

International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR)

ISSN: 2223-7054 (Print) 2225-3610 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 6, No. 5, p. 62-65, 2015

OPEN ACCESS

Evaluation of some quantitative and qualitative characteristics of 5 cultivars of tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*) grown in Hormozgan Province

Shiva Ghasemi, Mostafa Ghasemi^{*}, Khadijeh Abbaszadeh, Morteza Salari

Department of Horticulture, Hormozgan University, Bandar Abbas, Iran

Article published on May 19, 2015

Key words: Tomato, Cultivars, Qualitative, Quantitative, Hormozgan, Iran.

Abstract

The goal of this investigation was to evaluate some qualitative and quantitative traits in fruits of five tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*) cultivars. These traits were vitamin C content, pH, total soluble solids (TSS), titrable acidity, fruit diameter, fruit volume, fruit firmness, fresh weight and dry weight. Studied cultivars were Matin F1, Yaghoot, Sunseed, Sadeen and Raha. The results showed that there were significant differences in evaluated parameters among cultivars. The highest contents of vitamin C (36.32 mg. 100 g⁻¹), diameter (6.77 cm), volume (221.25 cm³), firmness (2.45 Kg. cm⁻²), fresh weight (194.29 g) and dry weight (11.3 g) were belonged to the cultivar Sunseed. The highest pH (4.3) and TSS (2.49 %) were belonged to the cultivars Yaghoot and Matin F1, respectively. The cultivar Yaghoot also showed the lowest contents of vitamin C (21.37 mg. 100 g⁻¹), TSS (1.65 %), titrable acidity (0.274 %), diameter (5.3 cm), volume (158. 75 cm³), firmness (1.825 Kg. cm⁻²), fresh weight (102.55 g) and dry weight (6.19 g) in compare with other cultivars. Thus based on the results, the cultivar Sunseed can be as a valuable cultivar among other cultivars, because the highest contents of vitamin C, diameter, volume, firmness, fresh weight and dry weight were belonged to this cultivar. The findings of this study may provide suitable information about nutritional value of studied cultivars for food experts and other researchers.

* Corresponding Author: Mostafa Ghasemi 🖂 mostafaghasemi1417@gmail.com

Introduction

Tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*) belonging to Solanaceae is one of the most popular vegetables that widely is used worldwide (Aboutalebi *et al.*, 2012). This vegetable is a major source of vitamins such as B and C and also minerals such as P (Benton Jones 2008) and its World production in 2010 was around 146 million tons (Zahedi and Alemzadeh Ansari 2012).

Some breeding goals for tomato can be disease resistance, shelf life, nutritional quality and taste. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) is an important antioxidant, therefore is as a protective against many of diseases produced by reactive oxygen such as superoxide (Ness and Powles, 1997). Cultivar selection is one of the critical decisions for economical production and introduction of superior cultivars for each region is very important because can save costs and avoid from wasting of soil and water resources. The cultivars with high firmness have better shelf life and high transportation ability and thus can be proper for exportation. Mivechi-Langroodi (2000) evalueted 15 tomato cultivars and introduced the cultivars Koral and Calji Hyb 579 for yield and fruit desirable tratis. Mansour et al. (2009) also evaluated 11 tomato cultivars. Zahedi and Alemzadeh Ansari (2012) compared qualitative characters of 10 tomato genotypes in south of Iran. Gerrie and Victoria (2008) evaluated 16 tomato cultivars for earliness, vield and flavor.

Therefore in this study we compared some qualitative and quantitative traits of fruit in 5 tomato cultivars grown in Hormozgan province located in south of Iran. Superior cultivars can be used by user and agriculture researchers.

Materials and methods

The study area

In this study the fruits of five tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*) cultivars provided from Hormozgan province located in south of Iran.

Design of experiment

Ghasemi *et al.*

This experiment was conducted in randomized completely design with 5 tomato cultivars and 4 replications.

Plant tissues analysis

The cultivars were Matin F1, Yaghoot, Sunseed, Sadeen and Raha. All fruits harvested in maturity stage and the parameters vitamin C, pH, total soluble solids (TSS), titrable acidity, diameter, volume, firmness, fresh weight and dry weight were determined. Ascorbic acid also determined by CuSO4 titration (Barakat et al., 1973) and stated as mg.100 ml extract-1. Determination of pH and TSS were carried by pH meter and refrectrometer (Model AR10, Germany). respectively. Titrable aciditv also determined by 0.1 N NaOH titration (Gould 1983). For determination of the diameter and fruit volume we used from caliper and water displacement technique, respectively. Firmness measured by a hand penetrometer. In order to determination of dry weight, the fruits located in oven at 70 °C to reched constant weight.

Statistical analysis

Data analysis carried out by SPSS software and the means compared by Duncan's test.

Results

Analysis of variance of studied parameters

Rasults of variance analysis of evaluated parameters are shown in table 1. Based on the results, tomato cultivars had significant differences in the parameters vitamin C, pH, TSS, total acidity, fruit diameter, fresh and dry weight ($P \le 0.01$)., and in the parameter firmness at 0.05 level, but there was not significant difference in case of fruit volume.

Comparison of cultivars in point of view of studied parameters

Comparison of means of studied parameters are shown in table 2. The highest and the lowest amounts of vitamin C were obtained in the cultivars Sunseed (36.32 mg. 100 g⁻¹) and Yaghoot (21.37 mg. 100 g⁻¹) respectively. Cultivar of Sunseed had significant difference with other cultivars. The highest and the lowest pH were found in Yaghoot (4.3) and Sadeen (3.95), respectively and both cultivars had significant difference with other cultivars. The highest and the lowest TSS were obtained in the cultivars Matin F1 (2.49 %) and Yaghoot (1.65 %), respectively. From the view point of total acidity was observed significant difference among all cultivars. The highest and the lowest total acidity were obtained in the cultivars Sadeen (0.518

%) and Yaghoot (0.274%), respectively. The highest contents of fruit diameter (6.77 cm), fruit volume (221.25 cm³), fruit firmness (2.45 Kg. cm⁻²), fresh weight (194.29 g) and dry weight (11.3 g) were belonged to the cultivar Sunseed. The Lowest mean of fruit diameter (5.3 cm), fruit volume (158. 75 cm³), firmness (1.825 Kg. cm⁻²), fresh weight (102.55 g) and dry weight (6.19 g) also were obtained in Yaghoot cultivar.

Table 1. Analysis of variance of some parameters in 5 tomato cultivars.

Mean Square												
Source variation	of df	Vitamin C	pН	TSS	Titrable acidity	Fruit Diameter	Fruit Volume	Fruit Firmness	Fresh Weight	Dry Weight		
Cultivar	4	141.454***	0.067**	0.476**	0.034**	1.331**	2786.25 ^{ns}	0.256*	4782.55***	16.01**		

ns,* and ** are insignificant, significant at 0.05 and 0.01 level, respectively.

Discussion

The results showed that there were significant differences in measured parameters among studied cultivars. Based on the results, the cultivar Sunseed showed valuable properties compare to other cultivars, because the highest contents of vitamin C, diameter, volume, firmness, fresh weight and dry weight were belonged to this cultivar. Cultivar selection is one of the critical decisions for economical production and that is a dynamic process because some cultivars may be suitable of one region for many years but replaced by newer cultivars after a few years (McAvoy and Ozores-Hampton 2010). There are many reports about evaluation of tomato cultivars. Aboutalebi *et al* (2012) reported the highest contents of vitamin C in fruits of 16 tomato cultivars

was 41.36 mg. 100 g⁻¹ that was higher than maximum obtained from our research (36.32 mg. 100 g⁻¹). The results of evaluation of Edalatian *et al.* (2005) on four tomato cultivars showed that the cultivars Early Orbana 111 and Peto early CH had the highest TSS and acidity during one year storage in room condition. In the report of Aboutalebi *et al.* (2012) on 16 tomato cultivars, the lowest fruit juice pH was 4.17 that was higher than our report (3.95). They also reported the highest TSS and total acidity were 5.4% and 0.434 mg, respectively. These contents were higher than our report as shown table 2. In report of Mansour *et al.* (2009) the highest average of fresh weight of fruit recorded 100.64 g that was less than cultivar of Sunseed in our research (194.29 g).

Table 2. Comparison of some qualitative and quantitative traits among five tomato cultivars (*Lycopersicum* esculentum).

Cultivar	Vitamin C	pН	TSS (%)	Titrable acid	ity (%) Di	ameter (cn	1) Volur	ne (cr	13) Tightno	ess (Kg. cm	²) Fr	esh weigh	t (g) Dry	weight	
													(g)		
Matin	23.97 c	4.2 b	2.49 a	0.348 c	5.7	72 b	168.7	5 a	2.25 ab)	125	5.17 b	7.38	b	
Yaghoot	21.37 d	4.3 a	1.65 c	0.274 d	5.3	3 b	158.7	158.75 a		1.82 c		102.55 b		6.19 b	
Sunseed	36.32 a	4.12 c	2.37 a	2.37 a	6.7	6.77 a		221.25 a		2.45 a		4.29 a	11.3 a		
Sadeen	22.94 cd	3.95 d	2.36 a	2.36 a	5.4	45 b	161.2	61.25 a 2.25 ab)	125.7 b		6.8 b		
Raha	26.29 b	4.17 bc	2.01 b	2.01 b	5.7	75 b	192.5	a	1.9 bc		144	4.52 b	7.99	b	
Significa	nt differ	ences	within	the same	column	are ind	icated	by	different	letters (P =	0.05,	Duncan	test).	

Conclusion

Thus based on the results, the cultivar Sunseed can be as a valuable cultivar among other cultivars, because the highest contents of vitamin C, diameter, volume, firmness, fresh weight and dry weight were belonged to this cultivar. The cultivar Yaghoot also showed the

Ghasemi et al.

lowest vitamin C, titrable acidity, fruit diameter, fruit volume, fruit firmness, fresh weight and dry weight in compare with others. The findings of this study may provide suitable information about nutritional value of studied cultivars for food experts and other researchers.

References

Aboutalebi A, Hasanzadeh Khankahdani H, Zakeri E. 2012. Study on Yield and Quality of 16 Tomato Cultivars in South of Iran. International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences **3**, 838-841.

Barakat MZ, Shehab SK, Darwish N, El-Zoheiry A. 1973. A new titrimetric method for the determination of vitamin C. Analalytical Biochemistry **53**, 245–251.

Benton Jones J. 2008. Tomato Plant Culture: In theField, Greenhouse and Home Garden. In: Taylor and Francis Group, *LLC*. 81-86 p.

Edalatian MR, Mortazavi A, Hamedi M, Mazaheri-Tehrani M. 2005. Effect of variety and storing duration on chemical characteristics of tomato sauce derived four tomato varieties. Journal of Agricultural and Natural Resources **4**, 211-220.

Gerrie VT, Victoria CMG. 2008. Comparison of tomato varieties grown in Victoria County. Agric Life Extension **4**, 109-126.

Gould WA. 1983. Tomato production, processing and quality evaluation, 2nd edition.

Mansour A, Esmail HM, Ramadan MF, Gyulai G. 2009. Comparative genotypic and phenotypic analysis of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) cultivars grown under two different seasons in Egypt. The African journal of plant science and biotechnology **25**, 73-79.

McAvoy G, Ozores-Hampton M. 2010. Cultivar selection in tomato and pepper production. University of Florida **2**, 38-43.

Mivechi-Langroodi H. 2000. Yield and quality comparison of 15 tomato cultivars in Boushehr province. Short Scientific Report. Seed and Plant Journal **16**, 387-389.

Ness AR, Powles JW. 1997. Fruits and vegetables, and cardiovascular disease: a review. International Journal of Epidemiology **26**, 1-13.

Zahedi SM, Alemzadeh Ansari N. 2012. Comparison in Quantity Characters (Flowering and Fruit Set) of Ten Selected Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) Genotypes under Subtropical Climate Conditions (Ahvaz). American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences **12**, 1437-1440.