

International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR)

ISSN: 2223-7054 (Print) 2225-3610 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 7, No. 4, p. 36-43, 2015

**RESEARCH PAPER** 

OPEN ACCESS

# The effect of liming on the acidity level of *Dystric cambisol* and the content of available forms of some microelements

Nebojsa Gudzic<sup>\*</sup>, Miroljub Aksic, Slavisa Gudzic, Jasmina Knezevic

University of Pristina, Faculty of Agriculture, Kopaonicka bb, Lesak, Serbia

Article published on October 12, 2015

Key words: Acid soils, Dystric cambisol, liming, aluminum, microelements.

# Abstract

Limited acid soil fertility is caused by a high concentration of  $H^+$  and  $Al^{3+}$ , some organic acids and heavy metals, but also by a small accessibility of some nutrients (P, Ca, Mg, B, Zn, particularly Mo) and a small microbiological activity. This study has been conducted to determine the effect of three levels of liming (partial – 1/3 Y1, half – 1/2 Y1, and complete liming) on the neutralization of the acid reaction, a high content of mobile  $Al^{3+}$ , and changes in the concentrations of available forms of Fe, Zn, and Cu in Dystric cambisol soil. The complete liming has almost completely neutralized the acid reaction, and decreased the level of mobile  $Al^{3+}$  below 1.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. There has been a satisfactory degree of decrease in pH and  $Al^{3+}$  in partial (1/3 of Y1) and half (1/2 of Y1) liming. No level of liming has had a significant influence on the content of available forms of Fe and Cu, while the content of Zn has decreased in accordance with the level of entered lime material and has been the lowest at the maximum doses of CaO applied. The level of changes caused by partial and halh-liming has justified these levels of acid repairing, which can be a great ecological and economic importance.

\* Corresponding Author: Nebojsa Gudzic 🖂 nesagudzic@gmail.com

# Introduction

Acid soils create a number of difficulties in agriculture, especially in the production of goodquality and biologically valuable food. There are numerous factors that limit the fertility of these soils. High concentrations of H and Al ions, of some organic acids and heavy metals, as well as low accessibility of nutrients (P, Ca, Mg, B, Zn, particularly Mo) are just some of them. However, Al-toxicity is a major stress factor for plants on soils whose pH  $\leq 5.5$ (Poschenrieder et al., 2008; Merino-Gergichevich et al., 2010), and in these conditions, the prevailing pressure for the adaptation of cultivated plants is attributed to it (Ryan and Delhaize, 2010). The acidic environment serves to increase the presence of trivalent aluminum cations - Al3+ (Lidon and Barreiro, 2002; Kochian et al., 2005), which is the most poisonous of all kinds of Al. More recognizable effects of Al-toxicity have been observed and welldescribed on the root (Barceló and Poschenrieder 2002; Ma, 2007; Panda and Matsumoto, 2007). However, damages may be present even on the upper parts of the plants (Merino-Gergichevich et al., 2010), especially on the leaves, about which little is known. Today, there is growing evidence of the negative impact of aluminum on the light absorption, photosynthetic electron transport, gas exchange (Chen et al., 2005a; Chen et al., 2005b; Chen, 2006), photoprotective systems (Chen et al., 2005a; Ali et al., 2008), pigments (Chen et al., 2005a; Mihailovic et al., 2008; Milivojević et al., 2000), as well as on other elements related to the structure or function of the photosynthetic apparatus. In addition to the direct effect, Al also affects plants indirectly, in such a way that aluminum ions, among other things, block the adsorption of phosphorus and potassium, thus disrupting the growth and development of crops (Zheng, 2010).

The availability of microelements in different soils, apart from the presence of phosphorus and organic matter, is significantly conditioned by their pH value (Wei *et al.*, 2006; Li *et al.*, 2007; Asadu *et al.*, 2014). Thus, the availability of micronutrients and toxic ions, as cations (e.g. Al<sup>3+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Mn<sup>2+</sup>), increases with an

increase of soil acidity (Porter *et al.*, 2004; Khabaz-Saberi and Rengel, 2010). After Al, an excess of Mn is the greatest factor of limited plant growth on acid soils (Rengel, 2000). Like Al, when manganese reaches the level of toxicity, it also limits the growth and function of plant roots, reduces the absorption of nutrients and water.

Liming acid soils is one of the key measures that can preserve or increase their productivity (Mao *et al.*, 2008; Repšiene and Skuodiene, 2010). Quantitative evidence on the effect of soil acidity on yield are unknown, but some controlled experiments on the effects of the treatment of acid soil with lime, and a combination of triple super phosphate and living mulch, have shown a yield increase for about three times (Uexkül and Mutert, 1995). That is why this research aimed to, by applying different levels of liming on Dystric cambisol in the year of application, define their effect on the rate of change of acidity, the content of mobile Al, and some micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Cu) as important factors in fertility.

#### Material and methods

#### Experimental sites

The research was conducted in 2010 and 2011 on an experimental field near the town of Leposavic (43° 16' N; 20° 36' E), belonging to the southern and central part of the Ibar-Kopaonik region, and located in southwestern Serbia. Leposavic is located at an altitude of 545 m, and is characterized by a temperate continental climate.

### Agrochemical soil characteristics

The soil on which the research was performed belonged to the Dystric cambisol type and the basic agrochemical characteristics of the sites on which the experiments were placed in 2010 and 2011 are shown in Table 1. Dystric cambisol was characterized by the acid reaction, a low content of organic matter and available phosphorus, a high concentration of exchangeable aluminum, and a medium quantity of available potassium. The content of the analyzed microelements was high and very high.

Year	pН		Y1	ОМ	Al	Р	К	Fe	Zn	Cu
	H <sub>2</sub> O	KCl	cm <sup>3</sup>	%	mg 100 g <sup>-1</sup>			mg kg-1		
2010	5.45	4.85	14.52	2.09	12.26	2.24	18.7	19.2	6.65	2.72
2011	5.52	4.87	13.95	2.33	13.86	2.94	15.10	26.6	4.05	3.63

Table 1. Agrochemical characteristics of Dystric cambisol.

#### Experimental design

Before the establishment of the experimental field, average soil samples had been collected for analysis to determine the level of liming in order to eliminate the excess acidity. CaO of high degree of fineness was used for liming. In both years, the material was applied in September, more precisely before basic processing, by being properly distributed over the surface and entered into the soil by plowing.

The applied rates of CaO were calculated according to the value of Y1 in the soil and the size of the experimental site (50 m<sup>2</sup>). Three variants of liming were determined and applied: 1/3 Y1 CaO (V-3), 1/2 Y1 CaO (V-4), and Y1 CaO (V-5); as well as two variants without liming: the version with only the application of NPK (V-2), and the version without liming and fertilizer application - control (V-1). Fertilizers were also applied in the versions where liming was performed. In all cases, the doses of active compounds of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium were for N 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and for P and K per 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Fertilization was performed according to the standard technology for the wheat production. The experiment was set up as a random complete block design (RCBD) in four replications. The size of the base experimental site was 50 m<sup>2</sup>, and the crop was wheat, Pobeda cultivar.

# Soil laboratory analysis

In both years of research, during the stage of wheat tillering (T), 5 months since the liming and after the harvest (A. H.), 10 months since the liming, the pH was determined on a pH meter with a glass electrode in a 1: 2.5 suspension with water and 1 M KCl. At the same time, the content of exchangeable or mobile Al was determined by using Sokolov's method on a soil extract with 1M KCl by first determining the total substitutional acidity, and then by a deposition of

formation of substitutional acidity. Hydrolytic acidity, that is, Y1, was determined only after the harvest and by Kappen's method by treating a soil sample with  $(CH_3COO)_2Ca$ , and then the neutralization of excess acid was performed with 0.1 M NaOH. The content of available forms of soil microelements (Fe, Cu, and Zn) was determined by atomic absorption spectro-photometry, using Carl Zeiss Jena apparatus – AAS-1, Analityk Jena, Jena, Germany. The content of available Fe was determined after the extraction into a solution of 1M  $CH_3COONH_4$  (pH 7) in the stage of wheat tillering (T) and after the harvest (A.H.), and for the available Cu and Zn, only after the harvest, upon the extraction (A.H.) in 0.1 M HCl.

aluminum with NaF and the share of Al3+ in the

#### Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were performed on SPSS software, variant 16. The effects of the treatment on all variants were tested by ANOVA. Statistical differences between the treatments were determined by using the t-test (95 and 99%) by Pearson for Fisher's LSD (SPSS, 2007).

### **Results and discussion**

The effect of three levels of liming on active, substitutional, and hydrolytic acidity has been in accordance with the applied dose of CaO (Table 2), the highest in the variants with complete liming (V-5), and the lowest in partial liming (V-3). The soil quickly reacted to entered CaO, and changes were obvious and complete already at the first check, 5 months after liming, i.e. in the stage of wheat tillering.

The differences between the treatments where CaO has not been used (V-1 and V-2) and the treatments in which CaO has been used (V-3, V-4, and V-5) are highly significant. The differences between the

variants in which liming has been performed can clearly be observed. All the differences are highly significant (p < 0.01), except for the changes in active acidity in the tillering stage (T) in 2010, when a difference in the level of statistical significance (p < 0.05) has been found between the first (V-3) and the second level (V-4) of partial liming. The resulting changes, both in active and substitutional acidity, are in favor of the claims of the need for liming acid soils (Busari *et al.*, 2008; Jelić *et al.*, 2011; Mao *et al.*, 2008; Repšiene and Skuodiene, 2010), in order to perform the neutralization and create favorable conditions for smooth growth and development of plants. It particularly refers to a group of plants that are insufficiently tolerant to soil acidity.

	pH H <sub>2</sub> O				pH KCl				Y1	
Variante	2010		2011		2010		2011			
variants	Т	AH	Т	AH	Т	AH	Т	AH	2010	2011
									-	
V-1	5.45	5.43	5.42	5.50	4.83	4.83	4.84	4,83	16.32	14.12
V-2	5.50	5.51	5.41	5.47	4.75	4.79	4.79	4.82	14.32	14.56
V-3	5.92	5.89	5.90	5.84	5.23	5.30	5.29	5.24	7.76	7.66
V-4	6.17	6.15	6.18	6.14	5.57	5.56	5.60	5.58	5.21	5.38
V-5	6.79	6.77	6.87	6.73	6.24	6.20	6.24	6.19	3.00	3.45
Lsd 0.05	0.243	0.081	0.098	0.094	0.120	0.099	0.136	0.086	1.69	1.565
Lsd 0.01	0.349	0.117	0.141	0.135	0.173	0.143	0.196	0.124	2.430	2.248

**Table 2.** The change in pH (H<sub>2</sub>O and KCl) and Y1 after liming.

The observed changes in pH during one growing season should be emphasized. Namely, in the period between the first (tillering stage) and the second checks (after the harvest), there was a small decline in pH. This trend was expected because numerous processes in the soil, primarily flushing, as well as the adoption of Ca by the plants, led to losses of liming material, which inevitably led to a decrease in pH. For this reason, it is often talked about a time-limited (fixed-term) effect of this measure, which has to be repeated after a while for these reasons. Since the problem with acidity cannot be definitely resolved, a practical approach consisting of a regular application of moderate amounts of lime material, with which soil acidity would be maintained at an acceptable level, is completely acceptable (Garscho and Parker, 2001). In this way, the benefits would be multiple. Smaller quantities of lime material would be, on the one hand, economically justified, and on the other hand, they would enable a better availability of nutrients, as well

as a more favorable environment for the growth and development of crops.

Mobile aluminum, as one of the limiting factors of crop production in acid soils, was in Dystric cambisol at the level at which its depressing effect on crops was expected. However, in all the years of research and at all levels of repair, liming radically changed the image of mobile Al content, and the results are shown in Table 3.

**Table 3.** The changes in mobile Al content (mg  $\cdot$  100 g<sup>-1</sup>) after liming.

Vorient	20	10.	2011.			
variant	Т	AH	Т	AH		
V-1	12.28	12.21	13.62	13.80		
V-2	12.32	12.54	13.92	13.87		
V-3	5.26	5.56	6.25	6.39		
V-4	2.17	2.37	2.44	2.62		
V-5	0.48	0.40	0.47	0.42		
Lsd 0.05 Lsd 0,01	0.346 0.497	0.277 0.398	0.346 0.497	0.072 0.104		

The content of mobile Al<sup>3+</sup>, in both years of research, was strongly changed in all the variants where CaO was applied. Thus, trace aluminum content was found in the first measuring, in the stage of wheat tillering, in the complete liming variant. According to the results of other authors, liming had the same effect on other soil types, such as pseudogley (Dugalić et al., 2002; Jelić et al., 2011), Dystric albeluvisol (Repšiene Skuodiene, 2010), Lessivated Cambisol and and pseudogley (Pivić et al., 2011). At the same time, also in the variants of partial (V-3) and particularly halfliming, the content of mobile aluminum was reduced to a level at which the risk of its toxic effect on the crops was significantly reduced. In V-3 and V-4 variants, a slight increase in the content of mobile Al was found during the growing season.

Despite an obvious improvement in the reaction of Dystric cambisol, liming has not had a major effect on the content of available forms of Fe and Cu (Table 4). Namely, the content of Cu per variants, in both years of research, has not indicated any effect of entrained lime material, and the differences between the liming treatment and the control variant are not statistically significant. On the other hand, the content of Fe in the tillering stage, in the part of the experiment when amelioration was performed, did not sufficiently clearly indicate the real impact of lime. In particular, it was because the differences, in the part of the experiment when liming was performed and in the part without liming, almost entirely disappeared when the content of the element was measured after the wheat harvest. The observed difference in available Fe concentration during the growing season was most likely the result of changes in oxidationreduction conditions, on which numerous processes that transform Fe into available forms and vice versa depend.

	Fe				Zn		Cu	
Variants	2010		2011		2010	2011	2010	2011
	Т	AH	Т	AH	2010	2011	2010	2011
V-1	17.43	9.73	33.48	21.28	3.78	3.18	2.40	3.65
V-2	14.78	12.30	30.15	19.20	3.30	3.75	2.49	3.69
V-3	14.45	9.78	30.18	20.40	3.13	2.83	2.49	3.66
V-4	14.61	10.05	30.25	20.21	3.05	2.75	2.51	3.64
V-5	14.50	10.78	30.60	19.55	2.95	2.55	2.55	3.62
Lsd 0.05	3.49	3.89	3.90	1.99	0.39	0.18	0.46	0.13
Lsd 0,01	5.01	5.59	5.61	2.86	0.56	0.26	0.66	0.19

Table 4. The changes in the content of available forms of Fe, Zn, and Cu (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) after liming.

The effect of liming has been observed only on the content of available Zn. Namely, lime material and an increase of pH have caused a decrease in the amount of available forms of this element. The differences of all the variants with liming, in both years of research, have been highly significant (p < 0.01) as compared to the control variant. Also, the amount of applied lime material has had an effect on the content of Zn. Thus, the lowest content, in both years, has been found in the variant where complete liming was applied (V-5), and the differences compared to the other two levels of liming (V-3 and V-4) had a statistical significance only in 2011. There is no complete consensus

regarding the concentrations of mobile fractions of Zn after liming, so there are opinions that it is being reduced (Lalljee and Facknath, 2001; Kovačević *et al.,* 2009), or that the entered lime does not influence its content (Bošković-Rakočević and Bokan, 2005).

#### Conclusion

Liming has caused significant changes in Dystric cambisol, and the changes were rapid and in proportion to the rates of applied CaO. Soon after the introduction, already in the stage of tillering, a decrease in acidity and in the content of mobile aluminum occurred, and of the analyzed microelements, only Zn reacted to entered lime material in such a manner that the content of its available forms was reduced. The degree of achieved changes was adequate to the level of applied liming. Complete liming almost completely neutralized the acid reaction, in traces it brought the content of mobile Al, but it also significantly reduced the availability of Zn, thus jeopardizing the regular supply of plants with this microelement. On the other hand, the level of changes caused by partial  $(1/3 Y_1)$  and half-liming  $(1/2 Y_1)$  has justified and promoted these levels of acid soil repairing. The main benefits of lower levels of liming are lower investments in liming material, satisfactory pH neutralization, a reduction in mobile Al below the toxicity level and a lower risk of bringing some microelements to the deficit limits, which is of great ecological and economic importance.

#### References

Ali B, Hasah SA, Hayat S, Hayat Y, Yadav S, Fariduddin Q, Ahmad A. 2008. A role for brassinosteroids in the amelioration of aluminium stress through antioxidant system in mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek). Environmental and Experimental Botany **62**, 153-159.

**Asadu CLA, Chibuike GU, Dixon AFO.** 2014. Micronutrients availability in a cleared forestland after seven years of continuous cultivation and eight years of natural fallow in a Alfisol, Eastern Nigeria. Scientica Agriculturae **4**, 86-90.

**Barceló J, Poschenrieder C.** 2002. Fast root growth responses, root exudates, and internal detoxification as clues to the mechanisms of aluminum toxicity and resistance: a review. Environmental and Experimental Botany **48**, 75-92.

**Bošković-Rakočević L, Bokan N.** 2005. Neutralising Acid Soils for the Indespensable Microelements Mobility. Acta Agriculturae Serbica **10**, 23-28.

**Busari MA, Salako FK, Adetunji MT.** 2008. Soil chemical properties and maize yield after application

of organic and inorganic amendments to an acidic soil in southwestern Nigeria. Spanish Journal of Agricultural Reserch **6**, 691-699.

**Chen LS, Qi YP, Liu XH.** 2005a. Effects of aluminum on light energy utilization and photoprotective systems in citrus leaves. Annals of Botany **96**, 35-41.

**Chen LS, Qi YP, Smith BR, Liu XH.** 2005b. Aluminum-induced decrease in CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in citrus seedlings is unaccompanied by decreased activities of key enzymes involved in CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation. Tree Physiology **25**, 317-324.

**Chen LS**. 2006. Physiological responses and tolerance of plant shoot to aluminum toxicity. Journal of Plant Physiology and Molecular Biology **32**, 143-155.

**Dugalić G, Jelić M, Jovanović Ž.** 2002. Effect of liming and fertilization on agrochemical properties of pseudogley soil in the Kraljevo basin. Zemljište i biljka **51**, 41-50.

**Garscho GJ, Parker MB.** 2001. Long-term liming effects on coastal plain soils and crops. Agronomy Journal **93**, 1305-1315.

Jelić M, Milivojević J, Đalović I, Paunović A, Dugalić G. 2011. Amelioration of pseudogley soil using different ameliorants and fertilizers. Proceedings. 46<sup>th</sup> Croatian and 6<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Agriculture. Opatija, pp 98 - 101.

Khabaz-Saberi H, Rengel Z. 2010. Aluminum, manganese, and iron tolerance improves performance of wheat genotypes in waterlogged acidic soils. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science **173**, 461-468.

Kochian LV, Pineros MA, Hoekenga OA. 2005. The physiology, genetics and molecular biology of plant aluminum resistance and toxicity. Plant and Soil **274**, 175-195.

Gudzic *et al.* 

**Kovačević V, Lončarić Z, Rastija M, Antunović M.** 2009. Utjecaj kalcizacije na stanje mobilne frakcije mangana, cinka i željeza u tlu. Acta biologica Iugoslavica – serija A: Zemljište i biljka **58**, 73-79.

Lalljee B, Facknath S. 2001. Effect of lime on nutrient content of soils, yield and nutrient content of potato and infestation by leafminers. AMAS. Food and Agricultural Research Council, Réduit, Mauritius (http://www.gov.mu/portal/sites/ncb/moa/farc/ amas2001/pdf/s44.pdf) 16.10.2010.

Li BY, Zhou DM, Cang L, Zhang HL, Fan XH, Qin SW. 2007. Soil micronutrient availability to crops as affected by long-term inorganic and organic fertilizer applications. Soil and Tilage Research **96**, 166-173.

**Lidon F, Barreiro M.** 2002. An overview into aluminum toxicity in maize. Bulgarian Journal of Plant Physiology **28**, 96-112.

**Ma F.** 2007. Syndrome of aluminum toxicity and diversity of aluminum resistance in higher plants. International Review of Cytology **264**, 225-252.

**Mao J, Olk DC, Fang X, He Z, Schmidt-Rohr K.** 2008. Influence of animal manure application on the chemical structures of soil organic matter as investigated by advanced solid- state NMR and FT-IR spectroscopy. Geoderma **146**, 353-362.

**Merino-Gergichevich C, Alberdi M, Ivanov AG Reyes-Diaz M.** 2010. Al<sup>3+</sup> - Ca<sup>2+</sup> interaction in plants growing in acid soils: Al-phytototoxicity response to calcareous amendments. Journal Soil Science Plant Nutrition **10**, 217-243.

**Mihailovic N, Drazic G, Vucinic Z.** 2008. Effects of aluminium on photosynthetic performance in Alsensitive and Al-tolerant maize inbred lines. Photosynthetica **46**, 476-480.

Milivojević DB, Stojanović DD, Drinić SD. 2000. Effects of aluminium on pigments and

pigment-protein complexes of soybean. Biologia Plantarum **43**, 595-597.

**Panda SK, Matsumoto H**. 2007. Molecular physiology of aluminum toxicity and tolerance in plants. Botanical Review **73**, 326-347.

**Pivić R, Stojanović A, Maksimović S, Stevanović D.** 2011. Chemical properties of soils and plant as affected by use of metallurgical slag. Scientific Research and Essays **6**, 1793-1807.

**Porter GS, Bajita-Locke JB, Hue NV, Strand D.** 2004. Manganese solubility and phytotoxicity affected by soil moisture, oxygen levels, and green manure additions. Comm. Soil Sci. Plant Anal **35**, 99-116.

**Poschenrieder C, Gunsé B, Corrales I, Barceló J.** 2008. A glance into aluminum toxicity and resistance in plants. Science of the Total Environment **400**, 356-368.

**Rengel Z.** 2000. Uptake and transport of manganese in plants. In: A. Sigel, H. Sigel (ed.) Metal Ions in Biological Systems. Marcel Dekker, New York pp. 57-87.

**Repšiene R, Skuodiene R.** 2010. The influence of liming and organic fertilisation on the changes of some agrochemical indicators and their relationship with crop weed incidence. Žemmdirbzste – Agriculture **97**, 3-14.

Ryan PR, Delhaize E. 2010. The convergent evolution of aluminium resistance in plants exploits a convenient currency. Functional Plant Biology **37**, 275-284.

**SPSS.** 2007. SYSTAT version 16: Statistics. SPSS, Chicago IL.

**Uexkül VHR, Mutert E.** 1995. Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soils. Plant and Soils **171**, 1-15.

Gudzic et al.

Wei X, Hao M, Shao M, Gale WJ. 2006. Changes in soil properties and the availability of soil micronutrients after 18 years of cropping and fertilization. Soil & Tillage Research **91**, 120-130. **Zheng SJ.** 2010. Crop production on acidic soils: overcoming aluminium toxicity and phosphorus deficiency Annals of Botany **106**, 183-184.