted into roots and shoots and weighed for their fresh weights (F.Wt). Shoot lengths (SL) and root length (RL) of each plant from crown to leaf tip than to root tip were measured respectively. Number of tillers (NT) and leaves (NL) per plant were counted. Shoots and roots were dried at 72°C in electric oven for 5days and root dry weight (RDW) and shoots dry weight (SDW) were measured.

### Flag leaf relative water content (%)

For relative water content (RWC) determination, flag leaves were collected at heading plant growth stage (Pask *et al.*, 2012). Five mid-sections (5cm<sup>2</sup>) from each plant per treatment were excised and weighed in a glass-tube for fresh weight (FW) than tubes filled with distilled water and incubated in refrigerator (4°C, dark). After 24 hrs, tubes were dried with paper towels then weighted for turgid mass (TM). Leave sections of each treatment were dried at 70°C for 72h separately then dry mass was obtained on balance with 0,001g precision. The RWC (%) was calculated by applying this above equation:

$$RWC \ [\%] = \frac{FM - DM}{TM - DM} \ x \ 100$$

#### Flag leaf chlorophyll contents

Leaf chlorophyll contents were also estimated at plant heading stage with *SPAD-502* (*Konica-Minolta*, Japan) between 10:00 to 13:00 hrs. Average Chl contents were calculated from optical density (OD) of average five flag leaves of each treated plants. Fresh flag leave were finechapped than agitated in 90% acetone for chlorophyll extraction. Samples were stand under dark for 30 minutes before OD measurements (Arnon, 1949; Lichtenthaler and Wellburn, 1983).

#### Gas-exchange characteristics

Plant gas exchange attributes like as net photosynthesis (A), transpiration rate (E), substomatal CO2 (Ci) and stomatal conductance (gs) of flag leaves measured on at grain-filling stage (Azizian and Sepaskhah, 2013) with infrared gas analyzer (LCA-4 ACD, UK). Abaxial surface of fully expanded mid-lamina portion of flag leaf was targeted for these measurements from 11:00am to 12:30pm. At data recoding time, some system specifications were observed like as 251 µmol s<sup>-1</sup> gas flow rate (U), 11.25cm<sup>2</sup> area of leaf surface in leaf chamber; 99.8kPa ambient pressure; H<sub>2</sub>O vapor pressure 7.0-8.9 mbar, 351µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> ambient CO2, 34.2°C-39.3°C temperature of leaf chamber; 403.4mol m-2 s-1 air flow rate (Us), 41.2% relative humidity and 1099µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>PAR.

### Free ionic concentrations

Nutrient concentration like as Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> measured in flag leaves and roots. Dried plant material was powdered and digested as by Parida and Das, (2005). Concentrations of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> were determined with flame photometer (Model 420, Cambridge, UK) by following method by Loutfy *et al.*, (2008). Chlorides calculated by following formula reported by Chen *et al.*, (2001) as below:

# Cl ions (ppm)

 $= \frac{(Volume \ x \ Normality \ x \ Extract \ volume \ x \ 35.5 \ x \ 10^3)}{Volume \ of \ solution \ used \ in \ determination}$ 

#### Measurement of nitrogen contents

Total nitrogen (N) contents were estimated with macro-Kjeldahl apparatus. Copper sulphate was used as a catalyst (AOAC, 1995). Briefly, fresh samples of flag leaf were weighed and dried in tin foil for 12h at 70°C for removal of error due sample moisture. Sampled cooled down at room temperature than its 100g was subjected for N analysis with N-Kjeldahl (FP-428, Leco Corp, USA) apparatus. Concentration of N was denoted g N per 100g (%N) of used sample (Simonne *et al.*, 1997).

#### Yield and yields collection

For yield data, plants were harvested at maturity stage. Grains of 4 plants per pot per treatment were separated from straw and dried for 2 hours (12:00 to 14:00h) under sunlight. Biomass yields were measured by counting the average number of grains per spike and by weighing average weight of 100 grains per treatment.

#### Data significance analysis

Collected data of experiment was analyzed for treatment variance with ANOVA on CoStat (3.03) *CoHort* software, Berkeley. Significant means differences among the treatments were subjected for further assessment by Duncan Multiple Range (DMR) test at 5% (Behrens, 1997; Henley, 1983).

## **Results and discussion**

Plants are major food source for all living organisms, however their growth reduction could be caused often under variable environments including cold to heat, drought to flood and soil salts to airpollutants (Mahajan *et al.*, 2005). These abiotic environmental factors induces changes in growth attributes subsequently (Atkinson and Urwin, 2012; Lipiec *et al.*, 2013). Uptake of water is being crucial for plant growth limitation, which reflects its properties in the form of altered attributes of plant physiology and then its morphology (Chaves *et al.*, 2009).

One of most common salts of irrigation water is sodium chloride (NaCl) is losing intracellular water

contents. It interacts with nitrogen metabolism as well as assimilation at several steps including several other secondary metabolisms (Debouba *et al.*, 2006, 2007; Helal and Mengel, 1979; Parida and Das, 2004). Influence of nitrogen fertilizer could be involved to combat adverse impacts of salinity on plants (Murtaza *et al.*, 2014).

Since it depends on optimal fertilizer application to saline soils (Sultana *et al.*, 2001), which increases net photo-assimilation characters as well as plant growth and their yields (Abdelgadir *et al.*, 2010; Esmaili *et al.*, 2008).

In this experiment from control to salt stressed conditions, vegetative growth of plants reduced (0, 100, 200mM NaCl) considerably. Very similar results for rice (Shahbaz and Zia, 2011), wheat (Ashraf and Ashraf, 2012), sunflower (Shahbaz *et al.*, 2011) and also for eggplant (Abbas *et al.*, 2010) has been reported. Variation in growth rate of barley B90068 genotype plants under NaCl stressed conditions are observed that might be governed by variation in cellular biocontents and other physiological characters including photosynthetic rate, chlorophyll contents or water use efficiency etc.

Among these plants differential growth responses may be due to change in capability of plants for water absorption by roots due to alteration in biochemical mechanisms under salt stressed conditions (Ziaf *et al.*, 2009). Application of urea fertilizer from control to salt stressed plants increase in plant growth to its yield and yield attributes was observed (Table 1).

Same in agreement with Maas and Hoffman (1977) in plants like as chickpea (Garg and Chandel, 2011), corn (Absalan *et al.*, 2011), tomato (Maggio *et al.*, 2007) and wheat (Murtaza *et al.*, 2014). Meanwhile, total N uptake decreases among salt stressed plants and it increases with application of urea fertilizer but N concentration remain constant or unchanged when soil is optimal with N level (Hu *et al.*, 2006; Hu and Schmidhalter, 2005).

#s	Characteristics	$T_0N_0$	$T_0N_1$	$T_1N_0$	$T_1N_1$	$T_2N_0$	$T_2N_1$	p-sig.
<b>\.</b> N	Iorphological attri	butes						
a.	Stem length (cm)	<sup>b</sup> 25.80±1.126	<sup>a</sup> 31.46±0.549	$^{d}22.59 \pm 0.527$	$bc24.76 \pm 0.423$	$e_{18.76\pm0.410}$	$cd_{23.29\pm0.344}$	2.084*
b.	Root length (cm)	°12.52±0.072	<sup>a</sup> 19.46±0.163	<sup>d</sup> 10.95±0.150	<sup>b</sup> 14.87±0.077	<sup>f</sup> 7.683±0.088	°9.483±0.084	1.637**
c.	No of tillers/plant	<sup>b</sup> 4.803±0.113	<sup>a</sup> 7.073±0.096	<sup>d</sup> 3.801±0.020	°4.583±0.071	$^{e}$ 3.233±0.032	<sup>e</sup> 3.287±0.020	4.531**
d.	Stem F.Wt (g)	<sup>b</sup> 5.973±0.144	<sup>a</sup> 7.667±0.090	<sup>d</sup> 4.303±0.056	°5.013±0.088	<sup>e</sup> 3.157±0.097	<sup>e</sup> 3.437±0.055	2.076**
e.	Root F.Wt (g)	$^{b}4.580 \pm 0.058$	<sup>a</sup> 6.053±0.072	°3.871±0.061	<sup>b</sup> 4.623±0.049	$^{e}3.217{\pm}0.055$	<sup>d</sup> 3.467±0.050	2.700**
f.	Flag leaf F.Wt (g)	$^{b}$ 0.491±0.005	<sup>a</sup> 0.696±0.004	$^{d}$ 0.343±0.003	°0.393±0.003	$^{\rm f}$ 0.272±0.003	$^{e}$ 0.304±0.001	4.080*
g.	Flag LA (cm <sup>-2</sup> )	<sup>b</sup> 5.643±0.127	<sup>a</sup> 7.263±0.094	<sup>d</sup> 4.373±0.049	°5.267±0.055	<sup>e</sup> 3.997±0.041	<sup>d</sup> 4.353±0.046	1.023**
h.	Stem D.Wt (g)	°0.364±0.008	<sup>a</sup> 0.504±0.004	$^{d}$ 0.307±0.010	<sup>b</sup> 0.407±0.009	$^{d}$ 0.378±0.006	bc0.332±0.016	2.182**
i.	Root D.Wt (g)	$^{ab}$ 1.392±0.116	<sup>a</sup> 1.541±0.149	$bc1.165 \pm 0.037$	$bc1.644 \pm 0.228$	$^{d}$ 1.501±0.113	°1.322±0.059	0.211**
j.	Flag leaf D.Wt (g)	bc0.032±0.001	<sup>a</sup> 0.044±0.000	<sup>b</sup> 0.034±0.002	<sup>a</sup> 0.042±0.000	°0.029±0.000	$bc$ 0.032 $\pm$ 0.000	1.926**
3. F	lag leaf physiologi	cal attributes						
a.	RWC (%)	°80.85±1.689	<sup>a</sup> 87.71±0.331	<sup>b</sup> 83.97±1.114	<sup>a</sup> 88.15±0.157	°78.13±0.292	°80.55±0.199	9.070*
b.	Chl a/Chl b	°1.871±0.062	<sup>d</sup> 1.669±0.017	<sup>b</sup> 2.085±0.013	<sup>d</sup> 1.751±0.029	<sup>a</sup> 2.771±0.024	<sup>b</sup> 2.008±0.030	5.056**
c.	Chl ab/Car.	°0.606±0.003	<sup>a</sup> 0.615±0.006	<sup>d</sup> 0.597±0.001	<sup>b</sup> 0.611±0.094	$^{d}$ 0.576±0.001	$^{e}0.600 \pm 0.001$	5.697**
d.	Na <sup>+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup>	<sup>a</sup> 0.962±0.030	<sup>a</sup> 0.764±0.071	<sup>a</sup> 1.280±0.002	<sup>a</sup> 0.784±0.044	<sup>a</sup> 2.036±0.018	<sup>a</sup> 1.672±0.026	7.569 <sup>n</sup>
e.	K+/Cl-	$a$ 0.418 $\pm$ 0.006	$^{ab}\textbf{0.466}{\pm}\textbf{0.019}$	$^{ab}\textbf{0.325}{\pm}\textbf{0.001}$	$^{ab}$ 0.460±0.011	$^{b}0.232 \pm 0.001$	<sup>b</sup> 0.307±0.003	2.657 <sup>n</sup>
f.	A, μmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>b</sup> 7.047±0.062	<sup>a</sup> 8.037±0.064	<sup>d</sup> 6.601±0.044	°6.863±0.055	$^{f}4.473 \pm 0.038$	<sup>e</sup> 5.121±0.044	3.800*
g.	<i>E</i> , mol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>b</sup> 2.477±0.027	<sup>a</sup> 3.256±0.032	°2.281±0.040	°2.361±0.040	°2.327±0.046	<sup>c</sup> 2.303±0.035	2.809*
h.	gs, mol m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	<sup>a</sup> 0.062±0.006	bc0.047±0.003	<sup>a</sup> 0.059±0.001	$^{ab}\textbf{0.053}{\pm}\textbf{0.003}$	$^{ab}\textbf{0.055}{\pm}\textbf{0.002}$	°0.041±0.002	0.005*
i.	Ci, µmol-1	c87.33±2.751	<sup>d</sup> 77.57±2.888	ab99.13±1.211	<sup>d</sup> 77.63±3.324	a101.9±2.976	$^{ab}$ 92.35±2.112	6.778**
j.	Nitrogen (%)	<sup>b</sup> 4.241±0.069	<sup>a</sup> 5.590±0.356	<sup>cd</sup> 3.080±0.081	<sup>b</sup> 4.063±0.082	<sup>d</sup> 2.983±0.086	°3.543±0.073	9.102**
C. Y	ield and yield attri	butes						
a.	No of grain spike-1	$^{ab}36.63{\pm}1.068$	<sup>a</sup> 38.24±1.081	°32.12±1.066	<sup>bc</sup> 33.59±1.274	°31.19±0.642	°32.64±0.980	0.003
b.	100 grains Wt (g)	<sup>b</sup> 4.971±0.075	<sup>a</sup> 5.301±0.079	<sup>d</sup> 3.943±0.033	°4.351±0.035	°3.311±0.023	<sup>e</sup> 3.461±0.029	1.134**
c.	Spike length (cm)	<sup>b</sup> 15.83±0.504	<sup>a</sup> 17.45±0.078	<sup>b</sup> 15.21±0.136	<sup>b</sup> 15.47±0.104	°13.77±0.406	°14.26±0.038	1.146**

**Table 1.** Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on growth attributes of NaCl stressed barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) B90068

 genotype.

LA - leaf area; Car - carotenoids; Wt - weight; F - fresh; D - dry; N - nitrogen; Sig - significance; A - assimilation rate; E - transpiration rate;  $Ci - sub-stmatal CO_2$ ; gs - stomatal conductance.

Generally, +ve correlation has been studied between growth and photosynthesis and reduction in growth due to decline in photosynthesis under saline conditions (Sabir *et al.*, 2009; Shahbaz *et al.*, 2011). However, a significant reduction with salt stress in photosynthesis noted in this current study. It could be due to deficiency in rate of necessary photoassimilates and imbalance water uptake efficiency (Kanwal *et al.*, 2011). Significant effect of salinity was found on rates of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation (*A*), stomatal conductance (*gs*), transpiration rate (*E*) and substomatal CO<sub>2</sub> (*Ci*), as shown in Table 1. Decrease in A and gs, while increase in E and Ci was noted in plants under saline stress (Shabala and Munns, 2012; Sudhir and Murthy, 2004; Zhang and Shi, 2013). Gas exchange (CO<sub>2</sub>) parameters are best supportive indicator and have direct link with net plant production (Piao *et al.*, 2008). High *Ci* rate decrease *gs* efficiency (CO<sub>2</sub> uptake), while increases water loss under salt stress (Table 1). Overall reduction in gases exchange rates causes closer of leaf stomata due to accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> with efflux of K<sup>+</sup> causes to decrease in photosynthetic electron transport and gs rate (Ghosh and Singh, 2005; Hussain *et al.*, 2012; James *et al.*, 2006; Jarolimek *et al.*, 1999; Tavakkoli *et al.*, 2011).

Even salt stress reduces N contents due to increase in Na<sup>+</sup> content uptake in comparison to others, which causes imbalanced cellular nutrient elements for plant growth.

Likely to antagonistic relations between K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> under salinity stress (Eisechie and Rodriguez, 1999; Geissler et al., 2009; Hariadi et al., 2011; Maggio et al., 2001; Nandy et al., 2007; Parida et al., 2002). Low ratios of Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> disturb the rate of plant metabolism and ultimately reduction in plant growth rate (James et al., 2008; Morshedi and Farahbakhsh, 2012; Munns and Tester, 2008; Rahnama et al., 2010). An increase in N contents and K<sup>+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup> with application of urea fertilizer from control to saline stressed plants (Table 1). Salt stressed plants presented low ratios of chlorophyll and carotenoids while reversed with the application of urea. Plants with higher chlorophyll contents produces higher grain yield than with low chlorophyll contents (Akbari et al., 2012; Khosravifar et al., 2008). It is being a useful criteria either to determine plants are growing under stressed environment or under observation genotype is salt tolerant of salt sensitive (Pak et al., 2009; Tiwari et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2007).

A large number of factors including genotype, plant growth stage, epidemic diseases, soil nutrient contents and other abiotic stresses are affecting chlorophyll contents. It is most important component that provides a suitable site for photo-assimilation generation of reducing powers from sun-light. However, chlorophyll contents are susceptible to saline stresses, which have significant effects on plant yield and its quality (Ali et al., 2004; Giunta et al., 2002; Gomez-Becerra et al., 2010; Kiani-Pouya and Rasouli, 2014). Relative water contents (RWC) also observed dynamic under salt stress to nitrogen applications (Table). It decreases with increase in salinity level but reversed under the influence of urea fertilizer (Belanger and Richards, 2000; Ebrahimian and Bybordi, 2011a, 2011b; Glibert et al., 2006; Munns and Tester, 2008).

# Conclusions

Salinity is top-most important destructive abiotic plant stress. It imposes ionic imbalance and osmotic stresses, which causes ion toxicities and reduces barley plant growth rate markedly. Relatively better growth performance due to urea fertilizer application is correlated to net photo-assimilation rate positively. Saline level of soil nutrient regimes is deceitful for specific nutrient uptake by root and then translocation as well as disturbance of mineral balance also decreases the availability of nutrient in substrate to plants. Application of nitrogen in the  $NO_3^-$  form to soil may decreases the uptake rate of Cl<sup>-</sup>. Better vegetative and reproductive growths under NaCl stresses are measured with urea fertilizer application at 100mM NaCl stress than 200mM NaCl stress. Improvement in plant biomass by urea application is due to increase in photosynthesis rate under NaCl stresses. Both attributes could be used as morphological marker for possible selection of a genotype for a selected agriculture land cultivation in upcoming crop season.

# Acknowledgement

We are thankful lower staff at IBGE for their cooperation and supports to complete this work. Also thanks to host institute for financial support in provision of laboratory stuff.

#### References

Abbas W, Ashraf M, Akram NA. 2010. Alleviation of salt-induced adverse effects in eggplant (*Solanum Melongena* L.) by glycinebetaine and sugarbeet extracts. Scientia Horticulturae **125**, 188-195.

Abdelgadir EM, Fadul EM, Fageer EA, Ali EA. 2010. Response of wheat to nitrogen fertilizer at reclaimed high terrace salt-affected soils in Sudan. Journal of Agriculture and Social Sciences **6**, 43-47. www.fspublishers.org/jass/past-issues/JASSVOL\_ 6\_NO\_3/1.pdf

Absalan AA, Armin M, Asghripour MR, Karimi-Yazdi S. 2011. Effects of different forms of nitrogen application on yield response of corn under saline conditions. Advances in Environmental Biology 5(4), 719-724.

**Agegnehu G, Ghizaw A, Sinebo W.** 2006. Yield performance and land-use efficiency of barley and faba bean mixed cropping in ethiopian highlands. European Journal of Agronomy **25(3)**, 202-207. Akbari GE, Izadi-Darbandi A, Borzouei A. 2012. Effects of salinity on some physiological traits in wheat (*Triticum Aestivum* L.) cultivars. Indian Journal of Science and Technology **5(1)**, 1901-1906. www.indjst.org.

Ali Y, Aslam Z, Ashraf MY, Tahir GR. 2004. Effect of salinity on chlorophyll concentration, leaf area, yield and yield components of rice genotypes grown under saline environment. International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology 1(3), 221-225.

www.bioline.org.br/pdf?st04027.

AOAC. 1995. Official methods of analysis of AOAC International. www.sidalc.net/cgi-bin/wxis.exe/? IsisScript=agrissa.xis&method=post& -formato=2&cantidad =1&expresion=mfn=002093).

**Arnon DI.** 1949. Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in Beta vulgaris. Plant Physiology 24(1):1-15.

**Ashraf MA, Ashraf M.** 2012. Salt-induced variation in some potential physiochemical attributes of two genetically diverse spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars: Photosynthesis and photosystem II efficiency. Pakistan Journal of Botany **44(1)**, 53-64.

Ashrafi E, Razmjoo J, Zahedi M, Pessarakli M. 2014. Selecting alfalfa cultivars for salt tolerance based on some physiochemical traits. Agronomy Journal **106(5)**, 1758-1764.

**Atkinson NJ, Urwin PE.** 2012. The interaction of plant biotic and abiotic stresses from genes to the field. Journal of Experimental Botany **63(10)**, 3523-3544.

**Azizian A, Sepaskhah AR.** 2013. Maize response to water, salinity and nitrogen levels: physiological growth parameters and gas exchange. International Journal of Plant Production **8(1)**, 131-162.

**Baethgen WE, Christianson CB, Lamothe AG.** 1995. Nitrogen fertilizer effects on growth, grain yield, and yield components of malting barley. Field Crops Research **43(2–3)**, 87-99. **Baik BK, Ullrich SE.** 2008. Barley for food: Characteristics, improvement, and renewed interest. Journal of Cereal Science **48(2)**, 233-242.

**Behrens JT.** 1997. Principles and procedures of exploratory data analysis. Psychological Methods **2(2)**, 131-160.

www.doi.apa.org/getdoi.cfm?doi=10.1037/1082-989X.2.2.131).

**Belanger G, Richards JE.** 2000. Dynamics of biomass and N accumulation of alfalfa under three N fertilization rates. Plant and Soil **219**, 177-185.

**Blanchard J.** 1986. A history of the winnipeg grain research laboratory of the canadian grain commission, 1913 to 1979. Prairie Forum **11(2)**, 215-228.

**Chaves MM, Flexas J, Pinheiro C.** 2009. photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: Regulation mechanisms from whole plant to cell. Annals of Botany **103(4)**, 551-560.

**Chaves MM, Pereira JS, Maroco J, Rodrigues ML, Ricardo CPP, Osório ML, Carvalho I, Faria I, Pinheiro TC**. 2002. How plants cope with water stress in the field, photosynthesis and growth. Annals of Botany **89**, 907-916.

Chen S, Li J, Wang S, Hüttermann A, Altman A. 2001. Salt, nutrient uptake and transport, and aba of *Populus euphratica* a hybrid in response to increasing soil NaCl. Trees - Structure and Function **15(3)**, 186-194.

Chen Z, Newman I, Zhou M, Mendham N, Zhang G, Shabala S. 2005. Screening plants for salt tolerance by measuring K<sup>+</sup> flux: A case study for barley. Plant Cell and Environment **28(10)**,1230-1246.

**Cheng W, Nakajima Y, Sudo S, Akiyama H, Tsuruta H.** 2002. N<sub>2</sub>O and NO emissions from a field of chinese cabbage as influenced by band application of urea or controlled-release urea fertilizers. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems **63**, 231-238. **Chu H, Hosen Y, Yagi K.** 2007. NO, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in winter barley field of japanese andisol as affected by N fertilizer management. Soil Biology and Biochemistry **39(1)**, 330-339.

**Dawson IK, Russell J, Powell W, Steffenson B, Thomas WT, Waugh R.** 2015. Barley: A translational model for adaptation to climate change. New Phytologist 206(3), 913-931.

**Debouba M, Gouia H, Valadier MH, Ghorbel MH, Suzuki A.** 2006. Salinity-induced tissuespecific diurnal changes in nitrogen assimilatory enzymes in tomato seedlings grown under high or low nitrate medium. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry **44(5-6)**, 409-419.

**Debouba M, Maâroufi-Dghimi H, Suzuki A, Ghorbel MH, Gouia H.** 2007. Changes in growth and activity of enzymes involved in nitrate reduction and ammonium assimilation in tomato seedlings in response to NaCl stress. Annals of Botany **99(6)**, 1143-51.

**Desalegn T, Alemu G, Adella A, Debele T, Gonzalo J.** 2016. Effect of lime and phosphorus fertilizer on acid soils and barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) performance in the central highlands of Ethiopia. Experimental Agriculture **53**, 432-444.

**Desta B.** 1987. Effect of liming and N and P fertilizers on grain yield of barley. Ethiopian Journal of Agricultural Sciences **9(1)**, 1-13.

**Ebrahimian E, Bybordi A.** 2011a. Exogenous silicium and zinc increase antioxidant enzyme activity and alleviate salt stress in leaves of sunflower. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment **9(1)**, 422-427.

**Ebrahimian E, Bybordi A.** 2011b. Influence of different proportion of nitrate, ammonium and silicium on activity of antioxidant enzymes and some physiological traits in sunflower under conditions of salt stress. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment **9(3-4)**, 1052-1058.

**Eisechie HA, Rodriguez V.** 1999. Does salinity inhibit alfalfa leaf growth by reducing tissue concentration of essential mineral nutrients. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science **182(4)**, 273-278.

**Esmaili E, Kapourchal SA, Malakouti MJ, Homaee M.** 2008. Interactive effect of salinity and two nitrogen fertilizers on growth and composition of sorghum. Plant, Soil and Environment **54(12)**, 537-546.

Forster BP, Ellis RP, Moir J, Talamã V, Sanguineti MC, Tuberosa R, This D, Teulatmerah B, Ahmed I, Mariy SAEE, Bahri H, Elouahabi M, Zoumarou-wallis N, El-fellah M, Salem MB. 2004. Genotype and phenotype associations with drought tolerance in barley tested in North Africa. Annals of Applied Biology **144(2)**, 157-168. www.doi.wiley.com/10.1111/j.1744-7348.2004.

tb00329.x.

**Garg N, Chandel S.** 2011. Effect of mycorrhizal inoculation on growth, nitrogen fixation, and nutrient uptake in *Cicer arietinum* (L.) under salt stress. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry **35(2)**, 205-214.

Geissler N, Hussin S, Koyro HW. 2009. Interactive effects of NaCl salinity and elevated atmospheric  $CO_2$  concentration on growth, photosynthesis, water relations and chemical composition of the potential cash crop halophyte *Aster tripolium* L. Environmental and Experimental Botany **65(2-3)**, 220-231.

**Ghosh M, Singh SP.** 2005. A review on phytoremediation of heavy metals and utilization of its byproducts. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research **3(1)**, 1-18.

**Giunta F, Motzo R, Deidda M.** 2002. SPAD readings and associated leaf traits in durum wheat, barley and *Triticale* cultivars. Euphytica **125(2)**, 197-205.

**Glibert PM, Harrison J, Heil C, Seitzinger S.** 2006. Escalating worldwide use of urea - a global change contributing to coastal eutrophication. Biogeochemistry **77(3)**, 441-463. Gomez-Becerra HF, Erdem, H., Yazici A., Tutus, Y., Torun, B., Ozturk, L. and Cakmak, I. 2010. Grain concentrations of protein and mineral nutrients in a large collection of spelt wheat grown under different environments. Journal of Cereal Science **52(3)**, 342-349.

**Gujral HS, and Gaur S**. 2005. Instrumental texture of chapati as affected by barley flour, glycerol monostearate and sodium chloride. International Journal of Food Properties **8(2)**, 377-385. www.fstadirect.com/GetRecord.aspx?AN=2006-01-

Mq0150.

Hariadi Y, Marandon K, Tian Y, Jacobsen SE, Shabala S. 2011. Ionic and osmotic relations in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.) plants grown at various salinity levels. Journal of Experimental Botany **62(1)**, 185-193.

**Helal HM, Mengel K.** 1979. Nitrogen metabolism of young barley plants as affected by NaCl-salinity and potassium. Plant and Soil **51(4)**, 457-462.

**Henley S.** 1983. Principles and procedure of statistics: A biometrical approach.

Hoagland DR, Arnon DI. 1950. The water-culture method for growing plants without soil. California Agricultural Experiment Station **347**,32. www.cabdirect.org/ abstracts/19500302257.html.

Hu Y, Burucs Z, Schmidhalter U. 2006. Shortterm effect of drought and salinity on growth and mineral elements in wheat seedlings. Journal of Plant Nutrition **29(12)**, 2227-2243.

**Hu YC, Schmidhalter U.** 2005. Drought and salinity: A comparison of their effects on mineral nutrition of plants. Journal Of Plant Nutrition And Soil Science-Zeitschrift Fur Pflanzenernahrung Und Bodenkunde **168(4)**, 541-549.

Hussain S, Luro F, Costantino G, Ollitrault P, Morillon R. 2012. Physiological analysis of salt stress behaviour of citrus species and genera: Low chloride accumulation as an indicator of salt tolerance. South African Journal of Botany **81**, 103-112. James R, Von Caemmerer S, Condon GT, Zwart B, Munns R. 2008. Genetic variation in tolerance to the osmotic stress component of salinity stress in durum wheat. Functional Plant Biology **35**, 111-123.

James RA, Munns R, von Caemmerer S, Trejo C, Miller C, Codon T. 2006. Photosynthetic capacity is related to the cellular and subcellular partitioning of Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> in salt-affected barley and durum wheat. Plant, Cell and Environment **29(12)**, 2185-2197.

**Jarolimek W, Lewen A, Misgeld U.** 1999. A furosemide-sensitive K<sup>+</sup>-Cl<sup>-</sup> cotransporter counteracts Intracellular Cl<sup>-</sup> accumulation and depletion in cultured rat midbrain neurons. The Journal of Neuroscience **19(12)**, 4695-4704.

Kanwal H, Ashraf M, Shahbaz M. 2011. Assessment of salt tolerance of some newly developed and candidate wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) cultivars using gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence attributes. Pakistan Journal of Botany **43(6)**, 2693-2699. www.scopus.com/ inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84858026603& partner ID=40&md5=961e8392a05331c4204f7948ca9abb8c.

Kathy JW, Bachman S. 2016. State of the World's Plants. www.stateofthe-worldsplants.com/report/ sotwp\_2016.pdf.

Khosravifar S, Yarnia M, Benam MBK, Moghbeli AHH. 2008. Effect of potassium on drought tolerance in potato cv., Agria. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment **6(3-4)**, 236-241.

**Kiani-Pouya A, Rasouli F.** 2014. The potential of leaf chlorophyll content to screen bread-wheat genotypes in saline condition. Photosynthetica **52(2)**, 288-300.

**Kolovos A.** 2010. Everything in its place: Efficient geostatistical analysis with SAS/STAT. SAS Global Forum pp.1-18.

**Lichtenthaler Hk, Wellburn AR.** 1983. Determinations of total carotenoids and chlorophylls b of leaf extracts in different solvents. Biochemical Society Transactions **11**, 591-592.

www-06.all-portland.net/bst/011/0591/0110591.pdf).

# 149 | Haq et al.

**Ligaba A, Katsuhara M.** 2010. Insights into the salt tolerance mechanism in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) from comparisons of cultivars that differ in salt sensitivity." Journal of Plant Research **123(1)**, 105-118.

Lipiec J, Doussan C, Nosalewicz A, Kondracka K. 2013. Effect of drought and heat stresses on plant growth and yield: A review. International Agrophysics **27(4)**, 463-477.

www.degruyter.com/view/j/intag.2013.27.issue-4/intag-2013-0017/intag-2013-0017.xml.

Loutfy IEJ, Aref IM, Ahmed AIM. 2008. Eucalyptus intertexta seedlings to irrigation with saline water. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 4, 825-834.

**Maas EV, Hoffman GJ.** 1977. Crop salt tolerance current assessment. Journal of the Irrigation and Drainage Division **103(2)**, 115-134.

Maggio A, Hasegawa PM, Bressan R, Consiglio MF, Joly RJ. 2001. Unravelling the functional relationship between root anatomy and stress tolerance. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology **28(10)**, 999-1004.

**Maggio A, Raimondi G, Martino A, Pascale SD.** 2007. Salt stress response in tomato beyond the salinity tolerance threshold. Environmental and Experimental Botany **59(3)**, 276-782.

Mahajan S, Mahajan S, Tuteja N, Tuteja N. 2005. Cold, salinity and drought stresses: An overview. Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics 444, 139-158.

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16309626.

**Morshedi A, Farahbakhsh H.** 2012. The role of potassium and zinc in reducing salinity and alkalinity stress conditions in two wheat genotypes. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science **58(4)**, 371-384.

**Munns R, Tester M.** 2008. Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annual Review of Plant Biology **59(1)**, 651-681. www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev.-arplant.59.032607.092911.

Munns R. 2002. Comparative physiology of salt and water stress. Plant, Cell and Environment **25(2)**, 239-250.

www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/ 11841667.

**Munns R**. 2005. Genes and salt tolerance: Bringing them together. New Phytologist **167(3)**, 645-663.

Murtaza B, Murtaza G, Saqib M, Khaliq A. 2014. Efficiency of nitrogen use in rice-wheat cropping system in salt-affected soils with contrasting texture. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences **51(2)**, 431-441.

Nandy P, Das S, Ghose M, Spooner-Hart R. 2007. Effects of salinity on photosynthesis, leaf anatomy, ion accumulation and photosynthetic nitrogen use efficiency in five Indian mangroves. Wetlands Ecology and Management **15(4)**, 347-357.

**Ozturk A, Caglar O, Sahin F.** 2003. Yield response of wheat and barley to inoculation of plant growth promoting *Rhizobacteria* at various levels of nitrogen fertilization. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science **166(2)**, 262-266.

**Pak V, Nabipour AM, Meskarbashee M.** 2009. Effect of salt stress on chlorophyll content, fluorescence, Na<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup> ions content in rape plants (*Brassica napus* L.). Asian Journal of Agricultural Research **3**, 28-37.

**Parida AK, Das AB, Das P.** 2002. NaCl stress causes changes in photosynthetic pigments, proteins, and other metabolic components in the leaves of a true mangrove, *Bruguiera parviflora*, in hydroponic cultures. Journal of Plant Biology **45(1)**, 28-36. www.link.springer.com/10.1007/BF03030429.

**Parida AK, Das AB.** 2004. Effects of NaCl stress on nitrogen and phosphorous metabolism in a true mangrove *Bruguiera parviflora* grown under hydroponic culture. Journal of Plant Physiology **161(8)**, 921-928.

# 150 | Haq et al.

**Parida AK, Das AB.** 2005. Salt tolerance and salinity effects on plants: A review. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety **60(3)**, 324-349.

**Pask A, Pietragalla J, Mullan D.** 2012. Physiological Breeding II: A field guide to wheat phenotyping. www.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10. 1002/cbdv.200490137 /abstract.

**Prystupa P, Slafer GA, Savin R.** 2003. Leaf apearance, tillering and their coordination in response to NxP fertilization in barley. Plant and Soil **255(2)**, 587-594.

**Rahnama A, James RA, Poustini K, Munns R.** 2010. Stomatal conductance as a screen for osmotic stress tolerance in durum wheat growing in saline soil. Functional Plant Biology **37(3)**, 255-263.

**Saeed AM, Ashraf M, Akram NA.** 2009. Effectiveness of potassium sulfate in mitigating saltinduced adverse effects on different physiobiochemical attributes in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Flora: Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants **204(6)**, 471-483.

Salamini F, Ozkan H, Brandolini A, Schäfer-Pregl R, Martin W. 2002. Genetics and geography of wild cereal domestication in the near east. Nature Reviews Genetics **3(6)**, 429-441.

**Shabala S, Munns R.** 2012. Salinity stress: Physiological constraints and adaptive mechanisms. Plant Stress Physiology p. 59-93.

www.cabi.org/cabebooks/ebook/20123151319%5 www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.o-84875112050&partnerID=40&md5=0ac9bad5e25ae4 c9dbad962c091a6f2b).

Shahbaz M, Ashraf M, Akram NA, Hanif A, Hameed S, Joham S, Rehman R. 2011. Saltinduced modulation in growth, photosynthetic capacity, proline content and ion accumulation in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Acta Physiologiae Plantarum **33(4)**, 1113-1122. **Shahbaz M, Zia B.** 2011. Does exogenous application of glycinebetaine through rooting medium alter rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) mineral nutrient status under saline conditions. Journal of Applied Botany and Food Quality **84(1)**, 54-60.

**Simonne H, Simonne EH, Eitenmiller RR, Mills H, Cresman CP.** 1997. Could the Dumas method replace the Kjeldahl digestion for nitrogen and crude protein determinations in foods. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture **73(1)**, 39-45.

**Sudhir P, Murthy SDS.** 2004. Effects of salt stress on basic processes of photosynthesis. Photosynthetica **42(4)**, 481-486.

**Sultana N, Ikeda T, Kashem MA.** 2001. Effect of foliar spray of nutrient solutions on photosynthesis, dry matter accumulation and yield in seawaterstressed rice. Environmental and Experimental Botany **46(2)**, 129-140.

**Tabatabaei S, Ehsanzadeh P**. 2016. Photosynthetic pigments, ionic and antioxidative behaviour of hulled tetraploid wheat in response to NaCl. Photosynthetica **54(3)**, 340-350.

**Tavakkoli E, Fatehi F, Coventry S, Rengasamy P, McDonald GK.** 2011. Additive effects of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions on barley growth under salinity stress. Journal of Experimental Botany **62(6)**, 2189-2203.

**Tiwari JK, Munshi A, Kumar R, Pandey RN, Arora A, Bhat J, Sureja A.** 2010. Effect of salt stress on cucumber: Na<sup>+</sup>-K<sup>+</sup> Ratio, osmolyte concentration, phenols and chlorophyll content. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum **32(1)**, 103-114.

Widodo PJH, Newbigin E, Tester M, Bacic A, Roessner U. 2009. Metabolic responses to salt stress of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cultivars, sahara and clipper, which differ in salinity tolerance. Journal of Experimental Botany **60(14)**, 4089-4103. Witzel K, Matros A, Strickert M, Kaspar S, Peukert M, Mühling KH, Börner A, Mock HP. 2014. Salinity stress in roots of contrasting barley genotypes reveals time-distinct and genotypespecific patterns for defined proteins. Molecular Plant 7(2), 336-355.

Wu D, Cai S, Chen M, Ye L, Chen Z, Zhang H, Dai F, Wu F, Zhang G. 2013. Tissue metabolic responses to salt stress in wild and cultivated barley. PLoS ONE 8(1), e55431.

**Yeo AR, Flowers TJ.** 1986. Salinity resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and a pyramiding approach to breeding varieties for saline soils. Functional Plant Biology **13(1)**, 161-173.

**Yordanov I, Velikova V, Tsonev T.** 2003. Plant responses to drought and stress tolerance. Bulgarian Journal of Plant Physiology Special Issue p.187-206. Zhang JL, Shi H. 2013. Physiological and molecular mechanisms of plant salt tolerance. Photosynthesis Research **115(1)**, 1-22.

www.link.springer.com/10.1007/s11120-013-9813-6.

Zhao GQ, Ma BL, Ren CZ. 2007. Growth, gas exchange, chlorophyll fluorescence, and ion content of naked oat in response to salinity. Crop Science 47(1),123-131.

Ziaf K, Amjad M, Pervez MA, Iqbal Q, Rajwana IA, Ayyub M. 2009. Evaluation of different growth and physiological traits as indices of salt tolerance in hot pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Pakistan Journal of Botany **41(4)**, 1797-1809.