

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print) 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 21, No. 6, p. 348-358, 2022

RESEARCH PAPER

OPEN ACCESS

Phenols compounds and antioxidant activity of five medicinal plants acclimated in Burkina Faso

Ouattara Lamoussa Paul^{*1}, Maiga Idrissa², Bationo K. Rémy¹, Bazie B. Valérie¹, Zongo Cheikna², Savadogo Aly², Nebie CH Roger¹

¹Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique (CNRST), Institut de Recherche en Sciences Appliquées et Technologies (IRSAT), Laboratoire des Substances Naturelles et des Technologies des Produits Naturels et de l'Environnement (LABTECH-PRONE),

Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

²Laboratiore de Biochimie et Immunologie Appliqué (LABIA), Ecole Doctorale Sciences et Technologies, Université Joseph KIZERBO, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

Key words: Phenolic compounds, Antioxidant activity, Medicinal plants, Eucalyptus camaldulensis,

Burkina Faso

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/21.6.348-358

Article published on December 07, 2022

Abstract

The objective of this study was to contribute to a better knowledge of the medicinal flora by evaluating the antioxidant potential of extracts of five medicinal plants acclimatized in Burkina Faso. A phytochemical screening was performed by using the tests tube and thin chromatography methods. The content of phenolic compounds was evaluated by techniques using the Folin ciocalteu reagent. The antioxidant activity of extracts was assessed using 2, 2-azinobis-3-ethylbenzothiaz oline-6-sulfonic acid (ABTS), Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) and 2-2-Diphenyl-1-Picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) methods. The results showed that chemical compounds such as tannins, flavonoids and saponosides were present in most methanolic extracts. The determination of phenolic compounds showed that the methanol extract of E. camaldulensis contains the highest content (68.62 mg EAG/g extract). The antioxidant activity of the studied plants varies from one extract to another depending on the method used. The methanol extract of H. suaveolens showed the highest antioxidant content using the FRAP method (54.41 TEQ/g extract), while the methanol extract of E. camaldulensis recorded the highest antioxidant contents by ABTS and DPPH methods (28.99 \pm 0.17 and 30.74 mg TE/g extract respectively). In addition, the methanol extract of E. camaldulensis exhibited very high antioxidant activity with an antioxidant activity index of 45.97. These plants are potential sources of natural antioxidant. In perspectives, the compounds responsible for the antioxidant activity will be isolated and their structure elucidated.

* Corresponding Author: Ouattara Lamoussa Paul \boxtimes ouattara
lpaul@gmail.com

Introduction

Free radicals produced by chemical, photochemical or biochemical pathways are highly reactive and potentially damaging chemical species. They are implicated in the etiology of various human chronic diseases that are considered public health issues (Pajares *et al.*, 2018). Free radicals generated by the human organism are eliminated or trapped by molecules with antioxidant properties (Hermes-Lima, 2005). However, the use of synthetic antioxidant molecules, in various fields, as a solution to this situation, has been widely criticized in recent years. Indeed, due to the potential health risks and toxicity they are capable of causing, the available synthetic antioxidant molecules are currently being questioned (Kicel *et al.*, 2016; Liu and Yang, 2018).

Moreover, medicinal plants contain chemical compounds with a wide range of physicochemical properties, which exhibit a wide range of biological activities such as antitumor, antiviral, antiparasitic, antibacterial, antifungal, antioxidant, insecticidal, anti-inflammatory, activities etc. (Bruneton, 2006). This makes the search for natural substances with antioxidant activity from plants a major scientific topic. Hence the interest of this study, which aims to identify the phytochemical contents and evaluate the antioxidant properties of extracts of five medicinal plants, acclimated in Burkina Faso.

Materials and methods

Materials

The plant material used in this study is comprised of five aromatic and medicinal plants from the flora of Burkina Faso: *Cymbopogon nardus, Eucalyptus camaldulensis, Hyptis suaveolens, Lantana camara* collected in the botanical garden of the Research Institute of Applied Sciences and Technology in Ouagadougou (N 12°25'28.2"; W 1°29'15.06") and *Lippia multiflora* collected in Zorgho (N 12°11.211'; W 000°43.454') during the month of October 2018. This plant material was identified and authenticated by KOURA S. Paulin, botanist at the herbarium of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique et Technologique (CNRST). Reference specimens have been deposited at the CNRST herbarium. The reagents Folin-ciocalteu, gallic acid, quercetin, trolox, NaOH, AlCl₃, ABTS, DPPH were provided by SIGMA-Aldrich (France). All other solvents were of analytical grade.

Methods

Preparation of extracts

The plant material, previously cleaned, was dried in the laboratory at room temperature and protected from the sun, then ground into powder and stored in clean bags. Extraction was performed by maceration with solvents of increasing polarity. Thus, 40 grams of the plant material were successively exhausted with 400 mL of dichloromethane (DCM) and methanol (MeOH) under stirring for 24 hours. After filtration and concentration, the extraction yield was determined and the extracts were stored in a refrigerator at 4°C until use (Landoulsi, 2018).

Phytochemical screening

Phytochemical screening by solution reactions in test tubes and by Thin Layer Chromatography was performed according to the classical methods of Ciulei (1982) and Pachaly (1997).

Dosage of total phenolic compounds

Dosage of total phenolic compounds (TPC) in the extracts was performed by Folin-Ciocalteu Reagent (FCR) according to the method described by Turkmen et al. (2007). Stock solutions were prepared at a concentration of 5 mg/mL in DMSO for DCM extracts and in MeOH for methanolic extracts. A calibration curve was established by preparing a range of gallic acid solution (used as a standard) with a concentration varying from 0.01 to 1 mg/mL (cascade dilution of the order of 1/2). Then 60 µL of RFC was added to the gallic acid solution at different concentrations. The mixture was kept at room temperature for 8 minutes, and then 120 µL of 7.5% Na₂CO₃ solution was added to neutralize the residual reagent. Absorbances were read at 760 nm against a blank using an MP96 SAFAS spectrophotometer after incubation for 30 minutes at 37°C. The extracts' TPC content was determined by relating the absorbances read, following the same procedure of the standard, to the standard curve established with gallic acid (Fig. 1).

Results are expressed as mg gallic acid equivalent per gram of extract (mg GAE/g extract) as mean \pm standard deviation.



Fig. 1. Gallic acid standard curve.

Dosage of total flavonoids (TF)

The method of the determination of flavonoids is based on the ability of these compounds to form chromogenic complexes with aluminum chloride. The principle of the method is based on the oxidation of flavonoids by aluminum trichloride (AlCl₃) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH). The total flavonoid (TF) content was evaluated by the aluminum trichloride method using quercetin as standard (Zhishen et al., 1999). A calibration curve was established by preparing a range of quercetin solution with concentration ranging from 0.01 to 1 mg/mL (1/2 fold dilution). At t = 0 s, 0.3 mL of 5% NaNO2 was added to each solution; 5 minutes later, 0.3 mL of a 10% AlCl3 solution was added. At time t = 6 minutes, 2 mL of NaOH (1 M) was added; then the mixture was immediately diluted and homogenized with 2.4 mL of bi-distilled water.



Fig. 2. quercetin standard curve.

The absorbance were read at 510 nm against a blank using a SAFAS MP96 spectrophotometer. The TF content of the extracts was determined by relating the absorbances read, following the same procedure of the standard, to the standard curve established with quercetin (Fig. 2). The results are expressed asmg quercetin equivalent per gram of extract (mg QE/g extract) as mean \pm standard deviation.

In vitro antioxidant activity

ABTS radical scavenging activity

A volume of 200 μ L of ABTS reagent was added to 50 μ L of extract at different concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 1 mg/mL (cascade dilution of the order of 1/2) and the absorbance of the intense blue-green coloration was read 10 minutes later at 734nm against a blank using a SAFAS MP96 spectrophotometer (Miller *et al.*, 1993). The antioxidant content of each extract was assessed by relating the absorbances read to the standard curve established with Trolox (Fig. 3).



Fig. 3. Trolox standard curve.

Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power

A volume of 30 μ L of distilled water was mixed with 20 μ L of extract at different concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 1 mg/mL (1/2 fold cascade dilution). To this mixture, a volume of 200 μ L of FRAP reagent was added. The absorbance of the intense blue coloration was read at 595 nm using an MP96 SAFAS spectrophotometer after 10 minutes of incubation at room temperature (Benzie and Strain, 1996). The antioxidant content of each extract was assessed by plotting the absorbance read against the standard curve established with Trolox (Fig. 4).

DPPH radical scavenging activity

A volume of 200 μ L of DPPH reagent was added to 50 μ L of extract at different concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 1 mg/mL (cascade dilution on the order of

1/2) and the absorbance of the purple coloration was read 10 minutes later at 510 nm against a blank using a SAFAS MP96 spectrophotometer (Dudonné *et al.*, 2009). The antioxidant content of each extract was assessed by relating the absorbance read to the standard curve established with Trolox (Fig. 5).



Fig. 4. Trolox standard curve-FRAP.



Fig. 5. Trolox standard curve-DPPH.

Antiradical activity of the extracts

The free radical scavenging activity of the extracts was determined by the 50% Inhibitory Concentration (IC50), which is the ratio of half the absorbance of the DPPH solution alone to the regression curve of the extracts and standards. It defines the concentration of antioxidants required to decrease the initial DPPH concentration by 50%. Antioxidant activity was expressed as the Antioxidant Activity Index (AAI), calculated as follows:

$$AAI = \frac{\text{final concentration of DPPH (µg/mL)}}{IC_{50} (µg/mL)}$$

Thus, the IAA was used to classify the antioxidant activity of the extracts.

- For an IAA < 0.5: the extract presents a low antioxidant activity;

- For an IAA between 0.5 and 1.0: the extract has a moderate antioxidant activity;

- For an IAA between 1.0 and 2.0: the extract has a high antioxidant activity;

- For an IAA > 2.0: the extract has a very high antioxidant activity (Scherer and Godoy, 2009).

Statistical analysis

Data were entered into Microsoft Office Excel 2016 and means and standard deviations were calculated. Results are presented as mean \pm standard deviation.

Analyses of variance (ANOVA) were performed using SPSS version 20 software. Means were compared using Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) method with a 95% confidence level.

Results and discussion

Extraction yields

A total of fifteen extracts were obtained by successive exhaustion using solvents of increasing polarity (hexane, dichloromethane and methanol) by the maceration method. The extraction yields' values are presented in Table 1. Analysis of the results in Table 1 shows that the extraction yield varies between 1.50% and 10.21% depending on the plant and the solvent used. The methanol extract of *E. camaldulensis* recorded the highest yield with a value of 10.21% followed by the methanol extract of *C. nardus* with a yield of 8.25%. The DCM extract of *L. camara* recorded the lowest yield with a value of 1.50%.

Table I. Extraction yield of the different plants of the study.

Plants	Solvent	Yield (%)
E camalduloncia	DCM	3.48
E. cumulullensis	MeOH	10.21
C nardus	DCM	2.5
C. nuruus	MeOH	8.25
U suguadanc	DCM	1.97
H. Suuveolens	MeOH	5.43
Logmana	DCM	1.50
L. cumuru	MeOH	5.10
I multiflorg	DCM	3.50
L. mungiora	MeOH	1.53

The quality and quantity of the extracts depend on the natural sources, structures of the target compounds, nature of the solvent, and the type of process used (Karacabey *et al.*, 2013).

Phytochemistry profile of extracts

Phytochemical screening of the plants 'extracts revealed the presence of a wide range of secondary metabolites, including tannins, flavonoids, saponosides, terpenes and sterols. The results are recorded in Table 2.

Except for the absence of saponosides in the methanol extract of *C. nardus*, chemical compounds

such as tannins, flavonoids and saponosides were present in most of the methanol extracts. In addition, flavonoids were also detected in the DCM extracts. Moreover, compounds such as terpenes and sterols were only detected in the DCM extracts. Tube reactions and observation of Thin Layer Chromatography plates after using Dragendorff's reagent did not reveal detectable amounts of alkaloids in the extracts.

Table 2.	Phytochemical	l screening of son	ne chemical	groups of the extracts.
				0

Plants	Solvent	Chemical group tested				
		Tannins	Flavonoids	Alkaloids	Terpenes and sterols	Saponosides
E. camaldulensis	Hexane	-	-	-	+	-
	DCM	-	+	-	+	-
	MeOH	+	+	-	-	+
C. nardus -	DCM	-	+	-	+	-
	MeOH	+	+	-	-	-
H. suaveolens -	DCM	-	+	-	+	-
	MeOH	+	+	-	-	+
L. camara -	DCM	-	+	-	+	-
	MeOH	+	+	-	-	-
L. multiflora -	DCM	-	+	-	+	-
	MeOH	+	+	-	-	+

+: presence of the chemical group - : absence of the chemical group

Authors have reported that methanolic extracts of the aerial part of *C. nardus* revealed in addition to tannins and flavonoids, other chemical groups such as irridoids, proanthocyanidins and phenolic acids (Gebashe *et al.*, 2020). A previous study found that Petroleum ether, methanol and ethanol extracts of *E. camaldulensis* leaves contain in addition to tannins and flavonoids, chemical groups such as alkaloids, saponins, steroids, carbohydrates and cardiac glycosides (Chuku *et al.*, 2016).

Phytochemical screening of methanolic extracts of *H. suaveolens* leaves revealed chemical groups such as coumarins, mucilages, sterols, triterpenes, cardiotonic heterosides, oses, and holosides in addition to tannins, flavonoids, and saponosides (Kone, 2009). Chemical groups such as tannins, saponins and sterols/ triterpenes were detected in methanolic extracts of *L. camara* twigs (Bangou, 2012). Phytochemical analysis of the DCM extract of *L. multiflora* revealed the presence of chemical groups such as alkaloids, carbohydrates and tannins (Dabire *et al.*, 2015).

The observed differences in phytochemical composition with the reported results could be justified by the fact that the phytochemical composition of the same plant collected from different locations is influenced by environmental factors (Borokini and Ayodele, 2012).

Total phenolic compounds content of extracts

The results of colorimetric analysis of total phenolic compounds (TPCs) of DCM and MeOH extracts are presented in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6 shows that the contents of total phenolic compounds varied in the extracts in the range of 9.97 to 68.62 mg GAE/g extract. For the five species studied, the MeOH extracts recorded the highest contents compared to the DCM extracts. Thus, the MeOH extracts of *E. camaldulensis* and *H. suaveolens* showed the highest contents with a value of 68.62 \pm 0.99 mg GAE/g extract and 52.88 \pm 0.53 mg GAE/g extract respectively followed by *C. nardus* with a content of 36.20 \pm 1.16 mg GAE/g extract.

The lowest content was recorded by the MeOH extract of *L. multiflora* with a value of 13.73 ± 0.45 mg GAE/g extract. As for the DCM extracts, of *E. camaldulensis* and *H. suaveolens* recorded the highest phenolic compound contents with a value of 40.51 ± 1.12 mg GAE/g extract and 22.36 ± 0.60 mg GAE /g extract respectively, followed by *C. nardus* with a content of 14.59 ± 0.12 mg GAE/g extract. The lowest content was recorded by the DCM extract of *L. camara* in the order of 13.73 ± 0.45 mg GAE/g extract.



Fig. 6. Quantitative compounds of total polyphenols contents.

Previous studies conducted on the methanolic extracts of E. camaldulensis presented phenolic compound contents of 75.21 mg GAE/g extract (Meksem, 2018). Methanolic extracts of L. camara exhibited phenolic compound contents of 10.03 mg GAE/100 mg extract (Bangou, 2012). DCM extracts of L. multiflora exhibited phenolic compound contents of 6.71 µg GAE /mg extract (Dabire et al., 2015). The phenolic content of a plant depends on a number of intrinsic and extrinsic factors (Falleh et al., 2008; Osama, 2018). The high solubility of phenols in polar solvents could justify the high concentration of these compounds in extracts obtained using polar solvents for extraction (Andersen and Markham, 2005). This could explain the high content of the MeOH extracts compared to the DCM extracts of the studied plants.

Total flavonoid (FT) content of extracts

The results of the total flavonoid (TF) content of the DCM and MeOH extracts are reported in Fig. 7. The flavonoid contents of the extracts ranged from 114.19 to 265.02 mg QE/g extract. Regardless of the plant,

DCM extracts recorded the highest flavonoid contents compared to MeOH extracts. With respect to fig. 2, the DCM extracts of H. suaveolens and E. camaldulensis contained the highest flavonoid contents with a value of 265.02 ± 7.67 mg QE/g extract and 250.70 ± 8.50 mg QE/g extract respectively, followed by C. nardus with a content of $234.79 \pm 3.61 \text{ mg QE/g}$ extract. The DCM extract of L. multiflora had the lowest flavonoid content with a value of 163.01 ± 8.54 mg QE/g extract. As for the MeOH extracts, H. suaveolens and L. camara showed the highest flavonoid contents with a value of 157.20 \pm 1.60 mg QE/g extract and 139.33 \pm 1.51 mg QE/g extract, respectively, followed by E. camaldulensis with a content of 122.59 \pm 1.79 mg QE/g extract. The MeOH extract of C. nardus had the lowest flavonoid content with a value of 102.04 ± 1.44 mg EQ/g extract. The concentration of flavonoids in the extracts depends on the polarity of the solvents used in the extract preparation (Gao and Liu, 2005). The type of standard used (quercetin, rutin) can also change the results (Djeridane et al., 2010).



Fig. 7. Quantitative composition of total flavonoids compounds.

Furthermore, a negative correlation (R = -0.26) between the total polyphenol content of 7 species of *Stachys taxa* and that of flavonoids has been reported (Bilušić Vundać *et al.*, 2007). Indeed, there is a diversity of classes of phenolic compounds: quinones, coumarins, anthocyanins, tannins, flavonoids, acid-phenols, etc. (Abedinia, 2013). Phytochemical screening revealed the presence of flavonoids in the DCM extracts and the absence of tannins in these extracts.

This would suggest that flavonoids are the predominant class of phenolic compounds present in the DCM extracts, and therefore justifies their high content of these compounds.

Antioxidant content of extracts by ABTS method

The antioxidant content (OAC) of the extracts by ABTS method is recorded in Fig. 8. An analysis of the results in fig. 8 shows that the antioxidant contents varied in the extracts in the range of 7.25 to 28.99 mg TE/g extract. The MeOH extracts recorded the highest antioxidant contents compared to the DCM extracts. Thus, the MeOH extracts of E. camaldulensis; H. suaveolens and C. nardus showed the highest contents with a value of 28.99 ± 0.17 mg TE/g extract; 25.83 ± 0.26 mg TE/g extract and 23.98± 0.18 mg TE/g extract respectively. The lowest content was recorded by the MeOH extract of L. multiflora with a value of 12.93 ± 0.11 mg TE/g extract. As for the DCM extracts, E. camaldulensis; H. suaveolens and C. nardus showed the highest contents in the order of 11.93 ± 0.23 mg TE/g extract; 10.55 ± 0.17 mg TE/g extract and 7.83 ± 0.15 mg TE/g extract respectively. The lowest content was recorded by the DCM extract of L. multiflora with a value of 7.24 ± 0.04 mg TE/g extract.



Fig. 8. Antioxidant content of extracts by ABTS method.

Antioxidant content of extracts by FRAP

The antioxidant content (OAC) of extracts by the FRAP method is shown in Fig. 9. From the analysis of the results presented in Fig. 9, it appears that the antioxidant contents vary in the extracts from 9.87 to 54.41 mg TE/g extract.

The MeOH extracts recorded the highest antioxidant contents compared to the DCM extracts. Thus, the MeOH extracts of H. suaveolens and C. nardus showed the highest contents with a value of $54.41 \pm$ 2.18 mg ET/g extract and 48.91 ± 2.06 mg ET/g extract respectively, followed by the MeOH extract of L. multiflora with a value of $28.75 \pm 3.01 \text{ mg ET/g}$ extract. The lowest content was recorded by the MeOH extract of E. camaldulensis with a value of 17.35 ± 0.84 mg TE/g extract. As regards the DCM extracts, H. suaveolens and C. nardus showed the highest contents with a value of 19.09 ± 1.15 mg TE/g extract and 17.88 ± 0.36 mg TE/g extract, respectively, followed by E. camaldulensis with a value of 11.39 ± 0.17 mg TE/g extract. The lowest content was recorded by L. camara with a value of 9.87 ± 1.08 mg TE/g extract. These results show that the extracts contain substances that can reduce the ferric ion to ferrous ion. The mechanism of FRAP reactions is totally based on electron transfer rather than a mixture of electron and proton transfer. In combination with other methods, it can be very useful in distinguishing the dominant mechanisms with different antioxidants (Prior et al., 2005). Phenolic compounds are electron-donating substances that play an important role in demonstrating reducing capacity. Therefore, reducing power and total phenolic content may be a reason for the high reducing power activity of extracts (Ebrahimzadeh et al., 2018).



Fig. 9. Antioxidant content of extracts by FRAP.

Antioxidant content of extracts by DPPH method The antioxidant content (AOC) of extracts by DPPH method is shown in Fig. 10. The analysis of the results in Fig. 10 shows that the antioxidant content in the extracts ranged from 3.43 to 30.74 mg TE/g extract. The MeOH extracts recorded the highest antioxidant contents compared to the DCM extracts. MeOH extracts of E. camaldulensis; H. suaveolens and C. nardus showed the highest contents with a value of 30.74 ± 2.59 mg TE/g extract; 30.10 ± 0.28 mg TE/g extract and 29.61± 0.10 mg TE/g extract respectively. The lowest content was recorded by the MeOH extract of L. multiflora with a value of 12.21 ± 0.09 mg TE/g With regard to DCM extracts, E. extract. camaldulensis; H. suaveolens and C. nardus showed the highest contents in the order of 9.82 ± 0.01 mg TE/g extract; 5.84 \pm 0.47 mg TE/g extract and 4.74 \pm 0.04 mg TE/g extract respectively. The lowest content was recorded by L. multiflora with a value of $3.43 \pm$ 0.01 mg TE/g extract.



Fig. 10. Antioxidant content of extracts by DPPH method.

In sum, the observed antioxidant activity of the studied plant extracts varied from one extract to another depending on the extraction solvent and the method of determination. These differences in activity could be attributed to the various compounds extracted by the solvents of different polarity (Lafka *et al.*, 2013). Analysis of the antioxidant content of the extracts showed that the MeOH extracts exhibited the highest levels. This activity could be related to their richness in phenolic compounds. In addition, phytochemical screening of the extracts revealed the presence of tannins and flavonoids, which are chemical compounds with antioxidant properties, in the MeOH extracts.

The presence of these two chemical groups, which are generally soluble in polar solvents (Andersen and Markham, 2005), could justify this antioxidant property of these extracts. Furthermore, the proven activity of an extract may reflect a small amount of highly active constituents as well as a large amount of constituents with low activity. The activity of a crude extract depends on the intrinsic activity of the active products and their relative quantity in the extract (Dabire *et al.*, 2015).

Antiradical activity of the extracts

The results of the antiradical activity from the Inhibitory Concentration 50% (IC50) and the Antioxidant Activity Index (AAI) of the extracts of the studied plants are mentioned in Table 3. A comparison of IC50 values of the different extracts and standards used (Table 3) shows that the antiradical activity of the extracts of the plants studied is lower than the DPPH- radical scavenging capacity of the standards. A low value of IC50 of an extract indicates its high antiradical activity (Sahgal et al., 2009). Thus, among the studied plant extracts, the MeOH extract of E. camaldulensis recorded the best antiradical activity towards DPPH- radical with an IC50 of 0.87 µg/mL followed by the MeOH extract of H. suaveolens with an IC50 of 1.03 µg/mL. Based on the results, the MeOH extract of *E. camaldulensis* exhibited very high antioxidant activity with an IAA of 45.97 followed by the MeOH extract of H. suaveolens with an IAA of 38.83.

Table 3. IC50 and IAA values of extracts and standards.

		Solvent	IC ₅₀ (μg/mL)	AAI
Plants	E. camaldulensis	MeOH	0.87	45.97
	C. nardus	MeOH	1.46	27.39
	H. suaveolens	MeOH	1.03	38.83
	L. camara	MeOH	1.78	22.47
	L. multiflora	MeOH	2.05	19.51
Standard	Trolox	MeOH	0.37	108.10
	Quercétine	MeOH	0.36	111.11
	BHT	MeOH	0.40	100
	Acide gallique	MeOH	0.35	114.28

Conclusion

The determination of phenolic compounds revealed that MeOH extracts have the highest content of phenolic compounds; while DCM extracts have the highest content of flavonoids.

The evaluation of the antioxidant content of the MeOH and DCM extracts showed that the studied plants have an antioxidant activity that varies from one species to another depending on the test used. This evaluation revealed that MeOH extracts were the most active compared to DCM, regardless of the test used. In addition, the extracts that exhibited high scavenging capacities towards ABTS and DPPH free radicals, also exhibited Fe3+ reducing capacity. These plants constitute a potential source of natural antioxidant. In perspectives, it would be interesting to isolate and elucidate the structure of the compounds responsible for the antioxidant activity.

Références

Abedinia A. 2013. Evaluation biologique et phytochimique des substances naturellesd' *Hyptis atrorubens* Poit. (Lamiaceae), sélectionnée par un criblage d'extraits de 42 plantes. Thèse de doctorat, Université du Droit et de la Santé - Lille II. 211 p.

Andersen ØM, Markham KR. 2005. Flavonoids: Chemistry, biochemistry and applications, 1st ed, Flavonoids: Chemistry, Biochemistry and Applications. CRC Press.

DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1201 /9781420039443

BANGOU M. J., 2012. Etude phytochimique et activités biologiques des tiges feuillées de *Lantana camara* L. et de Lippia chevalieri Moldenke : deux Verbenaceae du Burkina Faso. Thèse de doctorat, Université de Ouagadougou. 199 p.

Benzie IFF, Strain JJ. 1996. The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of antioxidant power: The FRAP assay. Anal. Biochem. 239:70-76. DOI: 10.1006/abio.1996.0292

Bilušić Vundać V, Brantner AH, Plazibat M.
2007. Content of polyphenolic constituents and antioxidant activity of some Stachys taxa. Food Chem.
104, 1277-1281.
DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem. 2007.01.036

Borokini TI, Ayodele AE. 2012. Phytochemical Screening of *Tacca leontopetaloides* (L.) Kuntze Collected from Four Geographical Locations in Nigeria. Int. J. M odern Bot **2**, 97-102.

Bruneton J. 2006. Pharmacognosie : Phytochimie, plantes médicinales Télécharger, Lire PDF. Tropicultura **24**

Chuku A, Ogbonna A, Obande G, Namang M, Ahmad I. 2016. Antimicrobial Effects of Leaves of Eucalyptus camaldulensis on Some Microbial Pathogens. European J. Med. Plants **14**, 1-8. DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/ejmp/2016/25759

Ciulei. 1982. Practical manuals on the industrial utilization of chemical and aromatic plants. In Methodology for Analysis of Vegetable Drugs, 1st ed. Bucarest Faculty of Pharmacy. 61 p.

Dabire CM, Bationo RK, Hema A, Nebie RCH, Pale E, Dhanabal SP, Nacro M. 2015. Total phenolics content, flavonoids profiling and antioxidant activity of *Lippia multiflora* leaves extracts from Burkina Faso. Asian J. Plant Sci. Res. **5**, 28-33

Djeridane A, Yousfi M, Brunel JM, Stocker P. 2010. Isolation and characterization of a new steroid derivative as a powerful antioxidant from Cleome arabica in screening the *in vitro* antioxidant capacity of 18 Algerian medicinal plants. Food Chem. Toxicol **48**, 2599-2606.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.06.028

Dudonné S, Vitrac X, Coutiére P, Woillez M, Mérillon JM. 2009. Comparative study of antioxidant properties and total phenolic content of 30 plant extracts of industrial interest using DPPH, ABTS, FRAP, SOD, and ORAC assays. J. Agric. Food Chem **57**, 1768-1774. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1021/jf803011r

Ebrahimzadeh SK, Navidshad B, Farhoomand P, Aghjehgheshlagh FM. 2018. Effects of grape pomace and vitamin E on performance, antioxidant status, immune response, gut morphology and histopathological responses in broiler chickens. South African J. Anim. Sci **48**, 324-336.

DOI: https:// doi.org /10.4314/sajas.v48i2.13

Falleh H, Ksouri R, Chaieb K, Karray-Bouraoui N, Trabelsi N, Boulaaba M, Abdelly C. 2008. Phenolic composition of *Cynara cardunculus* L. organs, and their biological activities. Comptes Rendus - Biol **331**, 372-379.

Gao M, Liu CZ. 2005. Comparison of techniques for the extraction of flavonoids from cultured cells of *Saussurea medusa* Maxim. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol **21**, 1461-1463.

DOI: https://doi.org /10.1007 /s11274-005-6809-1

Gebashe F, Aremu AO, Van Staden J, Gruz J, Finnie JF. 2020. Phytochemical profiles and antioxidant activity of grasses used in South African traditional medicine. Plants **9**, 371. DOI: https:// doi.org /10.3390 /plants9030371

Hermes-Lima M. 2005. Oxygen in Biology and Biochemistry: Role of Free Radicals, in: Functional Metabolism. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002

Karacabey E, Bayindirli L, Artik N, Mazza G. 2013. Modeling solid-liquid extraction kinetics of trans-resveratrol and trans-ε-viniferin from grape cane. J. Food Process Eng **36**, 103-112. DOI: 10.1111/j.1745-4530.2011.00660.x

Kicel A, Michel P, Owczarek A, Marchelak A, Zyzelewicz D, Budryn G, Oracz J, Anna Olszewska M. 2016. Phenolic profile and antioxidant potential of leaves from selected Cotoneaster Medik. species. Molecules 21. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21060688

Kone D. 2009. Etude de la phytochimie et des activités larvicide, anticholinestérasique et antioxydante des extraits de quatre plantes du Mali: *Acacia nilotica* Guill. et Perr. (Mimosaceae), *Calotropis procera* (Ait.) Ait. f. (Asclepiadaceae), *Euphorbia sudanica* A. Chev. Thèse de Doctorat, Université de Bamako. 123 p.

Lafka TI, Lazou AE, Sinanoglou VJ, Lazos ES. 2013. Phenolic extracts from wild olive leaves and their potential as edible oils antioxidants. Foods 2, 18-31. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/foods2010018

Landoulsi A. 2018. Etude chimiotaxonomique et activités biologiques des métabolites secondaires des plantes du genre Eryngium. Université du Droit et de la Santé- Lille. 248 p.

Liu Z, Yang L. 2018. Antisolvent precipitation for the preparation of high polymeric procyanidin nanoparticles under ultrasonication and evaluation of their antioxidant activity in vitro. Ultrason. Sonochem **43**, 208-218.

DOI: 10.1016 /j.ul tsonch. 2018.01.019

Meksem N. 2018. . Etude de l'effet biopesticide des extraits naturels de deux plantes de la famille des Myrtacées : *Eucalyptus globulus, Eucalyptus camaldulensis*. Thèse de Doctorat, Université Badji Mokhtar - Annaba. 172 p.

Miller NJ, Rice-Evans C, Davies MJ, Gopinathan V, Milner A. 1993. A novel method for measuring antioxidant capacity and its application to monitoring the antioxidant status in premature neonates. Clin. Sci. 84, 407-412. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1042/cs0840407

Osama AN. 2018. Effet des conditions environnementales sur les caractéristiques morphophysiologiques et la teneur en métabolites secondaires chez Inula montana: une plante de la médecine traditionnelle Provençale. Thèse de Doctorat, Université d'Avignon. 179 p.

Pachaly P. 1997. Plant Drug Analysis A Thin Layer Chromatography Atlas. Von H. Wagner und S. Bladt, 2. Auflage, Springer Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1996, 184 farb. Abb. von V. Rickl, XVI, 384 S., DM 298,-, ISBN 3-540-58676-8. Pharm. Unserer Zeit 26, 166-167.

DOI: 10.1002/pauz.19970260314

Pajares M, Cuadrado A, Engedal N, Jirsova Z, Cahova M. 2018. The role of free radicals in autophagy regulation: Implications for ageing. Oxid. Med. Cell. Longev.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/2450748

Prior RL, Wu X, Schaich K. 2005. Standardized methods for the determination of antioxidant capacity and phenolics in foods and dietary supplements. J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 4290–4302. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0502698

Sahgal G, Ramanathan S, Sasidharan S, Mordi MN, Ismail S, Mansor SM. 2009. *In vitro* antioxidant and xanthine oxidase inhibitory activities of methanolic Swietenia mahagoni seed extracts. Molecules 14, 4476-4485.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules14114476

Scherer R, Godoy H. 2009. Antioxidant activity index (AAI) by the 2,2-diphenyl-l-picrylhydrazyl method. Food Chem **112**, 654-658 **Turkmen N, Velioglu YS, Sari F, Polat G.** 2007. Effect of extraction conditions on measured total polyphenol contents and antioxidant and antibacterial activities of black tea. Molecules **12**, 484-496. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/12030484

Zhishen J, Mengcheng T, Jianming W. 1999. The determination of flavonoid contents in mulberry and their scavenging effects on superoxide radicals. Food Chem **64**, 555-559. DOI: 10.1016/S0308-8146(98)00102-2