

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print), 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 8, No. 3, p. 35-43, 2016

## **OPEN ACCESS**

Gibberellin and indole acetic acid production capacity of endophytic fungi isolated from *ZEA MAYS* L.

Ismail<sup>\*</sup>, Muhammad Hamayun, Aqib Sayyed, Islam Ud Din, Humaira Gul, Anwar Hussain

Department of Botany, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Khyber Pukhtoonkhwa, Pakistan

Key words: Endophytic fungi, IAA, Gibberellin, Zea mays.

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/8.3.35-43

Article published on March 23, 2016

# Abstract

Endophytic fungi are endosymbionts of majority of plants and having role in plant growth promotion by producing important secondary metabolites such as gibberellin and auxin. A total of 29 different endophytic fungal strains were isolated from the roots (21) and leaves (8) of *Zea mays* L. and screened for GA and IAA producing capacity. Out of 29 fungal strains, 18 were found to be growth promoters and 6 growth inhibitors of rice seedlings. MR 1-3-1 and MR 2-4-4 were the best shoot growth promoter strains. The presence of plant growth promoting hormone was later on confirmed using double beam spectrophotometer. For the GA<sub>3</sub> detection and quantification, a very simple bioassay was done using wheat seeds with embryo removed. Maximum GA<sub>3</sub> (52.26 ng/mL) was found in the culture filtrate of MR 2-4-4. Similarly maximum IAA (2.02  $\mu$ g/mL) was detected in the culture filtrate of ML 1-5. The present work suggests that these endophytic fungi can be used as bio-fertilizer in the agricultural fields because extensive use of artificial fertilizers, cause deterioration of environment and have hazardous effects for plants. Moreover, artificial fertilizers are very costly and must be supplied continuously to the fields while endophytic fungi stay in the field for years and safe to the plant and environment.

\* Corresponding Author: Ismail 🖂 ismail160@yahoo.com

#### Introduction

A sustainable agricultural industry is required to feed the ever increasing population of the earth. Huge quantities of fertilizers are utilized by the agriculture industry for crop growth and higher yields. However, such fertilizer application cause huge damages to the environment and are hazardous to human health. Biological fertilizers may be a very good alternative as they are environment friendly and also need less financial inputs. Apart from this, biological fertilizers need no repetition like artificial fertilizers which must be supplied to the field continuously.

A diverse flora of endophytic fungi is found in the internal tissues of majority of higher plants without causing any damage to the host plant (Addy et al., 2005). Among the 770 species of endophytic fungi isolated so for from various plants, most common and identified strains are, Alternaria infectoria, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, Colletotrichum musae, Aspergillus sp., Nigrospora oryzae, Nigrospora sphaerica, Phomopsis sp., Penicillium sp., Cordana musae, Guignardia sp., Rhizoctonia sp., species of Xylaria and Phialocephala sphaeroides (Wilson et al., 2004). It has been estimated that nearly 13, 00,000 endophytic fungal species are still undiscovered (Dreyfuss and Chapela, 1994).

Endophytic fungi are used by the plants as an indirect defense against herbivorous organisms (insects, birds and mammals), heat, drought and salt stresses, diseases, and increased below and above ground biomass stresses (Carroll, 1986; Lekberg et al., 2005). Ecological adaptability and tolerance of the host plant to biotic and abiotic stresses may also be enhanced by the presence of endophytic fungi (Schulz and Boyle, 2005). In return the plant provides carbohydrates energy resources to the endophytic fungi (Lekberg and Koide, 2005). More than 50,000 secondary metabolites are discovered so far in the microbial world (Berdy, 2005). These secondary metabolites constitute different classes of biologically active compounds such as steroids, alkaloids, terpenoids, quinones, phenols, xanthones, tetralones, isocoumarins, benzopyranones, flavonoids,

cytochalasins, enniatins phenylpropanoids, lignans, aliphatics, peptides, phenolics, and volatile organic compounds. (Tan and Zou, 2001; Schulz *et al.*, 2002; Gunatilaka, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2006).

Phytohormones are chemical messengers that work as signal molecules and control plant growth and development. They are involved in controlling responses of the plants to environmental changes and also the internal genetic potentials that are controlled by some principal agents. Some rhizospheric fungi also secrete growth promoting phytohormones (Hamayun et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2009). GAs are said to be responsible for all sort of plant growth and development but their most important function is the improvement in the stem growth and biomass (Nishijima et al., 1995). GAs is produced by A spergillusGibberella fujikuroi, fumigatus, Neurospora crassa, Sphaceloma manihoticola, Sphaceloma Azospirillum brasilense, sp., Chrysosporium pseudomonarium, Penicillium funiculosum, Sesamum indicum, Phaeosphaeria sp. and Penicillium citrinum (Rademacher, 1994; Khan et al., 2008).

Auxin is an important plant hormone, discovered in 1928 by Frits Went, which controls many developmental processes and growth of plants such as cell division, cell elongation and differentiation, phototropic and geotropic responses, formation of flowers, fruit ripening, process of senescence and apical dominance. It is believed that to regulate these phenomena, auxin induce some variations in genes expression (Guilfoyle et al., 1998). IAA is produced by Aspergillus niger, Herbaspirillum seropedicae, Acetobacter diazotrophicus, species of Erwinia, Rhizobium, Pseudomonas, Rhizopus, Azospirillum and Bacillus (Ahmad et al., 2008). The current study on endophytic fungi aims, to investigate their biodiversity, to isolate strains which produce more plant growth promoting phytohormones (IAA and GAs) and to reduce the excessive use of artificial fertilizers in the near future, as they are very costly, deteriorate environment and has negative impacts on plants.

## Materials and methods

# Isolation of endophytic fungi from Zea mays roots and leaves

The water-cleaned plant samples were suspended in Tween 80 solution (2-3 drops in 50 ml autoclaved distilled water) and kept in shaking incubator set at 120 revolutions per minute (rpm) for 5 minutes at room temperature (Seena and Sridhar, 2004). Samples were surface-sterilized by suspending in 70% ethanol for 30 seconds, 3 % Sodium Hypo Chloride (NaOCl) for 5 minutes and again in 70% ethanol for 30 seconds. The root samples were dried between sterilized filter papers and cut into 0.25 cm pieces. Cork borer was used to cut leaves into 3-4mm diameter discs with and without midrib (Hallmann et al., (2007). Surface sterilized roots and leaves pieces were placed on Hagem medium plates (10 pieces/plate) containing antibiotic streptomycin (80 ppm) and incubated at 25°C till the emergence of fungi (Khan et al., 2009a). To obtain pure cultures and for longer storage, PDA medium plates and slants were inoculated by the fungal isolates and fully-grown pure cultures were stored at 4°C in refrigerator. For GA and IAA collection, Czapek broth medium (pH 7.3  $\pm$  0.2) was used, incubated in a shaking incubator set at 30°C with 120 rpm for 7 days (Hamayun et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2009).

# Screening bioassay of fungal culture filtrates on rice seedlings

Different strains were selected based on growth and colonial morphology pattern to avoid unnecessary repetition. 29 strains were selected out of 107 for preliminary screening bioassay experiment. 8 strains were isolated from the Zea mays L. (Maize) leaves and 21 from the Zea mays L. roots. The fungal culture filtrates were harvested by first using sterilized filter paper and then centrifuged at 5000xg at 4°C for 15 min, for the separation of pellet and supernatant. The separated pellets and supernatants were kept at -70°C in refrigerator for lyophilization. All the supernatants were first diluted in 1ml autoclaved distilled water and then applied on rice seedlings (Hamayun et al., 2009; Khan et al., 2009). Rice Seeds (Fakhr-e-malakand variety) were provided by rice programmed, Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (ABI) National Agricultural Research Center Islamabad (NARCI). Mature, healthy and uniform sized seeds were chosen by physical appearance. Rice seeds were first washed with Tween 80 detergent and then rinsed 3 times with sterilized distilled water to remove the detergent. Clorox (5.25% sodium hypochlorite) and 70% ethanol were used for surface sterilization of seeds. Finally the seeds were shifted to sterilized container, containing water and kept in the culture room in the dark at room temperature for 5 days until the emergence of radicle and plumule. The germinated seeds, having uniform sized radicle and plumule were shifted to the flasks containing 30ml of 0.8% water-agar medium. These flasks were then kept in growth chamber (day/night cycle: 14 h-28 °C ± 0.3; 10 h-25 °C ± 0.3; relative humidity 70%; 6 plants per treatment) for 10 days. At two leaf stage of seedlings, 100µl of fungal supernatants were applied at the seedling tips with the help of micropipette. Along with the supernatants, two other control treatments were also used, one with autoclaved distilled water and the other with Czapek broth medium. Root and shoot lengths were recorded after 5 days of application of fungal supernatants (khan et al., 2009a).

# Surface sterilization protocol for wheat seeds and bioassay for Gibberellic acid

The seeds of all cereal grains contain embryo and endosperm surrounded by special cellular layer called aleurone layer. Gibberellic acid is (a seed germinating hormone) presents in the embryo in bound form and released when seed imbibes water. After releasing GA<sub>3</sub> travels to the aleurone layer, where it starts activation of those cells whose are responsible for the synthesis of alpha- amylase (starch digesting enzyme). From here the alpha-amylase enzyme travels to the endosperm tissue where the complex carbohydrate molecules (starch) are broken down to simpler molecules such as glucose (Coombe *et al.*, 1967). In this bioassay we used wheat seeds without embryo i. e wheat germ removed.

Healthy wheat seeds of local variety were chosen for

the bioassay of GA<sub>3</sub>. Before surface sterilization 10 seeds were taken for each petri plate. All seeds were cut into two halves. The half pieces containing embryo, were discorded while the remaining halves were further cut into two pieces, making quarters of the seeds. Then these wheat seed quarters were surface sterilized by soaking in 70% ethyl alcohol for 60 seconds, 0.1% mercuric chloride (HgCl<sub>2</sub>) solution for 2 minutes and again in 70% ethyl alcohol for 60 seconds. Seed quarters were rinsed three times with autoclaved distilled water and shifted to petri plates containing 10 ml of acetate buffer (pH 4.5) and GA3 in different concentrations. The plates were kept at room temperature and allowed to incubate. After 48 hours, the solution was filtered to test tubes, using sterilized filter paper. 200 µl of Benedict's solution was added to each test tube. All the test tubes were heated for 30 minutes, using water bath, for the presence and absence of glucose. The amount of glucose is calculated manually by comparing the color to the known concentrations of glucose, as well as using PerkinElmer Lambda 25 double beam

spectrophotometer. This bioassay is very simple, inexpensive and easy because it gives clear cut results (Sandra, 1975).

#### DATA analysis

The data were subjected to Duncan Multiple Range Test by using IBM SPSS software version 21.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, USA)

#### Results

#### Endophytic fungi isolated from the Zea mays L.

A total of 29 different endophytic fungal strains were isolated from the roots (21) and leaves (8) of *Zea mays* L. These fungal strains were different from each other on the basis of external morphology, color, texture, reproductive structures (sporangium, spores) mycelium depth in the medium etc. The culture filtrates of all these endophytic fungal strains were screened for plant growth-promoting hormones by applying them on rice seedlings Fakhr-e-malakand variety.

Table 1.	Screening	bioassav	v of fungal	cultura	l filtrates	obtained	l from	the roots	of Zea ma	us L.	on rice s	eedlings.
			0									

S.NO.	Strain	Plant hight (cm)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Growth status
1	Control	12.87 ± 2.75 ab	$08.62 \pm 2.1  \mathrm{ab}$	$4.25 \pm 0.75$ a	NA
	(Czk)				
2	Control	$12.75 \pm 0.59$ a	$07.80 \pm 0.53$ a	$4.95\pm0.89~\mathrm{abcd}$	NA
	(DW)				
3	MR 1-1	19.50 ± 2.52 cdefg	12.42 ± 1.1 bcde	$6.82 \pm 1.44$ abcde	Promoted
4	MR 1-1-10	$13.95 \pm 1.20$ abc	09.42 ± 0.53 abcd	$4.52 \pm 0.78$ ab	Promoted
5	MR 1-2-2	$16.15 \pm 1.9$ abcdef	$07.85 \pm 1.06$ abcd	$5.80 \pm 1.02$ abcde	Promoted
6	MR 1-2-3	15.90 ± 1.32 abcd	08.77 ± 0.95 ab	$5.87 \pm 0.69$ abcde	Promoted
7	MR 1-3-1	$23.87 \pm 2.85$ g	14.77 ± 1.3 e	9.10 ± 1.78 e	Promoted
8	MR 1-3-2	$18.57 \pm 1.56$ abcdefg	$10.80 \pm 0.97$ abcde	$7.77 \pm 0.94$ abcde	Promoted
9	MR 1-3-4	19.57 ± 2.31 cdefg	10.90 ± 1.24 abcde	8.67 ± 1.23 de	Promoted
10	MR 1-3-5	$20.75 \pm 0.25 \mathrm{defg}$	$13.25 \pm 0.75$ cde	$7.50 \pm 1$ abcde	Promoted
11	MR 1-3-6	19.27 ± 1.05 cdefg	10.90 ± 1.02 abcde	8.37 ± 0.97 cde	Promoted
12	MR 1-4-2	$21.62 \pm 1.89 \text{ efg}$	12.97 ± 0.8 bcde	8.65 ± 1.09 de	Promoted
13	MR 1-5-1	$17.50 \pm 0.43$ abcdef	08.85 ± 0.2 ab	$8.65\pm0.57\mathrm{de}$	Promoted
14	MR 2-1-1	$19.00 \pm 1$ bcdefg	11.52 ± 0.71 bcde	$7.47 \pm 0.62$ abcde	Promoted
15	MR 2-3-4	$21.47 \pm 1.78 \text{ efg}$	13.50 ± 1.3 de	7.97 ± 0.65 abcde	Promoted
16	MR 2-4	20.37 ± 1.98 defg	12.42 ± 1.33 bcde	$7.95 \pm 1.05$ abcde	Promoted
17	MR 2-4-1	$18.37 \pm 2.3$ abcdefg	$12.22 \pm 3.2 \text{ e}$	$6.15 \pm 1.5$ abcde	Promoted
18	MR 2-4-4	$22.32 \pm 1.65  \mathrm{fg}$	13.57 ± 0.59 de	8.75 ± 1.71 e	Promoted
19	MR 2-4-5	$21.35 \pm 1.27 \text{ efg}$	$13.25 \pm 0.58$ cde	$8.10 \pm 1.56$ bcde	Promoted
20	MR 2-4-6	19.12 ± 1.69 bcdefg	$11.30 \pm 1.04$ bcde	$7.82 \pm 0.73$ abcde	Promoted
21	MR 2-4-7	$13.92 \pm 1.69$ abc	09.17 ± 1.06 abc	4.75 ± 0.72 abc	Promoted
22	MR 3-2-1	$15.95 \pm 2.85$ abcde	09.67 ± 1.52 abcd	$6.30 \pm 1.54$ abcde	Promoted
23	MR 4-2-1	$18.70 \pm 1.90$ abcdefg	11.22 ± 1.76 bcde	$7.47 \pm 0.37$ abcde	Promoted

(cm) = Centimeters, Czk= Czapek Medium, DW = Distilled Water. Values with different letters in the same column in that group are significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT (Duncan's Multiple Range Test). Values within the table refers to the mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 4).

Fungal filtrates were applied on rice seedlings to know the growth promoting and inhibiting activities of endophytic fungi. Shoot and root lengths were noted after 5 days application of fungal cultural filtrates. Out of 21 endophytic fungal strains isolated from roots, 18 were found to be growth promoters, 3 neutral and no one growth inhibitor. Only 5 were noted to be best growth promoter strains (enhance more than 80% shoot length) (Table 1). Among 8 fungal strains isolated from leaves, 5 were found as slightly growth promoters, 1 (ML 1-2) as growth inhibitor while 2 as growth neutrals (Table 2). Two negative controls (one Czapek medium and one Distilled Water) were also taken and compared with fungal filtrates.

Table 2.	Screening	bioassav	of fungal	cultural	filtrates	obtained	l from th	leaves	of Zea maus	L. on rice se	edlings.
		~~~~~~	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

S.NO.	Strain	Plant hight (cm)	Shoot Length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Growth status
1	Control (Czk)	12.87 ± 2.76 ab	08.62 ± 2.09 abc	4.25 ± 0.75 a	NA
2	Control (D.W)	12.75 ± 0.59 a	07.80 ± 0.53 ab	4.95 ± 0.89 a	NA
3	ML 1-1	19.92 ± 1.64 bc	12.25 ± 0.88 c	7.67 ± 0.92 ab	Promoted
4	ML 1-2	13.17 ± 0.63 ab	07.00 ± 0.71 a	6.17 ± 1.08 ab	Promoted
5	ML 1-5	18.92 ± 3.44 abc	09.95 ± 1.53 abc	8.97 ± 1.97 b	Promoted
6	ML 1-5-2	16.30 ± 1.92 abc	09.75 ± 1.25 abc	6.55 ± 0.88 ab	Promoted
7	ML 2-1-2	18.02 ± 1.52 abc	11.60 ± 1 bc	6.42 ± 0.9 ab	Promoted
8	ML 2-2-1	19.97 ± 2.42 bc	12.20 ± 1.3 c	7.77 ± 1.18 ab	Promoted
9	ML 2-2-2	21.92 ± 2.74 c	12.17 ± 1.24 c	9.75 ± 1.65 b	Promoted
10	ML 2-3	$16.25 \pm 2.06$ abc	10.35 ± 1.2 abc	5.90 ± 1.15 ab	Promoted

Czk=Czapek Medium, D.W = Distilled Water. Values with different letters in the same column in that group are significantly different at the 5% level by DMRT (Duncan's Multiple Range Test). Values within the table refers to the mean  $\pm$  SE (n = 4).

### Discussion

Most of the endophytic fungi form a mutualistic relationship with their host which is very advantageous for both partners (Tejesvi, 2007). During mutualistic relationship, host plants get benefit in the form of enhanced growth and development, immunity against abiotic and biotic stresses such as draught, heat, pathogens, enhancement of phosphorus absorption, nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization and production of Phytohormones (auxin, gibberellins, abscisic acid and cytokinin) which help in the plant growth and development (Firakova *et al.*, 2007).

Table 3.	Optical	density of	f fungal	culture filtrates	for the presence an	nd quantification	of GA <sub>3</sub> .
----------	---------	------------	----------	-------------------	---------------------	-------------------	----------------------

S. NO.	Sample NO.	Sample ID	Optical Density	GA <sub>3</sub> (ng/mL)	
1	10	MR 1-3-5	0.4517	49.63	
2	11	MR 1-3-1	0.2387	25.94	
3	12	MR 2-3-4	0.1356	14.48	
4	13	MR 2-4-5	0.4515	49.60	
5	19	MR 2-4-4	0.4754	52.26	
Control	-	-	0.0041	-0.15	

Enhanced growth of rice seedlings, using fungal culture filtrates, clearly indicates the presence of plant growth promoting hormones (GA and IAA) and which were later on confirmed by using PerkinElmer Lambda 25 spectrophotometer (Hamayun, 2008; Choi *et al.*, 2005). Fungal culture filtrates had been known and will continue, to be a rich source of biologically active secondary metabolites (Tejesvi, 2007). Screening procedure for the determination and identification of novel and biologically active microbial secondary metabolites is a simple and well known method (Higgs *et al.*, 2001; Kumar *et al.*, 2005). A similar procedure was used by Rim *et al.* for the detection, identification and quantification of plant growth promoting secondary metabolites in the *Fusarium proliferatum* (Rim *et al.*, 2006). It was observed that out of 29 fungal strains, 18 were found to be growth promoters of rice seedlings, only 6 were found to be growth inhibitor and the rest of strains were neutral or slightly growth promoters. The best shoot growth promoter strains were MR 1-3-1and MR 2-4-4 while no strain was found to be shoot growth inhibiter.

Table 4. Optical density of fungal culture filtrates for the presence and quantification of IAA.

S. NO.	Sample	Sample ID	Optical density	IAA (mg/mL)
1	5	ML 1-5	0.3532	2.02

Similarly out of 29 strains, fungal culture filtrates of ML 2-2-2A, MR 1-3-5, MR 1-3-1, MR 2-3-4, MR 2-4-5, MR 2-4-4, MR 1-4-2 and MR 2-4 were found to be best growth promoter of overall plant length (root + shoot length) while no strains was growth inhibiter. While strains ML 2-2-2A, ML 1-5, MR 1-3-1, MR 2-4-5, MR 1-3-6, MR 1-5-1, MR 1-3-4, MR 2-4-4 and MR were observed to be enhanced root length promoters and strains MR 1-1-10 was root length inhibiter.

Presence of plant growth promoting hormone was later on confirmed using double beam spectrophotometer. For the  $GA_3$  detection and quantification, a very simple bioassay was done using wheat seeds with embryo removed. A similar protocol, with some modifications, for the  $GA_3$  detection in the wheat seeds was used by Sandra Lyn Biroc (Sandra, 1975).



**Fig. 1.** Gibberellic acid (GA<sub>3</sub>) concentration of different fungal strains isolated from three maiz plant after 7 days of incubation in Czapek medium in shaking incubator set at 120 rpm at 30°C. Highest concentration of GA<sub>3</sub> (52.26 ng/mL) was found in strain MR 2-4-4.

This bioassay for the detection and quantification of gibberellin in the culture filtrates of endophytic fungi, using wheat seeds, was never tried before. Wheat seeds were selected for  $GA_3$  bioassay because of its easy availability and handling. Gibberellic acid is a seed germinating hormone present in the embryo in

bound form and released by the embryo during seed germination, after imbibing water.  $GA_3$  after releasing from the embryo travels to the aleurone layer where it activates those cells which are responsible for the synthesis of  $\alpha$ -amylase. This enzyme is responsible for the digestion of starch. The  $\alpha$ -amylase enzyme then

travels to the endosperm tissue and convert starch into glucose. Glucose molecules are then used in respiration to obtain energy for the germination process. In this bioassay wheat seeds were used with embryo removed to overcome the source of GA<sub>3</sub> production by the seeds. Presence of GA<sub>3</sub> in fungal culture filtrates was responsible for the conversion of endospermic starch into simple sugar (glucose) which was easily detected with Benedict's test. Maximum GA<sub>3</sub> (52.26 ng/mL) was found in the culture filtrate of MR 2-4-4. In the similar study on endophytic fungi, Hamayun et al. showed the presence and quantification of GA<sub>3</sub> only up to 3.21 ng/mL (Hamayun et al, 2009). All the 29 strains were also checked for the synthesis of IAA using Salkowski reagent. Out of 29 fungal culture filtrates checked, only 1 strain (ML 1-5) was found to have IAA (2.02  $\mu g/mL$ ).

### Conclusion

From this study we can conclude that endophytic fungi are cosmopolitan and diverse group of living organisms. They are found in most parts of the plant body (root, stem and leaves) and play very important role for supporting their host plants.

They are responsible for the synthesis of secondary metabolites such as  $GA_3$  and IAA so, these can be used as bio-fertilizer because extensive use of artificial fertilizers in agricultural fields cause deterioration of environment and have hazardous effects for plants. They are very costly and must be supplied continuously to the fields. So use of endophytic fungi is suggested as bio-fertilizers in the future because they are safe to the plant and environment, and stay in the field for years.

#### References

Addy HD, Piercey MM, Currah RS. 2005. Microfungal endophytes in roots. *Canadian* Journal of Botany **83**, 1–13.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/b04-171

Ahmad F, Ahmad I, Khan MS. 2008. Screening of free-living rhizospheric bacteria for their multiple

plant growth promoting activities. Microbiolgy Research **163**, 173-181. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2006.04.001</u>

**Berdy J.** 2005. Bioactive microbial metabolites. The Journal of Antibiotics **58(1)**, 1-26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ja.2005.1

**Carroll GC.** 1986. The biology of endophytism in plants with particular reference to woody perennials. In: Fokkema NJ, Van Den Heuvel J (eds) Microbiology of the Phyllosphere. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 205–222.

Choi WY, Rim SO, Lee JH, Lee JM, Lee IJ, Cho KJ, Rhee IK, Kwon JB, Kim JG. 2005. Isolation of gibberellins producing fungi from the root of several *Sesamum indicum* plants. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology **15**, 22-28.

**Coombe BG, Cohen D, LG Paleg.** 1967. Barley endosperm bioassay for gibberellins, I. Parameters of the response system. Plant Physiology **42**, 105- 112. http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.42.1.105

**Dreyfuss M, Chapela I.** 1994. Potential of fungi in the discovery of novel, low-molecular weight pharmaceuticals. The Discovery of Natural Products with Therapeutic Potential **26(5)**, 49–80.

**Firáková S, Šturdíková M, Múčková M.** 2007. Bioactive secondary metabolites produced by microorganisms associated with plants. Biologia **62(3)**, 251–257. http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/s11756-007-0044-1

Guilfoyle T, Hagen G, Ulmasov T, Murfett J. 1998. How does auxin turn on genes?. Plant Physiology **118**, 341-347. http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.118.2.341

**Gunatilaka AL.** 2006. Natural products from plantassociated microorganisms: distribution, structural diversity, bioactivity and implications of their

occurrence. Journal of Natural Products 69, 509-526.

## Int. J. Biosci.

### http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/np058128n

Hallmann J, Berg G, Schulz B. 2007. Isolation procedures for endophytic micro-organisms in: Schulz B, Boyle C, Siebert, Microbial root endophytes. New York (NY): Springer Berlin, Heidelberg 299-319. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-33526-9\_17

Hamayun M, Khan SA, Kim HY, Chaudhary MF, Hwang YH, Shin DH, Kim IK, Lee BH, Lee IJ. 2008. Gibberellin Production and Plant Growth Enhancement by Newly Isolated Strain of *Scolecobasidium tshawytschae*. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology **19(6)**, 560-565.

Hamayun M, Khan SA, Ahmad N, Tang DS, Kang SM, Na CI, Sohn EY, Hwang YH, Shin DH, Lee BH, Kim JG. 2009. *Cladosporium sphaerospermum* as a new plant growth promoting endophyte from the roots of *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology **25**, 627–632.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11274-009-9982-9

**Higgs RE, James AZ, Jeffrey DG, Matthew DH.** 2001. Rapid method to estimate the presence of secondary metabolites in microbial extracts. Applied Environmental Microbiology **67**, 371-376.

Khan SA, Hamayun M, Yoon H, Kim HY, Suh SJ, Hwang SK, Kim JM, Lee IJ, Choo YS, Yoon UH, Kong WS. 2008. Plant growth promotion and *Penicillium citrinum*. BMC Microbiology **8**, 231. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-8-231

Khan SA, Hamayun M, Kim HY, Yoon HJ, Seo JC, Choo YS, Lee IJ, Rhee IK, Kim JG. 2009 A new strain of *Arthrinium phaeospermum* isolated from *Carex kobomugi* (Ohwi) is capable of gibberellin production. Biotechnology Letter **31**, 283–287. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10529-008-9862-7

Khan SA, Hamayun M, Kim HY, Yoon HJ, Lee IJ, Kim JG. 2009a. Gibberellin production and plant growth promotion by a new strain of Gliomastix

murorum. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology **25**, 829–833. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11274-009-9981-x

Kumar DSS, Lau CS, Wan JMF, Yang D, Hyde KD. 2005. Immuno-modulatory compounds from *Pestalotiopsis leucothës* (HKUCC 10197), an endophytic fungus of *Tripterygium wilfordii*. Life Sciences **78**, 147-156.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2005.04.050

**Lekberg Y, Koide RT.** 2005. Is plant performance limited by abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi? A meta analysis of studies published between 1988 and 2000. New Phytologist **168(1)**, 189–204. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01490.x

Nishijima T, Koshioka M, Yamazaki H, Miura H, Mander LN. 1995. Endogenous gibberellins and bolting in cultivars of Japanese radish. Acta Hortic **394**, 199–206.

http://dx.doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.1995.394.20

Rademacher W. 1994. Gibberellin formation in microorganisms. Plant Growth Regulation 15, 303-314.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00029903

Rim SO, Lee JH, Choi WY, Hwang SK, Suh SJ, Lee IJ, Rhee IK, Kim JG. 2006. *Fusarium proliferatum* KGL0401 as a new gibberellinproducing fungus. Journal of Microbiology Biotechnology **15(4)**, 809–814.

**Sandra LB.** 1975. Plant Hormones: Bioassay for Gibberellin. Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology University of Colorado. Chapter **14**, 131-140.

Schulz B, Boyle C, Draeger S, Römmert A, Krohn K. 2002. Endophytic fungi: a source of novel biologically active secondary metabolites. Mycological Research **106(9)**, 996-1004. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0953756202006342

## Int. J. Biosci.

Schulz B, Boyle C. 2005. The endophytic continuum. Mycological Research 109, 661–686. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S095375620500273X

**Seena S, Sridhar KR.** 2004. Endophytic fungal diversity of 2 sand dune wild legumes from southwest coast of India. Canadian Journal of Microbiology **50**, 1015-1021.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/w04-094

Tan RX, Zou WX. 2001. Endophytes: a rich source of functional metabolites. Journal of Natural Products 18, 448-459.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/B1009180

Tejesvi MV, Kini KR, Prakash HS, Ven Subbiah, Shetty HS. 2007 Genetic diversity and antifungal activity of species of *Pestalotiopsis* isolated as endophytes from medicinal plants. Fungal Diversity **24**, 37-54.

Wilson BJ, Addy HD, Tsuneda A, Hambleton S, Currah RS. 2004. *Phialocephala sphaeroides* sp. nov., a new species among the dark septate endophytes from a boreal wetland in Canada. Canadian Journal of Botany **82**, 607-617. http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/b04-030

Zhang HW, Song YC, Tan RX. 2006. Biology and chemistry of endophytes. Natural Product Reports 23(5), 753-771. http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/B609472B