

Phytochemistry and Antibacterial Assay of Fruit, Leaf and Stem Extracts of *Solanum nigrum* L. in Different Solvent

Mubsher Mazher¹, Nafeesa Zahid Malik¹, Muhammad Riaz², Adil Hussain^{*2}, Yasir Ali², Qum Qum Noshad¹

¹Department of Botany, Mirpur University of Science and Technology (MUST), Pakistan ²Department of Bioinformatics and Biotechnology, International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan

Key words: Solanum nigrum, Solvent extraction, Phytochemical analysis, Antibacterial activity

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/9.6.129-136

Article published on December 11, 2016

Abstract

Solanum nigrum L. (black nightshade) is a wild vegetable with numerous ethnomedicinal potentials. In this study phytochemical analysis and antibacterial activity of fruit, leaf and stem extracts of *Solanum nigrum* L. prepared in four solvents i.e., ethanol, chloroform, petroleum ether and distilled water were inspected. Phytochemical examination showed the presence of significant constituents like, saponins, tannins, steroids, terpenoids, alkaloids and flavonoids. Antibacterial activity was determined by zone of Inhibition using agar well diffusion method in contradiction of five bacterial strains viz. *Basillus subtilis, Salmonella paratyphi, Escherichia coli, Proteus vulgaris* and *Vibrio cholera*. Zone of inhibition ranged from 8.5 mm to 33.0 mm where stem extracts in chloroform showed no detectable zone of inhibition against *B. subtilis*. Leaf and stem extracts also showed no detectable zone of inhibition against *S. paratyphi*. The MIC value measured using serial dilution method against bacterial strains ranged from 250μ g/ml to 1000μ g/ml. Fruit extracts in ethanol and chloroform both, showed greater zone of inhibition against *V. cholera* as compared to tetracycline. This investigation sanctions that the ethanol and chloroform extracts from fruit of *Solanum nigrum* L. possess potential antibacterial action.

* Corresponding Author: Adil Hussain 🖂 aadil.iiu07@gmail.com

Introduction

Solanum is one of the largest and extensively diverse genera of the family Solanaceae. In Pakistan Solanum is characterized by 15 species, of which 11 species are important from medicinal point of view. Taxonomically, this is a multifaceted genus, because of the occurrence of various hybrid and controversial taxonomic status (Zubaida et al. 2010). It can grow in a variety of soil types like, stony, dry, shallow or deep soils (Kiran et al., 2009). Numerous chemical constituents are found in S. nigrum including glycoalkaloids such as tannins, solanine, solasodine, solamargine, solanigrine, steroidal genin (gitogenin) and polyphenolic compounds (Potawele et al. 2008).

A very crucial chemical constituent of *Solanum* species is Solasodine. It is a steroidal alkaloid which is not soluble in water and it is involved in the manufacture of many steroid drugs especially corticosteroids (Alvarez *et al.* 1994). It was found that *S. nigrum* contains many compounds like essential oils which might be found in different parts of the particular plant (Rao *et al.* 2012; Rotimi *et al.* 2012).

It is medicinally used since antiquity and traditional folklore designates that it has been used for fever, inflammation and wounds treatment (Ong, 2003). Leaves and fruits of *S. nigrum* are chewed and swallowed to cure ulcer of mouth (Kingston *et al.* 2007; Mohana *et al.* 2008) and also used as diuretic, tonic, antidiarrhoea, antimalaria, and in the treatment of eye, heart and skin diseases (Karmakar *et al.* 2010).

It encompasses phytochemicals which have antimicrobial activity against a wide range of grampositive bacteria (Baohung, 2002). Bacteria and viruses have developed resistance against available chemotherapeutics in market therefore; it is strappingly required substitute these to chemotherapeutics with naturally obtained phytochemicals which can be used as antimicrobial medicines (Iwu et al. 1999).

In pharmaceutical industries, natural raw material especially plant parts play a vital role in manufacture of medicines and other drug development programs (Baker *et al.* 1995). In this contest, World health Organization (WHO) is playing its role to make strategies, guidelines and standards for the manufacture of medicines from natural plant materials and also emphasizes on the importance of traditional medicines (WHO, 2002).

Under developed countries 80% population of the world rely on traditional medicine obtained from plants for primary health care. In the recent decades, ethno-medicine has gained significant reputation, because it is safe and have no side effects (Prusti *et al.* 2008). Although conventionally available synthetic antibacterial drugs are associated with undesirable side effects and resistance problem, therefore this investigation was carried out with the aim of exploring the phytochemistry and antibacterial activity of extracts from *Solanum nigrum* L against important pathogenic bacteria.

Materials and methods

Collection of plants

Fresh plants were collected from different sites nearby Mirpur University of Science and Technology (Bhimber Campus) Pakistan. Collected plants were shade dried at room temperature for 20 days and then leaves, fruits and stems were ground separately into fine powder using mortar and pestle.

Preparation of extracts

Four solvents viz. ethanol (C_2H_5OH ; polarity 5.1), chloroform (CHCL₃; 4.1), petroleum ether ($C_2H_5OC_2H_5$; 0.1) and distilled water (H_2O ; 10.2) were used as extraction solvents. The ground plant parts were weighed and 250g of each part was soaked in 500 ml of each solvent for 10 days. The crude extracts from each part were obtained by maceration method. The filtrates were concentrated by evaporation. These concentrated extracts of each part in different solvents were kept in refrigerator for further use.

Phytochemical analysis

The extracts of leaves, fruits and stems in different solvents were subjected to phytochemical screening qualitatively following the method described by Harborne (1973), Kokate (1994) and Sofowara (1993). For each component zone of inhibition and minimum inhibitory concentration were carried out. Following standard procedures were carried out to check the presence of phytochemicals in the extracts.

Test for Saponins

Mixture of filtrate 10ml and distilled water 5ml was obtained. This mixture was vigorously shaken. Appearance of persistent forth was formed. To the froth 3-4 drops of sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) were added and shaken vigorously. Production of foam and its persistence for 10 minutes was considered the indicator for the presence of saponins (Sofowara, 1993).

Test for Tannins

Ferric chloride test was accomplished for the revealing of tannins. Few drops of 1% neutral ferric chloride solution was combined with each extract, development of blackish blue color was considered as indicator for the presence of tannins (Kokate, 1994).

Test for Flavonoids

Small amount of extract was added in 2ml of Methanol in a test tube. Few magnesium ribbon and conc. HCl were added slowly from the sides of the test tube. Appearance of pink, red colour were the indicative for the presence of flavonoids (Harborne, 1973).

Test for Steroids

2 ml of acetic anhydride was added to 0.5g of extracts of each sample. Then 2 ml sulphuric acid (H_2SO_4) was added. The colour changed from violet to blue or green indicated the presence of steroids.

Test for Terpenoids

Little amount of extract was added to 2ml of chloroform and 3ml of con. H2SO4 to form a monolayer of reddish brown color of the interface was considered as an indicative for terpenoids (Harborne, 1993).

Test for Anthraquinones

Small concentration of extract was taken in a dry test tube and 5 ml of chloroform was added and shaken for 5 min. The extract was filtered and the filtrate shaken with equal volume of 10% of ammonia solution. A pink violet or red colour in the ammonical layer indicates the presence of anthraquinones (Evans, 2002).

Test for alkaloids

Small amount of extracts were kept disjointedly with few drops of diluted hydrochloric acid (HCl) and then filtered. The filtrate was experienced with numerous alkaloidal agents like, Wagner's reagent, Mayer's reagent and Dragendorffs reagent. The orange precipitate, the creamish precipitate and brown precipitate, were the indicators for the presence of alkaloids (Salehi-Surmaghi, 1992).

Antibacterial activity

Determination of Inhibition Zone

For the determination of zone of inhibition all extracts were analyzed for antibacterial activity by using agar well diffusion method (Perez *et al.* 1990; Jorgenson *et al.* 2007). After well formation the PDA slants were inoculated with the test bacterial strains viz. *Bacillus subtilis, Salmonella paratyphi, Vibrio cholera, Proteus vulgaris and Escherisichia coli*; while the wells (5mm) were carefully inoculated with the extracts of *S. nigrum*.

For inducing bacterial strains inoculums, petri plates were inoculated with the bacterial strains by streaking and incubated at 35 ± 2 °C for 24 hours. After 1 day (24hrs) zone of inhibition was determined by calculating the diameter of cleared area i.e., zone of inhibition, including the 5mm well.

Negative and positive controls

Potato dextrose agar (PDA) slant without any bacterial inoculation for checking aseptic conditions of the lab was used as negative control while one PDA slant was used for comparison of antibacterial activity of extracts with standard antibiotic drug tetracycline (100µg/ml) and served as positive control.

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

Serial dilution method was used to measure MIC of crude extracts and their fractions as described by Manisha *et al.* (2009). Two fold (10^{-2}) dilutions of each extract were prepared using Muller Hinton Broth. A series of 7 dilutions were prepared. Final concentrations of $1000-15.62 \mu g/ml$ were prepared.

Procedure for checking MIC

MIC of each extract was checked by the method described by Indumathi and Mohandas (2014). Briefly, the test tubes were autoclaved for 15 minutes and then sterile tubes were labeled from 1 to 9. 8th tube was taken as a control for checking sterile conditions of solution whereas, 9th test tube was used to check viability of bacterial strains. Griseofulvin was used as control. One ml of diluted Muller Hinton Broth was transferred to the test tubes from 1 to 9. One ml of solution of extract was transferred to 1st test tube and shaken well. From this homogenous mixture present in 1st test tube one ml was transferred to 2nd

test tube and shaken well. One ml of solution in 2^{nd} test tube was transferred to 3^{rd} and from 3^{rd} to 4^{th} and from 4^{th} to 5^{th} and from 5^{th} to 6^{th} and from 6^{th} to 7^{th} test tube. Bacterial culture 0.01 ml was inoculated in all the test tubes. After this, all the test tubes were incubated for 24 hours, at 35 ± 2 °C. After incubation of 24 hours turbidity or optical density (OD) value was observed by spectrophotometer method. The least test tube in which growth failed to occur was the MIC for that test organism.

Statistical analysis

SPSS program (SPSS Inc. Chicago IL Version 12.0) was used to get accuracy in measurement. Every reading was noted thrice. Confidence interval for mean was 95%. Level of significance was (P<0.05).

Results

Phytochemical analysis of extracts of *S. nigrum* leaves in ethanol showed presence of tannin, steroid, flavonoid, saponin, terpenoid and alkaloids.

Table 1. Phytochemicals found in extracts of *S. nigrum*.

| | Leaves | | | | Fruit | | | | Stem | | | |
|---------------------|--------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|
| Phytochemicals | Eth | Chl | Pet | Dis | Eth | Chl | Pet | Dis | Eth | Chl | Pet | Dis |
| Tannins | + | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Steroids | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | + |
| Flavonoids | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | + |
| Saponins | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| Anthraquinone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Terpenoids | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | + |
| Tests for Alkaloids | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mayer's test | + | + | - | + | + | + | - | + | + | - | - | + |
| Dragendroff's | + | + | - | + | + | - | - | + | + | - | - | + |
| Wagner's test | + | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | - | + | + |

Key: + = present, - = absent

Eth = Ethanol; Chl = Chloroform; Pet = Petroleum ether; Dis = Distilled wate.

In chloroform extract, presence of tannin, saponin and alkaloids were noticed. In petroleum ether saponin and alkaloids were present by Wagner's test. While tannin, steroid, flavonoid, saponin, terpenoid and alkaloids were present in aqueous extracts as shown in table 1. Steroid, flavonoid, saponin, terpenoid and alkaloids were found in ethanolic extracts of fruits while saponin and alkaloids were present in fruit extracts in chloroform. Only saponins were found in fruit extracts in petroleum ether whereas, aqueous fruit extracts showed presence of steroid, flavonoid, saponin, terpenoid and alkaloids.

the extracts in other solvents viz. chloroform, petroleum ether and distilled water. *B. subtilis* and *P. vulgaris* have lower MIC as compared to other bacterial strains.

| Bacterial strains | MIC (µg/ml) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|-----|------------|-----|-----|-----------------|-----|-----|-----------------|------|------|------|
| | Ethanol | | Chloroform | | | Petroleum ether | | | Distilled water | | | |
| | L | F | S | L | F | S | L | F | S | L | F | S |
| B. subtilis | 250 | 500 | 250 | 500 | 250 | 500 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| S. paratyphi | 250 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 1000 | 500 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| E. coli | 500 | 250 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 500 | 1000 |
| P. vulgaris | 250 | 500 | 500 | 250 | 500 | 500 | 250 | 250 | 1000 | 500 | 500 | 500 |
| V. cholera | 500 | 500 | 500 | 250 | 500 | 250 | 500 | 250 | 500 | 500 | 1000 | 1000 |

Table 2. The MIC of extracts of S. nigum.

L= Leaves; F= Fruit; S= stem.

Table 3 illustrates that fruit extracts of *S. nigrum* in ethanol and petroleum ether showed higher zones of inhibition than tetracycline (standard antibiotic) against *E. coli* and *V. cholera* as shown in figure 1. Fruit extracts in chloroform also showed higher zone of inhibition than tetracycline against *V. cholera*.

Zone of inhibition exhibited by extracts of fruits in ethanol, chloroform and petroleum ether against *V*. *cholera* were measured as 31.83 ± 0.72 , 31.33 ± 0.66 and 32.07 ± 0.16 respectively while, fruit extracts in ethanol and petroleum ether against *E. coli* was measured to be 25.66 ± 0.33 and 25.56 ± 0.72 .

| Table 3. Zone of Inhibition of bacteri | al strains against extracts (| of S. nigrum. |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------|
|--|-------------------------------|---------------|

| | Zone of inhibition (mm) | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|------------------|--------------|--|--|--|
| Solvent | | B. subtilis | S. paratyphi | E. coli | P. vulgaris | V. cholera | | | |
| | Leaves | 20.50 ± 0.28 | 19.33±0.72 | 20.33±0.33 | 23.50 ± 0.28 | 24.33±0.16 | | | |
| Ethanol | Fruits | 23.16±0.16 | 25.83±0.44 | 25.66±0.33* | 30.16±0.44 | 31.83±0.72* | | | |
| | Stems | 8.16±0.44 | 14.16±0.44 | 17.33±0.33 | 18.00 ± 0.28 | 19.33±0.16 | | | |
| | Tetracycline | 28.66±0.26 | 30.16±0.33 | 25.26±0.66 | 30.33±0.16 | 30.66±0.44 | | | |
| aroform | Leaves | 18.33±0.33 | 20.66±0.33 | 19.42±0.54 | 24.00±0.33 | 22.72±0.56 | | | |
| | Fruits | 26.56±0.44 | 24.33±0.66 | 24.56±0.46 | 27.52±0.16 | 31.33±0.66 * | | | |
| | Stems | ND | 13.72±0.27 | 17.24±0.16 | 19.66±0.44 | 18.24±0.86 | | | |
| Chlc | Tetracycline | 28.66±0.26 | 30.16±0.33 | 25.26±0.66 | 30.33±0.16 | 30.66±0.44 | | | |
| | Leaves | 23.66±0.44 | 20.33±0.33 | 23.66±0.16 | 17.33±0.33 | 22.56±0.44 | | | |
| Petroleum ether | Fruits 27.56±0.42 | | 25.66±0.16 | 25.56±0.72* | 22.16±0.16 | 32.07±0.16 * | | | |
| | Stems | 11.16±0.66 | 9.42±0.33 | 17.54±0.28 | 12.72±0.66 | 18.33±0.33 | | | |
| | Tetracycline | 28.66 ± 0.26 | 30.16±0.33 | 25.26±0.66 | 30.33±0.16 | 30.66±0.44 | | | |
| illed water | Leaves 12.24±0.4 | | ND | 17.33±0.28 | ND | 7.56±0.16 | | | |
| | Fruits | 21.56±0.23 | 8.66±0.44 | 17.56±0.33 | 16.33±0.66 | 14.72±0.33 | | | |
| | Stems | Stems 8.33±0.33 | | 9.72±0.16 | ND | ND | | | |
| Dist | Tetracycline | 28.66±0.26 | 30.16±0.33 | 25.26±0.66 | 30.33±0.16 | 30.66±0.44 | | | |

Confidence interval for mean was 95%. Result = Mean \pm SEM (P<0.05); n = 3

*Values are significantly different from control (P<0.05). ND = not detected.

Stem and leaf extracts of *S. nigrum* in distilled water showed no detectable zone of inhibition against *S. paratyphi* and *P. vulgaris*. Leaf extracts in distilled water showed minimum zone of inhibition (7.56±0.16) against *V. cholera* whereas the highest zone of inhibition (32.07±0.16) was shown by fruit extracts in petroleum ether.

Discussion

Plants from the solanum genus have extraordinary pharmacological potential. Morpholine is a carcinogenic compound and it is isolated as a white crystalline substance from *Solanum nigrum* (Mary and Okiemen, 2004) and also a comprehensive review on phytochemicals and pharmacological activity of *Solanum nigrum* is documented (Melina and Giuseppina, 2012).





Fig. 1. Picture showing zones of inhibition of ethanolic extracts of S. nigrum against V. cholera.

Phytochemical studies of *S. nigrum* showed the presence of different compounds like tannins, saponins, terpenoids, steroids, flavonoids with alkaloids and *Solanum nigrum* possess significant antioxidant and antibacterial activities (Gbadamosi and Afolayan, 2016). Sridhar *et al.* (2011) studied phytochemicals and found matching results with present study. In another study the ethanol and methanol extract of *Solanum nigrum* were found to have strong activity against different types of bacteria (Hussain *et al.* 2013).

Investigation of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of *S. nigrum* in the present study ranged from 250 μ g/ml to 1000 μ g/ml. MIC of ethanolic fruits extracts was lowest (250 μ g/ml) against *E.coli* whereas,

stem extracts in distilled water was highest (1000 µg/ml) against V.cholera, E. coli and S. paratyphi. It was found that fruits and leaf extracts of S. nigrum have more antibacterial potency as; they have lowest MIC values (250µg/ml) for E. coli, S. paratyphi, B. subtilis, P. vulgaris and V. cholera. Ethanolic stem extracts showed lower MIC whereas, higher MIC values were seen by stem extracts in other solvents. Present study is in accordance with the study of Indhumathi and Mohandass, (2014) revealed that ethanolic extracts of S. nigrum have MIC value of 500, 500 and 250 µg/ml against V. cholera, S. paratyphi and B. subtilis respectively. Present study also found same results for ethanolic fruit extracts against V. cholera and S. paratyphi, but the MIC value of ethanolic fruit extracts recorded against B. subtilis was 500µg/ml.

On the other hand our results are not in agreement with the results obtained by Sridhar *et al.* (2011). Present study qualifies fruit extracts to have lower MIC values whereas study of Sridhar *et al.* (2011) found stem extracts to have lower MIC values.

This investigation finds significant antibacterial activity against *V. cholera* and *E. coli* by fruit extracts of *S. nigrum* in ethanol, chloroform and petroleum ether. The zone of inhibition measured against *V. cholaera* by fruit extracts of ethanol, chloroform and petroleum ether was 31.83 ± 0.72 , 31.33 ± 0.66 and 32.07 ± 0.16 respectively. These zones of inhibition were higher than the zone of inhibition measured against *V. cholera* by tetracycline. Fruit extracts in ethanol and petroleum ether also showed greater zone of inhibition than tetracycline against *E. coli*.

Indhumathi and Mohandass, (2014) found that ethanolic fruit extracts have higher zone of inhibition than the ciprofloxacin against *Staphylococcus aureus*, in our study fruit extracts in ethanol also showed higher zone of inhibition than the tetracycline against *V. cholera* and *E. coli*. Our results are also in accordance with Almazini *et al.* (2009) as, fruits extracts in both studies showed greater antibacterial activity than leaf and stem extracts.

Conclusively, the fruit extracts of *Solanum nigram* contains important phytochemicals that bear persuasive antibacterial assets. Additional exploration on the isolation and identification of antibacterial constituents may provide a clue to effective chemical entities for clinical use. Identification and separation of antibacterial compounds from *Solanum nigram* fruit extracts will go a long way in developing new drugs in competent and concerned Pharmacological Centres.

References

Almazini MA, Hammed GA, Amani A. 2009. Antibacterial Activity of the Solasodine of *S. nigrum* Against Bacterial Isolates from the Wounds. Basrah journal of veterinary research **8(2)**, 137. Alvarez M, Rodriguez J, Paniego N, Giulietti A. 1994. Solasodine Production in Transformed Organ Cultures of *Solanum eleagnifolium*. Biotechnology Letters **16**, 393-396. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00245058

Baker JT, Borris RP, Carte B. 1995. Natural Products Drug Discovery and Development, New Perspectives on International Collaborations, Natural Products **58**, 1325-1357. http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/np50123a003

Baohung JI. 2002. Report of Scientific Working Group on Leprosy. TDR/SWG/02.

Gbadamosi, Afolayan. 2016. In vitro anti-radical activities of extracts of Solanum nigrum (L.) from South Africa. Journal of Applied Biosciences **98**, 9240-9251.

http://dx.doi.org/10.4314/jab.v98i1.1

Harborne JB. 1973. Methods of Plant Analysis. Phytochemical Methods, 1–32. http://doi:10.1007/978-94-009-5921-7_1

Hussain MA, Rahman K, Khan MQ. 2013. Antimicrobial Activities of Some Medicinal Plants of Nakyal District Kotli Azad Kashmir. International Journal of Agricultural and Food Research **2(1)**, 1-8.

Indhumathi T, Mohandass S. 2014. Efficacy of Ethanolic extracts of *Solanum incanum* fruit extracts for its antimicrobial activity. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences **3(6)**, 939-949.

Iwu MW, Duncan AR, Okunji CO. 1999. New Antimicrobials of Plant Origin. Janick J. Ed **6**, 457-462. In: J. Janick (ed.), Perspectives on new crops and new uses. ASHS Press, Alexandria, VA.

Jorgensen JH, Turnidge JD. 2007. Susceptibility Test Methods. Manual of Clinical Microbiology 9, 1152-1172.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/9781555817381.ch71

Karmakar UK, Tarafder UK, Sadhu SK, Biswas NN, Shill MC. 2010. Biological investigations of dried fruit of *Solanum nigrum* Linn. Stamford Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences **3(1)**, 38-45.

http://doi:10.3329/sjps.v3i1.6796

Kingston C, Nisha BS, Kiruba S, Jeeva S. 2007. Ethnomedicinal Plants Used by Indigenous Community in a Traditional Healthcare System. Ethnobotanical Leaflets **11**, 32-37. http://opensiuc.lib.siu.edu/ebl/vol2007/iss1/7

Kiran KR, Rani M, Pal A. 2009. Reclaiming Degraded Land in India through the Cultivation of Medicinal Plants. Bot. Res. Int 2, 174-181.

Kokate CK. 1994. Practical Pharmacognosy. 4th edition, Vallabh Prakashan, New Delhi, India, 124-125.

Manisha V, Neha S, Satish S. 2009. Antimicrobial Activity of Stem Bark Extracts of *Nyctanthes arbortristis* Linn. (Oleaceae). International Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochem. Res. **1(1)**, 12-14.

Mary OE, Okiemen FE. 2004. Isolation of Morpholine hydrate crystals from *Solanum nigrum*. Supplementary of Chemical Society of Nigeria 74.

Melina G, Giuseppina N. 2012. Plants from Solanaceae family with possible anxiolytic effect reported on 19th century's Brazilian medical journal Rev Brs Farmacogn. Braz J Pharmacogn (online journal, accessed 6th August, **21(4)**. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/S0102695X2011005000106

Mohan VR, Rajesh A, Athiperumalsami T, Sutha S. 2008. Ethnomedicinal Plants of the Tirunelveli District, Tamil Nadu. India. Ethnobotanical Leaflets 12, 79-95.

Ong HC. 2003. Medicinal Values of Solanacae Family. Pharmacol. Fac. Sci 92-93.

Perez C, Paul M, Bazerque P. 1990. Antibiotic assay by agar well diffusion method. Acta Biol. Med. Exp **15**, 113-115.

Potawele SE, Sinha SD, Shroff KK. 2008. A Phytochemical Review of *Solanum* nigrum L. Pharmacol. Online **3**, 140-163.

Prusti A, Mishra SR, Sahoo S, Mishra SK. 2008. Antibacterial Activity of Some Indian Medicinal Plants. Ethnobotanical Leaflets **12**, 227-230.

Rao PS, Navinchandra SR, Jayaveera KN.
2012. Medicinial values of *Solanum nigrum* L.
European Journal of Experimental Biology 6, 2271.

Rotimi J, Ekperusi OA. 2012. Evaluation of antimicrobial potential of different extracts of *Solanum xanthocarpum*. Advances in Applied Science Research **6**, 3540.

Salehi-Surmaghi MH, Aynehchi Y, Amin GH, Mahhmoodi Z. 1992. Survey of Iranian plants for saponins, alkaloids, flavonoids and tannins. IV, DARU 2, 1-11.

Sofowara A. 1993. Medicinal plants and traditional medicine in Africa. Chichester John Wiley & Sons, New York. 97-145.

Sridhar TM, Josthna P, Naidu CV. 2011. In vitro Antibacterial Activity and Phytochemical Analysis of *Solanum nigrum* L. Journal of Experimental Sciences **2(8)**, 24-29.

World Health Organization. 2002. Traditional Medicine strategy 2002-2005.

Zubaida Y, Zabta KS, Mir AK. 2010. Phenetic Analysis of Medicinally Important Species of the Genus *Solanum* from Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Botany **42(3)**, 1827-1833.