



Growth, phenology and yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) affected by nitrogen fertilizer rate and its time of application at Hadero Tunto District, Kambata Tambaro Zone, Southern Ethiopia

Alemayehu Adinew* and Mulugeta Abebe

College of Agriculture, Department of Plant Science, Wachemo University, Ethiopia

Article published on July 15, 2022

Key words: Grain yield, Maize, Nitrogen fertilizer rate, Productivity

Abstract

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the world's leading crops ranked in the third position in the world cereal crop production after wheat and rice. It is a multipurpose traditional crop generally grown for food, feed and fodder. The study was conducted in Hadero Tunto District, Kambata Tambaro Zone, Southern Ethiopia during the main cropping season of 2019 with the aimed to investigate optimum nitrogen fertilizer rate and its time of application to increase productivity of maize crop. Soil fertility depletion and poor nutrient management are the major factors contributing to low productivity of the crop. The treatments consisted of two factors, namely, four levels of nitrogen rates and five different application times. The experiment was laid in randomized complete block design (RCBD) in factorial arrangements with three replications. The analysis of variance showed that the maximum grain yield (6.417 t/ha) was obtained with the highest nitrogen fertilizer rate (200kg/ha) which applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height time. While the least value (4.413 t/ha) was recorded in plots which receive (50kg/ha) which applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at near tasseling time. Like grain yield, total biomass yield of maize also increased linearly with increased in nitrogen fertilizer rates. Thus, the results suggested that use of 200kg N/ha which applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height time was increased the yield of maize in the study area.

*Corresponding Author: Alemayehu Adinew ✉ aleadinw@gmail.com

Introduction

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the world's leading crops ranked in the third position in the world cereal crop production after wheat and rice (FAO, 2018). It is a short duration, quick growing and widely grown crop with high potential, there are no cereal crops with such an immense potentiality, so it is called as "queen of cereals" (Begam *et al.*, 2018). It is a multipurpose traditional crop generally grown for food, feed and fodder (KC *et al.*, 2015). Maize is considered as nutritious food/feed as it contains about 72% starch, 10% protein, 9.5% fiber, 4% fat and supplies energy density of 365 Kcal/100g (Nuss & Tanumihardjo, 2010).

It is an important crop for billions of people as food, feed, and industrial raw material. Currently, nearly 1147.7 million MT of maize is being produced together by over 170 countries from an area of 193.7 million ha with average productivity of 5.75 t/ha (FAOSTAT, 2020). Despite the fact that its current productivity is higher than other major cereal crops, the yield productivity is below its potential. For instance, the potential yield of late maturing hybrid maize varieties can produce up to 9.5–12 t/ha at research field and 6–8.5 t/ha at an on-farm field (Variety Registration, 2012). Whereas the national average productivity is 3.4 t/ha while in the SNNPRS is 3.075 t/ha (CSA, 2016). Even though many biotic and abiotic factors can contribute to these big yield gaps, soil fertility depletion and poor nutrient management are among the major factors contributing to low productivity (Mourice, S. K. *et al.*, 2015).

Poor soil fertility is one of the principal factors that limit maize productivity in maize growing areas of Ethiopia (Ababayehu *et al.*, 2011; Wondesen and Sheleme, 2011). Fertilizer management is crucial for maize cultivation (Baral *et al.*, 2015). Maize being a high nutrient mining crop it needs a higher amount of NPK for its economic production (Adhikary *et al.*, 2020). Among the fertilizers, N is very important because this element is responsible for major activities for the growth and development of maize crops (Jat *et al.*, 2013). Nitrogen (N) is a primary

nutrient and has a decisive role in the improvement of crop production (Szulc *et al.*, 2016). Nitrogen availability influences the uptake, not only of itself, but also other nutrients (Onasanya *et al.*, 2009). Nitrogen use and demand is continuously increasing day by day. Since it is highly mobile, it is subject to greater losses from the soil-plant system. Even under the best management practices, 30-50% of applied nitrogen (N) is lost through different agencies and, hence, the farmer is compelled to apply more than the actual need of the crop to compensate the loss (Abd El-Lattief, 2011). Grain yield, days to flowering, plant height, ear height, kernel rows per ear, no. of kernels per row, ear length, and thousand-grain weight significantly affected due to growing seasons and split applications of nitrogen (Adhikari *et al.*, 2016). Nitrogen supply positively enhances grain yield in all hybrids, primarily by increasing kernel number, ultimately increasing the productivity (Khaliq *et al.*, 2009). However, a substantial percentage of applied N is also lost due to volatilization, leaching, and denitrification. Therefore, N should be applied in optimum level that would maximize its utilization for grain production.

Timing of fertilizer application is another low-cost strategy to reduce nutrient leaching, so that nutrient supply is synchronized with plant demand (Gehl *et al.*, 2005). Split application of nitrogen is one of the methods to improve nitrogen use by the crop while reducing the nutrient loss through leaching and volatilization. Split-application is an essential approach to increase the N use efficiency in crops including maize. However, an optimum and efficient time of N application can increase the recovery of applied N up to 58–70% and hence increase yield and grain quality of the crop. The reasons for low crop productivity are: low soil fertility and indiscriminate use of chemical fertilizers by smallholders (Amanullah *et al.*, 2016). According to Amanullah and Khan (2015), the major problems in the way of increasing yield at farmer's fields are the inappropriate nutrients supply. The application of nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 150 kg/ha increased the grain yield and yield attributing traits

namely number of cobs/ha and thousand grain weight in hybrid maize varieties (Sharma *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, most of the farmers in the Hadero Tunto district have little information available on the doses and timing of N application on maize variety. But the maize variety with appropriate nitrogen rate and its time of application is most important to maximize maize yield. So far large numbers of experiments have been carried out throughout the country to find out the optimum rate of nitrogen and its time of application. However, area-specific researches have not yet been done on a different rate of nitrogenous fertilizer and its time of application for maize varieties under local environmental and soil conditions. Therefore the objective of this study was to determine optimum nitrogen fertilizer rate and its time of application to increase growth, phenology and yield of maize crop in study area.

Material and methods

Description of the Experimental Site

The study was conducted in Hadero Tunto District, Kambata Tambaro Zone, Southern Nations Nationalities and People Regional State at Ajora Kebele farmers training center's field during the main cropping season of 2019. The district is located at about 343 km and 151 km South of Addis Ababa and South West of Hawassa, the capital and regional city, respectively. The Hadero Tunto Zuria District is composed of 16 administrative kebeles and bordered by Wolaita Zone in the South, Qachabira District in the East, Hadiya Zone in the North and Tambaro District in the West. Astronomically, it is situated between 7°7'30" to 7°19'30" N latitude, 37°34' 30" to 37°43'30" E longitude at altitude of 1300– 2600 meters above sea level (Hadero Tunto Zuria district of Agricultural Office, 2019). The study area consists of three distinct agro-climatic zones, Dega (12%), Weyna dega (87%) and Kolla (1%).

The mean annual rainfall ranges from 800mm - 1200mm with mean annual temperature of 18°C- 32°C. The dominant crops growing around the experimental area are enset (*Enset ventricosum*),

wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), maize (*Zea mays* L.), barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), teff (*Eragrostis tef*), ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and legumes (HDZWAO, 2019).

Experimental Materials

The variety P3506W (Damote Pioner) seed was obtained from southern agricultural seed multiplication center which was used as experimental materials and considered as quality seed. The seeds of the variety were used from the 2018 cropping season harvest.

Treatments and Experimental Design

The treatments consisted of two factors, namely, four levels of nitrogen rates (50kg/ha, 100kg/ha, 150kg/ha and 200kg/ha of N in the form of urea) and five different application times (1/2 N at planting + 1/2 at knee height, 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at near tasseling, 1/3 at planting + 1/3 at knee height + 1/3 at near tasseling, 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height, 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at near tasseling). The experiment was laid in randomized complete block design (RCBD) in 4 x 5 factorial arrangements with three replications. In this experiment, the total number of treatments and plots was 20 and 60; respectively. The size of each experimental plot was 3 m long and 3 m wide, Plant population for all experimental plots were 2400 plants, 75 cm between rows and 30 cm between plants with 4 rows of 75cm apart, giving a gross plot area of 9m². Spacing of 1.5m and 1 m was maintained between adjacent blocks and plots, respectively. All data except phenology of crop were collected from the middle two rows leaving the outer most two rows in both sides and plants that were grown in 37.5cm extreme most distance at both ends of rows. Thus the net harvestable plot area was 6.89 m² (2.625m x 2.625m) and total harvestable area was 224.64m². The total size of the plot and area of the field was 540m² (9m² x 60) and 948m² (79m x 12m) respectively.

Management of Experiment

Land preparation

The experimental field was prepared following the conventional tillage practice which includes 2-3 times plowing before planting of the maize variety P3506W

(Damote Pioner) seeds. As per the specifications of the design, a field layout were prepared; the land was cleaned, leveled and was made suitable for crop establishment.

Planting and field management

Planting was done in 15 May 2019 and it was made by keeping two seeds in one hill at a distance of 30cm within a row. Two weeks after emergence, plants were thinned to one plant per hill. Recommended phosphorus (100 kg P/ha) in the form of triple superphosphate (TSP) for all experimental plots including control plot were equally and uniformly applied at the time of maize planting. Application time of nitrogen fertilizer was applied in the form of Urea as per treatment arrangements.

The hybrid variety P3506W (Damote Pioner) of maize was used for the execution of the treatments. While conducting the experiment others necessary agronomic management practices such as weeding, disease and insect pest control were carried out uniformly for all treatments to evaluate the performance of productivity of maize variety.

Data Collection and Measurements

Data collection was carried out during the vegetative period, at maturity time, at harvest and after harvest. The Data was collected on agronomic parameters (plant height, Days to tasseling, Days to silking, tasseling and tasseling-silking interval, Days to physiological maturity), yield components (number of grain rows per ear, Numbers of grains per ear rows and 1,000-grain weight), yields (grain, dry biomass and harvest index).

Plant height

Plant height from the ground level up to the collar of the upper leaf with developed leaf sheath was measured at physiological maturity time.

Days to 50% tasseling

Tasseling was reached when 50% of the plants shed pollen from the main branch of the tassel and from a few other branches.

Days to 50% silking

Silking refers to the stage when silk was emerged on 50% of the observed plants. Silking date was recorded when 50% of the plants had extruded silks.

Days to tasseling-silking interval

The tasseling-silking interval (TSI) is the number of days from anthesis to silking. It was calculated as a difference between the recorded anthesis and silking dates. On the other hand, the ASI was estimated counting the difference in the number of days required for 50% of the plants within each plot to present pollen shed and 50% of the plants to have visible silks.

Days to physiological maturity

The appearance of a black layer underneath the tip of the kernel that is attached to the cob was used as a criterion for physiological maturity. It was determined by counting the number of days from the planting date to harvesting time.

Numbers of grain rows per ear

Five randomly selected ears were harvested in each plot and numbers of rows per each ear were counted and averaged.

Number of grains per ear row

The number of grains per ear row was determined by counting the number grains in one row of each harvested ears and averaged.

Thousand grain weights

Thousand-grain weight was determined by weighing with analytical balance the weight of 1,000 sampled grains from the bulk harvest and adjusting to 12.5% moisture level.

Grain and biomass yield

Grain and stubble yield data were collected from the two harvestable rows by excluding over-favored plants (plants that stand at a spacing exceeding the required distance due to missing plants in a row). The harvested biomass was weighed for fresh biomass weight after which the ears and the stubble are separated and weighed. The ears are shell and grain yield was

determined by adjusting to 12.5% moisture content. Stubble of two stands from each plot was collected from each plot at harvest. The stubble samples are sun dried until constant weight was attained so that it was possible to calculate the dry stubble yield per plot. The dried biomass yield was determined as the sum of dry grain and dry stubble yields.

The harvest index (HI)

It was computed as the ratio of grain yield (GY) to the total above ground Dry-mass (DM) yield. The following formula was used: HI (%) = (grain yield/total biological yield) × 100.

Statistical Analysis

The data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) as per the experimental designs for each experiment using Gen Stat version 15.1, 18th edition of statistical software package. The Least Significance Difference (LSD) at 5% level of probability procedure was used to determine differences between treatment means.

Result and discussion

Plant Height

The analysis of variance showed that the main factors (N rate and time of application) and their interaction

of these factors significantly influenced plant height (Appendix table 1). It increased with increasing N rates. So, the maximum plant height (3.213 m) was obtained with the highest N rate (200kg N/ha) 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height.

While the least value (2.720 m) was recorded in plots which receive (50kg N/ha) which applied 1/3 at planting + 1/3 at near tasseling (Table 1).

The results is supported by Raouf Seyed Sharifi and Ali Namvar (2016) those stated that increase in plant height due to more N may be attributed to better vegetative development that resulted in increased mutual shading and inter nodal extension.

Another result also supported by Khan *et al.* (2011) who reported that with the increasing N level the plant height automatically increased. Increase in plant height may also be due to prolonged vegetative growth which increased the plant height.

This tendency can be attributed to higher dose of N, which greatly helps the plant to expose its potential to grow vigorously.

Table 1. Interaction effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and its time of application on plant height of maize.

N- Rate (kg ha-1)	Time of N- fertilizer application				
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
50	2.733 d	2.857 ^{bcd}	2.843 ^{bcd}	2.717 ^d	2.720 ^d
100	2.807 ^{cd}	2.793 ^d	2.993 ^{abcd}	2.897 ^{abcd}	2.850 ^{bcd}
150	2.870 ^{abcd}	2.960 ^{abcd}	2.887 ^{abcd}	3.167 ^{ab}	2.957 ^{abcd}
200	3.140 ^{abc}	3.167 ^{ab}	3.010 ^{abcd}	3.213 ^a	3.017 ^{abcd}
LSD (5%)			0.1834		
CV (%)			3.8		

Means with the same letter (s) in the same column and rows of the trait are not significantly different at 5% probability level, T1 = nitrogen fertilizer applied at 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at knee height time, T2 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at near tasseling time, T3 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 1/3 at knee height + 1/3 near tasseling time, T4 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3, at knee height time, T5 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at near tasseling time, LSD (5%) = least significant difference at 5% probability level, CV(%) = coefficient of variation in percent.

Days to 50% Tasseling

The analysis of variance (ANOVA) result indicated that day to 50% tasseling was significant (P<0.01) difference between N-rates (appendix table1). When increasing N-rates from 50 to 200kg ha⁻¹ increases days to 50% tasseling. The comparison of means

indicated that the maximum of days to 50% tasseling (69.53 days) was recorded at application of 200kg N ha⁻¹ and the minimum (66.87 days) was recorded at 50kg/ha (Table 2). the results is supported by Raouf Seyed Sharifi and Ali Namvar (2016) who stated that higher nutrient availability

and favourable soil conditions due to N fertilizer may cause vigorous crop growth and delay phenology such as tasseling and silking.

Days to 50% silking

Statistical analysis of the data revealed that the interactions of N rates and its application time are not significant. But, the main effect of N-rates showed significant at (P<0.05) on days to 50% silking. The highest value recorded at N-rate of 50kg ha⁻¹ and minimum value recorded at N-rate of 200kg/ha (Table 2). The result indicated that increases N-rate shorten the duration of 50% silking time. This decrease may be due to enhanced growth rate and dry matter accumulation in an early stage. This decreasing in silking period in response to increasing in N rate might be attributing to rapidness in growth

period and promoting silk extrusion. the result is supported by Begizew Golla and Desalegn Chalchisa (2018) reported that the mean values for nitrogen rates showed that days to 50% silking delayed by 2 days in a treatment with no N application compared to a treatment that fed with 115kg N/ha. Thus the maximum days to 50% silking (84.67) were recorded in a plot with no N application. However, it was statistically similar with days to 50% silking recorded under a treatment of 23, 46 and 69kg/ha N-rates.

The minimum days to 50% silking (82.67) were obtained under maximum N rate (115kg N/ha) But statistically similar result were also obtained under application of 46, 69 and 92kg N/ha. However, there was a decreasing trend in days to 50% silking with increasing in nitrogen rates.

Table 2. Main effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate on days to tasseling (days), days to silking (days), days to tasseling silking interval, days to physiological maturity, thousand kernel weight and number of grain rows per ear of maize.

N- Rate (kg ha ⁻¹)	Mean values of parameters					
	DT (days)	DS (days)	STI (days)	DPM (days)	NGRPE	TKW (g)
50	66.87 b	73.73 a	6.86 a	126.3 c	13.33 b	290.1 b
100	67.67 b	72.53 ab	4.86 b	129.7 b	14.20 a	295.8 b
150	68.00 b	72.40 ab	4.400 b	131.0 b	14.47 a	306.7 a
200	69.53 a	71.40 b	1.87 c	135.2 a	14.53 a	312.3 a
LSD (5%)	1.145	1.267	0.831	2.250	0.9119	5.69
CV (%)	2.3	2.4	25.2	2.4	3.9	2.6

Means with the same letter (s) in the same column and rows of each trait are not significantly different at 5% probability level, DT = days to tasseling, DS= days to silking, STI = silking teaseling interval, TKW = thousand kernel weight, DPM = days to physiological maturity NGRPE= Number of grain rows per ear, LSD (5%) = least significant difference at 5% probability level, CV (%) = Coefficient of variation in percent.

Days to 50% Tasseling-Silking Interval

The analysis of variance showed that the main factor N rates significantly affected the tasseling-silking interval. The maximum tasseling-silking interval (6.86 days) was recorded at application of N rate of 50kg/ha and the minimum tasseling-silking interval (1.87 days) was recorded at application of N rate of 200kg/ha. A shorter tasseling-silking interval with higher N rate was because of inducing early and rapid growth of the crop. This result is supported by Gungula *et al.* (2007) who reported that there will be more synchrony in flowering with higher nitrogen rate. So, the above (Table 2) indicated that when we increased the N rate it decreased the prolonged period of 50% tasseling and silking of maize variety.

Days to Physiological Maturity (DPM)

The data along with the comparison of means are presented in the above Table 2, which indicates that Days to 90% physiological maturity showed significant (P<0.01) differences between N- rates (appendix table 1). But the interaction of N-rate and time of N-application showed no significant variation on days to 90% physiological maturity. In addition, N- fertilizer applied at the rate of 200kg/ha was found late maturing which took the longest duration (135.2 days) and N- fertilizer applied at the rate of 50kg/ha took the shortest duration (126.3 days). This might be due to the loss of water content in seeds obtained from N- fertilizer applied at the rate of 50kg/ha as it was observed from the rapid change of

plants from green color to yellowish at field evaluation and the appearance of a black layer underneath the tip of the kernel that is attached to the cob early appeared than the other rate of application. This result was in agreement with that of Yacob Alemayehu and Meshu Shewareg (2015) who reported that the maximum days to physiological maturity (141.7 days after sowing, DAS) was achieved by N₃ (69kg/ha), followed by N₂ (135 days) where N was applied at the rate of 46kg/ha. Delay in maturity of maize was greater at higher rate of N, as about 16 more days were required for the 69kg ha⁻¹ treatment compared to the control which took 125days to maturity. This might be attributed to the behavior of N- fertilizer, which increases vegetative growth of the cob when more N is applied.

Number of Grain Rows Ear⁻¹

Statistical analysis of the data revealed that the number of grain rows ear⁻¹ was highly significant affected by nitrogen rates (appendix table 2). High number of grain rows ear⁻¹ (14.53) was recorded in the plots treated with 200kg/ha followed by 150kg N/ha (14.47) and the Minimum number of grain rows ear⁻¹ (13.33) was recorded in 50kg N/ha. Interaction between nitrogen rates and its time of application was non-significant. the result is supported by Raouf Seyed Sharifi and Ali Namvar (2016) who reported that N rates and their application time had no

significant effect on the number of grain rows ear⁻¹. This indicated that environmental factors have a low influence on the number of grain rows and this trait is significantly affected by genetic factors rather than other sources.

Number of Grains per Ear Row

The analysis of data regarding the effects of rates and N application time on the number of grains per ear row is given in Table 3. The comparison of means of rates × N application time indicated that the highest value (44.67) was recorded at application of 200kg N/ha as T₅, and the minimum number of grains per ear row (35.33) was recorded at application of 50kg N/ha as both T₂ and T₅. A greater number of grains per ear row with higher N rates might have resulted from the greater assimilates partitioning to the seeds as a result of longer growth period and higher photosynthetic availability during the grain filling period (Amanullah *et al.*, 2009).

This result also supported by Dawadi and Sah (2012) suggested that decrease in the number of grains per ear row under lower N application might be attributed to poor development of sinks and reduced translocation of photosynthesis. In this study, high N rates delayed the appearance of phenological stages, and it seems that can be one of the reasons for increasing the number of grains per ear row.

Table 3. Interaction effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and its time of application on Number of grains per ear row of maize.

N- Rate (kg ha ⁻¹)	Time of N- fertilizer application				
	T ₁	T ₂	T ₃	T ₄	T ₅
50	37.33 cde	35.33 e	37.33 cde	39.00 abcde	35.33 e
100	42.33 abcd	38.00 bcde	41.33 abcd	42.67 abc	37.00 cde
150	36.67 de	37.33 cde	37.00 cde	43.33 ab	40.00 abcde
200	41.00 abcde	42.00 abcd	42.00 abcd	42.00 abcd	44.67 a
LSD (5%)	3.089				
CV (%)	4.7				

Means with the same letter (s) in the same column and rows of the trait are not significantly different at 5% probability level, T₁ = nitrogen fertilizer applied at 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at knee height time, T₂ = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at near tasseling time, T₃ = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 1/3 at knee height + 1/3 near tasseling time, T₄ = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3, at knee height time, T₅ = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at near tasseling time, LSD (5%) = least significant difference at 5% probability level, CV (%) = coefficient of variation in percent.

Thousand Kernel Weight (g)

The analysis of variance of N rates showed highly significant difference (P<0.01) on thousand kernel

weight of treatment means (appendix table 2). But, time of N application and the interaction effect showed no significance variation between treatment

means. The comparison of means indicated that the maximum thousand kernel weight (312.3g) was recorded at application of 200kg N/ha and the minimum value of thousand kernel weight (290.1g) was recorded at application of 50kg N/ha. This is due to higher photosynthesis rate which increases the size of kernel weight and leads to increase grain yield. Similarly, Abera (2013) reported that higher rate of N level increased kernel weight in maize. Also Karki, (2002) reported that the increase in thousand grains weight with increase in N rates might be due to relatively higher amount of photosynthesis to the grains.

Grain Yield

The analysis of variance revealed that the main factors (N rate and its time of application) and their interaction of these factors were highly significant influenced grain yield (Appendix table 2). It increased with increasing N rates. So, the maximum grain yield (6.417 t/ha) was obtained with the highest N rate

(200kg N/ha) which applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height time. While the least value (4.413 t/ha) was recorded in plots which receive (50kg N/ha) which applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at near tassel time (Table 4). The result is supported by Ullah *et al.* (2007) who reported that yield and yield components of maize increase by increasing of applied N rate. Similarly, Raouf Seyed Sharifi and Ali Namvar (2016) gained the yield variation between 4.745 t/ha without N application to 7.355 t/ha at application of 225kg N/ha. These results indicated that N application with increase in split application proved an additional source for a higher rate of photosynthesis and transport of photo-assimilates during grain filling that resulted in a higher grain yield of maize. Delayed time of application after root development significantly enhanced the yield of maize compared to at the time of planting. So these result indicated the split application of N-fertilizer was for productivity of maize crop in the study area.

Table 4. Interaction effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and its time of application on grain yield of maize.

N- Rate (kg ha-1)	Time of N- fertilizer application				
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
50	4.607 ^{ghi}	4.460 ⁱ	4.490 ^{hi}	4.873 ^{fghi}	4.413 ⁱ
100	5.510 ^{bcdef}	4.860 ^{fghi}	5.193 ^{cdefgh}	5.627 ^{bcde}	4.957 ^{efghi}
150	4.943 ^{efghi}	5.263 ^{cdefg}	5.310 ^{cdefg}	6.200 ^{ab}	5.780 ^{abcde}
200	6.150 ^{abc}	5.117 ^{defghi}	5.573 ^{bcdef}	6.417 ^a	5.920 ^{abcd}
LSD (5%)			0.3875		
CV (%)			4.4		

Means with the same letter (s) in the same column and rows of the trait are not significantly different at 5% probability level, T1 = nitrogen fertilizer applied at 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at knee height time, T2 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at near tassel time, T3 = recommended nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 1/3 at knee height + 1/3 near tassel time, T4 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height time, T5 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at near tasseling time, LSD (5%) = least significant difference at 5% probability level, CV(%) = coefficient of variation in percent.

Biomass Yield (t ha⁻¹)

Biomass yield was determined from plants harvested from net plot those at the point of attached to the ground and sun dried until the constant weight attained. Data subjected to analysis of variance and the result indicated that the main factors (N- rate and time of application) and their interaction was significantly influenced biomass yield of maize crop (Appendix table 2). It increased with increasing N rates. So, the maximum biomass yield (22.33 t/ha) was obtained with the highest N rate (200kg N/ha) of

1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height. While the statistically the least value (15.73 t/ha) was recorded in plots which receive (50kg N/ha) which applied 1/2 at planting + 2/3 at near tasseling (table 5).

The biomass yield production was largely a function of photosynthetic surface, which was favorably influenced by N-fertilization. So, the increasing fertilization of N-rate from 50 to 200kg/ha relatively increases the biomass yield production in the study area. Like grain yield, total biomass yield of maize

also increased linearly with increased in N rates from 50 to 200kg N/ha showing more dry matter allocation in favor of the Stover under heavier N rates. Also, the increased in total biomass is directly related to the increase in plant height, leaf area, and vegetative growth which is due to sufficient availability of N to the plants.

The results is supported by, Hammad *et al.* (2011) and Abera (2013) reported significantly higher biomass yield at higher N rates. Biological yield

increase with increase in N-levels because of more growth occur which results in increased in biological yield. The other result also supported by Zerihun Abebe and Hailu Feyisa (2017) reported that the highest significant biomass yield (21.2 t/ha) was obtained at 115 kg N /ha and T4 (four times split application of equal doses) followed by 69 kg N/ha at T1 and T2. However, application of 46 kg/ha at T2 (two times split application of equal doses) showed the lowest yield, except the control plot without N, compared to other treatment combinations.

Table 5. Interaction effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and its time of application on biomass yield of maize (t ha⁻¹).

N- Rate (kg ha-1)	Time of N- fertilizer application				
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
50	15.75 e	17.41 cde	17.40 cde	16.50 e	15.73 e
100	16.64 cde	16.07 de	19.05 bcd	19.03 bcd	15.77 e
150	15.82 e	17.50 cde	18.00 cde	21.30 ab	19.45 abc
200	21.14 ab	18.61 bcde	17.66 cde	21.62 ab	22.33 a
LSD (5%)			1.661		
CV (%)			5.5		

Means with the same letter (s) in the same column and rows of the trait are not significantly different at 5% probability level, T1 = nitrogen fertilizer applied at 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at knee height time, T2 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at near taseing time, T3 = recommended nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 1/3 at knee height + 1/3 near taseing time, T4 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height time, T5 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at near taseing time, LSD (5%) = least significant difference at 5% probability level, CV(%) = coefficient of variation in percent.

Table 6. Interaction effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and its time of application on harvest index of maize.

N- Rate (kg ha-1)	Time of N- fertilizer application				
	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5
50	29.42 ab	24.68 c	25.85 bc	29.37 abc	28.04 abc
100	29.92 ab	30.30 ab	27.28 abc	29.56 ab	31.47 a
150	31.24 a	30.08 ab	29.57 ab	29.26 abc	29.72 ab
200	29.14 abc	29.60 ab	31.61 a	29.69 ab	30.20 ab
LSD (5%)			2.515		
CV (%)			5.2		

Means with the same letter (s) in the same column and rows of the trait are not significantly different at 5% probability level, T1 = nitrogen fertilizer applied at 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at knee height time, T2 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at near taseing time, T3 = recommended nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 1/3 at knee height + 1/3 near taseing time, T4 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height time, T5 = nitrogen fertilizer applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at near taseing time, LSD (5%) = least significant difference at 5% probability level, CV (%) = coefficient of variation in percent.

Harvest Index

The analysis of variance of N rates and interaction with time of N application showed significant difference for the harvest index. But, time of N application showed no significance variation between treatment means. The comparison of means indicated

that the maximum harvest index (31.61%) was recorded at application of 200kg N/ha as T3, followed by 100kg N/ha as T5 and the minimum of it (24.68%) was recorded at application of 50kg N ha⁻¹ as T2 (Table 6). The analysis of variance in these study harvest index (HI) were not significantly increased as

the function of time of N- application. The result is in lined with the result of Lawrence *et al.* (2008) those reported that the harvest index in corn increases when N rates increase. However, delayed application of N after crop establishment (split application) significantly increased HI compared to N application at the time of planting (table 4). The other result also supported by Khan *et al.* (2017) also reported that the maximum harvest index (36.1%) was recorded from 120kg/ha nitrogen application, followed by 90kg N/ha (33.6%) over the minimum (31.6%) observed from control. The increased harvest index with higher levels of N might be due to efficient portioning of assimilates towards the economic portion.

Summary and conclusion

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most important cereal crop in the world next to rice and wheat and has the highest production potential among the cereals. It is an important cereal in human diets and animal feed, providing adequate amounts of energy and protein. Even though its current productivity is higher than other major cereal crops, the yield is below its potential. Therefore, the general objective of the research was to determine the optimum nitrogen fertilizer rate and its time of application to increase the productivity of maize crops in the study area. The treatments consisted of two factors, namely, four levels of nitrogen fertilizer rates (50kg/ha, 100kg/ha, 150kg/ha, and 200kg/ha of in the form of urea) and five different application times (1/2 N at planting + 1/2 at knee height, 1/2 at planting + 1/2 at near tasseling, 1/3 at planting + 1/3 at knee height + 1/3 at near tasseling, 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height, 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at near tasseling). The experiment was laid in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) in 4 x 5 factorial arrangements with three replications.

The results of the analysis indicated that nitrogen fertilizer rate application with an increase in split application proved an additional source for a higher rate of photosynthesis and transport of photo-assimilates during grain filling that resulted in a higher grain yield of maize. And, the biomass yield production was also largely a function of the photosynthetic surface, which was

favorably influenced by N-fertilization. So, the grain yield, total biomass yield of maize increased linearly with an increase in N rates from 50 to 200kg N/ha, showing more dry matter allocation in favor of the Stover under heavier N rates. Thus, the results suggested that use of 200kg N/ha which applied 1/3 at planting + 2/3 at knee height time was increased the yield of maize in the study area.

Acknowledgment

The authors gratefully acknowledged Wachemo University Research and Community Service office for the financial support to do this research work. We would also like to express our special appreciation to Kambata Tambro Zone, Ajora Kebele crop production and protection experts in their valuable commitments during our stay in conducting the field research work.

References

- Abd El, Lattief EA.** 2011. Nitrogen management Effect on the Production of Two Sweet Sorghum Cultivars under Arid Regions Conditions. *Asian J. Crop Science* **3(2)**, 77-84.
- Abebayehu A, Eyasu E, Diels J.** 2011. Comparative Analysis of Soil Nutrient Balance at Farm Level: A case Study in Jimma Zone, Ethiopia. *Inter. J. Soil Science* **6(4)**, 259-266.
- Abera K.** 2013. Growth, productivity and nitrogen use efficiency of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by rate and time of nitrogen fertilizer application in Haramaya District, Eastern Ethiopia.
- Adhikari P, Baral BR, Shrestha J.** 2016. Maize response to time of nitrogen application and planting seasons. *Journal of Maize Research and Development* **2(1)**, 83-93.
- Adhikary BH, Baral BR, Shrestha J.** 2020. Productivity of winter maize as affected by varieties and fertilizer levels. *International Journal of Applied Biology* **4(1)**, 85-93.
- Amanullah KH, Riaz A, Khalil SH.** 2009. Plant density and nitrogen effects on maize phenology and grain yield. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **32(2)**, 246-60.

- Amanullah, Khan A.** 2015. Phosphorus and compost management influence maize (*Zea mays*) productivity under semiarid condition with and without phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Front. Plant Sci* **6**, DOI: 1083. 10.3389/fpls.2015.01083.
- Amanullah.** 2016. Rate and timing of nitrogen application influence partial factor productivity and agronomic NUE of maize (*Zea mays* L) planted at low and high densities on calcareous soil in northwest Pakistan. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* **39**, 683-690. DOI: 10.1080/01904167.2015.1087031.
- Baral BR, Adhikari P, Shrestha J.** 2015. Growth and yield response of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) to phosphorus levels in sandy loam soil of Chitwan valley, Nepal. *International Journal of Environmental Science* **4(2)**, 147-156.
- Begizew Golla and Desalegn Chalchisa.** 2018. Response of maize phenology and grain yield to various nitrogen rates and plant spacing at Bako, West Ethiopia.
- Central Statistical Agency.** 2016. Central Statistical Agency, Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia; Addis Ababa: Agricultural Sample Survey 2015/2016, Vol. 1: Area and Production of Major Crops.
- Dawadi DR, Sah SK.** 2012. Growth and yield of hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) in relation to planting density and nitrogen levels during winter season in Nepal. *Trop Agric Res* **23(3)**, 218-27.
- FAO.** 2018. Part-3: Feeding the World, Statistical Year Book of FAO of United Nation, Retrived on December 21, 2019 from <http://www.fao.org/3/%20i3107e/i3107e03.pdf>.
- FAOSTAT.** 2016. Statistical Database of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Available online: faostat3.fao.org/browse/Q/QC/E.
- Gehl RJ, Schmidt JP, Maddux LD, Gordon WB.** 2005. Corn yield response to nitrogen rate and timing in sandy irrigated soils. *Agron. J* **97**, 1230-1238.
- Gungula DT, Togun AO, Kling JG.** 2007. The effect of nitrogen rates on phenology and yield components of early maturing maize cultivars. *Crop Sci* **13(3)**, 319-24.
- Hammad H, Khaliq T, Farhad W.** 2011. Optimizing rate of nitrogen application for higher yield and quality in maize under semiarid environment. *Agro Climatology Laboratory, Department of Agronomy, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad.*
- Jat ML, Satyanarayana T, Manundar K, Parihar CM, Jat SL, Tetarwal JP, Jat RK, Saharawat YS.** 2013. *Indian Journal of Fertilizer* **9(4)**, 80-94.
- Karki TB.** 2002. Response of maize to nitrogen, phosphorous and their interaction on maize yield. *M.Sc Thesis, Tribhuwan University, Kathmandu, Nepal.*
- KCG, Karki TB, Shrestha J, Achhami BB.** 2015. Status and prospects of maize research in Nepal. *Journal of maize research and development* **1(1)**, 1-9.
- Khaliq T, Ahmad A, Hussain A, Ali MA.** 2009. Maize hybrids response to nitrogen rates at multiple locations in semiarid environment. *Pakistan Journal of Botany* **41(1)**, 207-224.
- Khan HZ, Iqbal S, Iqbal A, Akbar N, Jones DL.** 2011. Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) varieties to different levels of nitrogen. *Crop and Environment* **2(2)**, 15-19.
- Khan S, Khan A, Jalal F, Khan M, Khan H.** 2017. Dry Matter Partitioning and Harvest Index of Maize Crop as Influenced by Integration of Sheep Manure and Urea Fertilizer. *Adv Crop Sci Tech* **5**, 276. doi: 10.4172/2329-8863.1000276.
- Lawrence JR, Ketterings QM, Cherney JH.** 2008. Effect of nitrogen application on yield and quality of corn. *Agronomy Journal* **100(1)**, 73-9.
- Mourice SK, Tumbo SD, Nyambilila A, Rweyemamu CL.** 2015. "Modeling potential rain-fed maize productivity and yield gaps in the Wami River sub-basin, Tanzania," *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B: Soil and Plant Science* **65(2)**, 132-140.

Nuss ET, Tanumihardjo SA. 2010. Maize: a paramount staple crop in the context of global nutrition. *Comprehensive reviews in food science and food safety* **9(4)**, 417-436. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-4337.2010.00117.x>

Onasanya RO, Aiyelari OP, Onasanya A, Nwilene FE, Oyelakin OO. 2009. Effect of Different Levels of Nitrogen and Phosphorous Fertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) in Southwest Nigeria. *Int. J. Agric. Research* **4(6)**, 193-203.

Raouf Seyed Sharifi and Ali Namvar. 2016. Effects of time and rate of nitrogen application on phenology and some agronomical traits of maize (*Zea mays* L.).

Sharma R, Adhikari P, Shrestha J, Acharya BP. 2019. Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids to different levels of nitrogen. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science* **4(3)**, 295-299.

Szulc P, Waligóra H, Michalski T, Rybus-Zajac M, Olejarski P. 2016. Efficiency of nitrogen fertilization based on the fertilizer application method and type of maize cultivar (*Zea mays* L.). *Plant, Soil and Environment* **62(3)**, 135-142. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17221/654/2015-PSE>

Ullah AM, Bhatti A, Gurmani ZA, Imran M. 2007. Studies on planting patterns of maize facilitating legumes intercropping. *J Agric Res* **45(2)**, 1-5.

Variety Registration. 2012. Crop Variety Registration, in, CVR (Crop, Addis Ababa, 2012).

Yacob Alemayehu and Meshu Shewareg. 2015. Growth and yield response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to different nitrogen rate under rain-fed condition in Dilla area, Southern Ethiopia.

Zerihun Abebe and Hailu Feyisa. 2017. Effects of Nitrogen Rates and Time of Application on Yield of Maize: Rainfall Variability Influenced Time of N Application.

A. Appendices

Table 1. Mean squares of analysis of variance for crop phenological and growth parameters of maize from different N-rate and time of application.

Source of Variation	DF	Mean squares				
		DT	DS	TSI	PH	DPM
Replication	2	4.317	3.017	0.600	0.00188	25.55
NR	3	18.728**	13.706*	63.400**	0.30892**	201.93**
TNA	4	1.725 ^{ns}	2.558 ^{ns}	0.292 ^{ns}	0.00723 ^{ns}	3.36 ^{ns}
NR×TNA	12	2.714 ^{ns}	1.858 ^{ns}	1.247 ^{ns}	0.03136*	9.52 ^{ns}
Residual	38	2.439	3.403	1.407	0.01230	10.06
CV (%)		2.3	2.5	13.4	3.8	2.4

* and **, significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively, ns = None significant difference, CV (%) = coefficient of variation in percent, DF = degree of freedom, NR = nitrogen fertilizer rate, TNA = time of nitrogen fertilizer application, DT = days to 50% tasseling, DS = days to silking, TSI = days to 50% tasseling –silking interval, PH = plant height and DPM = days to physiological maturity.

Table 2. Mean squares of analysis of variance for yield components and yield parameters of maize from different N-rate and time of application.

Source of Variation	DF	Mean squares					
		NRPE	NGRPE	TKW	GY	BY	HI
Replication	2	0.2167	8.317	0.22	0.32883	1.765	2.709
NR	3	4.5778**	79.617**	1534.73**	4.32512**	40.786**	22.663**
TNA	4	0.1083 ^{ns}	20.708**	191.44*	1.18482**	9.021**	4.984 ^{ns}
NR×TNA	12	0.3972 ^{ns}	12.131*	63.57 ^{ns}	0.25124**	8.738**	6.717*
Residual	38	0.3044	3.492	45.60	0.05496	1.009	2.315
CV (%)		3.9	4.7	2.2	4.4	5.5	5.2

* and **, significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively, ns = None significant difference, CV (%) = coefficient of variation in percent, DF= Degree of freedom, NR= Nitrogen fertilizer rate, TNA= Time of nitrogen fertilizer application, NGRPE = Number of rows per ear, NGRPE= Number of grain rows per ear, TKW= Thousand kernel weight, GY= Grain yield, BY= Biomass yield and HI= Harvest index.