

Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences (JBES) ISSN: 2220-6663 (Print) 2222-3045 (Online) Vol. 9, No. 5, p. 39-43, 2016 http://www.innspub.net

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Taxonomic and ecologic studies of spiders from the citrus and guava fruit gardens of district Faisalabad, Pakistan

Abdul Ghafoor, Sadif Nazar, Irum Maqsood, Kainat Khalid, Ayesha Nawaz*, Farwa Jabbir

Department of Zoology, University of Sargodha, Women campus, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Article published on November 25, 2016

Key words: Taxonomy, Ecology, Spiders, Citrus, Guava,, Faisalabad, Pakistan

Abstract

Spiders were collected from citrus and guava gardens from four tehsils of Faisalabad viz., Samundri, Jaranwala, Tandlianwala and Faisalabad, Pakistan. Pitfall trapping method was used to collect spiders specimens from September 2010 to June 2011. A total 1054 specimens were collected representing six families. i.e Lycosidae 6 species, Thomisidae 3 species, Gnaphosidae 3 species, Saltisidae 7 species, Araneidae 3 species. Maximum recorded in September, October and November with peak in September because of gardens attacked by harmful insects. Different ecological niches and number of species showed dependent on its complexity.

*Corresponding Author: Ayesha Nawaz ⊠ ayeshanawaz@yahoo.com

Introduction

In recent years, the application of insecticides in the agro-ecosystems of Pakistan has increased by several folds. Being non selective, non-targeted organisms such as the natural competitors, predators and parasites of pest insects get also killed. The resulting ecological imbalances and appearance of resistant pest strains have necessitated more damaging and repeated use of insecticides. Spiders generalized predators arbitrarily feed on phytophagous as well as predacious insects and are most abundant insectivorous predators of terrestrial ecosystems (Wise, 1993). Approximately 120000 species known worldwide and only one forth has been named (Roberts, 1985). Due to their diversity and abundance, they notably reduce plant damage caused by insects in number of ways other than direct feeding on them (Riechert, 1999). In orchards whereas IPM is applied but broad spectrum pesticides are minimized, more complex and abundant spider communities can be developed to press the pests of the orchards (Bogyer, 1999). in Pakistan. many researchers such as Ghafoor and Beg, 2002; Ghafoor and Naz, 2003, Ghafoor and Mehmood 2011, Mohsin et al 2012, khuhro et al 2012, Dzullehmi Muhammad Nasir 2014, P.V.P Mahavidyalaya et al 2015 have conducted researchon spiders systematics. The aim of the study was to reveal the different species from citrus and guava fruit gardens and show the differences amoung the spider fauna from under studied area and to assess the taxonomic and r ecological conditions.

Materials and methods

Study area

Spiders were collected from citrus and guava gardens sites of four tehsils viz., Samundri, Jaranwala, Tandlianwala and City Faisalabad of district Faisalabad, Pakistan.

Methodology

The method used to collect spiders was pitfall traps. It is used to collect ground dewelling spiders. It consists of cyllandrical glass jars (roughly 7cm in diameter and 14cm in height) buried in the soil to ground level. Each container contains 150ml solution of alcohol and a small amount of Karosine oil tokill the spiders.

Preservation

Specimens were preserved in 95% ethyl alcohol plus few drops of glycerin and properly labeled their locality, date of collection etc..

Identification:

Identification was done by using a syterio microscope (XTD-2A China) to study different organs of the spiders in the laboratory, Department of Zoology, University of Sargodha, Women Campus, Faisalabad. Pakistan. The collected specimens were identified with the help of keys by Dyal (1935); Nentwig *et al.*2003, Tikader (1982) and Platnick (2004).

Diversity parameter

Their monthly fluctuations, diversity index, evenness and richness of different species were recorded.

Results

Spiders were collected from Guava and Citrus gardens from four tehsils of district Faisalabad. Pitfall trapping methods were used to collect specimens from September 2010-June 2011 based on morphological discrptions six families were determinend (Table 1).

Table 1. Spiders of different families caught in pitfall trap from citrus and guava gardens of given four tehsils of district Faisalabad during September 2010-june 2011.

Tehsils	September	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June	Total
Jaranwala	23	12	10	15	4	6	10	16	11	8	115
Samundri	45	36	37	34	31	12	40	32	21	14	302
Tandlianwala	36	14	24	19	11	10	24	23	13	16	583
Faisalabad	85	56	64	40	35	17	53	34	26	24	534

Lycosidae family comprised of 5 genera and 14 species; the genus lycosa (Latreille, 1804) was represented by 5 species L. himalayensis, L. mackenziei, L. madani, L. tista and L. kempi. The genus Pardosa was repersenting by 4 species P. leucopalpis, P. sumatrana, P. birmanica, and P. oakleyi. The Hippasa was repersenting by 2 species H. partita and H madhuae. The genus Evippa represented by 2 species E. shivajji and E. banarensis The genus Arctosa by only one species A. indicus. Thomisidae consisted of 2 genera and

3 species; The genus Thomisus was representing by T. bulani and T. pugilis while the genus Runcinia represented by one species *R. roonwali*. Gnaphosidae had 2 genera and 3 species; genus Gnaphosa represented by 2 species *G. poonaensis* and G.harpax and genus callilepis by *C.lambai*. Saltisidae Contained 3 genera and 7 species; the genus Myrmaraehne by two species *M. marapha* and *M. orientales*; genus Rhene by three species *R. indica*, *R. decorate* and *R. danieli* whereas genus plexippus by *P. calcuttaensis* and *P. bengalienasis* (Table 2).

Table 2. Total number of spiders from different families in each tehsil of district Faisalabad.

Family	Samundri	Faisalabad	Jaranwala	Tandlianwala	Total
Lycosidae	125	187	35	80	427
Araneidae	44	48	20	34	146
Salticidae	76	120	17	36	249
Thomisidae	26	33	14	18	91
Gnaphosidae	32	26	23	13	94
Clubionidae	12	20	6	9	47
Total	315	434	115	190	1054

Araneidae had 2 genera and 3 species; genus Cyclosa by 2 species viz., *C. bifida* and *C. confraga* while Nephila by only one species *N. malabarensis* whereas Clubionidae 1 genera and 1 species; genus Castianeira by 1 species *C. himalayensis*.

Maximum population fluctuation was recorded from September to October whereas least abundance in June, November and December. Maximum taxonomic diversity recorded in September, October and November with peak in September because of gardens attacked by pest insects. During the month of July diversity was moderate and mutually comparable while in June and November was least (Table 1). The family Thomisidae represented maximum diversity index while Lycosidae minimum. Similarly, Salticidae depicted maximum value of Evenness index whereas Lycosidae minimum. The highest Richness index was recorded for the family Salticidae while the lowest for Lycosidae (Table 3).

Table 3. Diversity, evenness and richness indices of families during September 2010 to June 2011.

Family	Diversity	Evenness	Richness
Lycosidae	1.98	0.67	2.21
Aranidae	2.34	0.72	2.82
Saltisidae	2.84	0.88	3.72
Thomisidae	2.92	0.87	3.61
Gnaphosidae	2.32	0.81	3.51
Clubionidae	2.12	0.72	2.98

Discussion

Spider species inhabiting the ground surface in any habitat have different ecological niches and number of species showed depend on its complexity. Pitfall traps are biased towards the cursorial species (Bishop and Riechert, 1990). However, pitfall trapping have frequently been used for sampling the spiders fauna in managed system (Nentweg, 1988).

Araneidae is very large family comprised of 3935 genera and 44936 species all over the world (Platnick, 2014). Ghafoor and Beg (2002) described two araneid species from Faisalabad, Pakistan. Biswas and Biswas (2003) studied spider fauna of Sikkim, India. They deal with 91 species belonging 48 genera and 19 families. The species Cyclosa bifida described first time from cotton fields from Faisalabad in present studies and has already been discussed in literature (Dyal, 1935; Tikader, 1982; Biswas and Biswas, 2003) and described its taxonomical and ecological importance. Genus Lycosa comprised of many species which has been described by Tikader and Malhotra (1980) from different localities of India. During present study Lycosa himalayensiso was recorded from Shahkot city district Nankana sahib. This species was collected first time from guava fields of Shahkot city. Pardosa sumatrana was recorded from guava gardens of Tandlianwala city. Tikader and Malhotra (1980) placed this genus under Lycosa.

Hippasa holmerae recorded first time from guava gardens of tehsil Tandlianwala, Faisalabad. Family Clubionidae comprised of 15 genera and 577 species (Platnick, 2014). Dyal (1935) studied three genera of this family from Lahore, Pakistan. Family Salticidae having genus rhene collected from guava gardens and citrus gardens from tehsil Faisalabad, district Faisalabad. Specimens belonged to this genus has already been discussed by (Tikader and Biswas, 1981; Biswas and biswas, 1992).

Family Gnaphosidae contributed few new species and genera from current studies. This family has also been discussed by Dyal (1935) from Lahore, and by Ghafoor and Beg (2002) from Punjab Pakistan. From present studies the Family Thomisidae consist of species Thomisus bulani recorded first time from Jaranwala and Sumandri. The collected material entirely agreed with the published description of this species (Tikader and Biswas, 1981). This family consisting of 2159 species comprised of 172 genera in the worldwide (Platnick, 2014). The genus thomisus have 142 known species (Platnick, 2004). Dyal (1935) recorded 3 species from Lahore, Pakistan.

Thomisus bulani was recorded first time from Jaranwala and Sumandri, Faisalabad. In Pakistan, this species was recorded from Lahore Dyal (1935) and by Ghafoor and Beg (2002). During present studies it was recorded from samundri district Faisalabad. Richness was maximum in September, October and November whereas minimum number of species was captured during December and January.

Maximum evenness was found in September and October while minimum in June and December. Maximum diversity was in August, September and October while minimum in June, July and December. To some extent from the current studies we have achieved the set goals. Spider fauna from citrus and guava fruit gardens were collected and data was recorded from the current studied areas. Population abundance was documented under the different ecological and environmental factors from fruit gardens of district Faisalabad, Pakistan.

References

Biswas B, Biswas K. 2003. Fauna of Sikkim (Araneae: spiders), state fauna series. Pakistan Journal of Botany7, 113-122

Bogyer S. 1999. Spiders as polyphagous natural enemies in orchards. Zoological Journal of Linnaean society 15, 273-330

Bukhari M, Mian Muhammad Naeem, Khalil-Andleeb. ur-Rehman, Shehla 2012 Determination of diversity Indices of Araneid Fauna Captured from Guava Orchards by Pitfall Trapping Method at Gujaranwala. Pakistan Academic Journal of Entomology 5, 133-136.

Dyal S. 1935. Fauna of Lahore, spiders of Lahore. Bulleton of British Archnological Society 1, 117-252.

Ghafoor A, Beg MA. 2002. Description of two new Araneid spiders from Pakistan. International journal of agricultural biology 4, 525-527.

Ghafoor A, Naz.. 2003. Two new species of genus pardosa (Lycosidae) from Pakistan. Journal of Natural Sciences, 27-29.

Ghafoor A, Mehmod A. 2011. Population dynamics of the araneid fauna from district Gujranwala Pakistan. Journal of Animal and plant sciences **21**, 812-816.

Horner NV 972. *Metaphidippus galathea* (Salticidae). As a possible biological control agent. Journal of Entomological Society**45**, 324-332.

Khuhro R, Ghafoor A, Mahmood A, khan MS, Andleeb S, Bukhari M, Maqsood I, Shahjahan MM, Balooch NA. 2012. Impact of Temperature and relative humidity on population spiders in cotton fields. The Journal of Animal and Plant sciences 22, 649-652.

Mahavidyalaya PVP, Kavathe Mahankal. 2015. Diversity of spiders from Zolambi region of chandoli national park. Journal of pharmacy and biological sciences **10**, 30-33.

Nasir DM, Suriyanti SU, Zulqarnain Mohamad, Norma Che Yusoff. 2014. New distributional records of spiders (Arachnida ,Araneae) from the west coast of peninsular Malaysia. Pakistan Journal of Zoology **46(6)**, 1573-1584.

Platnick NI. 2004. The world spider catalog, version 5.0. American museum of natural history.

Pocock R, Petcock I. 1900. Fauna of British India, Arachinda. Taylor and francis, London **1**, 244-245.

Riechert SE. 1999. The hows and whys of successful pest suppression by spiders: Insights from case studies. The Journal of Arachnology **27**, 387–396.

Roberts MJ. 1985. Spiders of great Britan and Ireland. Vol I Atypidae to Theridiosomatidae. Harley books, Colchester, UK, 211-229 p.

Tikader BK, Malhotra MS. 1980. The fauna of Indian araneae. Lycosidae. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta **30**, 1-52.

Tikader BK, Biswas B. 1981. Spider fauna of Calcutta and vicinity part I. Zoological Survey of India **30**, 1-148.

Tikader BK. 1982. The fauna of Indian araneae, Thomisidae. Zoological survey of India, Calcutta 2, 1-292.

Wise HD. 1993. Spiders in ecological webs. Cambridge University, Press, 1-23.