

International Journal of Biosciences | IJB | ISSN: 2220-6655 (Print) 2222-5234 (Online) http://www.innspub.net Vol. 22, No. 3, p. 51-55, 2023

OPEN ACCESS

Epidemiological studies of antibiotic resistance in pathogens isolated from blood cultures of people from Cuddalore District

C. Uma Maheswari¹, V. Arul Balaji², J. Vigneshwari³, G. Vinitha², M. Shenbagam^{*1}

'Department of Biochemistry and Biotechnology, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamilnadu, India

²Agenesinfo Omics Pvt. Ltd., Hyderabad, India

^sProject Fellow, Rusa 2.0, Department of Microbiology, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Tamilnadu, India

Key words: Antimicrobial resistance (AMR), Antibiotic patterns, Bloodstream infections

http://dx.doi.org/10.12692/ijb/22.3.51-55

Article published on March 17, 2023

Abstract

Infections due to multidrug-resistant (MDR) microorganisms have triggered antimicrobial resistance among the antibiotics pattern. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in pathogens isolated from blood cultures is currently one of the most concerning issues in medicine. This study was to investigate the AMR distribution of the blood-borne pathogens isolated over two years in the Cuddalore region. A surveying laboratory-confirmed bloodstream infection (BSIs) was done and data from various major diagnostic laboratories were used. During the study period, 390 positive BSIs were collected. The prevalence of gram-positive bacteria (51%) and gramnegative bacteria (49%). The most common pathogens were gram-positive isolates *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* (38%) and *Staphylococcus home. hominis* (31%) and gram-negative isolates *Enterobacter cloacae* complex (13%), *Acinetobacter haemolyticus* and *Klebsiella pneumonia* (18%). The gram-positive staphylococcus hom. hominis showed the highest resistance and sensitivity antibiotics pattern. Tigecycline was a highly sensitive antibiotic against all gram-positive isolates. *Citro. Koseri* showed sensitivity among the gram-negative organisms isolated from blood cultures.

* Corresponding Author: Dr. M. Shenbagam 🖂 shenbu15@gmail.com

Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance different has faced alterations, in a family of antibiotics, sensitivity, and resistance patterns to various antibiotics due to different causes such as over-the-counter use, and the non-prescription utility of many antibiotics (A. Saeidinia et al., 2016, F. Saeidinia et al., 2014). However; multidrug resistance infections of the blood lead to more death and complications in hospitalized patients (M. Alagesan et al., 2015). A lack of national antibiotic resistance monitoring in developing countries will lead to unsuitable use in people and the healthcare system (F. Prestinaci et al., 2015).

The long-term hospitalization of patient infection caused by multidrug resistance increases mortality and the risk of other infections (A. Revelas 2012). Antibiotic resistance is a general concern worldwide, and globally increasing antibiotic resistance is different by region and according to geographic and epidemiological features (X. Zhang *et al.*, 2015, A. Saeidinia *et al.*, 2016). Indian population is known to be the highest consumer of antibiotics in the world (R. Laxminarayan and R. Chaudhury 2017).

The Government of India has developed a National Action Plan on Antimicrobial Resistance (NAP-AMR) 2017–2021(NAP-AMR). The Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) has established an Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance & Research Network (AMRSN) across selected hospitals in India, focusing on drug resistance among six pathogens (K. Walia *et al.*, 2019). Therefore, this investigation analyzed the pattern of antibiotic resistance for different organisms in a beneficial model for clinicians.

Materials and methods

Study Design

A reflective electronic record review of laboratory– confirmed bloodstream infections (BSIs) described the AMR among key bacterial microorganisms.

The collected data were systematized by their grampositive and gram-negative microorganisms and the antibiotic susceptibility profile.

Sample Preparation

The blood samples for culture were obtained from patients in BacT/Alert FAN Plus media bottles and incubated in a BacT/Alert system (bioMérieux, Florence, Italy). Positive BacT/Alert blood samples were processed for Gram staining and subcultured on MacConkey and Blood agar media. After the first culture was negative, the second sampling was obtained in the following 72 hours and the final culture sampling was done after 10 days of obtaining negative results from the second culture. The positive cultures resulted in colonies. All positive blood cultures in the laboratories were assessed and assaved for antibiotic susceptibilities. The antibiotic susceptibilities of clinical isolates were determined using the automated Vitek®2 system (bioMèrieux, Florence, Italy) as per manufacturer guidelines.

Statistical Analysis

GraphPad 7 was used for data analysis in all steps. Quantitative data were indicated as mean qualitative variables as frequency and percentages.

Results

During the study period, 390 positive blood cultures were obtained. The majority of positive isolates were male and others were female. The frequency of bacteria isolated from the blood cultures of patients is shown in Table 1. The prevalence of gram-positive bacteria (51%) and Gram-negative bacteria (49%).

Table 1. Distribution of bacteria in blood culture cases.

Bacteria isolated from the blood cultures	Frequency	Percent%		
Gram-negative b	Gram-negative bacteria			
Acinetobacter baumannii	15	4		
Acinetobacter haemolyticus	32	8		
Ent.cloacae complex	50	13		
Ewingella americana	16	4		
Klebsiella oxytoca	16	4		
Klebsiella pneumoniae	32	8		
Citrobacter koseri	16	4		
Sphingomonas paucimobilis	15	4		
Gram-positive bacteria				
Enterococcus faecium	24	6		
Enterococcus faecalis	20	5		
Staphylococcus aureus	25	7		
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	72	19		
Staphylococcus hom.hominis	44	11		
Staphylococcus warneri	13	3		
Total	390	100		

Gram-Positive Amr Pattern

The dominant prevalence of Gram-positive bacteria was 51%. Among all isolates, gram-positive bacteria species most frequently found were: *Enterococcus faecium* (6%), *Enterococcus faecalis* (5%), *Staphylococcus aureus* (7%), *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* (19%), *Staphylococcus hominis* (11%), and *Staphylococcus warneri* (3%) (Table 1). The antibiotic pattern of grampositive bacteria showed in Table 2.

Table 2. Antibiotic pattern of gram-positive bacteria

 from Blood isolates.

Bacteria isolated from Antibiotic Pattern the blood cultures			
Gram-positive bacteria	Sensitive, n%	Intermediate, n%	Resistance, n%
Enterococcus faecium	11 (24)	0 (0)	13 (29)
Enterococcus faecalis	12 (27)	0 (0)	8 (18)
Staphylococcus aureus	12 (27)	0 (0)	13 (29)
Staphylococcus haemolyticus	27 (60)	0 (0)	45 (100)
Staphylococcus hom. hominis	14 (31)	0 (0)	40 (89)
Staphylococcus warneri	0 (0)	0 (0)	13 (29)

The gram-positive isolates *Staphylococcus* haemolyticus and *Staphylococcus* hom. hominis showed the highest resistance antibiotics pattern. However; *Staphylococcus* haemolyticus and *Staphylococcus* hom. hominis reposed the highest sensitives antibiogram.

The *Enterococcus faecium*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, and *Staphylococcus aureus* significantly showed a sensitivity of 27%. The *Staphylococcus warneri* was only the bacteria completely resistant against all the gram-positive selected antibiotics.

The antibiotic discs in antibiograms and their patterns showed in Table 3. Among the gram-positive isolates, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus haemol*yticus, and *Staphylococcus warneri* were resistant to Gentamicin, Levofloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, Benzyl Penicillin, and Clindamycin respectively.

Tigecycline, Ciprofloxacin and Trimethoprim/ Sulphamethoxazole showed the highest significant sensitivity against *Entero.faecium*, *Entero.faecalis*, *and Staph. aureus*.

Gram Positive			
Antibiotic	Sensitive	Intermediate	Resistance
Benzyl Penicillin	2	0	5
Ciprofloxacin	11	1	11
Clindamycin	3	0	5
Gentamicin	9	0	14
Gentamicin high level	1	0	1
Levofloxacin	9	2	13
Linezolid	5	0	3
Nitrofurantoin	8	0	2
Oxacillin	2	0	4
Rifampicin	3	0	2
Teicoplanin	5	0	1
Tetracycline	4	0	2
Ticarcillin/Clavulanic Acid	1	1	1
Tigecycline	13	0	1
Trimethoprim	7	1	2
Trimethoprim/ Sulphamethoxazole	10	0	4
Vancomycin	5	0	1

Gram-Negative Amr Pattern

The prevalence of gram-negative bacteria was 49%. The frequency of gram-negative isolated from the blood cultures of patients showed in Table 1. Gramnegative bacteria species most frequently found were: baumannii Acinetobacter (4%), Acinetobacter haemolyticus (8%), Ent. cloacae complex (13%), Ewingella americana (4%), Klebsiella oxytoca (4%), Klebsiella pneumonia (8%), Citrobacter koseri (4%), and Sphingomonas paucimobilis (4%). The Ent. cloacae complex (51%), Ewingella americana (29%), Acinetobacter haemolyticus, and Klebsiella pneumonia (20%). The antibiotic pattern of gramnegative isolates showed in Table 4.

Table 4. Antibiotic pattern of gram-negative bacteria

 from Blood isolates.

Bacteria isolated from Antibiotic Pattern the blood cultures			
	Sensitive	Intermediate,	Resistance,
Gram-negative bacteria	, n%	n%	n%
Acinetobacter			
baumannii	14 (31)	0 (0)	1 (2)
Acinetobacter			
haemolyticus	20 (44)	3 (7)	9 (20)
Ent.cloacae complex	25 (56)	2 (4)	23 (51)
Ewingella americana	3 (7)	0 (0)	13 (29)
Klebsiella oxytoca	16 (36)	0 (0)	0(0)
Klebsiella pneumoniae	18 (40)	5 (11)	9 (20)
Citrobacter koseri	16 (36)	0 (0)	0(0)
Sphingomonas			
paucimobilis	15 (33)	0(0)	0(0)

Amikacin, Ampicillin/Sulbactam, Cefepime, Colistin, Imipenem, and Doripenem were significantly sensitive among the gram-negative isolates. Ampicillin/Clavulanic acid, Cefuroxime, Meropenem, Aztreonam, and Nitrofurantoin were resistant to

Int. J. Biosci.

Acinetobacter baumannii, Acinetobacter haemolyticus, and Citrobacter koseri respectively. The Ent. cloacae showed more resistance among the gram-negative isolates. The gram-negative antibiotics disc pattern showed in Table 5.

Gram Negative			
Antibiotic	Sensitive	Intermediate	Resistance
Ampicillin/Clavulanic acid	6	0	2
Ampicillin/Sulbactam	8	0	3
Amikacin	10	0	2
Aztreonam	6	0	6
Cefalexin	2	0	6
Cefazolin	2	0	6
Cefepime	8	0	5
Cefixime	5	0	6
Cefotaxime	4	0	6
Cefpodoxime	8	0	6
Ceftazidime	7	0	6
Ceftriaxone	1	0	6
Cefuroxime	6	0	7
Colistin	8	3	1
Daptomycin	6	0	2
Doripenem	8	0	4
Erythromycin	2	0	7
Imipenem	9	0	2
Meropenem	7	1	3
Minocycline	7	0	2
Ofloxacin	1	0	2

Discussion

The estimation of antibiotic resistance patterns is very important in developing countries like India. This study was to investigate the distribution and frequency of blood pathogens and their antimicrobial resistance patterns. The current study revealed the patterns of resistance and sensitivity of blood infection in the area of the Cuddalore region. WHO indicated the highest carbapenem resistance worldwide in Acinetobacter baumannii (91%) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (82%) (WHO 2019). Another study suggested that carbapenem resistance prevalence in Klebsiella pneumoniae (40-50%) but a significantly lower level in E. coli (15-25%) in the general population. The high rate of antimicrobial resistance in bloodstream infections among infants was Staphylococcus aureus, and 42.5% were methicillin-resistant among children in India.

In India currently, resistance rates of older antibiotics ampicillin and trimethoprimsulfamethoxazole were decreased and increased susceptibility (G. Sumanth *et al.*, 2014). These changes are due to the replacement of these drugs as empiric treatment (V.V. Mogasale *et al.*, 2021).

54 Maheswari et al.

The current study's high prevalence of gram-positive isolates was Staphylococcus haemolyticus, Staphylococcus hom. hominis, and Staphylococcus warneri. Those isolated AMR profiles showed resistance against Gentamicin, Levofloxacin, Ciprofloxacin, Benzyl Penicillin, and Clindamycin respectively. Cefpodoxime shows high resistance against Enterococcus faecalis, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus haemolyticus, and Staphylococcus hom.hominis. Tigecycline was only a highly sensitive antibiotic against all gram-positive isolates. Sphingomonas paucimobilis highly sensitive among all antibiotics. The Staphylococcus warneri was only the bacteria completely resistant against all the grampositive selected antibiotics.

Tigecycline, Ciprofloxacin, and Trimethoprim/ Sulphamethoxazole showed the highest significant sensitivity against Enterococcus faecium, Enterococcus faecalis, and Staphylococcus aureus. The frequency of gram-negative isolates was Ent. cloacae complex (51%), Ewingella americana (29%), Acinetobacter haemolyticus, and Klebsiella pneumonia (20%). Colistin was highly responded against Klebsiella pneumonia. Citrobacter koseri was high sensitivity among the gram-negative antibiotic pattern. Ewingella americana was a high-resistance isolate in gram-negative bacteria. High resistance rates to both frontline antibiotics and those of last resort were observed for all gram-positive organisms isolated from blood cultures.

Conclusion

Staphylococcus spp. Enterobacter cloacae and Ewingella americana are common pathogens associated with BSI in the Cuddalore region and they are resistant to several antibiotics. Most of the grampositive and negative isolates are resistant to common antibiotics. Continuous AMR surveillance will warrant to reduce of the resistant strains.

Acknowledgement

I would like to sincere thanks to RUSA 2.0 project. The directorate of research and development (DRD) to keep the support and provide chemicals, and media for this research work.

Competing interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Reference

Alagesan M, Gopalakrishnan R, Panchatcharam SN, Dorairajan S, Mandayam Ananth T, Venkatasubramanian R. 2015. A decade of change in susceptibility patterns of Gram-negative blood culture isolates: A single center study, Germs **5(3)**, 65-77. https://doi.org/10.11599%2Fgerms.2015.1073

Laxminarayan R, Chaudhury RR. 2016. Antibiotic resistance in India: Drivers and opportunities for action, PLoS Medicine **13(3)**. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001974

Mogasale VV, Saldanha P, Pai V. 2021. A descriptive analysis of antimicrobial resistance patterns of WHO priority pathogens isolated in children from a tertiary care hospital in India. Sci. Rep. **11**, 5116. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84293-8

Prestinaci F, Pezzotti P, Pantosti A. 2015. Antimicrobial resistance: A global multifaceted phenomenon, Pathogens and Global Health. **109(7)**, 309-318. https://doi.org/10.1179/2047773215Y.0030

Revelas A. 2012. Healthcare - associated infections: a public health problem. Niger, Medical Journal **53(2)**, 59. https://doi.org/10.4103%2F0300-1652.103543

Saeidinia A, Keihanian F, Delavar SF, Keihanian F, Ranjbar A, Karkan MF. 2016. Lack of antibacterial activity of *Ruta graveolens* extracts against *Enterococcus fecalis*, Pakistan Journal of Pharma Science **29(4)**, 1371-1374. **Saeidinia A, Tavakoli I, Naghipour MR.** 2016. Prevalence of *Strongyloides stercoralis* and other intestinal parasites among institutionalized mentally disabled individuals in Rasht, Northern Iran, Iranian Journal of Parasitology **11(4)**, 527-533.

Saeidynia F, Keihanian F, Saeidynia A. 2014.Antibiotic resistance in blood culture samples from patients referred to Razi laboratory of Rasht. Advances in Infectious Diseases **4(03)**, 165-172. DOI:10.4236/aid.2014.43023

Sumanth Gandra, Nestor Mojica, Eili Y. 2014. Klein, Ashvin Ashok, Vidya Nerurkar, Mamta Kumari, Uma Ramesh, Sunanda Dey, Viral Vadwai, Bibhu R. Das, Ramanan Laxminarayan. Trends in antibiotic resistance among major bacterial pathogens isolated from blood cultures tested at a large private laboratory network in India, 2008. International Journal of Infectious Diseases **50**, 75-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2016.08.002

Walia K. 2019. Establishing antimicrobial resistance surveillance & research network in India, Journey so far. The Indian Journal of Medicine, Res **149(2)**, 164-179. https://doi.org/10.4103%2Fijmr.IJMR_22

Zhang X, Gu B, Mei Y, Wen Y, Xia W. 2015.Increasing resistance rate to carbapenem among blood culture isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a university affiliated hospital in China, 2004-2011. The Journal of Antibiotics **68(2)**, 115-120.