

International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research (IJAAR)

ISSN: 2223-7054 (Print) 2225-3610 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 5, No. 3, p. 30-39, 2014

Phytoextraction of Pb and Cd; the effect of Urea and EDTA on

# Cannabis sativa growth under metals stress

Fazal Hadi<sup>1\*</sup>, Fazal Hussain<sup>1,2</sup>, Muhammad Hussain<sup>3</sup>, Sanaullah<sup>1</sup>, Ayaz Ahmad<sup>4</sup>, Saleem Ur Rahman<sup>1</sup>, Nasir Ali<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biotechnology, University of Malakand, Chakdara, Dir Lower, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad 45320, Pakistan

<sup>s</sup>Department of Zoology, Hazara University, Mansehra, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

\*Department of Botany, University of Malakand, Chakdara, Dir Lower, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

Article published on September 22, 2014

Key words: Phytoextraction; Urea; EDTA; Heavy metals; Cannabis sativa.

# Abstract

Contamination of soil with toxic heavy metals is a wide spread environmental problem. Heavy metals adversely affect plant growth, productivity and ultimately human health. A pot experiment was conducted to evaluate the effect of two different concentrations of urea (1500 and 2500 ppm) and single concentration of ethylenediaminetetra acetic acid (EDTA) (100 ppm) on growth and metal (Pb and Cd) Phytoextraction potential of *Cannabis sativa* in metals polluted soil. The T2 (Urea 2500 ppm) showed highly significant increase in plant growth and dry biomass, followed by T1 (Urea 1500 ppm) on metals contaminated soil as compared to control (C1). Similarly T4 ( Urea 2500 ppm+ EDTA 100 ppm ) showed higher plant growth, biomass and highly enhanced the uptake of Pb and Cd in different parts of the plant than T3 ( Urea 1500 ppm + EDTA 100 ppm) in metal polluted soil, While T2 (Urea 2500 ppm) alone produced maximum plant growth and biomass than T1 (Urea 1500 ppm) when compared with C1.The application of EDTA alone (T5) (100 ppm EDTA) increased the Pb and Cd uptake but declined plant growth and biomass which subsequently reduced the total phytoextraction of Pb and Cd. Conclusively,Plant growth and biomass really reduced in metal polluted soil but was increased in metals combination with urea .Addition of EDTA considerably enhanced metals (Pb and Cd) phytoaccumulation.

\* Corresponding Author: Fazal Hadi 🖂 dr.fhadi@uom.edu.pk

## Introduction

Soil pollution with heavy metals is one of the most serious environmental problems. Lead and Cadmium are amongst the most toxic heavy metals for both plants and animals including human beings (Peralta-Videaet al., 2009; Sekaraet al., 2005; Singh et al., 2009). From soil these metals reach human bodies through food chain. Increased Cadmium levels beyond threshold values are mutagenic, teratogenic and carcinogenic in several animal species and also cause endocrine disruption (Degraeve, 1981; Awofolu, 2005). While on the other hand, frequent lead exposure leads to abnormal functioning of muscles and kidneys, production of sperm and its transport and also results in chronic neurological diseases primarily in children and fetus. In children lead poisoning cause neurological abnormality which ultimately defects memory (Padmavathiamma and Li, 2007). The remediation of heavy metals polluted soil has become a challenging problem for the scientific community. Therefore, cost effective and eco-friendly technologies for the safe restoration of contaminated soils are needed. Phytoremediation is a plant based technology that removes pollutants through phytoextraction and rhizofiltration (Jing et al., 2007). Different techniques of phytoremediation include Phytoextraction, phytostabilization, phytovolatilization, rhizofiltration, and phytodegradation (Alkorta et al., 2004; Chaney et al., 1997). The efficiency of phytoremediation mainly focused on the potential of plants metal translocation and bioconcentration factors. However, the plants with high metal accumulating capacity (Hyper accumulators) produced small biomass and grow slowly on contaminated soils (Denton, 2007). The efficiency of phytoremediation could be improved by reducing the noxious effects of heavy metals on plants.

The problem encountered with phytoremediation is that heavy metals penetrate in food chain through animals pasturing on toxic metal polluted plants (Seaward & Richardson, 1993). Therefore, due to the inedible status of *Cannabis sativa* to herbivores, this weed plant was used in the current study in order to avoid food chain from the contamination of heavy metals. Cannabis sativa belongs to family Cannabaceae (Evans, 1989). It is a good tolerant and fast growing plant for Phytoextraction of contaminated soil because it has tall shoot with multiple branching and deep root system for the efficient accumulation and absorption of heavy metals, like lead, cadmium, chromium, nickle and zinc (Citterio et al., 2003;Linger et al., 2002; Kos and Lestan, 2003; Kos et al., 2003).

The current study was carried out to find out the effect of different concentrations of urea and EDTA either alone or in combination on plant growth and Phytoextraction of Lead and Cadmium.

#### Materials and methods

## Soil and plant material preparation

A pot experiment was conducted in green house, of the University of Malakand, Pakistan.Seeds of *Cannabis sativa* were obtained from local market. The soil for the experiment was collected from the fields (0-25 cm depth) near the Malakand University. The soil was dried in sunlight for three days and then grounded into powdered form. The soil was then artificially polluted with Pb and Cd at 350mg per kg soil of each. Pb was added in the form of Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> while Cd was added in the form of CdCl<sub>2</sub>. The polluted soil was mixed well and 2 kg soil was transferred in each plastic pot (10 cm x 18 cm). The pH of the soil was measured as 7.8 (n=5).All pots were watered 24 hours before sowing the seeds of *Cannabis sativa* according to water holding capacity.

Five seeds were sown in each pot. For each treatment three replicate pots were used and arranged in a completely randomized factorial design. After germination, onehealthy plant per pot was allowed to grow while the rest were removed. All the plants were maintained in the green house at 30/15 °C temperature in natural sun light and the same amount of water was added to each pot twice a week.

#### Treatments used during the experiment

The following treatments were used during the whole experiment.

| Table 1. | Treatments | used. |
|----------|------------|-------|
|----------|------------|-------|

| Treatment                       | Denoted<br>as: | Treatment                                    | Denoted<br>as: |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--|----------------|
| Control<br>without Pb<br>and Cd | С              | Urea (1500 ppm )<br>+ 100 mg EDTA +<br>Pb+Cd | T3             |
| Control with<br>Pb+Cd           | C1             | Urea<br>(2500ppm)+100<br>mg EDTA<br>+Pb+Cd   | T4             |
| Urea<br>(1500ppm)<br>+Pb+Cd     | T1             | 100 mg<br>EDTA+Pb+Cd                         | T5             |
| Urea<br>(2500ppm)<br>+Pb+Cd     | T2             |  |                |

**Note:** Pb and Cd were added at concentration of 350 mg/kg soil (ppm) each.

Two different solutions (3000 and 5000 mg/L) of urea were prepared and from these solution 500 ml were poured into their respective pots (i.e. the pots received 1500 and 2500 mg/kg of urea respectively). EDTA was added to the treatments at the concentration of 100 mg/kg respectively. All the treatments were applied only once before sowing the seeds.

### Plant growth and dry biomass

Sixty days old plants were harvested and thoroughly washed with tap water. Plant height was measured with a centimeter ruler from the base of the stem to the top of the apical leaf and root length was measured from the base to the top of the main root.Number of leaves and internode length were also measured for the plant. Plants were washed with a solution of 5 m mol L<sup>-1</sup>Tris HCl (pH = 6.0) and 5 m mol L<sup>-1</sup> EDTA and then rinsed three times with distilled water in order to remove surface bound metal ions (Genrich *et al.*, 2000). Each plant was separated into three parts (roots, stem and leaves), weighed and then packed into separate paper envelops. Then dry biomass of each plant part was measured using analytical balance.

## Metals analysis

The samples were oven dried for 48 h at 80° C and then grinded into powdered form. The powdered samples were acid digested using method of Allen

Hadi et al.

(1974). A 0.25 g from a sample was taken in 50ml flask and 6.5 ml mixed acid solution of Nitric acid, Sulfuric acid and Perchloric acid (5:1:0.5 ratios respectively) were added to it and heated on electric hot plates till complete digestion. After cooling each digested sample was filtered (through a Whitman's filter paper) into 50 ml plastic bottles and the total volume was raised upto 50 ml by distilled water. . Samples were analysed for the concentration of Pb and Cd using atomic absorption spectrophotometer (ASS 700 Perkin Elmer, USA).

# Determination of Bioconcentration and Translocation Factors

Bioconcentration factor (BCF) is the ratio of heavy metal concentration in plant tissues (root, stem or leaves) to that in soil and is calculated as given below (Zhuang *et al.*, 2007).

# BCF= [Metal] harvested tissue/ [Metal] soil

Translocation factor (TF) is the ratio of the concentration of the heavy metal in shoot (Stem and leaves) to that in the roots. It is calculated by the following equation (Padmavathiamma and Li, 2007; Adesodun *et al.*, 2010).

TF = [Metal] shoot / [Metal] root

#### Statistical Analysis

Results were shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.Data were statistically analyzed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and mean values were compared by using Tukey's comparison test at p <0.05. The graphpadprism 2012 Statistical software was used for the analysis.

#### **Results and discussion**

# Effect of treatments on leaf number, inter nodal distance, plant height and root length

The Pb and Cd significantly reduced leaf number (Fig. 1a), inter nodal distance (Fig 1b), plant height (Fig. 1c) and root length (Fig. 1d) when control C (without Pband Cd) was compared with C1 (with Pb+Cd). Heavy metals generally reduce plant growth (Hadi *et* 

*al.*, 2010; Hadi and Bano, 2009; Dudka *et al.*,1996 and Leita *et al.*, 1993). Control C1 was compared with the treatments to determine their effects on leaf number, inter nodal distance, plant height and root length. Increased leaf number, intermodal distance, plant height and root length was observed in 2500 ppm urea (T2) (1a, 1b, 1c and 1d), followed by 1500 ppm urea (T1), when compared with C1.These findings can be correlated with the results of Boroujerdnia and Ansari,(2007), who reported that a nitrogen fertilizer stimulates vegetative growth by increasing the number of leaves. Similarly Demir *et al.* (1996) reported that increase in nitrogen fertilizer stimulated increase in leaf area, stem length and yield of spinach. Our results showed a comparatively high number of leaves, intermodal distance, plant height and root length in T4 (2500 ppm urea+ 100 mg EDTA) as compared to T3 (1500 ppm urea + 100 mg EDTA) (Fig. 1 a, b, c and d). Addition of 100 mg EDTA alone (T5) highly reduced the leaf number, intermodal distance, plant height and root length (Fig. 1a, b, c and d). This might be due to the reason that EDTA generally increase the bioavailability of metals in soil and thus their uptake into plant roots and to aerial parts, causing plant stress and phytotoxicity (Lou *et al.*,2007; Reinhard *et al.*,2007).



**Fig. 1.** Effect of treatments on plant growth (a) leaf number, (b) Inter nodal distance, (c) Plant height(d) Root length. Bars indicate standard deviation. Control without Pb and Cd (C), with Pb+Cd only (C1), 1500ppm Urea with Pb+Cd (T1), 2500 ppm Urea with Pb+Cd (T2), 1500ppm Urea with Pb+Cd+100 mg EDTA (T3), 2500ppm Urea with Pb+Cd+100 mg EDTA (T4), 100 mg EDTA+Pb+Cd (T5).

Effect of treatments on root, stem, leaf, and entire plant dry biomass

The effects of different treatmentson dry biomass (DBM) of plant root, stem, leaves and entire plant is shown in fig. 2.The fig. showed that the metals (Pb and Cd) reduced biomass of all parts of the plant (compare C with C1). The reduction in dry biomass is one of the common symptom of heavy metal stress on plants and several scientists have reported such effect of heavy metals on biomass (John *et al.*, 2009 and Hadi *et al.*, 2010). The results showed that 2500 ppm urea (T2) showed highest biomass in all parts of the plant. Similarly Mahmood (2005) reported that increasing fertilizer concentration in soil have significant effect on yield and biomass of lettuce plant. Treatment T4 (2500 ppm urea along with 100 mg of EDTA) produced comparatively higher biomass, than T3 (1500 ppm urea + 100 mg EDTA) as mentioned in fig. 2.Dry biomass of the of the plants treated with urea only showed higher dry biomass compared to the plants treated with combination of Urea and EDTA. The dry biomass of root, stem, leaves and entire plant of the T5 (100 mg EDTA alone) significantly (P<0.05) reduced compared to C1 on Pb and Cd added soil, it is concluded that it might be due the presence of EDTA, which generally reduce the plant growth and dry biomass by mobilizing heavy metals in the soil,causing plant stress and phytotoxicity (Lou *et al.*, 2007; Reinhard *et al.*, 2007).Similarly Sun *et al.* (2009) reported that the addition of EDTA inhibited the growth of plants and the dry biomass yields of roots, stems, leaves and shoots.



**Fig. 2.** Effect of treatments on dry biomass (a) Root dry biomass, (b) Stem dry biomass, (c) Leaf dry biomass. (d) Entire plant dry biomass. Bars indicate standard deviation. Control without Pb and Cd (C), with Pb+Cd only (C1), 1500ppm Urea with Pb+Cd (T1), 2500 ppm Urea with Pb+Cd (T2), 1500ppm Urea with Pb+Cd+100 mg EDTA (T3), 2500ppm Urea with Pb+Cd+100 mg EDTA (T4), 100 mg EDTA+Pb+Cd (T5).

# The role of different treatments on Pb and Cd Phytoextraction

Lead and cadmium concentrations (µgg<sup>-1</sup>dry weight) in different parts of *Cannabis sativa* plant is shown in Hadi *et al.*  Fig. 3.The highest Pb and Cd accumulation in root (Fig. 3a), stem(Fig. 3b), leaf (Fig. 3c) and entire plant (Fig. 3d) was observed in the treatment T4 (2500 ppm urea+100 ppm EDTA).This might be due to the

increasing effect of urea on plant growth and biomass while that of EDTA on mobilization of metals in soil and metal translocation within plant tissues. The Pb and Cd accumulation was comparatively higher for T2 (2500 ppm urea) for root, stem, leaves, than 1500 ppm urea (T1) as shown in fig. 3. The EDTA alone (T5) resulted significantly higher uptake of Pb and Cd in different parts of the plant, when compared to the control with Pb and Cd (C1) as shown in fig. 3ac.These results are correlated with the findings of Hadi *et al.*, 2010; Wei *et al.*, 2010. In the current experiment, the bioaccumulation of lead by *Canabis sativa* was found significantly higher than that of cadmium concentration(Fig. 3).These findings are confirmed by Ali *et al.*, 2012, who reported in their experiment higher phytoextraction of lead by *Trifolium alexandrinum* than that of cadmium.



**Fig. 3.** Accumulation of Pb and Cd in plant (a) Pb and Cd in Root (b) Pb and Cd in Stem (c) Pb and Cd in Leaf (d) Pb and Cd in entire plant. Bars indicate standard deviation. Control with Pb+Cd only (C1), 1500ppm Urea with Pb+Cd (T1), 2500 ppm Urea with Pb+Cd (T2), 1500ppm Urea with Pb+Cd+100 mg EDTA (T3), 2500ppm Urea with Pb+Cd+100 mg EDTA (T4), 100 mg EDTA+Pb+Cd (T5).

#### Distribution of Pb and Cd in entire plant

Hadi et al.

The accumulation of Pb and Cd in the whole plant is shown in fig. 3d. The maximum Pb uptake was observed in T2 (2500 ppm urea alone), followed by T4 (2500 ppm urea+100 mg EDTA), T1(1500 ppm urea) and lowest in T3(1500 ppm Urea+100 mg EDTA), when compared to control C1 (Pb and Cd only) as shown in fig. 3d.While the highest Cd uptake was found in T4 (2500 ppm urea+100 mg EDTA) followed by T3 (1500 ppm Urea+100 mg EDTA), T2 (2500 ppm urea alone) and T1 (1500 ppm urea) when compared to C1. The EDTA alone T5 (100 mg EDTA) increased Pb and Cd concentration in different parts, but declined plant growth and dry biomass, ultimately reduced Pb and Cd accumulation in entire plant, when compared to C1 (Pb and Cd only) as shown in fig. 3d.Similar results were reported by Hadi *et al.*, 2010.

# Bioconcentration factor of Cannabis sativa for Pb and Cd

The Pb and Cd bioconcentration factors (BCF) of *cannabis sativa* are shown in table 2. The highest BCF was found for Pb and Cd in roots, stems, leaves and entire plant in T4 (2500 ppm Urea+100 ppm EDTA) followed by T3 (1500 ppm Urea + 100 mg

EDTA), T2 (2500 ppm Urea) and lowest in T1 (1500 ppm urea) as mentioned in table 2. Similarly EDTA alone T5 (100 mg EDTA) also increased BCF in root, stem, leaves and whole plant (Table 2).Similar results were also found by Wei *et al.*, 2010, who reported that with addition of urea, the phytoextraction potential (root, stem, and leaves) could be increased. The BCF for Pb was higher than Cd for all treatments applied and different plant parts (root, stem and leaves) and whole plant, which is in accordance with the findings of Ali *et al.*, 2012.

Table 2. Bioconcentration factor of Cannabis sativa for Pb and Cd.

| Treatment  | BCF in root       |                  | BCF in stem      |                   | BCF in leaves    |                   | BCF in whole plant |                   |
|------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| reatment-  | Pb                | Cd               | Pb               | Cd                | Pb               | Cd                | Pb                 | Cd                |
| Cı         | 0.121 ±<br>0.009  | 0.029 ±<br>0.007 | 0.065 ±<br>0.009 | 0.008 ±<br>0.001  | 0.082 ±<br>0.016 | $0.010 \pm 0.003$ | 0.267 ±<br>0.029   | 0.047 ±<br>0.004  |
| T1         | 0.370 ±<br>0.013  | 0.066 ±<br>0.009 | 0.204 ±<br>0.007 | 0.017 ±<br>0.002  | 0.348 ± 0.017    | 0.019 ±<br>0.004  | $0.922 \pm 0.020$  | 0.102 ±<br>0.012  |
| T2         | 0.408 ±<br>0.018  | 0.095 ±<br>0.010 | 0.231 ±<br>0.010 | 0.018 ±<br>0.002  | 0.367 ±<br>0.015 | $0.027 \pm 0.008$ | 0.999 ±<br>0.019   | 0.139 ±<br>0.017  |
| <b>T</b> 3 | 0.439 ±<br>0.024  | 0.167 ±<br>0.013 | 0.274 ±<br>0.007 | 0.038 ±<br>0.004  | 0.393 ±<br>0.018 | 0.048 ±<br>0.011  | 1.106 ±<br>0.029   | $0.252 \pm 0.011$ |
| <b>T4</b>  | $0.553 \pm 0.022$ | 0.211 ±<br>0.014 | 0.350 ±<br>0.016 | $0.053 \pm 0.001$ | 0.493 ±<br>0.021 | 0.084 ±<br>0.008  | 1.396 ±<br>0.054   | 0.348 ±<br>0.023  |
| T5         | 0.437 ±<br>0.028  | 0.106 ±<br>0.007 | 0.246 ±<br>0.007 | $0.031 \pm 0.002$ | 0.384 ±<br>0.023 | 0.047 ±<br>0.006  | 1.068 ±<br>0.056   | 0.184 ±<br>0.006  |

Translocation factor of Cannabis sativafor Pb and Cd The translocation factor (TF) of Cannabis sativa for the selected heavy metals Pb and Cd is shown in table 3.The translocation factor was determined for stem and leaves for the respective metals Pb and Cd. All the treatments (T1, T2, T3,T4 and T5) increased Translocation of Pb for both stem and leaves when compared to C1. While the translocation of Cd declined in all the treatments in stem and leaves except for T4 and T5, where the translocation factor increased in leaves in comparison to C1 (Table 3).Values of translocation factor is higher for Pb than Cd and less than 1. These results were confirmed by Turan and Esringu (2007), who reported that the big difference between root and shoot concentrations shows an important restriction of the internal transport of Pb and Cd from roots to shoots resulting Hadi et al.

in higher root concentrations instead of translocation to shoots (stem and leaves) as shown in table 3.

**Table 3.** Translocation factors of *Canabis sativa* forPb and Cd.

|           | Translocation factor of shoots |             |                  |             |
|-----------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|
| Treatment | TF stem                        |             | <b>TF leaves</b> |             |
| -         | Pb                             | Cd          | Pb               | Cd          |
| C1        | $0.535 \pm$                    | $0.308 \pm$ | 0.675 ±          | 0.368 ±     |
|           | 0.036                          | 0.096       | 0.116            | 0.196       |
| T1        | $0.551 \pm$                    | $0.259 \pm$ | 0.943 ±          | 0.294 ±     |
|           | 0.022                          | 0.022       | 0.073            | 0.070       |
| T2        | $0.577 \pm$                    | 0.194 ±     | 0.911 ±          | 0.276 ±     |
|           | 0.049                          | 0.034       | 0.039            | 0.054       |
| Т3        | $0.627 \pm$                    | $0.230 \pm$ | 0.896 ±          | 0.289 ±     |
|           | 0.049                          | 0.040       | 0.050            | 0.079       |
| Т4        | $0.632 \pm$                    | $0.251 \pm$ | 0.891 ±          | 0.397 ±     |
|           | 0.023                          | 0.016       | 0.034            | 0.011       |
| Т5        | 0.563 ±                        | 0.290 ±     | 0.879 ±          | $0.442 \pm$ |
|           | 0.030                          | 0.040       | 0.004            | 0.082       |

#### Conclusions

It is concluded that the heavy metals uptake is increased by using the EDTA along with urea in combination while EDTA alone at higher concentration enhanced Pb and Cd but declined plant growth and biomass subsequently result in reduced accumulation of heavy metals by the plant. These findings suggest that EDTA at lower concentration along with higher concentration of urea lead maximum accumulation of these selected heavy metals.

# Acknowledgement

We are thankful to the supervisor Dr Fazal Hadi, Department of Biotechnology, University of Malakand for his support and providing facilities throughout this research work.

#### Abbereviation

| EDTA | (Ethylenediaminetetra-acetic acid) |
|------|------------------------------------|
| Pb   | (Lead)                             |
| Cd   | (Cadmium)                          |
| ppm  | (Part per million)                 |
| Т    | (Treatment)                        |
|      |                                    |
|      |                                    |

## References

Adesodun JK, Atayese MO, Agbaje TA, Osadiaye BA, Mafe OF, Soretire AA. 2010. Phytoremediation potentials of sunflowers (*Tithonia diversifolia* and *Helianthus annuus*) for metals in soils contaminated with zinc and lead nitrates. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution **207**, 195-201.\_\_doi: 10.1007/s11270-009-0128-3

Ali H. Naseer М, Sajad MA. 2010. Phytoremediation of heavy metals by Trifolium alexandrinum. International Journal of Environmental Sciences 2, 1459-1469. doi:10.6088/ijes.00202030031

Alkorta I, Hernández-Allica J, Becerril JM, Amezaga I, Albizu I, Garbisu C. 2004. Recent findings on the phytoremediation of soils contaminated with environmentally toxic heavy metals and metalloids such as zinc, cadmium, lead, and arsenic. Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology **3**, 71-90.

**Awofolu OR.** 2005. A survey of trace metals in vegetation, soil and lower animal along some selected major roads in metropolitan city of Lagos. Environmental monitoring and Assessment **105**, 431-447.

**Baloh RW.** 1974. Laboratory diagnosis of increased lead absorption. Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal **28**, 198-208.

**Boroujerdnia M, Ansari NA**. 2007. Effect of different levels of nitrogen fertilizer and cultivars on growth, yield and yield components of romaine lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.). Middle Eastern and Russian Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology **1**, 47-53.

Chaney RL, Malik M, Li YM, Brown SL, Brewer EP, Angle JS, Baker AJ.1997. Phytoremediation of soil metals. Current opinion in Biotechnology **8**, 279-284.

Citterio S, Santagostino A, Fumagalli P, Prato N, Ranalli P, Sgorbati S. 2003. Heavy metal tolerance and accumulation of Cd, Cr and Ni by *Cannabis sativa* L. Plant and soil **256**, 243-252.

**Degraeve N.** 1981. Carcinogenic, teratogenic and mutagenic effects of cadmium. Mutation Research/ Reviews in Genetic Toxicology **86**, 115-135.

**Demir K, Yanmaz R, Ozcoban M, Kutuk, AC**. 1996. Effects of different organic fertilizers on yield and nitrate accumulation in spinach. In GAP1. Vegetable Symposium, Sanliurfa, Turkey, 256-257.

**Denton BP**. 2007. Advances in phytoremediation of heavy metals using plant growth promoting bacteria and fungi. MMG 445 Basic Biotechnology Journal **3**, 1-5.

**Dudka S, Piotrowska M, Terelak H.** 1996. Transfer of cadmium, lead, and zinc from industrially

Hadi et al.

contaminated soil to crop plants: a field study.Environmental Pollution **94**, 181-188.

**Evans WC.** 1989. Trease and Evans's Pharmacognosy 13th edition:Indian hemp. BailliereTindall, 743-748.

**Genrich I, Burd D, George D, Glick BR.** 2000. Plant growth promoting bacteria that decrease heavy metal toxicity in plants. Can.J. Microbiol. **46**, 237–245.

**Goyer RA, Chisolm JJ.** 1972. Lead. In: Metallic Contamination and Human Health. Academic Press, NewYork, 57–95.

Hadi F, Bano A, Fuller MP. 2010. The improved phytoextraction of lead (Pb) and the growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.): the role of plant growth regulators (GA<sub>3</sub> and IAA) and EDTA alone and in combinations. Chemosphere **80**, 457-462. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.04.020.

**Hadi F, Bano A.** 2009. Utilization of *Parthenium hysterophorus* for the remediation of lead-contaminated soil. Weed biology and management **9**, 307-314. doi:10.1111/j.1445-6664.2009.00355.x

**Jing YD, He ZL, Yang XE.** 2007. Role of soil rhizobacteria in phytoremediation of heavy metal contaminated soils. Journal of Zhejiang University Science **8**, 192-207.

John R, Ahmad P, Gadgil K, Sharma S. 2009. Heavy metal toxicity: Effect on plant growth, biochemical parameters and metal accumulation by *Brassica juncea* L. Int J Plant Prod, **3**, 65-75.

Kos B, Greman H, Lestan D. 2003. Phytoextraction of lead, zinc and cadmium from soil by selected plants. Plant Soil and Environment **49**, 548-553.

**Kos B.** 2003. Influence of a biodegradable ([S, S]-EDDS) and nondegradable (EDTA) chelate and hydrogel modified soil water sorption capacity on Pb phytoextraction and leaching. Plant and Soil **253**, 403-411.

**Lasat MM.** 2002. Phytoextraction of toxic metals. Journal of environmental quality. **31**, 109-120.

Leita L, De Nobili M, Mondini C, Garcia MB. 1993. Response of Leguminosae to cadmium exposure. Journal of plant nutrition **16**, 2001-2012.

**Linger P, Müssig J, Fischer H, Kobert, J**. 2002. Industrial hemp (*Cannabis sativa* L.) growing on heavy metal contaminated soil: fibre quality and phytoremediation potential. Industrial crops and products **16**, 33-42.

Lou LQ, Ye ZH, Wong MH. 2007. Solubility and accumulation of metals in chinese brake fern, vetiver and Rostrate sesbania using chelating agents. International journal of phytoremediation **9**, 325-343.

**Mahmood KF.** 2005. Effects of rates and sources nitrogen fertilizer on nitrate accumulation and yield of lettuce .Department of Soil Science, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran, 78.

Padmavathiamma PK, Li LY. 2007. Phytoremediation technology: hyper-accumulation metals in plants. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution 184, 105-126.

**Peralta-Videa JR, Lopez ML, Narayan M, Saupe G, Gardea-Torresdey J.** 2009. The biochemistry of environmental heavy metal uptake by plants: implications for the food chain. The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology **41**, 1665-1677.

**Reinhard W, Neugschwandtner PT, Michael K. Jirina S.** 2007. Phytoextraction of Pb and Cd from a contaminated agricultural soil using different EDTA application regimes: laboratory versus field

Hadi et al.

scale measures of efficiency. Journal of Geoderma **144**, 446–454.

**Revathi K, Haribabu TE, Sudha PN. 2011**. Phytoremediation of chromium contaminated soil using sorghum plant. International Journal of Environmental Sciences **2**, 417-428.

Saier MH, Trevors JT. 2010. Phytoremediation. Water, Air, & Soil Pollution **205**, 61-63.doi: 10.1007/s11270-008-9673-4

**Seaward MRD, Richardson DHS.** 1993. Heavy Metal Tolerance in Plants [M]: Evolutionary Aspects. Shaw AT FL. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

Sekara A, Poniedzialeek M, Ciura J, Jedrszczyk E. 2005. Cadmium and lead accumulation and distribution in the organs of nine crops: implications for phytoremediation. Polish Journal of Environmental Studies 14, 509-516.

**Singh A, Eapen S, Fulekar MH.** 2009. Potential of *Medicago sativa* for uptake of cadmium from contaminated environment. Romanian Biotechnological Letters **14**, 4164-4169.

**Sun YB, Zhou QX, An J, Liu WT, Liu R.** 2009. Chelator-enhanced phytoextraction of heavy metals from contaminated soil irrigated by industrial waste water with the hyper accumulator plant (*Sedum alfredii Hance*).Geoderma **150**, 106-112. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.01.016.

**Turan M, Esringu A.** 2007. Phytoremediation based on canola (*Brassica napus* L.) and Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) planted on spiked soil by aliquot amount of Cd, Cu, Pb, and Zn. Plant Soil and Environment **53**, 7-15.

Wei S, Li Y, Zhou Q, Srivastava M, Chiu S, Zhan J, Sun T. 2010. Effect of fertilizer amendments on phytoremediation of Cdcontaminated soil by a newly discovered hyperaccumulator *Solanum nigrum* L. Journal of hazardous materials **176**, 269-273.

Wu G, Kang H, Zhang X, Shao H, Chu L, Ruan C. 2010. A critical review on the bio-removal of hazardous heavy metals from contaminated soils: issues, progress, eco-environmental concerns and opportunities. Journal of Hazardous Materials **174**, 1-8.

Zhuang P, Yang QW, Wang HB, Shu WS. 2007. Phytoextraction of heavy metals by eight plant species in the field. Water, Air, and Soil Pollution **184**, 235-242.