

Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences (JBES) ISSN: 2220-6663 (Print) 2222-3045 (Online) Vol. 7, No. 1, p. 281-290, 2015 http://www.innspub.net

OPEN ACCESS

Study of floristic-ecologic diversity and essential oil variation in *Stachys lavandolifolia* vahl populations from west of Iran

Afagh Yavari, Seyed Mehdi Shahgolzari*

Biology Department, Payame Noor University, 19395-4697 Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran Article published on July 12 2015

Key words: D.S.S method, Essential oil, floristic-ecologic, Stachys.

Abstract

This study carried out for determination and discrimination of floristic-ecologic and essential oil variation within *Stachys lavandolifolia*Vahl Populations from west of Iran. In order to study diversity in *S. lavandolifolia*, D.S.S. method was used. Different stations of *S. lavandolifolia* were explored and 4 special stations were determined among them. floristic-ecologic data collected from each special station. The aerial parts of different populations *S. lavandulifolia* were collected at full flowering stage from special stations. The essential oils were obtained by hydro-distillation and analysed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Then data obtained were analyzed by Pcord and Canoco software. In the survey of all special stations, 36 plant species were distinguished as associated species. 89 oil components were identified by GC/MS method. As the result of analysis of floristic data, as floristic marker, three groups were determined. Analysis of ecologic data was also confirmed the above mentioned groupment. Essential oil was subjected to determine the level and kind of diversity. 3 groups resulted from essential oil study in special stations. Therefore, in this species, the groupment that introduced by floristic marker, was confirmed by ecological and essential oil data as well. The results of the study have shown that the different populations of *S. lavandolifolia* were adapted to their habitat and the ranges of chemical variation were high between populations which led to creation of chemotypes.

*Corresponding Author: Seyed Mehdi Shahgolzari 🖂 mehdi.shahgolzari@gmail.com

Introduction

Biodiversity that is result of different ecological factors existence in various stations shows the biological capacity and ability of each area. One of the most important reserve that led to biodiversity is inter and intraspecific diversity (Atri et al., 2007a). The view expressed by Tuxen (1942) that the plant can measure habitat factors better than any instrument is symptomatic of the scepticism with which the sociologist regards intensive ecological investigation, in spite of the fact that the only exact knowledge, which he possesses of the tolerance of species has been obtained by extrapolation (often unjustified) from original instrumental measurements. The knowledge of the floristic composition of an area is a perquisite for any ecological and phytogeographical studies and conservation management activities. In studying any particular piece of vegetation, from an ecological point of view, our first step must be to determine the facts as they exist on the ground: facts regarding the vegetation, on the one hand; facts regarding the habitat, on the other (Nicholes, 1930). If there is any one set of facts, which is more susceptible to direct study and exact characterization than any other, it is the floristic composition of the vegetation. Creation of intraspecific variation is the main origin and storage of speciation and genetic divergence among populations of a species (Briggs et al., 1984; West-Eberhard, 2005). In this order, emergence of intraspecific variation at different levels of each taxon brings richness to an area. Individuals of a species those are able to response appropriately to a tremendous variety of different conditions have wide distribution in the different stations with various ecological conditions. Genetic diversity is essential both for short-term adaptations to environmental changes and for long-term impact on the species and communities (Talebi et al., 2014). The mentioned studies did not use a special method in plant specimen's collection process, while for collecting correct and precise floristic-ecologic data; we must apply an appropriate method that is according to factors governing nature. In this order, we used Determination of Special Station (DSS) method (Special Station is an area of vegetation that is homogeneous view point of floristic-ecologic) (Atri, 2007b). Some Investigations by using this method show that this method can suitable for plant diversity studies (Atri *et al.*, 2006; Asgari *et al.*, 2007; Atri *et al.*, 2009; Asgari *et al.*, 2010; Chehregani *et al.*, 2011; Atri *et al.*, 2012; Kalvandi *et al.*, 2013; Talebi *et al.*, 2014).The aim of this project, was carried out from two different aspects, the studies of floristic-ecologic variation in these species belong to their stations and investigation on variation of essential oil patterns in their populations is special station.

Materials and methods

Plant material

At the first phase, ubiquiste species were selected. Different stations of S. lavandolifolia were determined in the Touyserkan city, Hamedan province in Iran, by using the accessible reference, herbarium and available information. Then we referred to different stations in study area, in growth seasonal for determine general stations. In each general station, location of special station determined on base of presence of individual study species. Then for determination of special station of individual study species, minimal area determined by using the area-species method with area-species curve and Cain (1959) method. All floristic-ecologic data (the study species & companion species as floristic marker, longitude (E°), latitude (N°), altitude (in meters), soil factors (pH, EC, OC, texture and TNV)) were collected from each special station. Plant specimens deposited in the Herbarium of Payam Noor University in Touyserkan, Hamedan province, Iran. Total of 4 special stations were selected or investigation in study area.

Essential oil preparation

The aerial parts of the plant were air-dried. The oil was obtained by hydrodistillation using a Clevengertype apparatus for 3 hours, with water as solvent. The oils were stored at 4 °C for further analyses. Volatile components were identified by GC-MS using a Finnigan TRACE GC-MS.

Statistical analysis

Data obtain from floristic-ecologic and rate and components of essential oil in each special habitat were analyzed and compared by using Pcord and Canoco software by means of TWINSPAN, DCA, DC and PCA methods. Different populations of *S. lavandolifolia* were selected in different localities of of Touyserkan city, Hamedan province in Iran and special stations were identified for each population on the basis of the presence of individual of *S. lavandolifolia*. Four special stations were set in and a total of 36 associated species or infraspecific taxa were distinguished (Table 1).

Results and discussion

Table 1. F	loristical	composition	following with S.	lavandulifolia in	special stations.
------------	------------	-------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------

Plant species	sample 1	sample 2	sample 3	sample 4
Acantholimon bromifolium	1	0	0	0
Alium sp	0	1	0	0
Alyssum sp	0	1	1	1
Amygdalus lysioides	0	1	1	0
Anthemis sp	0	1	0	0
Astragalus compactus	0	1	0	0
Astragalus verus	1	1	1	1
Bromus danthoniae	1	1	0	1
Bromus tectorum	1	0	1	1
Ceratocephalus sp.	1	0	0	0
Cosinia cylenderica	1	1	1	0
Dactylis glomerata	1	0	1	0
Echinaria capitata	1	0	0	0
Echinophora platyloba	1	0	0	0
Echinophs chiardiana	0	0	1	1
Festuca ovina	1	0	0	0
Gondelia torenfortia	1	1	1	1
Heteranthelium piliferum	1	0	1	0
Hordeum glaucanum	1	0	0	0
phlomis olivieri	1	0	1	1
Poa bolbusa	1	0	1	1
Scariola orientalis	0	1	1	1
Senecio glaucus	1	1	1	1
Sillen conoidea	0	1	0	0
Stachys lavandifolia	1	1	1	1
Stipa barbata	1	1	0	1
Taeniatherum crinitum	1	0	0	0
Thymus eriocalyx	1	0	0	0
Zizphora tenuior	0	1	1	0
trigonella	0	0	1	0
Centura virgata	0	0	1	0
Taraxacum	0	0	1	0
Fibijia	0	0	1	0
pterocephalus cunus	0	0	1	0
Astragalus cyclophyllus	0	0	1	0
Zizphora clynopoides	0	0	0	1

The number of associated taxa varied between the stations. On the Basis of the floristic marker, the studied stations of *S. lavandolifolia* were divided into

three main groups. These groups were classified on the basis of similarity and dissimilarity of the associated taxa of each *S. lavandolifolia* special station (fig. 1-4). The above-mentioned groups showed high degree of intraspecific variations in *S. lavandolifolia*. Since floristic composition in each environment reflects the ecological conditions that influence plant variation, the special stations with similar floristic composition have similar ecological characteristics. The special stations were selected in different environments confronting various ecological factors, which affected the chemical features of *S. lavandolifolia* populations and the floristic composition of their associated taxa. Ten different ecological factors were examined among the stations (Table 2).

	РН	EC	O.C	T.N.V	Soil texture	longitude	latitude	Altitude
Sample 1	7.2	0.132	1.5	35	SL	268145	3825742	2017
Sample 2	6.9	0.097	1.3	5	SL	269169	3825685	2073
Sample 3	6.9	0.104	1.3	25	CL	244631	3830750	2222
Sample 4	6.9	0.169	1.9	35	CL	244269	3831005	2360

Table 2. Ecological factors in special stations.

On the basis of ecological factors, the special stations were divided into three groups (fig. 5-6). In order to compare the effect of different environmental factors on the essential oil of these plants, 89 qualitative and quantitative oil characteristics. The means and standard deviations of the studied characteristics are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Essential oil composition S. lavandulifolia in special stations.

Components	Sample2	Sample3	Sample4	Sample1
1,8-Cineol	0.28	0.39	0.3	1.47
2,6,10-trimethyltetradecane	0	0	0	0.03
3-epi-manoyl oxide	0	0	0	0.04
4a-α,7-α,7a-α-Nepetalactone	0.03	0.12	0.03	0
4-Terpineol	0	0	0.01	0.12
6,10,14-trimethyl-2-pentadecanone	0.48	0.66	0.53	1.05
6,9-Guaiadiene	0.24	0.04	0.07	0
7-epi-β-selinene	0	0	0	8.88
ar-Curcumine	0	0.09	0.05	0
bicyclo Elemene	1.1	0.53	0.19	0
bicyclogermacrene	27.6	16.77	6.02	0
carvacrol	0	0	0	0.16
Caryophyllene oxide	1.49	2.47	7.22	16.38
Cembrene	0.16	0.57	0.76	0
cis- verbenol	0	0	0	0.41
cis-caryophyllene	0	0	0	0.01
cis-sabinene hydrate	0.07	0.03	0.03	0.09
cis-sesquisabinene hydrate	0.05	0.06	0.08	0
cis-?-bisabolene	0.13	0.36	0.17	0
cryptone	0	0	0.04	0.04

J. Bio. & Env. Sci. 2015

cumin aldehyde	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.32
d-3-carene	0	0.04	0	0
Dibutylphthalate	4.99	2.65	4.61	4.72
docosane	0	0	0	0.2
E-Citral(Geranial)	0.01	0.02	0	0
Eicosane	0	0	0	0.27
E-Nerolidol	0.12	0.17	0.82	0
epi-α-muurolol	0	0	0	0.36
E-β-Farnesene	2.38	2.66	3.73	0
E-β-Ionone	0.2	0.01	0.22	0
geranyl acetone	0	0	0	0.03
Germacrene D	2.32	12.33	4.85	0.22
β-Selinene	0	0	0	6.88
heptadecane	0	0	0	0.06
humulene epoxide	0.31	0.13	0.19	0.13
Intermedeol	0	0	0.06	1.63
isobutyl phthalate	0.58	4.57	0.78	18.39
isospathulenol	1.44	1.25	1.67	0
limonene	10.23	4.15	1.8	0
linalool	0	0	0.07	0.77
longipinanol	0	0	0	1
manoyl oxide	0	0	0	0.23
Mytenal	0	0	0.1	0.16
nonadecane	0	0	0	0.8
n-tetradecane	0.06	0.4	0.43	0
octadecane	0	0	0	0.48
P-Cymene	0.09	0.03	0	0
phellandrene	0	0.01	0	0
Phytane	0	0	0	0.35
pinocarvone	0	0	0	0.02
pirollene	0	0	0.14	0
pristane	0.19	0.25	1.05	0.63
sabinene	0.37	0.12	0.05	0
Salvia-4(14)-en-1-one	0	0	0	0.3
sclareoloxide	0	0	0	4.87
Sesquisabinene	0	0	0	1.78
spathulenol	9.64	7.7	26.49	0.94
terpinolene	0.66	0.17	0.42	0
tetradecane	0	0	0	0.74
trans- verbenol	0.26	0.25	0.49	0.62
trans-caryophyllene	21.33	26.33	25.11	14.39
trans-pinocarveol	0.52	0.2	0.27	0
trans-α-bergamotene	0.58	0.86	0.77	0.3
trans-α-farnesene	0	0	0	0.53

J. Bio. & Env. Sci. 2015

trans-α-Ionone	0	0	0	0.05
tricosane	0	0	0	0.58
tridecane	0	0	0	0.17
Viridiflorol	0.8	0.35	0.49	0
Z-citral (Neral)	0.03	0.06	0	0
α-Ambrinol	0	0	0	1.26
α-bisabolol	0.07	0.05	0.09	0
α-Cadinol	0.1	0.25	0.32	0
α-calacorene	0	0	0	0.14
α-campholenal	0.1	0	0.45	0.39
α-copaene	1.1	3.04	0.74	0.38
α-humulene	0.43	0.16	0.21	0.38
β-phellandrene	0.21	0	0.03	0
α-pinene	0.2	0.09	0.05	0.29
α-Selinene	0	0	0	0.15
α-Terpineol	0.07	0	0.3	0.33
α-thujene	0.06	0.02	0	0
β-bourbonene	0.17	0.52	0.3	0
β-elemene	0.35	0.24	0.51	0.05
β-Myrcene	3.74	2.29	1.71	0
β-phellandrene	0	0	1.63	0
α-Pinene	0.66	0.14	0.26	0
α-Selinene	0	0	0	1.17
α-Terpinene	0.23	0.07	0.06	0.11
δ-Cadinene	2.42	5.75	1.34	0

The studied populations differed in their qualitative and quantitative oil characteristics. Classification of the studied populations based on similarity and dissimilarity of their essential oil characteristics set up 3 groups (fig. 7-9). When the results of floristcal, ecological and chemical grouping were compared, we found that: the members of three floristical groups (one group include sample 1and2, second group include sample 3 and three group with sample 4) were absolutely identical with the members of ecological and chemical groups. The fact that members of the chemical groups matched exactly members of the ecological groups led us to the conclusion that in each population, the individuals of S. lavandolifolia had changed their chemical characteristics in order to fit into the surrounding environment, and in their stations, there was significant adaptation between chemical

characteristics and ecological features. These observations seemed very attractive when were established that; the members of ecological, floristic and chemical groups were absolutely identical. In similar study, Chehregani et al. (2011) and Atri et al (2012) in the survey of electrophoresis pattern, show existence of differences regarding number and density of the protein bands indicating existence of intraspecific diversity in the populations of Artemisia incana. Therefore in this species, the grouping that introduced with floristic marker, confirmed also with ecological and electrophoresis markers. Study by Atri et al. (2009) on Artemisia scoparia was carried out to determine intraspecific diversity by D.S.S method in the west of Iran. Between obtained results of this study, present 2 Topodemes, 2 Pedodemes and 1 Basodeme for Artemisia scoparia as a medicinal plant from west of Iran. Study on two species of Achillea L.

in the west of Iran by Salehi *et al.* (2013) show six distinctive groups that indicated the existence of intraspecific diversity in this species.

		3124	
1	Acanthol	-1	00
2	Alium sp	1-	00
5	Anthemis	1-	00
6	Astragal	1-	00
10	Ceratoce	-1	00
13	Echinari	-1	00
14	Echinoph	-1	00
16	Festuca	-1	00
19	Hordeum	-1	00
24	Sillen c	1-	00
27	Taeniath	-1	00
28	Thymus e	-1	00
4	Amygdalu	1-1-	010
11	Cosinia	111-	010
12	Dactylis	11	010
18	Heterant	11	010
29	Zizphora	1-1-	010
30	trigonel	1	011
31	Centura	1	011
32	Taraxacu	1	011
33	Fibijia	1	011
34	pterocep	1	011
35	Astragal	1	011
15	Echinoph	11	100
3	Alvssum	1-11	101
9	Bromus t	11-1	101
20	phlomis	11-1	101
21	Poa bolb	11-1	101
22	Scariola	1-11	101
36	Zizphora	1	101
8	Bromus d	-111	110
26	Stipa ba	-111	110
7	Astragal	1111	111
17	Gondelia	1111	111
23	Senecio	1111	111
25	Stachys	1111	111
		0007	
		011	

Fig. 1. Results floristical composition data analysis by TWINSPAN method.

The result of analysis of ecological data and seed storage proteins for the two species was in accordance with the floristic data. To study the variation of essential oils among population individuals of Thymus eriocalyx in Iran D.S.S. method was used by Kalvandi et al (2014). In this study, it is noteworthy that the individuals of a population showed variation among themselves in terms of chemical compositions. The results of the study on Linum album (Talebi et al., 2014) have shown that the different populations of Linum album were adapted to their habitat and the ranges of phenotype plasticity were high between populations which led to creation of ecomorphs. Our studies show that S. lavandolifolia has wide distribution in Iran and is endemic. This species is present in many habitats with different ecological conditions in Iran.



Fig. 2. Results floristical composition data analysis by PCA method.



Fig. 3. Results floristical composition data analysis by CA method.

According to our results of floristic analyses there are 3 distinctive different groups of *S. lavandulifolia* individuals in study region. Phytochemical studies create 3 kinds of chemotypes which conform and affirm the obtained results of floristic studies. So this study and other studies that done base on this method until to now, show the high efficiency of it in determination and discrimination of inter and intraspecific diversity existence. In the survey of essential oil, existence of differences regarding rate, quantities and qualities were indicating existence of intraspecific diversity in the *S. lavandulifolia*. Therefore in this species, the grouping that introduced with floristic marker, confirmed also with ecological and phytochemica markers.



Fig. 4. Results floristical composition data analysis by DCA method.



Fig. 5. Results ecological factors data analysis by CA method and data table.

This means that floristic grouping can only be used as a cost-effective and efficient method for studying of diversity. Present results show that *S. lavandolifolia*, from floristic-ecologic and chemical points of view have high variation in the west of Iran. Any vegetation in particular place is influenced by the prevailing environmental factors including: climate, topography, soil, human activities and other biotic factors. Analysis techniques are used in the present study classified the special stations to three groups. At second phase, phytochemical studies create 3 groups of essential oil that which conform and affirm the obtained results of floristical studies.



Fig. 6. Results ecological factors data analysis by DCA method and data table.

TUO-UA	Y ORDERED	TABLE		75	2-copaen	1111	01
				76	2-humule	1111	01
				78	2-ninene	1111	01
				83	2-elemen	1111	01
				88	2-Ternin	1111	01
		1234		74	2-campho	1-11	10
		1001		80	2-Ternin	1-11	10
22	d-3-care	-1	000	5	4-Ternin	11	110
25	E-Citral	11	000	20	cruntone	11	110
47	P-Camene	11	000	36	Intermed	11	110
48	nhelland	-1	000	40	linalool	11	110
69	7-citral	11	000	40	Mutenel	11	110
81	2-thuien	11	000	-10	2 6 10-t	1	111
4	10-2 7-2	111-	001	2	3-eni-me	1	111
7	6 9-Cuei	111-	001	5	7-epi-ma	1	111
6	or Curau	111-	001	12	/-epi-/-	1	111
10	ar-curcu	-11-	001	12	carvacto	1	111
11	bicyclo	111-	001	15	cis- ver	1	111
14	Combuono	111-	001	10	deseears	1	111
14	temprene	111-	001	24	docosane	1	111
18	cis-sesq	111-	001	26	Licosane	1	111
19	C18-?-D1	111-	001	28	epi-?-mu	1	111
21	E-Neroll	111-	001	31	geranyı	1	111
29	E-?-Farn	111-	001	33	?-Seline	1	111
30	E-2-Iono	111-	001	34	heptadec	1	111
38	isospath	111-	001	41	longipin	1	111
39	limonene	111-	001	42	manoyl o	1	111
45	n-tetrad	111-	001	44	nonadeca	1	111
51	pirollen	1-	001	46	octadeca	1	111
53	sabinene	111-	001	49	Phytane	1	111
58	terpinol	111-	001	50	pinocarv	1	111
62	trans-pi	111-	001	54	Salvia-4	1	111
68	Viridifl	111-	001	55	sclareol	1	111
71	?-bisabo	111-	001	56	Sesquisa	1	111
72	?-Cadino	111-	001	59	tetradec	1	111
77	?-phella	1-1-	001	64	trans-?-	1	111
82	?-bourbo	111-	001	65	trans-?-	1	111
84	?-Myrcen	111-	001	66	tricosan	1	111
85	?-phella	1-	001	67	tridecan	1	111
86	?-Pinene	111-	001	70	?-Ambrin	1	111
89	?-Cadine	111-	001	73	?-calaco	1	111
1	1,8-Cine	1111	01	79	?-Seline	1	111
6	6,10,14-	1111	01	87	2-Seline	1	111
13	Caryophy	1111	01				
17	cis-sabi	1111	01			0001	
21	cumin al	1111	01			001	
23	Dibutylp	1111	01				
32	Germacre	1111	01			****	*****
35	humulene	1111	01	TWINSP.	AN complet	ed ***	******
37	isobutvl	1111	01	0000000	0. 00050000	205	
52	pristane	1111	01				
57	snathule	1111	01				
60	trans- v	1111	01				
61	trans-ca	1111	01				
63	trans-2-	1111	01				

Fig. 7. Results essential oil composition data analysis by TWINSPAN method.



Fig. 8. Results essential oil composition data analysis by CA method.



Fig. 9. Results essential oil composition data analysis by DCA method.

The results of the study have shown that the different populations of *S. lavandolifolia* were adapted to their habitat and the ranges of chemical variation were high between populations which led to creation of chemotypes.

Conclusion

The results of this study have shown that, in many cases, there were significant correlations between the ecological characteristics of the habitat and the chemical variation of studied populations and their associated taxa. The present study shows that in studying the vegetation and determining ecological factors, employing ecological and floristical criteria as ecophytosociology are not only suitable and exact in the data collection stage to determine the placement of special station, but also it is able to provide results, which conform and agree to the rules that govern the nature in the analysis and result interpretation stage.

References

Atri M, Asgari-Nematian M, Shahgolzari M. 2007a. Determination and discrimination of intraspecific diversity of *Astragalus gossypinus* by Eco-phytosociological method from West of Iran. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences **10**, 1947– 1955.

Tuxen R. 1942. be rdie Verwendung pflanzensoziologischer Untersuchungen zur beurteilung von Schaden des Griinlandes. Dtsch. Wasserw **37**, 455–50.

Nicholes GE. 1930. Methods in floristic study of vegetation. Ecology **11**, 127–135.

Briggs D, Walters SM. 1984. Plant Variation and Evolution. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge.

West-Eberhard MJ. 2005. Developmental plasticity and the origin of species differences. Proc Natl Acad Sci. U S A **102**, 6543-6549.

Talebi1 SM, Atri M, Sheidai M, Sharifnia F, Noormohammadi Z. 2014. Infraspecific variations in *Linum album* based on the Determination of Special Stations approach in Iran. Phytologia Balcanica 20(1), 9 - 22.

Atri M. 2007b.The study ofinterspecific diversity existence by using of DSS method.1thEd National plant taxonomy Conferenceof Iran.Research Institute of Forests and Rangelands.

Atri M, Asgari-Nematian M. 2006. Introduction of the New Method for Determination and Discrimination of Inter and Intraspecific Diversity between Different Populations of Plants. Conference on bioprospecting of Extreme Environment and Extremophile Organisme, Organized by: UNESCO, ISESCO, November, 19-23.

Asgari-Nematian M, Atri M, Nazem H. 2007. Flavonoid components variability of *Astragalus gossypinus* as a medicinal species in the west of Iran. Journal Peyke Noor **1**, 50-61.

Atri M, Alebouyeh Z, Mostajer HA, Kalvandy R. 2009. Introduction of 2 Topodemes, 2 Pedodemes and 1 Basodeme of *Artemisia scoparia* as a medicinal plant from west of Iran. Planta Medical; 75 - PB43.

Asgari-Nematian M, Atri A, Chehregani RA. 2010. Chemical variation of *Astragalus verus* Olivier (Fabaceae) according to flavonoid pattern in the West of Iran. Iranian Journal of Plant Biology **2**, 68-80.

Chehregani RA, Atri1 M, Yousefi S. 2011. Study of Intraspecific Diversity of *Artemisia incana* (L.) Druce in East Azerbaijan, Journal of Cell & Tissue (JCT) Autumn **2(3)**, 245-256.

Atri M, Chehregani RA, Yousefi S. 2012. Study of floristic ecologic diversity and electrophoresis pattern of seed storage proteins in *Artemisia spicigera* L. in the North-West of Iran using D.S.S. method. Journal of Plant Biology, 4th Year Summer 12. Kalvandi R, Hesamzadeh HM, Mirza M, Atri M, Jamzad Z, Safikhani K, Ahmadian M. 2013. Study on some ecological factors, morphological traits, essenti al oil productivity and ploidy levels of *Thymus eriocalyx* (Ronniger) Jalas in Iran. Iranian Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants **29**, 4.

Talebi SM, Atri M, Sheidai M, Sharifnia F, Noormohammadi Z. 2014. Infraspecific variations in *Linum album* based on the Determination of Special Stations approach in Iran. Phytological balcanica **20**, 9-22.

Cain SAO, Castro GM. 1959. Manual of vegetation analysis. Harper and Brothers, New York.

Salehi H, Chehragani RA, Atri M, Mohsenzadeh F. 2013. A study of biodiversity using DSS method and seed storage protein comparison of populations in two species of *Achillea* L. in the west of Iran. Taxonomy & Biosystematics **5(16)**, 1.

Kalvandi R, Mirza M, Atri M, Hesamzadeh Hejazi M, Jamzad Z, Safikhani K. 2014. Introduction of seven new chemotypes of *Thymus eriocalyx* (Ronniger) Jalas in Iran based upon the variation of essential oil composition in different populations. Iranian Journal of Medical and Aromatic Plants 1, 101.