

Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences (JBES) ISSN: 2220-6663 (Print) 2222-3045 (Online) Vol. 8, No. 5, p. 147-154, 2016 http://www.innspub.net

OPEN ACCESS

Assessment of Variance Indices of Araneid Fauna Grasped from Sugarcane Field by different trapping Methods at Faisalabad, Pakistan

Syed Mohsin Bukhari^{1*}, Iram Maqsood¹, Tang LiJie¹, Shahla Andleeb², Khalil UR Rehman², Aoun Bukhari³

'School of Preventive Veterinary Medicine, Northeast Agricultural University Harbin, China

²School of Environmental Sciences, Northeast Agricultural University Harbin,China

³Department of Agriculture Science, Punjab University, Lahore, Pakistan

Article published on May 27, 2016

Key words: Araneid fauna, Biodiversity, Temperature, Rainfall, Relative humidity.

Abstract

Spiders play integral ecological favor for regulating integrated pest management population. Despites, there is restricted research data on the species richness, evenness and relative abundance in Faisalabad, Pakistan .Abundance of spiders were captured by pitfall, hand picking, foliage sampling and beat netting. We sampled seven families of araneid fauna and the most dominant families were Lycosidae, Thomisidae and Salticidae. The maximum araneid fauna was collected in the month of June when temperature 43.5°C, relative humidity (R.H) 63.2% and average rainfall 35mm while lowest were captured at relative humidity (R.H) 65.5%, temperature 29°C and rainfall 24mm in the month of September. Pielou's eveness index(E), Simpson diversity index(D) Shannon diversity index (H) and species richness were used to extract the results. It was clarified that araneid fauna asymmetrical in different season of a year and were totally dependent on environmental factors like rainfall, temperature and relative humidity. These data indicated that use of pitfall trap, foliage collection and integrated pest management could be valuable tactics to determine the abundance of araneid fauna.

*Corresponding Author: Syed Mohsin Bukhari 🖂 mohsinbukhari70@gmail.com

Introduction

Sugarcane is most suitable crop for Punjab climate but abundant use of insecticides remove pest community also harmful to human being and other animals. Today, farmers consisting maximum on insecticides for inhibiting the insect pest population. Therefore, agricultural field is being loaded with poisonous elements via the use of these toxicants whose inauspicious affect on human beings still unknown. The multiple uses of insecticides, runoff natural organic matters that disturb ecosystem. Once agro-ecosystem disturb, constant use of insecticides becomes essential for crop protection. Otherwise, pest population would harm the field.Retardless, use of insecticides critically affected the agro-fauna that most important for stability of ecosystem. In agroecosystem of Pakistan, multiple crop practice is very common naturally biodiversity is much greater than monocultures(H M Tahir and A Butt, 2009).(A Sankari and K Thiyagesan, 1999)examined araneid fauna and their predatory efficacy on solanummelongena (Brinjal) and Trichisanthesanguina (snake-gourd) field in two separate habitat viz. Nangoor (pesticides free habitat) and Moongilhottam (frequently pesticides used habitat) of Nagapattinam District, Tamilnadu.The araneid fauna represented remarkable difference between habitats, plants and number of spider fauna. Spiders can maintain insect pest population because have vast variety of prey, can capture significant number of individual. Frequently, use of toxicants seems to eliminate the spider species from sugarcane field. Their predatory range can have a symbiotic effect in abolishing insect pest population when they are used to supplement effect of insecticides (M Bukhari et al., 2012b). Convergence of spider species diminishes pest population consisting aphids in spring barley, leafhoppers in sugarcane field. They also studied the role of araneid fauna of northern temperate zone of Europe. They captured aphids from web sheet of linyphiidspider.In US, many hunter families of araneid fauna like Lycosidae, Oxypidae, Salticidae and Thomisidae that have variety of prey (consisting lepidopteran and heteropteran) found in many habitats. Araneid fauna of US and Europe is different in homology but have same capability of predation. They discussed that araneid fauna more essential in integrated pest management and also helpful of the stability of agro-ecosystem.(M Nyffeler and K D Sunderland, 2003)Represented landscape heterogeneity and farm practices directly impact on biodiversity of agro-ecosystem. They also examined two variables in both landscape and farm management of biodiversity. They measured species richness of different flora and fauna in semi-natural meadows at 16 farms in central East Sweden(A C Weibull et al., 2003). (D Maloney et al., 2003) discussed that diverse territories engaged are meadows,ditches, soil, plants,and cropland and even have utilized bilateral life. According to some authors, araneid fauna can be adequately used in integrated pest management.(S Braaker et al., 2014)revealed that low-mobile (carabids and spiders) while high-mobile colonies were affected by local environmental factors. They examined green roof of urban area spider's species also adopted amphibious life. A number of spider species in agriculture field of Pakistan still unidentified. The prime purpose of the study was to characterizearaneid fauna in sugarcane field of District Faislabad, Punjab.Four different habitats in four different locality of Faisalabad were compared bio-diversity of araneid fauna. This study will provide supreme assessment of spider symbiosis like integrated pest management of sugarcane field in this area.

Materials and methods

Faisalabad is situated at 31.42 north latitude, 73.08 east longitude and 175 meters above from the sea level. The atmosphere of district can see climax, with a summer maximum record. The temperature of summer 48°C was observed and a winter temperature of -1°C.The mean maximum and minimum temperature in summer are 39°C and 27°C respectively. In winter it around 21°C and 6°C respectively. The summer season starts from April till October. The hottest months are May, June and July. The winter season starts from November till March.

The coldest months are December, January and February.

Pitfall sampling

The massiveness of spider in sugarcane field was investigated using two types of sampling modes (hand picking and pitfall traps) in a week from end of February to start of September 2011. To collect ground spider, in each plot,30 pitfall net consisted of broad mouth glass bottles (5cm in diameter × 10 cm immersed) were settled diagonally.

Four pitfall traps (6 ×6 m modular grid pattern) were settled at each edge of location and 4 in the middle .These traps were entombed into ground surface for a week .Ethylene glycol (95%; 30 ml) and 3 drops of 1% liquid detergent were poured to each bottle to break the surface tension. A rain cover (20 20) manufactured of 0.7 cm plywood and staked by 4 nails (9cm long) was placed over each trap (the height of rain cover over the mouth of bottle was 24 cm).

Foliage sampling

For foliage collection, Vacuum sucker (SIEMENS VK 20Co1) was applied to captured the spiders from foliage of sugarcane plants. Fifty plants were randomly pointed from each habitat. All the sampling was done in early morning of day due to highest activity of spiders. Foliage organisms (spiders and other invertebrate) were also collected through hand picking from March to October.

Beating method

Beating method was fitted in sugarcane field due to small branches. First, took a plastic tray that was umbrella like shaped outward from corners. Tray was rectangular shaped 12 inches in square. Then hold the plants, shake branches with one hand and placed tray beneath the branches with other hand. A suited number of spiders collected after beating the plants that have dropped into tray before they get away.

Labeling and identification

All bottles were emptied after a week. Spiders from each bottle were collected and brought to the laboratory. Captured spiders were washed in xylene,put in small vials having seal cap with 70% ethyl alcohol and transported to the archeology laboratory Government College University Faisalabad for categorize and identification. Ultimately, spiders were preserved in vials, containing a solution of 70% ethyl alcohol and glycerin. All the vials put into refrigerator for 1-2 hours for preserve the spiders without wilt. Spider species were dominant, if reported for 1% of the total population whereas most dominant, if they reported more than 10% of the total collection(M H Schmidt and T Tscharntke, 2005).

The preserved spiders prepared for ecological studies, all species were distinguished upto species level by using appropriate materials supplied by(S Dyal, 1935);(B Tikader and M Malhotra, 1980);(A T Barrion and J A Litsinger, 1995) and (J Proszynski, 2003). The genus and species of spiders were labeled on the vials with a marker. Data attained was statistically analyzed for the species percentage, relative abundance, species evenness index, species richness Margalef index, Pielov's evenness index, Simpson index and Shannon diversity index. Calculation has been made through MS Excel 2013.

Statistical analysis

The data obtained was analyzed for the species relative abundance and other diversity indices. For the estimation of Species Richness Margalef Index was used which is represented by

 $R = S-1/\ln(n)$.

where 'S' is the total number of species in a community and 'n' is total number of individuals observed in that community.

Evenness is a measure of the relative abundance of the different species making up the richness of an area. For the evaluation of Evenness, Pielov's Evenness was used which is represented as E = H/ln(S).

where 'H' is Shannon's Index and 'S' is the number of species.

Shannon Diversity Index

$$H = -\sum Pi In Pi$$

 $p_i = n_i/N$

Where pi is the proportion of the number of organisms in the ith species (n;) to the total number of organisms in the sample (N).

Simpson's Diversity Index is a measure of diversity. In ecology, it is often used to quantify the biodiversity of a habitat. It takes into account the number of species present, as well as the abundance of each species.

$$D = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{S} n_i(n_i - 1)}{N(N - 1)},$$

Where S is the number of species

N is the total percentage cover or total number of organisms

n is number of organisms of a species.

Result and discussion

A total of 252 specimens have respect to seven families 8 genera and 21 species were collected by using, Foilage collection, Beating method, sweep net, handpicking and pitfall trap method.

Four species of Family lycosidae(36.5%) were maximum dominant among all captured species like Lycosamackenziei, Lycosakempi, Lycosamadani and Pardosabirmanica while rest was less rebundant.

Table 1. Occurrence of araneid fauna trapped from sugarcane field (4 habitats) at district Faisalabad, Punjab, Pakistan.

Family	Genus	Species	Number of species	%
Lycosidae	Lycosa	Lycosa mackenziei	38	36.1
		Lycosa tista	15	
		Lycosa madani	10	
		Pardosa birmanica	8	
	Pardosa	Pardosa oakleyi	6	
		Lycosa kempi	4	
		Lycosa nigricans	5	
		Lycosa maculata	5	
Thomisidae	Thomisus	Thomisus elongates	21	24.2
		Thomisus bulani	19	
		Thomisus pugilis	12	
		Thomisus cherapunjeus	9	
Salticidae	Marpissa	Marpissa tenebrosa	14	12
		Marpissa carinata	9	
		Marpissa mirabilis	5	
		Marpissa tigrina	2	
Gnaphosidae	Gnaphosa	Gnaphosa lucifera	15	11.1
		Gnaphosa eucalyptus	8	
		Gnaphosa harpax	5	
Araneidae	Cyclosa	Cyclosa bifida	10	10.7
		Neoscona bengalensis	4	
	Neoscona	Neoscona excelus	4	
		Neoscona rumfi	6	
		Neoscona theis	3	
Clubionidae	Clubiona	Clubiona sp.	9	3.6
Oxiopidae	Oxyopes	Oxyopes ratnae	4	2.4
		Oxyopes javanus	2	
		Total	252	100

Family Thomisidae(24.2%) characterized by genus Thomus and species i.e., Thomisusbulani and Thomisus belong ates. Family salticidae(12%) contained of genus marpissa, species Marpissacarinata, Marpissatenebrosa and Marpissamirabilis.FamilyGnaphosidae(11.1%)represe genusGnaphosa species Gnaphosa nted and eucalyptus and Gnaphosalucifura Gnaphosaharpax.FamilyAranidae(10.7%)represented by two genera i.e. Cyclosa species Cyclosa bifida and Neoscona species *Neosconabengalensis*. Family Clubionidae consisted (3.6%) of total population and represented species Clubiona spp.Family Oxiopidae (2.4%) comprised only one species Oxyopesratnae. Family Lycosidae was remained on top with four generai.e.Lycosamackenziei,Lycosamadani,Lycosake mpi and Pardosabiemanica comprised of spiders.Lycosamackenziei was the most dominant species among the other three species of Family Lycosidae. Family Clubionidae and Oxiopidae were recorded less number of spiders. The abundant spiders of Family Lycosidae collected (70.5%) of total population as shown in table 1.

Indicia of diversity i.e. simpson's diversity index (D) species richness(R) Shannon index(H) and Pielou's evenness(E) were 00.88,61.2, 3.52 and 00.90 respectively.

Table 2. Diversity indices of Araneid Fauna collected from Sugarcane Field at District Faisalabad.

Component	Values
Pielou's evenness (E)	0.9
Shannon Diversity index (H)	3.52
Simpson Diversity index (D)	0.88
Species richness (R)	61.2

(M Bukhari et al., 2012a) determined that maximum araneid fauna with highly favorable environment factors in June and least number recorded in October. Maximal number of spiders was captured in the month of June when relative humidity (%), temperature(°C) and average rain fall (mm) 70.1, 43.5 and 41 were noted. Minimum number of spiders collected when average temperature (°C), relative humidity (%) and average rainfall (mm) were noted 23.5, 64.21 and 23.00 respectively. In March and April (30) and (31) spiders were trapped that shows same number of spider fauna. Mohsinet al. (2012) demonstrated that spider population varied in different months of a year and depends on environment factors such as rainfall, temperature and relative humidity.

Organic practice may add diversity to soil structure and increase the abundance of prey and in turn the abundance of spider. An overview on the collected data represented that Family Lycosidae was dominant throughout the whole experiment.

ThedominanceofFamilieswasLycosidae>Thomiidae> Salticidae>Gnaphosidae>Aranidae>clubionidae and Oxiopidae. The spider population maximize during the months of April, May and June due to suitable environmental factors like humidity, rainfall and temperature. The highest ratio of spider's i.e. 24.5% was collected during months of May and June. Data represented that gradually increase in humidity and temperature from March to June increase the number of specimen .In addition, heavy rainfall in the month of July and August badly effect the spider population whereas other climatic factors were quite high. The araneid fauna is reciprocal of rainfall.Araneid fauna directly dependent on climatic factors like increase in temperature and relative humidity till June number of spiders increased while increase in rainfall(mm) from July to October, decrease in temperature and relative humidity suppressed spider population. (M Bukhari et al., 2012a, M S Khan et al., 2015) discussed that temperature and relative humidity did not boost up spider population until the rainfall average. They also gathered data of pesticides

concentration that directly proportional to araneid fauna.(H M Tahir *et al.*, 2011) analyzed the spider density collected from citrus garden at Lahore and also observed three families of araneid fauna was most dominant like Lycosidae(68.85%), Gnaphosidae (10.38%) and Salticidae (8.38%) respectively.(S B

Mohsin *et al.*, 2015) figure out predatory efficacy of female spider higher than male because of brood care, egg laying and reproduction. They concluded that in the trapping session number of female spider more than male fauna.

Table 3. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the families of Araneid fauna, temperature(C), relative humidity(%) and rainfall (mm) noted from sugarcane field at District Faisalabad, 2012.

SOV	df	SS	MS	F Calculated	P Value
Families(F)	5	2634.2	460.77	17.10 *	0.0216
Temperature(T)	1	223.1	223.1	8.29 *	0.008
Relative humidity(R.H)	1	430.5	430.5	15.69 **	0.002
FXT	5	410.4	67.1	2.50NS	0.22
FXR.H	5	130	22.4	0.79NS	0.131
TXR.H	1	315.21	323.25	11.78*	0.0512
FXTXR.H.	5	840.99	135.88	4.54NS	0.293
Error	1	28.3	28.3	-	-
Make a line here					
Total	24	-	-	-	

(A Ghafoor and A Mahmood, 2011) collected 178 specimens comprised of seven families, ten genera and 22 species from March to October in the rice field situated at district Gujranwala, Pakistan. The gathered (92) spiders Family Lycosidae (65.7%) of total araneid fauna.In June,(20.7%) spiders collected due to favorable climatic factors like average rainfall (40mm),relative humidity (61.4%) and temperature (35.1°C) were noted.(M Zabka *et al.*, 2002) reported 30 genera and 200 species of family salticidae from New Zealand. He also reported that listed species

suited verification and documentation so difficult but showed clearly correlation between family salticidae of New Zealand and Australia were bounded a representative of Clynotis, Holoplatys, Jotus, Hypoblemum, Helpis and Ocrisiona. Average rainfall, relative humidity and temperature were favorable in June and highest fauna captured during this month. Shannon diversity index (H), Species richness(R), Simpson diversity index (D) and Pielou's evenness (E) 3.52,61.2,00.88 and 00.90 were recorded.

Table 4. Correlation coefficient (r) among spider fauna with rainfall(mm),temperature(C) and relative humidity examined from the sugarcane field at District Faislabad.

Parameters	Families	Temperature (C)	Humidity (%)	Rainfall (mm)
Families	1	-	-	-
Temperature(°C)	0.830**	1	-	-
Relative humidity (%)	0.240^{NS}	0.877**	1	-
Rainfall (mm)	0.341	0.493NS	0.22	1

Analysis of variance among families of araneid fauna with respect to environmental factors like temperature, relative humidity and rainfall as shown in.

(M Bukhari et al., 2012a) total 10 genera, 22 species

and 222 specimens were recorded. He showed that most dominant *Pardosa birmanica,Lysocsa madani* and *Lycosakempi* whereas rest of them was less populated. Family salticidae consisted geneus plexipus and marpissa. Family lycosidae was its top with three genra i.e. Hippasa,Paradosa and lycosa.

Diversity of spiders i.e. simpson's index (D) 0.93, Shannon index(H)2.83, Pielou's evennesso.91 and species richness(E)57.58 were measured. Diversity indices of district Gujranwala and Faisalabad was very close to each other. Spider population trapped and was on its peak in June because of favorable environmental factors like temperature, relative humidity and rainfall. The maximum collection of spiders i.e. 25% was captured in June as correlation coefficient depicted in Table 4. The purpose of research was to characterize that how the environmental factors impact on the aranied fauna and suppressed the population through a year. The statistical analysis showed highest temperature and humidity in June directly proportional to araneid fauna while rise in rainfall from July to October crush the spiders. (H M T Butt, Abida, 2008)collected 17658 individuals belonging to 44 species, 30 genera and 12 families were noted. He showed most dominant species from overall data were Lycosaterrestis (86.61%) tetragnathajavana (27.10%) recorded. Male and female spiders of family Lycosidae with maximum ratio were captured in June whereas highest proportion of immature fauna was trapped in early November. The purpose of research was to identify the araneid fauna from sugarcane field at district Faisalabad.

The data showed us density, diversity and composition ranged within habitat and how spider population effected by ecological factors throughout the year. Correlation and comparison were formed between colonies of couple sites.

The data represented a bit degree of variability. From the present data, it was concluded that the environmental factors like temperature, relative humidity and rainfall directly proportional to araneid fauna in sugarcane field. Further research in the field of biodiversity indices may be tested on different crops, fruits and vegetable fields.

References

Barrion AT, Litsinger JA. 1995. Riceland spiders

of South and Southeast Asia. Riceland Spiders of South & Southeast Asia, 80.

Braaker S, Ghazoul J, Obrist M, Moretti M. 2014. Habitat connectivity shapes urban arthropod communities: the key role of green roofs. Ecology 95, 1010-1021.

Bukhari M, Naeem MM, Rehman K, Andleeb S. 2012a. Determination of diversity indices of Araneid fauna captured from Guava Orchards by pitfall trapping method at Gujranwala, Pakistan. Academic Journal of Entomology 5, 133-136.

Bukhari M, Naeem MM, Rehman K, Andleeb, S. 2012b. Occurrence and Distribution of Araneid Fauna Trapped from Cotton Fields of District Faisalabad, Pakistan. World Applied Sciences Journal 19, 714-718.

Butt HMT, Abida. 2008. Activities of spiders in rice fields of central Punjab, Pakistan (In English). Current Zoology, 701-711.

DYAL S. 1935. Fauna of Lahore: spiders of Lahore. Bull. Zool. Punj. Univ, 1, 119-252.

Ghafoor A, Mahmood A. 2011. Population dynamics of the araneid fauna from district Gujranwala, Pakistan. The J Anim Plant Sci. 21, 812-

Khan MS, Asghar, MS, Magsood I, Bukhari M, Han L, Li-Jie T, Yi-jing L, Shahla A, Khalil U. 2015. Laboratory Observations Regarding Different Instars of Cyclosainsulana (Costa, 1834)(Araneidae) During Developmental Stages. Journal of Northeast Agricultural University (English Edition) 22, 52-59.

Maloney D, Drummond FA, Alford R, Maloney D, Alford R. 2003. Spider Predation in Agroecosystems: Can Spiders Effectively Control Pest Populations? Maine Agricultural & Forest Experiment Station.

Mohsin SB, LI YJ, Tang LJ, Maqsood I, Ma-Sun T, Han LM, Khalil UR, Andleeb S, Muhammad SK Saleem MA. 2015. Predatory Efficacy Cotton Inhabiting Spiders Bemisiatabaci, Amrascadevastans Thripstabaci and Helicoverpa armigera in Laboratory Conditions. Journal of Southeast University 22, 48-53.

Nyffeler M, Sunderland KD. 2003. Composition, abundance and pest control potential of spider communities in agroecosystems: a comparison of European and US studies. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment **95**, 579-612.

Proszynski J. 2003. Key for identification of salticidae. Museum and Institute of Zoology, Polish Academy of Sciences. UL. Wilcza, 64, 00-679.

Sankari A, Thiyagesan K. 1999. Population and predatory potency of spiders in brinjal and snakegourd. Dyes & Pigments 81, 231-234.

Schmidt MH, Tscharntke T. 2005. Landscape context of sheetweb spider (Araneae: Linyphiidae) abundance in cereal fields. Journal of Biogeography, **32**, 467-473.

Tahir HM, BUTT A. 2009. Predatory potential of three hunting spiders inhabiting the rice ecosystems. Journal of Pest Science 82, 217-225.

Tahir HM, Butt A, Naheed R, Bilal M, Alam I. 2011. Activity density of spiders inhabiting the citrus field in Lahore, Pakistan J. Zool, 43, 683-688.

Tikader B, Malhotra M. 1980. The Fauna of India. Spiders (Thomisidae and Lycosidae). Zoological Survey on India, Calcutta, 443.

Weibull AC, Östman Ö, Granqvist Å. 2003. Species richness in agroecosystems: the effect of landscape, habitat and farm management. Biodiversity & Conservation 12, 1335-1355.

Zabka M, Pollard S, Anstey M. 2002. Zoogeography of Salticidae (Arachnida: Araneae) of New Zealand - First approach. Annales Zoologici 52, 459-464.