

Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences (JBES) ISSN: 2220-6663 (Print) 2222-3045 (Online) Vol. 9, No. 4, p. 102-113, 2016 http://www.innspub.net

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Suitability of tobacco dust for agricultural purposes

Sana Akhtar^{*1}, Sarah Shakeel¹, Almas Hamid¹, Sajid Rashid Ahmad²

¹Department of Environmental Sciences, Kinnaird College for Women, Lahore, Pakistan ²College of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Quaid-e-Azam Campus, University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

Article published on October 30, 2016

Key words: Alstonia scholaris, NPK, Organic compost, Soil fertility, Tobacco dust

Abstract

The waste from tobacco industry in Pakistan is either disposed off in landfills or sent for incineration. Being majorly organic, the tobacco dust can serve as potential soil amendment. This study aims on the possibility of recycling of tobacco dust through its application to soil. This will lead to sustainable agricultural waste management. Tobacco dust and organic compost were applied to treatment A and B with selected dose. Effects on growth rate and leaf number of plant (*Alstonia scholaris*) were observed. Samples were analyzed for NPK, Organic Matter, Moisture Content, pH, Cadmium (Cd), Chromium (Cr), Nickel (Ni) were analyzed for 13 weeks. Results showed highest average growth rate i.e. 20.3 cm and 22.7 cm in sample A4 (TD400g) and B4 (OF200g) respectively. Tobacco dust improved soil NPK and Organic Matter i.e. 3.46% in sample A1 (TD1000g), 2.68% in sample A5 (TD200g), 2.21% in sample A1 (TD1000g), 5.32% in sample A1 (TD1000g) respectively but remained low when compared to soil supplemented by organic compost. In conclusion tobacco dust in combination with organic compost, can serve as soil amendment by improving the nutrients to optimum level. The concentration of heavy metals in both treatment (p>0.05) were below the permissible limit. The adequate dose of tobacco dust increased the growth and leaf number thus making it suitable for plants and reducing the need of its incineration.

*Corresponding Author: Sana Akhtar 🖂 sanakhtar23@gmail.com

Introduction

Tobacco forms an important cash crop of Pakistan. Tobacco industry is significant because of its immense role in the Pakistan's economy in the form of its contribution to government exchequer. Moreover it is a source of employment for a large population; an estimated 11 million people are associated with farming, manufacturing, production and trading of tobacco products. Tobacco is the only crop of Pakistan whose yield is above the world average and matches with per hectare yield of America and other developed countries around the globe (Raza *et al.*, 2015).

Many tobacco product manufacturing industries utilize tobacco plant for different products, mainly cigarette. These industries dispose off their waste to landfills that may be very poisonous due to the presence of alkaloids, and tannins (Briski *et al.*, 2003). It also contains high nicotine level that makes it not suitable for being directly disposed off to the soil (Chaturvedi, 2008).

To handle this problem, multinational companies incinerate their tobacco dust which is costly and an intensive energy consuming process especially in the developing and energy deficit country like Pakistan. Hence the capability of tobacco dust as prganic soil amendment was studied as it contains nitrogen (2.35%), potassium (1.95%), and phosphorus (0.97) to improve the soil health and increase the fertility (Kayikcioglu, 2011).

This utilization of tobacco dust reduces the agricultural solid waste, emission of gases, energy consumption in incineration process and also cost of its disposal (Saltali *et al.*, 2000). The efficiency of different agricultural waste like manure (M), hazelnut husks (HH), tea production wastes (TPW), and tobacco dust (TD) on clay and loamy sand fields has been studied. The results clearly depicted that tobacco dust has positive effects on aggregate stability, electrical conductivity, nitrate and nitrogen content (Adediran, 2004).

Tobacco dust application to land improves the soil physical and chemical properties. It also effects soil's bulk density, available water content and structure stability. Not only organic matter of the soil is increased but pH, nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium of the soil also improves through application of tobacco dust (Cercioglu *et al.*, 2012; Ganiger *et al.*, 2012).

Various organic waste such as farm yard manure, tobacco dust, grape marc, mushroom compost and animal manures have been studied as a source of organic matter. It was observed that tobacco dust increases the organic matter with increasing the nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium of the soil (Karaca, 2004).

The present study was undertaken to assess the potential of utilizing the tobacco dust in agriculture in order to reduce the usage of inorganic fertilizers. Further, the evaluation of the effects of tobacco dust on soil and most appropriate nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P), potassium (K) content was also carried out.

Materials and methods

Selection of Alstonia scholaris as Plant Materials

Alstonia scholaris was selected for study owing of its beings very sensitive to environmental changes and shows the effects due to any environmental change more clearly. It provides valuable components for medicines, seasonings, beverages, cosmetics and dyes. Its pharmacological activities range from anti malarial to anticancer activities. Its bark is used for treatment of abdominal pains and fevers and the latex for neuralgia and toothache (Pratap, 2013).

Sampling of Tobacco Dust (TD), Organic Compost (OC) and Soil

Samples of Tobacco Dust (TD), Organic Compost (OC) and Soil were collected. For sampling, composite methodology was adopted (U S EPA 2012). The tobacco dust of a leading Tobacco Company was taken from the incinerator facility where it is regularly sent for incineration. The sample taken was from the waste sent 2 days prior to sampling to the facility. The time period plays a great role in decomposing the organic waste. After decomposing the waste could be toxic due to nicotine presence and will not be able to use it as organic fertilizer. For soil sampling, an organic farm in a nursery located near Lahore was selected. Some seasonal vegetables such as spinach, coriander, lemon and other floral plants were being grown there. The OC sampling for research was also done from the same organic farm. *Analysis*

Soil Sample

Soil samples collected from an organic farm were analyzed in the laboratory for their inherent nutrient values. Two treatments of the soil were also prepared to assess the impacts of tobacco dust conditioner on soil and plant growth. 1000g, 1200g, 1400g, 1600g, and 1800g soil samples were filled in five pots coded as A1, A2, A3, A4, A5 (treatment A). While the same soil samples weighing 1500g, 1600g, 1700g, 1800g and 1900g filled in other five pots coded as B1, B2, B3, B4, B5 (treatment B).

Tobacco dust (TD)

The nutrient values of tobacco dust *(Nicotiana tabacum)* sample were analyzed in the laboratory with the main focus to apply it for soil conditioning. TD was mixed in pots of treatment A as 1000g, 800g, 600g, 400g and 200g TD in pots A1, A2, A3, A4, and A5 respectively. This application rate maintained an amount of 2 kg of sample in each pot including soil and tobacco dust as mentioned in table 1.

Organic compost (OC)

500g, 400g, 300g, 200g and 100g organic compost samples were mixed in pots B1, B2, B3, B4, and B5 respectively. This application rate maintained an amount of 2 kg of sample in each pot including soil and OC as mentioned in the Table 1. The 11th pot was filled with soil sample (without TD, OC) used as control pot and referred as control experiment C1. The research was carried out for 13 weeks and later the results of TD and OC application, its impact on soil and plant sapling were studied.

Physico-Chemical Parameters

Parameters such as organic matter (OM %) (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe), Kjeldahl Nitrogen (N) (EPA Gov.), Kjeldahl Phosphorous (P) (EPA Gov.) and Potassium (K) in samples were determined by flame photometer (ppm, %) method (Dikinya 2010). Moisture content (MC) (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe), pH (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe), pH (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe), Electrical Conductivity (EC) (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe) and heavy metal (Cd, Cr, Ni) (Aqua Regia Digestion 2000) were also analyzed. Physical Parameters such as counting of leaf numbers and height (cm) of plant sapling were observed during pot experiment.

Statistical Analysis

The impact of different doses of TD and OC on soil was studied statistically with independent sample Ttest. For that purpose a software SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Science) version 24.0 was used.

Results and discussion

During 13 weeks, physical parameters of plant saplings were monitored. Highest growth rate was 20.2cm and 22.75cm in pot 4 where 400g TD and 200g OC was applied to the soil in Treatment A and Treatment B respectively (Table 3). In Treatment B (pot 4), the growth rate of sapling was high as compared to treatment A (pot 4). In pots 1 and 2 there was slightly high growth rate 6.79cm, 8.74cm in treatment A as compare to treatment B i.e. 5.91cm, 5.51cm. Growth rate pattern showed that tobacco dust increases sapling growth where high dose of TD was applied and vice versa. OC is a fertilizer to readily provide the nutrients so it provide high growth rate in pots where low OC was applied and vice versa. Excess quantities of OC lower the growth rate. Control treatment showed slightly high growth rate 7.54cm as compared to pot 1 where high TD and OC applied (Table 3).

Pots	Soil Sample (g)	Tobacco Dust (g)	Organic compost (g)	Total Weight (kg)
A1	1000	1000	-	2
A2	1200	800	-	2
A3	1400	600	-	2
A4	1600	400	-	2
A5	1800	200	-	2
B1	1500	-	500	2
B2	1600	-	400	2
B3	1700	-	300	2
B4	1800	-	200	2
B5	1900	-	100	2

Table 1. Soil, TD and OC Sample ratio in treatment A and B.

Table 2. Physicochemical properties of TD, soil sample and OC.

Sr. No.	Parameters		Units		Values		
				TD	SS	OC	
1.	Total Nitrogen		(ppm)	34500	13500	54300	
	(N)		(%)	3.45	1.35	5.43	
2.	Available Phosphorous		(ppm)	15003	2034	28300	
	(P)		(%)	1.50	0.203	2.83	
3.	Potassium		(ppm)	40342	10200	63200	
	(K)		(%)	4.03	1.02	6.32	
4.	Organic Matter (OM)		(%)	6.1	1.85	7.5	
5.	Moisture Content (MC)		(%)	7.3	18	21	
6.	Ph		-	6.2	7.1	7.5	
7.	Electrical Conductivity	(EC)	(mS/cm)	7.17	2.62	6.5	
8.	Cadmium (Cd)		(mg/kg)	0.033	0.005	0.002	
9.	Chromium (Cr)		(mg/kg)	0.016	0.008	0.081	
10.	Nickel (Ni)		(mg/kg)	0.045	0.003	0.052	

Table 3. Average height and leaf number of *A*. *Scholaris* in treatment "A", "B" and "C".

Sr. No.	Observation	Treatment	"A" tobacco	dust applicati	on		Treatment	"B" organic c	ompost appli	cation		Treatment "C" control treatment	
		A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	C1	
		TD-1000g	TD-800g	TD-600g	TD-400g	TD-200g	OC-500g	OC-400g	OC-300g	OC-200g	OC-100g	(o g Amendment)	
1.	Height (cm)	39.1	48.2	38.1	36.5	41.9	63.2	68.1	64.7	62.4	63.8	33.02	
	Leaf No.	8	9	7	8	8	8	10	8	9	10	8	
2.	Height (cm)	40.6	51.3	40.6	38.6	45.7	64.1	68.4	69.9	67.9	69.2	35.8	
	Leaf No.	8	8	12	12	12	8	10	8	9	10	8	
3.	Height (cm)	42.9	53.6	46.2	48.3	47.9	66.2	70.6	71.7	71.8	75.2	38.0	
	Leaf No.	8	12	11	12	12	8	15	13	14	15	8	
4	Height (cm)	44.2	55.3	49.9	53.7	50.6	68.0	72.5	75.1	77.4	80.4	38.76	
	Leaf No.	13	12	11	16	16	12	15	13	14	15	12	
5	Height (cm)	45.9	57.0	51.3	56.8	52.7	69.1	73.6	78.5	85.2	83.0	40.56	
	Leaf No.	13	12	11	20	16	12	15	18	19	15	12	
Growth	Rate (cm)	6.79	8.74	13.2	20.3	10.8	5.91	5.51	13.7	22.7	19.2	7.54	

Leaf number of plant sapling was identified in each treatment (Table 3). The leaf number was high in treatment A pot 4 (TD400) i.e. 20. In treatment B high leaf number was achieved in pot 4 (OC200) i.e. 19. The lowest number of leaf was 11 in treatment A pot 3 (TD600). The leaf number varies from 11-20 including control treatment having 12 leaf number. Leaf number pattern showed high number of leaf was present where low dose of amendment was applied and low number of leaf was assessed in high application of TD and OC. Berova (2010) stated that leaf number, size and mass of plants treated with an organic fertilizer considerably increased as compared to those of the control sample.

Table 4. Physicochemical properties of treatment "A", "B" and "C".

Sr.	Treatment "A"							Treatme	ent "B"				Treatment "C"
No.	Tobacco Dust Application							Organic	Compost A	pplicatio	n		Control Treatment
	Parameters	Units	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	C1
			TD-	TD-	TD-	TD-	TD-	OC-	OC-400g	OC-	OC-200g	OC-100g	(og Amendment)
			1000g	800g	600g	400g	200g	500g		300g			
1.	Total Nitrogen (N)	(ppm)	34600	25600	18000	12400	12000	39800	39300	26700	24800	12000	830
		(%)	3.46	2.56	1.80	1.54	1.4	3.98	3.93	2.67	2.48	1.20	0.08
2.	Available Phosphorous (P)	(ppm)	23200	13800	16800	19300	26800	48600	31000	21780	23800	19000	65
		(%)	2.30	1.38	1.68	1.93	2.68	4.86	3.10	2.17	2.38	1.90	0.06
3.	Potassium (K)	(ppm)	22100	20600	15000	14600	12500	35000	29500	24800	15600	17800	323
		(%)	2.21	2.06	1.50	1.46	1.25	3.50	2.95	2.48	1.56	1.78	0.03
4.	Organic Matter (OM)	(%)	5.32	5.02	4.78	4.56	4.34	7.56	7.01	6.68	5.35	5.17	0.78
5.	Moisture Content	(%)	12	15	14	19	17	28	30	26	15	17	12
6.	pH	-	5.8	6.1	7.1	7.3	7.6	8.34	8.23	7.26	7.69	7.13	6.5
7.	Electrical Conductivity	(mS/cm)	5.6	5.7	5.2	4.3	3.5	3.46	3.28	3.29	3.20	3.23	3.21

Table 5. Heavy metals content of treatment "A", "B" and "C".

Sr.	Treatment "	A" Tobacco	Dust Appli	cation			Treatmen					Treatment "C"		il European Soil
No.							Organic C	ompost Ap	plication			Control	Standard	Standard
												Treatment	_	
	Parameters	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	C1		
	/Units	TD-1000g	TD-800g	TD-600g	TD-400g	TD-200g	OC-500g	OC-400g	OC-300g	OC-200g	OC-100g	(og Amendment)		
	Cd	0.016	0.015	0.016	0.014	0.011	0.017	0.016	0.015	0.016	0.011	0.002	3-6	3
1.	(mg/kg)													
	(%)	0.0016	0.0015	0.0016	0.0014	0.0011	0.0017	0.0016	0.0015	0.0016	0.0011	-	0.3-0.6	0.3
	Cr	0.15	0.17	0.14	0.10	0.09	0.032	0.030	0.023	0.021	0.02	0.001	N/A	100
2.	(mg/kg)													
	(%)	0.015	0.017	0.014	0.10	0.009	0.0032	0.0030	0.0023	0.0021	0.002	-	-	10
	Ni	0.038	0.037	0.032	0.027	0.022	0.029	0.027	0.023	0.022	0.013	0.0007	75-150	50
3.	(mg/kg)													
	(%)	0.0038	0.0037	0.0032	0.0027	0.0022	0.0029	0.0027	0.0023	0.0022	0.0013	-	7.5-15	5.0

On comparison between treatments, percentage of total nitrogen (Table 4) ranges from 1.4% to 3.98%. Highest total nitrogen resulted in pots where high dosage of amendment was applied in both A and B treatment. But in treatment C, total nitrogen percentage greatly reduced (0.08%) as compared to soil sample (1.35%). Organic compost sample showed (Table 4) highest percentage of total nitrogen percent i.e. 5.43 %. According to Wang et al., 2008, tobacco dust can affect the amount of nitrogen (N) availability to plants from soil which may influence the growth of plant. Increased nitrogen (N) availability results in increased photosynthesis and growth in plants. According to Candemir and Gulser (2010) applications of tobacco dust affects total nitrogen (N) content in soil positively.

Percentage of available phosphorous varied from 0.06% to 4.86% (Table 4). Highest amount of percentage of phosphorous (P) observed in sample B1 pot 1 (OC500) i.e. 4.86 % treated with the organic compost. Soil sample has 0.2 % and control sample has 0.06% available phosphorous (P). Organic compost (OC) are meant to increase the pH of soil that makes the phosphorous (P) soluble in the soil (Doran 2000). Cercioglu *et al.*, (2010) reaffirmed that available phosphorus (P) in the soil has increased from level 8.88 mg/kg to 12.38 mg/kg by application of the tobacco dust that improve physicochemical properties of the soil.

Group Statistics										
	samples	1	N	Me	ean	Std. Deviat	tion	Std. E	rror Mean	
values of Height	Tobacco Dust	Ę	5	11.	9600	5.18943		2.320	78	
U	Organic Comp	-			4320	7.73889		3.460		
Independent Samples	s Test									
	Levene's Equality of			for Equ	ality of Me	eans				
	F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2 tailed)	- Mean Difference	Std. Differe	Error ence	95% Interval Difference Lower	Confidence of the Upper
alues Equal var	riances 1.346	.279	353	8	.733	-1.47200	4.1670	3	-11.08118	8.13718
-	1.340		.333		700	17	4.10/0	5		• ·
of assumed	riances	/)		6.992		-1.47200	4.1670		-11.32767	8.38367
of assumed Height Equal var not assumed	riances endent Sample T-		353 r Leave	6.992	.734 er.	-1.47200	4.1670	3	-11.32767 ror Mean	
of assumed Height Equal var not assumed Table 6.2. Indepe	iances	Test, Fo	353 r Leave	6.992 Numbo Mea	.734 er.		4.1670 on S	3	ror Mean	

Table 6.1. Independent Sample T-Test, For Height (cm)

			Variances	5	1						
			F	Sig.	t	Df	Sig. tailed)	(2- Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference		Confidence of the
Leaves Number	Equal v assumed	variances	.540	.483	683	8	.514	-1.40000	2.04939	Lower -6.12590	Upper 3.32590
	Equal varia assumed	nces not			683	7.469	.515	-1.40000	2.04939	-6.18504	3.38504

The highest percentage of potassium (K) was observed in organic compost sample (Table 2) that is 6.32% whereas tobacco dust sample (TD) contains 4.03% potassium (K). High percentages of potassium (K) were observed in treatment B (Table 4) pot 1, 2, 3 (OC 500,400,300) resulting 3.5%, 2.95%, 2.48% of potassium (K) and in treatment A pot 1, 2 (TD 1000, 800, 600) resulting 2.21%, 2.06% respectively.

The lowest percentage was observed in control treatment C (0.03%). Application of the tobacco dust (TD) increased the potassium (K) percentage of the soil as compared to that of soil sample (SS).

Saltali *et al.*, (2000) found that increasing rate of tobacco dust increased total nitrogen (N) and available phosphorous (P) and potassium (K) contents in soil. The OM percentage in treatment B ranged from 5.17% to 7.5% whereas in treatment A ranged from 4.34% to 5.32% (Table 4).

The pattern of organic matter percentages increased with increased application rate of tobacco dust. Cercioglu *et al.*, (2010) confirmed the given results that TD improves organic matter content in soil as an alternative to inorganic fertilizer. It is possible to use tobacco dust as a soil amendment due to its high organic matter and NPK values.

Group Sta	atistics											
		sample	es	Ν		Mea	n	Std	l. Deviation	n Std. Er	rror Mean	
values	of	Tobaco	o Dust	5		2.152	20	.85	751	.38349)	
nitrogen		Organi	c Fertilize	er 5		3.02	40	.87	825	.39277	,	
Independen	t Samples T	ſest										
			Levene's	s Test fo	r t-test f	or Equal	ity of Me	eans	1			
			Equality	0	f							
			Variance									
			F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. tailed)	(2-	Mean Difference	Std. Erroi Difference	Interval Difference	
values of nitrogen	Equal assumed	variance	es .076	.790	-1.589	8	.151		87200	.54894	Lower -2.13785	Upper .39385
	Equal vari assumed	iances n	ot		-1.589	7.995	.151		87200	.54894	-2.13797	.39397
Fable 6. 4 Group Sta	. Indepen	dent Sa	mple T-Te	est, For	Phospł	norous.						
	. Indepen		-	est, For	Phosph N	norous.	Mean		Std. Dev	iation S	itd. Error M	Iean
	. Indepen	Si	mple T-Te amples obacco D			norous.	Mean 1.9940	,	Std. Dev .51086		itd. Error M 22846	Iean
Group Sta	. Indepen	sa ous T	amples	ust	N 5	iorous.				.2		Iean
Group Sta	. Indepen atistics phosphore	si bus T C	amples obacco D	ust	N 5	norous.	1.9940		.51086	.2	22846	l ean
Group Sta	. Indepen atistics phosphore	si bus T C	amples obacco D	ust	N 5	iorous.	1.9940		.51086	.2	22846	/lean
Group Sta	. Indepen atistics phosphore	si bus T C	amples obacco D	ust rtilizer	N 5		1.9940 2.8820)	.51086 1.19198	.2	22846	⁄lean
Group Sta	. Indepen atistics phosphore	si bus T C	amples obacco Do organic Fe Levene	ust rtilizer	N 5 5 t t-test f		1.9940 2.8820)	.51086 1.19198	.2	22846	/lean
Group Sta	. Indepen atistics phosphore	si bus T C	amples obacco Do organic Fe Levene	ust rtilizer 's Tes uality o	N 5 5 t t-test f		1.9940 2.8820)	.51086 1.19198	.2	22846	/lean
Group Sta	. Indepen atistics phosphore	si bus T C	amples obacco Do organic Fe Levene ^t for Equ	ust rtilizer 's Tes uality o	N 5 5 t t-test f		1.9940 2.8820	eans	.51086 1.19198 Mean	.2	22846 53307 - 95% Interval Difference	Confidence of the
Group Sta values of p Independent values of E	L. Indepen atistics phosphoro t Samples T	si pus T C 'est varianc	amples obacco Do organic Fe Levene' for Eq Varianc F	ust rtilizer 's Tes uality o ces	N 5 5 t t-test f	or Equal df	1.9940 2.8820 ity of Me Sig.	eans	.51086 1.19198 Mean	.: .{ Std. Error	22846 53307 595% Interval	Confidence

 Table 6. 3. Independent Sample T-Test, For Nitrogen.

High percentage of moisture content (30%) was found in treatment B pot 2 (OC400) whereas pot 1 and 3 (OC 500,300) has 28%, 26% of moisture content (Table 4).

Treatment A pot 4 (TD400) has 21% whereas organic compost has 19% moisture content. The lowest percentage was observed in TD sample. The pattern of moisture content percentage showed that tobacco dust requires moisture to get into mineralized form. Increased amount of TD decreases the percentage of moisture content and vice versa. Addition of tobacco waste increased organic matter content and retention of the moisture content (MC) in soil. The buildup of organic carbon helps in retention of soil moisture and acts as buffer to soil and also increases the infiltration rate (Ganiger, 2012).

Group Statistics											
	sar	nples		Ν	N	Aean		Std. Devia	tion Std	l. Error Me	an
values of potassium		bacco Dus		5	1	.6960		.41525	.18	570	
	Or	ganic Com	post	5	2	2.4540		.80534	.36	016	
Independent Samples Test											
		Levene's	Test f	for t-test fo	r Equality	y of Means	5				
		Equality		of							
		Variances									
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. tailed)	(2-	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confid of the Differ	
										Lower	Upper
values of Equal variances as	ssume	l 2.175	.178	-1.871	8	.098		75800	.40522	-1.69244	.17644
potassiu Equal variance assumed	s n	ot		-1.871	5.986	.111		75800	.40522	-1.75008	.23408
Group Statistics		sample		Ν		Mear	ı	Std. D	eviation	Std. Error	Mean
values of organic mat	ton	Tobacco	Duct			4.80					Wiean
values of organic mat	lei			. 5				.38351		.17151	
		Organic (Compo	st 5		6.354	40	1.0489)2	.46909	
Independent Samples Tes	27										
independent bamples re.		Leve	ne's T	est t-test	for Equa	lity of M	eans				
			Equality					-			
		Varia	· ·								
		F	Sig	. t	df	Sig.	(2-	- Mean	Std. Error	r 95%	Confidenc
						tailed)		Difference	Difference	Interval Difference	of th
										Lower	
										Lower	Upper
values of Equal organic assumed	vari	ances 9.28	4 .01	6 -3.103	8	.015		-1.55000	.49946	-2.70176	Upper 39824

Table 6. 5. Independent Sample T-Test, For Potassium.

Treatment A pot 1 (TD 1000) showed low pH 5.8 slightly acidic whereas high pH was found by pot 5 (TD200) that is 7.6 in treatment A that is slightly alkaline (Table 4).

The trend of the pH values visibly make ascertain that as the dose of tobacco dust gradually become low, the pH of soil gradually becomes basic. Xua *et al.*, (2006) assessed that pH of soil decreases with the application of plant residue and agro-industrial waste. In treatment B, the soil pH varies from 8.34 to 7.13. The pH range showed that more addition of the organic compost moved the pH towards basic. Amendment of tobacco dust results high electrical conductivity in samples (Table 4). Treatment A pot 1 (TD 1000) showed high EC i.e. 5.6mS/cm. Candemir *et al.*, (2010) clearly affirmed that highest electrical conductivity (EC) was achieved in soil with application of tobacco dust. As tobacco dust has high organic content that improves soil chemical structure by improving ions structure, electrical conductivity and nutrients level furthermore it also physically improve soil with refining the water intake capacity, water holding capacity (Bulluck, 2002). The organic compost application results lower EC (Table 4).

Group Statistic	8								
		samples		Ν	Me	an	Std. Deviati	on Std. E	Error Mean
values of	moisture	Tobacco	dust	5	15.4	4000	2.70185	1.208	30
content		Organic	Compost	5	23.	2000	6.76018	3.023	24
ndependent Samples	Tect								
nucpendent Samples	5 1050	L	evene's Tes	t t-test for	Equality of M	eans			
			or Equality o	f					
			ariances					F	Q., (1),
		F	Sig.	t di	f Sig. tailed	(2- Mea) Diff	in Std. erence Differ	Error 95% rence Interv Differ Lower	ence
alues of Ec	ual variances as	sumed 11	.064 .010	- 8	.043	-7.8	0000 3.255		11
noisture content	•			2.396					
	qual varianc	es not			246 .060	-7.8	0000 3.255	-16.05	249 .45249
də	sumed			2.396					
Fable 6. 8. Inde Group Statistic	-	mple T-Te	st, For pH.						
Group Statistic	s samples	_	N		Mean		. Deviation		rror Mean
	s samples Tobacco I	Dust	N 5		6.7800	.785	549	.35128	
Group Statistic	s samples	Dust	N				549		
Group Statistics values of pH	s samples Tobacco I Organic C	Dust	N 5		6.7800	.785	549	.35128	
Group Statistic	s samples Tobacco I Organic C	Dust ompost	N 5 5		6.7800	.78 <u></u> .54	549	.35128	
Group Statistics values of pH	s samples Tobacco I Organic C ples Test	Dust ompost	N 5 5		6.7800 7.7300	.78 <u></u> .54	549	.35128	
Group Statistics values of pH	s samples Tobacco I Organic C ples Test L E	Dust ompost evene's Te	N 5 5 est for t-tes		6.7800 7.7300	.78 <u></u> .54	549 377	.35128 .24542	2
Group Statistics values of pH	s samples Tobacco I Organic C ples Test L E	Dust ompost evene's Te quality fariances	N 5 5 est for t-tes		6.7800 7.7300 ality of Mear	.78 .54 ns - Mean	549 377	.35128 .24542 • 95% Confide	ence Interval o
Group Statistics values of pH	s samples Tobacco I Organic C ples Test L E V	Dust ompost evene's Te quality fariances	N 5 5 est for t-tes of	t for Equ	6.7800 7.7300 ality of Mean Sig. (2	.78 .54 ns - Mean	549 377 Std. Error	.35128 .24542 • 95% Confide	ence Interval o
Group Statistic values of pH	s samples Tobacco I Organic C ples Test L E V	Dust ompost evene's Te quality fariances S	N 5 5 est for t-tes of	t for Equa	6.7800 7.7300 ality of Mean Sig. (2	.78 .54 ns - Mean	549 377 Std. Error	.35128 .24542 • 95% Confide the Differen	ence Interval o ce
Group Statistics values of pH	s samples Tobacco I Organic C ples Test L E V F	Dust ompost evene's Te quality fariances S	N 5 5 est for t-tes of ig. t	t for Equa	6.7800 7.7300 ality of Mean Sig. (2 tailed)	.78§ .548 ns - Mean Different	549 377 Std. Error ce Difference	.35128 .24542 • 95% Confide the Differen Lower	ence Interval o ce Upper

Table 6. 7. Independent Sample T-Test, For Moisture Content.

The addition of organic amendments can also affect other soil chemical properties, such as pH and electrical conductivity (EC).

The tobacco dusts contain some metals in it due to the application of inorganic fertilizers. Tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) leaf may accumulate relatively high levels of cadmium (Cd) and other heavy metals during their growth from soil. The presence of heavy metals in soils originates from both natural and anthropogenic sources (Moulin, 2006).

It was comprehended (Table 5) that all the heavy metal concentration were below the permissible limits and were not harmful for the soil as well as for the plant saplings. Indian soil standards (Mushtak, 2010) and European soil standard (Commission Regulation, 2006) were used to compare the results of heavy metals in soil.

110 | Akhtar *et al*.

Group Statisti	cs											
	sample		Ν		Mean	Ste	d. De	eviation	Std. Error	Mean		
values of EC	Tobacco D	ust	5		4.8600	.93	3968	3	.42024			
	Organic C	ompost	5		3.2920	.10	085	5	.04510			
Independent San	nples Test											
		Levene's	Test f	for t-test f	or Equali	ty of Mea	ans					
		Equality		of								
		Variance	es									
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig.	(2-	Mean	Std. Error	95%	Confid	lence
						tailed)		Difference	Difference	Interval	of	the
										Difference		
										Lower	Upper	
values Equal	variances	16.344	.004	3.710	8	.006		1.56800	.42265	.59336	2.5426	54
of EC assumed												
Equal va	riances not			3.710	4.092	.020		1.56800	.42265	.40488	2.7311	2
assumed												

Table 6. 9. Independent Sample T-Test, For Electrical Conductivity.

Independent sample T-test results showed (Table 6) that growth rate, leaf number, nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P), potassium (K), moisture content and pH showed no significant difference (p>0.05) between two independent groups (Tobacco dust and Organic compost). This result evaluated that both treatments have same effect on soil for nutrient availability. On the other hand organic matter and electrical conductivity showed significant difference (p<0.05) between the two amendments in the treatment A and B.

Conclusion

The present study illustrated that tobacco dust has the potential to enhance the soil macro nutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorous (P), potassium (K) and other parameters; pH, moisture content (MC), organic matter (OM), electrical conductivity (EC) that are necessary for the plant growth. The treatment A pot 4 (400g TD) exhibited the best results having high plant growth and more leaf numbers. The concentration of heavy metals in both treatment (p>0.05) were below the permissible limit. Tobacco dust increased the organic matter in the soil that makes it more fertile for sustainable growth of plant. By substituting commercial fertilizers by tobacco dust, many agricultural problems can be solved. In this way agro-industrial solid waste can be re-utilized and its disposal issue can be solved.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to acknowledge the Higher Education Commission, Pakistan.

References

Adediran JA, Baets ND, Mnkeni PN, Kiekens Muyima NYO, Thys A. 2003. Organic waste materials for soil fertility improvement in the border region of the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Biological Agriculture and Horticulture **20**, 283-300.

Berova M, Karanatsidis G. 2010. Effect of organic fertilization on growth and yield of Pepper plants (*Capsicum Annuum* L.). Folia Horticulturae **22(1)**, 3-7.

Briški F, Horgas N, Vuković M, Gomzi Z. 2003. Aerobic composting of tobacco industry solid wastesimulation of the process Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy **5(3)**, 295-301.

Bulluck LR, Brosius M, Evanylo GK, Ristaino

JB. 2002. Organic and synthetic fertility amendments influence soil microbial, physical and chemical properties on organic and conventional farms. Applied Soil Ecology **19**, 147–160.

Candemir F, Gulser C. 2010. Effect of different agricultural wastes on some soil quality indexes in clay and loamy sand fields. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis **42(1)**, 13-28.

Cercioglu M, Okur B. 2010. Effects of tobacco waste and farmyard manure on macro element status of soil and yield of grown Lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L. var. *capitata*). Ege Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakultesi Dergisi **47(3)**, 331-338.

Cercioglu M, Okur B, Delibacak S, Ongum AR. 2012. Effects of tobacco waste and farmyard manure on soil properties and yield of lettuce (*Lactuca Sativa* L. Var. *Capitata*). Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis **43**, 875–886.

Chaturvedi S, Upreti DK, Tandon DK, Sharma A, Dixit A. 2008. Bio-waste from tobacco industry as tailored organic fertilizer for improving yields and nutritional values of tomato crop. Journal of Environmental Biology **29(5)**, 759-763.

Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1881. 2006. Setting Maximum Levels for Certain Contaminants in Foodstuffs. Official journal of the European Union.

Dikinya O, Mufwanzala N. 2010. Chicken Manure-Enhanced Soil Fertility and Productivity: Effects of Application Rates. Journal of Soil Science and Environment Management **3(1)**, 46-54.

Doran J. 2000. Building Soil Quality. In Proceedings of the 2000 Conservation Workshop on Opportunities and Challenges in Sustainable Agriculture, Red Deer, Canada: Alberta Conservation Tillage Society and Alberta Agriculture Conservation, Development Branch. 151–158. **EPA Government.** 2001. Nitrogen, Kjeldahl, Total (Colorimetric, Automated Phenate). Section 4: Sample Pretreatment by Digestion-Procedure Manual of Total Kjeldahl Method.

Ganiger VM, Mathad JC, Madalageri MB, Babalad HB, Hebsur NS, Yenagi NB. 2012. Effect of organics on the physico-chemical properties of soil after bell pepper cropping under open field condition. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences, **25(4)**, 479-484.

Handbook of soil analysis. 2000. Comparison of three Different Digestion Methods for Humus and Soil Samples (Aqua Regia Digestion, Total Digestion and Nitric Acid Digestion (under pressure). DIN (German Institute for Standardization).

Karaca A. 2004. Effect of organic wastes on the extractability of cadmium, copper, nickel, and zinc in soil. Geoderma, **122**, 297 – 303.

Kayikçioglu HH, Okur N. 2011. Evolution of enzyme activities during composting of tobacco waste. Waste Management and Research 29(11), 1124–1133.

Moulin N, Rtan L, Donini P, Rossi L. 2006. Cadmium content of phosphate fertilizers used for tobacco production. Agronomy for Sustainable Development **26**, 151–155.

Murtazain R, Ali N. 2015. The economic analysis of tobacco industry: a case study of tobacco production in Pakistan. International Journal of Research **2(3)**.

Mushtak N. 2010. Heavy metals contamination of soils in response to wastewater irrigation in Rawalpindi region. Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Science **47**, 215-224.

Saltali K, Brohi AR, Bilgili AV. 2000. The effect of tobacco waste on the soil characteristics and plant nutrient contents of alkaline soils. In: Proceedings of International Symposium on Desertification, Konya, 531-534. **United Nations Economic Commission for Europe.** 2010. Manual on Methods and Criteria for Harmonized Sampling, Assessment, Monitoring and Analysis of the Effects of Air Pollution on Forests, Part III a- Sampling and Analysis of Soil.

USEPA. 2012. Environmental Response Team. Standard Operating Procedures for Soil Sampling.

Wang M, Shi S, Lin F, Hao Z, Jiang P, Dai G. 2008. Effects of soil water and nitrogen on growth and photosynthetic response of manchurian ash (*Fraxinus mandshurica*) seedlings in Northeastern China. Plos One, **7(2)**.

Xua JM, Tang C, Chen ZL. 2006. The role of plant residues in pH change of acid soils differing in initial pH. Soil Biology & Biochemistry **38**, 709–719.