

## Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences (JBES) ISSN: 2220-6663 (Print) 2222-3045 (Online) Vol. 7, No. 1, p. 186-196, 2015 http://www.innspub.net

## OPEN ACCESS

Effects of deficit and normal irrigation with the use of superabsorbents and soil amendments in desert lands of semnan province

Maedeh Yousefian<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Jafari<sup>2\*</sup>, Ali Tavili<sup>3</sup>, Hosein Arzani<sup>4</sup>, Zeinab Jafarian<sup>5</sup>

'Agriculture and Natural Resources Department, Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2.3,4</sup>Natural Resources Department, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Natural Resources Department, Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources University, Sari, Iran Article published on July 12 2015

Key words: Stockosorb, Zeolite, Atriplex canescens, Deficit irrigation.

#### Abstract

This research was aimed to compare normal and deficit irrigation methods and its impact on *Atriplex canescens* in a desert region of Semnan Province in Iran. In addition, the effect of Stockosorb and Zeolite superabsorbents on water holding capacity in a sandy soil was investigated. According to the obtained results, maximum seedling height (76.33 cm) was obtained in the treatment of 15 weight% zeolite+ 70% sand with normal irrigation, having significant difference with other treatments including the control treatment. However, maximum large and small diameters were recorded in the treatment of 0.3% Stockosorb by volume+ 80% sand and normal irrigation method, showing significant differences existed in the soil physical, chemical and hydraulic properties between normal and deficit irrigation methods. Therefore, if the sole goal of *Atriplex canescens* cultivation in rangelands is to improve range condition and plant species diversity, deficit irrigation method and superabsorbents could be recommended in arid regions similar in the study area. On the other hand, normal irrigation could be recommended when livestock grazing and producing excellent seedlings with maximum length and diameter growth are the goals of *Atriplex canescens* cultivation.

\*Corresponding Author: Mohammad Jafari 🖂 Maedehyousefian@yahoo.com

#### Introduction

More than 90% of the country's area have an arid and semi-arid climate (Shooshtarian *et al.*, 2011) and the annual average precipitation of Iran is 240 mm, so that in addition to low annual precipitation, its distribution is not favorable (Sharifan *et al.*, 2013). As a result, one of the consequences is water scarcity and drought stress, considered as one of the factors limiting agricultural crops production and in many parts of the world is a problem growing increasingly (Passioura, 2007). Studies show that water scarcity in agriculture affects the growth and physiological cycles of plants (Singh *et al.*, 2014), affecting agriculture sector in future periods through changing the evapotranspiration of plants, crop yield and water productivity (Thomas, 2008, Oritz *et al.*, 2008).

Easily absorption, storage and release of water are one of the main functions of soil for growing plants. This feature differs in different soils, depending on the fine or coarse size of soil particle and its minerals (Banedjschafie et al., 2006). For instance, in sandy soils, soil water holding capacity is limited, and irrigation must be inevitably done more frequently at smaller amounts, increasing the costs (Rahbar and Banedischafie. 2009). Therefore. different technologies, compatible with the soil in each region, are required for soil moisture conservation (Six et al., 2002). One of the existing technologies is the use of hydrophilic superabsorbents (Dorraji et al., 2010). The use of superabsorbent polymers is one of the solutions to increase the water use efficiency in agriculture, leading to increased quality of crop yield (Sharifan et al., 2013). Superabsorbents polymers are hydrophilic networks absorbing a large volume of water (200-500 ml per gram dry weight) (Zohurian-Mehr and Kabiri, 2008). For instance, zeolite is one of the mineral soil amendments, which could be used in order to improve soil physical and chemical conditions and increase soil water holding capacity (Abedi- Koupai et al., 2008). Stockosorb is a polyacrylamide polymer which, because of its crosslinked structure, has a large water absorption capability (Chirino et al., 2011), so that one kilogram of this superabsorbent can absorb 250 liter of water (EVONIK Industries, 2014). Several studies have been performed the application of on superabsorbents (Li et al., 2004), physical and chemical properties (Bai et al., 2010), and their impacts on soil and plants (Islam et al., 2011, Wu et al., 2012). Researchers reported that hydrophilic polymers caused increased water holding capacity in sandy soils and reduced water losses through leaching (Ekebafe et al., 2011, Taban and Movahedi Naeini, 2006). Nazarli *et al.* (2010) showed that superabsorbent polymers led to the increased water retention in the soil, reducing the irrigation to 50%. Bal et al. (2010) applied different types of superabsorbents in a sandy soil and found that it increased soil moisture content, while soil bulk density and EC decreased. Zangooei Nasab et al. (2013) reported the positive and significant effect of using Stockosorb superabsorbent on the growth indices of Haloxylon persicum including height, shoot fresh and dry weight, root fresh and dry weight and root length.

According to the joint project of FAO and Forests, Range and Watershed Organization of Iran, Atriplex species have been introduced for range improvement in arid regions, so that the cultivation of this species in desert regions of Iran has been recommended (Rahimzadeh et al., 2011). On the other hand, water resources are the most important limiting factor in arid and desert regions (Chen et al., 2014). Given limited water resources in Iran and the dominant share of agriculture in the use of these resources, conservation and the use of management techniques to enhance water use efficiency is of utmost importance (Sharifan et al., 2013). The aim of the current research was to compare normal and deficit irrigation methods and investigation of the effects of Stockosorb and Zeolite superabsorbents on water holding capacity of sandy soils as well as its impact on Atriplex canescens.

# Materials and methods

The study area

This research was conducted in a desert region of Semnan province in Iran, located between longitude 53° 23' E and latitude 35° 34 N'. The study area has an average annual precipitation of 140 mm and an altitude of 1130 m above sea level.

#### Research methodology

A split factorial based on a randomized complete block design with four replications was used. The cultivation of 320 seedlings of *Atriplex canescens* was performed in April 2013, so that there were four seedlings for each treatment. According to the suggestions provided by Baghestani Maybodi and Sanadgol (2007), the seedlings were transferred to the field when they were 7months old with a height of 30 cm, cultivated at a distance of two meters from each other. The first irrigation was done after cultivation. In the current research, Stockosorb as superabsorbent, and zeolite as soil amendment, were applied and mixed with a sandy soil (soil particle diameters= 2 mm).

First factor included normal irrigation at two levels (every 30 days in spring and summer and for six times in the first year) as well as deficit irrigation (every 45 days for four times in the first year). Sandy soil texture at two levels (70% and 80% weight%) and superabsorbents at five levels (0, 0.1, and 0.3 % Stockosorb by volume and 10 weight% and 15 weight% zeolite) were considered as the second and third factors. Superabsorbents were prepared according to the specified levels separately, and then were mixed with soil, taken from a depth of 45 cm. Since at high concentrations of superabsorbents like Stockosorb water is hardly available to the plants and at lower concentrations (Sivapalan, 2001, Abdul-Qados, 2015) it does not prevent the evaporation from the soil surface (Olszewski et al., 2012), therefore, the lower and higher levels of superabsorbents were not applied. Then, after six months of experiment, attributes such as plant height, basal area, large diameter of canopy, and small diameter of canopy were evaluated. As well, soil moisture condition was determined during the periods of deficit irrigation, normal irrigation, with and without superabsorbents and soil amendments and without these materials. Soil sampling was done to study the effect of studied treatments on some physical and chemical properties of soil. The concentration of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium (soluble + exchangeable) were measured by the Kjeldahl, Olsen, and flame photometry methods, respectively (Faithfull, 2002). To measure field capacity (FC) and wilting point (P.W.P), air-dried soil samples were put on the plastic rings of pressure plate. The plate was saturated with water one day before the experiment and after placing the samples on the plate, water was added until the samples became saturated. After 24 hours, saturated samples were at the pressures of 0.33 bar and 15 bar for the measurement of field capacity wilting point, respectively. After the release of water from the samples, they were weighed and after drying at 105 °C, soil moisture was measured (Mehrabi Gohari et al., 2013). To measure soil porosity, after determining the soil bulk density (Bd) and practical density (Pd), the following equation was used (Miriti et al., 2013): Soil porosity (%) = (1- Bd/Pd)× 100.

#### Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSSv.16 and MSTAT-C.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Morphological traits of Atriplex canescens

According to the obtained results, maximum seedling height (76.33 cm) was obtained in the treatment of 15 weight% zeolite+ 70% sand with normal irrigation, having significant difference with other treatments including the control treatment. Minimum seedling height (54.33 cm) was obtained from the combination of control treatment+ 80% sand and deficit irrigation method. However, maximum large and small canopy diameters were recorded in the treatment of 0.3% Stockosorb by volume+ 80% sand and normal irrigation method, showing significant difference with control and all treatments of deficit irrigation method. The lowest values of these traits like seedling height were recorded for control treatment and deficit irrigation method. Generally, all treatments of normal irrigation method showed significant difference as compared to the treatments of deficit irrigation method.

No significant difference was recorded in basal area and all treatments were in one statistical group (Table 1). Similar results have been reported on seedling height and canopy diameter by Davarpanah (2005). It seems that under the proper ventilation conditions and plant available water in the soil, water-soluble compounds, with low molecular weight (eg, nutrients), can be absorbed by polymers and cause plant growth by the gradual release (Gagi, 1999). Superabsorbents not only provide elements such as potassium and phosphorus, but also are effective in providing cations like calcium, magnesium and micronutrients (Polite *et al.*, 2004). In other words, it can be stated that these compounds, with increasing soil aeration, lead to better performance of some types of chemical fertilizers as well as a better soil microbial activity or due to the negative charge of hydrated state provide the absorption possibility of some positive ions in the soil (Abedi Koupai and Mesforoush, 2009).

**Table 2.** Mean comparisons of interaction effects of treatments for EC, pH and some studied hydrological traits by LSD method ( $\alpha$ =0.05).

| Combination of treatment |          |                           | EC (dS/m)           | рН                 | FC (%)    | AW (%)    | PWP (%)   |
|--------------------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Irrigation               | Sand (%) | Superabsorbents           |                     |                    |           |           |           |
| Deficit                  | 70% sand | control                   | 4.100 a-c           | 7.767 b-e          | 18.93 f   | 10.70 h   | 8.233 a-e |
| irrigation               |          | 0.1% Stockosorb<br>volume | by 3.867 a-e        | 7.967 a-e          | 23.10 e   | 15.57 b-f | 7.533 e   |
|                          |          | 0.3% Stockosorb<br>volume | by 3.533 e          | 8.100 a            | 24.37 a-d | 16.60 ab  | 7.767 с-е |
|                          |          | 10 wt% zeolite            | 3.933 a-e           | 7.967 a-e          | 23.07 d   | 15.03 e-g | 8.033 b-e |
|                          |          | 15 wt% zeolite            | 3.733 b-e           | 8.000 a-d          | 24.40 a-d | 15.30 c-g | 9.100 a-e |
|                          | 80% sand | control                   | 4.000 a-d           | 7.767 b-e          | 21.10 e   | 11.43 h   | 9.667 ab  |
|                          |          | 0.1% Stockosorb<br>volume | by 3.800 a-e        | 7 <b>.</b> 933 a-e | 24.10 b-d | 15.80 b-e | 8.300 a-e |
|                          |          | 0.3% Stockosorb<br>volume | by 3.767 a-e        | 8.100 a            | 25.03 ab  | 16.73 ab  | 8.300 a-e |
|                          |          | 10 wt% zeolite            | 4.000 a-d           | 8.000 a-d          | 23.97 b-d | 14.50 fg  | 9.467 a-c |
|                          |          | 15 wt% zeolite            | 4.133 ab            | 7 <b>.</b> 933 a-e | 24.27 a-d | 15.10 d-g | 9.167 a-e |
| Normal                   | 70% sand | control                   | 4.167 a             | 7.700 de           | 20.00 ef  | 11.27 h   | 8.733 a-e |
| irrigation               |          | 0.1% Stockosorb<br>volume | by <b>3.933</b> a-e | 7 <b>.</b> 967 a-e | 24.03 b-d | 14.13 g   | 9.900 a   |
|                          |          | 0.3% Stockosorb<br>volume | by 3.633 de         | 8.133 a            | 25.03 ab  | 17.20 a   | 7.833 с-е |
|                          |          | 10 wt% zeolite            | 3.833 a-e           | 7.833 a-e          | 24.60 a-c | 15.57 b-f | 9.033 a-e |
|                          |          | 15 wt% zeolite            | 3.900 a-e           | 8.033 a-c          | 24.07 b-d | 16.40 a-c | 7.667 de  |
|                          | 80% sand | control                   | 4.100 a-c           | 7.667 e            | 21.13 e   | 11.73 h   | 9.400 a-d |
|                          |          | 0.1% Stockosorb           | by <b>3.900</b> a-e | 7.733 с-е          | 24.60 abc | 15.73 b-e | 8.867 a-e |
|                          |          | volume                    |                     |                    |           |           |           |
|                          |          | 0.3% Stockosorb<br>volume | by 3.700 c-e        | 8.067 ab           | 25.60 a   | 17.07 a   | 8.533 a-e |
|                          |          | 10 wt% zeolite            | 3.967 a-d           | 8.100 a            | 23.57 cd  | 16.10 a-e | 7.467 e   |
|                          |          | 15 wt% zeolite            | 3.767 а-е           | 8.100 a            | 24.73 а-с | 16.30 a-d | 8.433 a-e |

Similar letters in each column indicate that the means are not significantly different.

### 189 | Yousefian et al.

| Combinati  | Combination of treatment |                 |                       | Pd                    | Porosity  | K         | Р                                     | Ν              |
|------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| Irrigation | Sand (%)                 | Superabsorbents | (gr/cm <sup>3</sup> ) | (gr/cm <sup>3</sup> ) | (%)       | (mg/kg)   | (mg/kg)                               | (mg/kg)        |
| Deficit    | 70% sand                 | Control         | 1.61 a                | 2.48 ab               | 34.90 d   | 157.0 d   | 60.33 ef                              | <b>0.040</b> b |
| irrigation |                          | 0.1% Stockosorb | by 1.43 b             | 2.44 ab               | 41.13 bc  | 192.7 ab  | 63.33 c-f                             | 0.043 b        |
|            |                          | volume          |                       |                       |           |           |                                       |                |
|            |                          | 0.3% Stockosorb | by 1.37 b-e           | 2.43 ab               | 43.50 a-c | 204.0 a   | 65.00 b-f                             | 0.026 b        |
|            |                          | volume          |                       |                       |           |           |                                       |                |
|            |                          | 10 wt% zeolite  | 1.42 bc               | 2.48 ab               | 42.67 a-c | 186.3 ab  | 64.67 b-f                             | 0.046 b        |
|            |                          | 15 wt% zeolite  | 1.36 b-e              | 2.45 ab               | 44.33 a-c | 193.0 ab  | 57.67 b-f                             | 0.046 b        |
|            | 80% sand                 | Control         | 1.64 a                | 2.51 a                | 34.60 d   | 166.7 b-d | 59.00 ef                              | 0.033 b        |
|            |                          | 0.1% Stockosorb | by 1.45 b             | 2.44 ab               | 40.17 c   | 193.3 a   | 66.00 a-f                             | 0.066 a        |
|            |                          | volume          |                       |                       |           |           |                                       |                |
|            |                          | 0.3% Stockosorb | by 1.38 b-e           | 2.41 ab               | 42.53 a-c | 194.0 a   | 64.33 b-f                             | <b>0.030</b> b |
|            |                          | volume          |                       |                       |           |           |                                       |                |
|            |                          | 10 wt% zeolite  | 1.39 b-e              | 2.48 ab               | 43.73 a-c | 193.0 ab  | 60.33 ef                              | <b>0.030</b> b |
|            |                          | 15 wt% zeolite  | 1.40 b-e              | 2.48 ab               | 43.50 a-c | 200.3 a   | 73.33 a-c                             | 0.023 b        |
| Normal     | 70% sand                 | Control         | 1.65 a                | 2.51 a                | 34.40 d   | 156.0 d   | 61.33 d-f                             | 0.023 b        |
| irrigation |                          | 0.1% Stockosorb | by 1.37 b-e           | 2.46 ab               | 44.20 a-c | 189.0 ab  | 75.67 ab                              | 0.036 b        |
|            |                          | volume          |                       |                       |           |           |                                       |                |
|            |                          | 0.3% Stockosorb | by 1.33 c-e           | 2.45 ab               | 45.47 a-b | 200.3 a   | 77.00 a                               | 0.023 b        |
|            |                          | volume          |                       |                       |           |           |                                       |                |
|            |                          | 10 wt% zeolite  | 1.40 b-e              | 2.43 ab               | 42.37 a-c | 183.7 a-c | 64.33 b-f                             | 0.043 b        |
|            |                          | 15 wt% zeolite  | 1.38 b-e              | 2.42 ab               | 43.13 a-c | 197.3 a   | 77 <b>.33</b> a                       | 0.050 b        |
|            | 80% sand                 | Control         | 1.66 a                | 2.43 ab               | 31.60 d   | 158.0 cd  | 55.00 f                               | <b>0.030</b> b |
|            |                          | 0.1% Stockosorb | by 1.41 b-d           | 2.38 b                | 40.57 c   | 188.0 ab  | 63.67 c-f                             | <b>0.030</b> b |
|            |                          | volume          |                       |                       |           |           |                                       |                |
|            |                          | 0.3% Stockosorb | by 1.32 e             | 2.47 ab               | 46.73 a   | 202.3 a   | 62.33 c-f                             | 0.033 b        |
|            |                          | volume          |                       |                       |           |           |                                       |                |
|            |                          | 10 wt% zeolite  | 1.43 b                | 2.43 ab               | 41.23 bc  | 187.7 ab  | 72.67 a-d                             | 0.036 b        |
|            |                          | 15 wt% zeolite  | 1.33 de               | 2.44 ab               | 45.57 ab  | 192.3 ab  | 67.00 a-e                             | 0.023 b        |
| 0' '1      | 1                        | 1 1             | • 1• •                | 1 1 1                 |           |           | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 1.00           |

**Table 3.** Mean comparisons of interaction effects of treatments for available elements and the studied some soil physical traits by LSD method ( $\alpha$ =0.05).

Similar letters in each column indicate that the means are not significantly different.

#### Soil physical, chemical and hydraulic properties

According to the results, the use of superabsorbent compounds caused reduced EC and increased pH as compared with control treatment, so that maximum EC (4-4.167 ds/m) and minimum pH (7-7.7) were recorded in the control treatment at both levels of irrigation and sand percentage (Table 2). This result is in agreement with the findings reported by Bal *et al.* (2010). They reported that the decrease of EC was due to the absorption of a large volume of water and physiological solutions of polymers. The high content of water in the soil leads to the dilution of solutes and low electrical conductivity (Bal *et al.*, 2010). Wang *et*  al. (1987) investigated the water from soil leaching containing superabsorbent polymer and showed that this water was of low electrical conductivity. They related the reason for this decline to the absorption of fertilizers and salts added to the soil matrix by superabsorbent polymers. The increase of pH had been already reported by Ekaterina and Christos (2002). Mean comparisons of the interaction effects showed that permanent wilting point followed no clear trend at different levels of treatments. It seems that the mentioned treatments had no impact on the moisture content at wilting point. In contrast, the use of superabsorbents polymers (Stockosorb and zeolite) increased the field capacity and available moisture to plants. The maximum values of the mentioned traits were obtained from the combined treatment of 3% Stockosorb by volume+ 80% sand in the normal irrigation method, showing significant difference with a control treatment.

Maximum moisture content at field capacity and available moisture were calculated to be 25.6% and 17.2% and the lowest was 18.93% and 10.7%, respectively. With the deficit irrigation method, the maximum percentage of the studied traits were obtained from this combined treatment, showing no significant difference with normal irrigation method (Table 2). These results indicate that the use of stockosorb and zeolite polymers of the deficit irrigation method could partially improve soil moisture status as compared with normal irrigation methods. Therefore, water losses occurring to the plants in natural conditions are removed (Huttermann et al., 1999) and consequently available soil moisture shows good results as compared with control. According to Abedi Koupai and Asadkazemi (2006), with the use of superabsorbents in the farm, soil reached to the permanent wilting point later which is inconsistent with our results. The reason may be attributed to the difference in soil texture. However, these results are consistent with the findings reported by other researchers, stating an increased soil water holding capacity due to the application of superabsorbents (Goebel et al., 2005, Orikiriza et al., 2013). As well, increased plant available water with the use of Stockosorb has been reported (Abdul-Qados, 2015).

Table 4. Regression coefficients of relationship between field capacity and soil porosity percentage.

| Cubic Equation | df | Mean Square | R Square | Constant | b1    | b2    | b3         |
|----------------|----|-------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|------------|
| Regression     | 2  | 23.909 **   | 0.821 ** | 5.045    | 0.488 | 0.000 | -2.384 E-5 |
| Residual       | 17 | 0.789       |          |          |       |       |            |

The increase of plant available water in treatments containing superabsorbents could be attributed to the structure of polymer and its hydrophilic properties (Chirino *et al.*, 2011). It seems that the network structure of Superabsorbents polymer causes higher water retention as compared with control. Superabsorbents significantly increase the amount of plant available water through water retention in the soil, changing soil pore size distribution, and reducing physical evaporation (Naderi and Vasheghani Farahani, 2006).

| Table 5. | Regression | coefficients fo | or the relatio | nship be | tween availa | able moisture | e and so | il porosit | y percentage. |
|----------|------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|--------------|---------------|----------|------------|---------------|
|----------|------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|--------------|---------------|----------|------------|---------------|

| Cubic Equation | df | Mean Square | R Square | Constant | b1    | b2    | b3    |
|----------------|----|-------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| Regression     | 2  | 29.365 **   | 0.776 ** | -17.998  | 1.028 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Residual       | 17 | 1.111       |          |          |       |       |       |

In other research, the reason of this increase was related to the effect of superabsorbents on increasing soil aggregates stability and prevent from crust formation and runoff in the farm (Behbahani *et al.*, 2009). According to other researchers, the reason of increased available soil moisture with the use of polymers was attributed to the reduced resistance to water penetration (Lino *et al.*, 2011, Li *et al.*, 2011),

prevent water leakage and evaporation from the soil (Han *et al.*, 2013). Behbahani *et al.* (2009) with the application of different levels of Stockosorb, recommended a value of 0.3% weight% to increase soil moisture saturation. They stated that this increase was attributed to the improved soil structure (due to increased adhesion between soil aggregates) and capillary porosity. The lowest available

phosphorus content (156 and 166.7 mg/kg soil) was recorded in the control treatment, showing significant difference with other treatments, which indicates the effect of superabsorbent polymers on increasing this trait. Available phosphorus showed no significant difference between the treatments of normal and deficit irrigation methods. Available phosphorus content in the treatments of the normal irrigation method was more than that of the same treatments in deficit irrigation method; however this difference was not statistically significant. However, the maximum value for this trait was obtained from the treatment of 70% sand with normal irrigation and application of 0.3% Stockosorb by volume and 15 weight% zeolite. In addition, total nitrogen was not influenced by different treatments and did not follow a clear trend (Table 3). Increased levels of exchangeable potassium with the use of superabsorbent compounds were reported by Ekaterina and Christos (2002).

| Table 6. | Correlation | coefficients | of the | studied | traits | (n=20) | ). |
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|----|
|----------|-------------|--------------|--------|---------|--------|--------|----|

|                        | Available | Soil porosity | Seedling    | Large canop    | y Small ca          | nopy Basal | area |
|------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------|----------------|---------------------|------------|------|
|                        | moisture  | (%)           | height (cm) | diameters (cm) | diameters (c        | m) (mm)    |      |
| Available moisture     | 1         |               |             |                |                     |            |      |
| Soil porosity (%)      | 0.862 **  | 1             |             |                |                     |            |      |
| Seedling height        | 0.499 *   | 0.504 *       | 1           |                |                     |            |      |
| Large canopy diameters | 0.726 **  | 0.660 **      | 0.833 **    | 1              |                     |            |      |
| Small canopy diameters | 0.811 **  | 0.742 **      | 0.781 **    | 0.974 **       | 1                   |            |      |
| Basal area             | 0.569**   | 0.464 *       | 0.208 ns    | 0.380 ns       | 0.419 <sup>ns</sup> | 1          |      |

\*, \*\* and ns indicate significant differences from control (P<0.05 and P<0.01 and no significant differences respectively).

In other research, it was shown that, with the use of zeolite, soil quality was improved through the availability of elements such as potassium and phosphorus (Polite et al., 2004), which is in agreement with our results. It was also reported that an amount of potassium present in the formulation of superabsorbent compounds might have entered the soil (El-Hady et al., 2006). According to the results of mean comparisons, similar treatments in normal and deficit irrigation methods showed no significant difference in bulk density, practical density and soil porosity. However, bulk density and soil porosity showed significant difference between control treatment and the treatments of Stockosorb and zeolite application. Maximum soil bulk density was obtained from the control treatment at different levels of sand percentage and irrigation methods (1.61 gcm<sup>-3</sup> to 1.66 gcm<sup>-3</sup>), showing significant difference with all treatments. Actually, the value of this trait was decreased by using superabsorbent polymers, as compared with control treatment. Maximum soil bulk density was obtained from 0.3 % Stockosorb by volume (gcm<sup>-3</sup>). However, different treatments had no significant effect on soil practical density and all treatments were in one statistical group. Maximum soil porosity was recorded in the treatment of Stockosorb and zeolite application at both treatments of sand percentage and irrigation method, showing significant difference with control treatment (Table 3). Given the importance of soil porosity in water retention, the relationship between soil porosity and field capacity was investigated. The results of regression analysis showed a significant relationship between soil porosity and the mentioned traits (p<0.01), so that the coefficient of determination of the relationship between soil porosity and field capacity was calculated to be 82% as a third degree equation (Table 4 and Fig. 1). A third degree equation with a coefficient of determination of 77% was obtained for soil porosity and available moisture (Table 5 and Fig. 2). According to the results, changes in soil porosity are due to the swelling of the polymer

in the presence of water, leading to an increase in the volume of soil, reduced bulk density and increased total porosity. Increased soil porosity and reduced bulk density with the higher use of superabsorbent polymers was already reported in literature (Behbahani et al., 2009, Han et al., 2013, Zangooei Nasab et al., 2013). In a study on the use of zeolite in the soil, it was reported that this compound could increase the capillary porosity of the soil and through absorption of water could increase the moisture retention in sandy soils (Shaddox, 2004). Zangooei Nasab et al. (2013) stated that reduced soil bulk density with the use of superabsorbent polymers was resulted from soil expansion and low bulk density of water in comparison with soil bulk density. There was a significant positive correlation between soil porosity and available moisture with all morphological traits (Table 6). This result can explain the cause of the improved plant morphological characteristics after the application of different treatments (compared with control). It seems that nutritional effects (such as increased potassium and phosphorus in the soil), improved physical properties and increased available water due to the use of superabsorbents increased plant growth parameters. Although, Islam et al. (2011) reported that these compounds have no direct role in nutrition, in any case, the application of superabsorbents is one of the most effective methods to help the plants grow through increasing water storage in sandy soils (Akhter *et al.*, 2004).

#### Conclusion

The results clearly showed that there were significant differences between two irrigation methods in terms of morphological characteristics of *Atriplex canescens*. However, this difference in terms of physical, chemical and hydraulic characteristics of soil was not significant. Therefore, it can be concluded that the use of Stockosorb and zeolite polymers of the deficit irrigation method could delay the time to reach the permanent wilting point, through increasing the plant available water, field capacity and soil porosity in arid regions. Therefore, if the sole goal of *Atriplex canescens* cultivation in

rangelands is to improve range condition and plant species diversity, deficit irrigation method and superabsorbents could be recommended in arid regions similar in the study area. According to the results, the polymers with the increase of available water to the plants prevent critical drying (wilting point) and have a great impact in rehabilitation of the regions in which timing and amount of irrigation for plants is limited. The importance of this issue in Iran, which is in the category of arid countries with average annual rainfall of 210 mm, is very high. On the other hand, normal irrigation could be recommended when livestock grazing and producing excellent seedlings with maximum length and diameter growth are the goals of *Atriplex canescens* cultivation.

#### References

**Abdul-Qados AMS.** 2015. Effects of Super Absorbent Polymer and *Azotobacter vinelandii* on Growth and Survival of *Ficus benjamina* L. Seedlings under Drought Stress Conditions. International Research Journal of Agricultural Science and Soil Science **5**, 45-57.

**Abedi Koupai J, Eslamian SS, Asadkazemi J.** 2008. Enhancing the available water content in unsaturated soil zone using hydrogel, to improve plant growth indices. Ecohydrology and Hydrobiology **8**, 67-75.

**Abedi Koupai J, Mesforoush M.** 2009. Evaluation of Superabsorbent Polymer Application on Yield, Water and Fertilizer Use Efficiency in Cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*). Iranian Journal of Irrigation and Drainage **2**, 100-111.

**Abedi-Koupai J, Asad Kazemi J.** 2006. Effect of a hydrophilic polymer on the field performance of an ornamental plant (*Cupressus arizonica*) under reduced irrigation regimes. Iranian Polymer Journal **15**, 715-725.

Akhter J, Mahmood K, Malik KA, Mardan A, Ahmad M, Iqbal AA. 2004. Effects of hydrogel amendment on water storage of sandy loam and loam soils and seedling growth of barley, wheat and chickpea. Plant Soil Environment **50**, 463–469.

**Baghestani Maybodi N, Sanadgol AA.** 2007. Effects of row spacing and pruning type on forage yield of *Atriplex lentiformis* in Yazd Province. Iranian Natural Research **60**, 653-664.

**Bai W, Zhang H, Liu B.** 2010. Effects of superabsorbent polymers on the physical and chemical properties of soil following different wetting and drying cycles. Soil Use Management **26**, 253–260.

**Bal W, Zhang H, Wu LY, Song J.** 2010. Effects of super- absorbent polymers on the physical and chemical properties of soil following different wetting and drying cycles. Soil Use and Management **26**, 253-260.

**Banedjschafie S, Rahbar E, Khaksarian F.** 2006. Effect of a super absorbent Polymers on moisture characteristics of sandy soils. Iranian Journal of Range and Desert Research **13**, 139-144.

**Behbahani MR, Mashhadi R, Rahimi Khob A, Nazarifar MH.** 2009. Study of super absorption polymer (SAP) on moisture front of trickle and irrigation physical properties of soil. Iranian Journal of Irrigation and Drainage **1**, 91-100.

**Chen YN, Li WH, Zhou HH, Chen YP, Hao XM, Fu AH, Ma X.** 2014. Analysis of water use strategies of the desert riparian forest plant community in inland rivers of two arid regions in north western Chin. Biogeosciences Discuss **11**, 14819-14856.

**Chirino E, Vilagrosa A, Vallejo VR.** 2011. Using hydrogel and clay to improve the water status of seedlings for dry land restoration. Plant and Soil **344**, 99–110.

**Davarpanah GH.** 2005. Affects of moisture super absorbent on water securing for forest in arid regions,

Tehran. Water Sewage 16, 62-69.

**Dorraji SS, Golchin A, Ahmadi S.** 2010. The effects of hydrophilic polymer and soil salinity on corn growth in sandy and loamy soils. Clean-Soil, Air, Water **38**, 584-591.

**Ekaterina GF, Christos DT.** 2002. Influence of clinoptilolite and compost on soil properties. Taylor and Francis Publishing **33**, 595-607.

**Ekebafe LO, Ogbeifun DE, Okieimen FE.** 2011. Polymer applications in agriculture. Biokemistri **23**, 81-89.

**El-Hady OA, Wanas Sh A.** 2006. Water and fertilizer use efficiency by cucumber grown under stress on sandy soil treated with acrylamide hydrogels. Applyed Science Research **2**, 1293-1297.

**EVONIK Industries.** 2014. Water and nutrients for improved plant growth.

http://www.creasorb.com/product/creasorb/en/prod ucts/stockos

**Faithfull NT.** 2002. Methods in agricultural chemical analysis. CABI Publishing, 136-140.

**Gagi KN.** 1999. Effect of moisture absorb bent polymer (PR3995A) on some soil physical properties. M.Sc thesis, University of Tarbiat Modarres, Tehran, Iran, 5-15.

**Goebel MO, Bachmann J, Woche SK, Fischer WR.** 2005. Soil wet ability, aggregate stability, and the decomposition of soil organic matter. Geoderma **128**, 80–93.

Han Y, Yu X, Yang P, Li B, Xu L, C Wang. 2013. Dynamic study on water diffusivity of soil with superabsorbent polymer application. Environmental Earth Science **69**, 289-296.

Huttermann A, Reise K, Zonnorodi M. 1999.

Addition of hydrogels to soil for prolonging the survival of Pinus halepensis seedlings subjected to drought. Soil and Tillage Research **50**, 295-304.

**Iino K, Lozonschi L, Metzner A.** 2011. Tricuspid valved stent implantation: novel stent with a self-expandable super-absorbent polymer. European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery **40**, 503–507.

**Islam M, Robiul MaoS, Xue X.** 2011. A lysimeter study of nitrate leaching, optimum fertilisation rate and growth responses of corn (*Zea mays* L.) following soil amendment with water-saving super-absorbent polymer. Science Food Agriculture **91**, 1990–1997.

Li YF, Li XZ, Zhou LC, Zhu XX, Li BN. 2004. Study on the synthesis and application of saltresisting polymer hydrogels. Polymers for Advanced Technologies **15**, 34–38.

Li ZP, Liu BH, Liu FF. 2011. A composite of borohydride and super absorbent polymer for hydrogen generation. Power Sources **196**, 3863– 3867.

**Mehrabi Gohari E, Sarmadian F, Taghizadeh Mehrjardi R.** 2013. Prediction of the amount of water at Field Capacity and Permanent Wilting Point Using Artificial Neural Network and Multivariate Regression. The Iranian Society Irrigation and Water **3**, 42-52.

Miriti JM, Kironchi G, Esilaba AO, Gachene CKK, Heng LK, Mwangi DM. 2013. The effects of tillage systems on soil physical properties and water conservation in a sandy loam soil in Eastern Keny. Soil Science and Environmental Management **4**, 146-154.

**Naderi F, Vasheghani Farahani A.** 2006. Maintaining the soil moisture by using of water absorbent polymers (hydrogels). Soil and Water Science **20**, 64-72. Nazarli H, Zardashti MR, Darvishzadeh R, Najafi S. 2010. The effect of water stress and polymer on water use efficiency, yield and several morphological traits of sunflower. Notulae Science Biology 2, 53-58.

**Olszewski MW, Goldsmith RS, Guthrie EK, Young CA.** 2012. Use of sieved compost plus hydrogel for solid matrix priming of carrot seeds. Compost Science and Utilization **20**, 5–10.

**Orikiriza LJB, Agaba H, Eilu G, Kabasa JD, Worbes M, Huttermann A.** 2013. Effects of hydrogels on tree seedling performance in temperate soils before and after water stress. Environmental Protection **4**, 713-721.

Ortiz R, Sayre KD, Govaerts B, Gupta R, Subbarao GV, Ban T, Hodson D, Dixon JM, Ortiz MJI, Reynolds M. 2008. Climate change: can wheat beat the heat?. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment **126**, 46–58.

**Passioura JB**, 2007. The drought environment: physical, biological and agricultural perspectives. Experimental Botany **58**, 113-117.

**Polite E, Karuca M, Demire H, Onus N**. 2004. Use of natural zeolite (clinoptilolite) in agriculture. Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research **12**, 183-189.

**Rahbar E, Banedjschafie S.** 2009. Salinity effects on water uptake ability of superabsorbent polymer and manure. Iranian journal of Range and Desert Reseach **16**, 209-223.

**Rahimizadeh A, Farzadmehr J, Rostagi AA, Ramezani Gask M.** 2011. Comparison of effects of planting *Haloxylon* spp. and *Atriplex* spp. on the characteristics of vegetation cover and ranglands soil (A case study: Salemabad, sarbishe, Iran). Renewable Natural Resources Research **1**, 1-13.

Shaddox T. 2004. Investigation of soil amendments

**Sharifan H, Mokhtari P, Hezarjaribi A.** 2013. The effect of super absorbent A200 on the infiltration parameters kostiakov-lewis equation in furrow irrigation. Water and Soil **27**, 205-212.

**Shooshtarian S, Abedi-Kupai J, TehraniFar A.** 2011. Evaluation of application of superabsorbent polymers in green space of arid and semi-arid regions with emphasis on Iran. Biodiversity and Ecological Sciences **1**, 258-269.

**Singh MP, Chaturvedi AK, Shah D.** 2014. Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and grain yield in contrasting rice genotypes under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in face and otc. 4th International Rice Congress. Thailand.

**Sivapalan S.** 2001. Effect of a polymer on soil water holding capacity and plant water use efficiency. 10th Australian Agronomy Conference Hobart.

Six J, Feller C, Denef K, Ogle SM, Moraes Sa JC, Albrecht A. 2002. Soil Organic Matter, Biota and Aggregation in Temperate and Tropical Soils-Effects of No-Tillage. Agronomie **22**, 755-775.

**Taban M, Movahedi Naeini SAR.** 2006. Effect of aquasorb and organic compost amendments on soil water retention and evaporation whit different evaporation potentials and soil textures. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis **37**, 2031-2055.

**Thomas A.** 2008. Agriculture irrigation demand under present and future climate scenarios in China. Global and Planetary Change **60**, 306–326.

Wang Y, Boogher CA. 1987. Effect of mediumincorporated hydrogel on plant growth and water use of two foliage species. Environmental Horticulture **5**, 125-127.

**Wu L, Liu M, Liang R.** 2008. Preparation and properties of a double-coated slow-release NPK compound fertilizer with superabsorbent and waterretention. Bioresearch Technology **99**, 547-554.

Wu Q, Zhang Q, Zhang B. 2012. Influence of super-absorbent polymer on the growth rate of gas hydrate. Safety Science **50**, 865–868.

Zangooei Nasab Sh, Emami H, Astaraei AR, Yari AR. 2013. Effects of stockosorb hydrogel and irrigation intervals on some soil physical properties and growth of *haloxylon* seedling. Soil Management and Sustainable **3**, 167-182.

**Zohurian-Mehr MJ, Kabiri K.** 2008. Superabsorbent polymer materials: A review. Iranian Polymer Journal **17**, 451-477.