

**RESEARCH PAPER** 

OPEN ACCESS

# Evaluation of heavy metals pollution in water and sediments of Changdang Lake, China

Javid Hussain<sup>\*1,3</sup>, Ittehad Hussain<sup>2</sup>, Zhenhua Zhao<sup>3</sup>, Muhammad Ibrar Shinwari<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Deparrttment of Environmental Science, International Islamic University, Islamabad, Pakistan <sup>2</sup>College of Energy and Environment, Southeast University, Nanjing, China <sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of Integrated Regulation and Resource Development on Shallow Lake of Ministry of Education, College of Environment, Hohai University, Nanjing, China

Article published on December 27, 2017

Key words: Heavy metals, Risk assessment, Sources, Changdang Lake

# Abstract

An investigation of the water and sediments of Changdang Lake China was carried out to know how seriously they are polluted from heavy metals. Water samples and sediments were collected from six sites in March, June and September 2015. The total average concentrations of heavy metals in water were measured as Mn 0.08 mg/L, Fe 72.48mg/L, Cu 0.10 mg/L, Cr 0.001 mg/L, Cd 0.0002 mg/L, Ni 0.014 mg/L, Zn 0.022 mg/L, and Hg 0.058 mg/L, while in sediments Cu 70.50 mg/Kg, Zn 255.55 mg/Kg, As 12.83 mg/Kg, Ni 42.50 mg/Kg, Cr 96.39 mg/Kg, Cd 0.02 mg/Kg, Pb 41.50 mg/Kg, Hg 0.25 mg/Kg, respectively. By applying the USEPA health risk assessment models, results indicated that the total heavy metal health risk levels in water were in the range of  $2.7 \times 10^{-5} - 4.3 \times 10^{-5} a^{-1}$ , with an average risk of  $3.7 \times 10^{-5} a^{-1}$ . The highest risk was found at site 6# ( $4.3 \times 10^{-5} a^{-1}$ ) which is inlet from the Xuebu River, while the lowest risk was found at site 2# ( $2.7 \times 10^{-5} a^{-1}$ ), which is located near the center of the Lake. All the risks values were below the ICRP recommended maximum acceptable level ( $5 \times 10^{-5} a^{-1}$ ). The ecological risk assessment in sediments was carried out by the potential ecological risk index (PERI) proposed by Hakanson (1980). Results showed that the risk posed by heavy metals is low both in sediments and water. PCA factor loadings suggested that the sources of heavy metals in Changdang Lake sediments are from anthropogenic and lithogenic activities.

\*Corresponding Author: Javid Hussain 🖂 javid.hussain@iiu.edu.cn

# Introduction

In recent years, heavy-metal pollution due to the increasing number of industries and the effects of human activity has attracted the public attention worldwide (Hopenhayn, 2006; Bundschuh, 2012; Ma, 2013). Heavy metals are persistent in ecosystem such as water, sediments and biota because of their resistant to decomposition in natural condition. Water contamination with heavy metalcaused by natural (i.e. weathering, erosion of bed rocks, and ore deposits) (Smedley, 2002)and anthropogenic (i.e. mining, industries, and agriculture) processes (Muhammad, 2011).Certain elements like calcium(Ca), magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu), cobalt (Co), and zinc (Zn) are essentially required by living organisms in required dose, but may produce harmful effects in high concentrations,(S. Muhammad, 2011). For these essential metals there is a specific dose of intake over which their consumption is adequate to the body (Fe 8-18 mg/day, Ni 0.5 mg/day, Cu 0.9 mg/day, Mn 1.8-2.3 mg/day, Zn 8-11 mg/day)(USEPA, 2001; Singh, 2006). Toxicity appears after exceeding limit of indispensability. Heavy metals become toxic when they are not metabolized by the body and accumulate in the soft tissues.

The main threats to human health from heavy metals are related with exposure to lead (Pb), mercury (Hg), cadmium (Cd) and arsenic (As), which are extremely harmful due to their toxicity, long persistence, and bioaccumulative nature (Arup, 2003).A high consumption of Fe and Mn causes pathological events such as the iron oxides deposition in Parkinson's disease(USEPA, 2001; Powers, 2003), Cu surplus cause liver damage and Zn may produce adverse nutrient interactions with Cu. Also, Zn reduces immune function and the levels of high density lipoproteins (Food and Drug Administration, 2001). Other metals like Pb and Cd are toxic even at low concentration (Llobet, 2003). Pb is known to cause renal tumors, reduce cognitive development, and enhance blood pressure and cardiovascular diseases risk for adults and Cd may cause kidney dysfunctions, osteomalacia and reproductive deficiencies (USEPA, 2001; Ikem, 2005).

Metals have low solubility in water, get adsorbed and accumulated on bottom sediments (Jain, 2008; Suresh, 2012).

Thus, the sediment could be a potential source of heavy metals that will be released into the overlying water through natural and anthropogenic processes, where they could have a harmful effect on the drinking water quality and significant ecological effects throughout the food chain(Alkorta, 2004; Atkinson, 2007). Heavy metals found in different chemical fractions within sediments, and these different fractions have different levels of mobility, bioavailability, and potential toxicity. As a result, ecological risk and bioavailability are more dependent on the chemical forms of heavy metals within an aquatic environment than on their total concentrations (Tack, 1995; Jain 2004).

This study is an attempt to investigate about the occurrence, risks and sources of heavy metals in water and sediments from Changdang Lake, China. Which will help the local administration to know the present level of heavy metals in Changdang Lake.

# Materials and methods

#### Studyarea

Changdang Lake, situated in Jintan City is one of the tenth largest drinking water sources in Jiangsu Province. The Lake covers 13 million acres area, which is more than 90 % in the territory of Jintan and play a key role in drinking water supply in the City.Jintan City comes under the northern subtropical monsoon climate zone and its climate is mild and humid with four distinct seasons. The annual average temperature of the lake water is 15.3 °C (59.5 °F), while average annual precipitation in the area is 1063.5 mm (41.87"). The frost-free period covers 228 days and the average humidity is 78%.

For the investigation of the heavy metals pollution in water and sediments, samples were collected from 6 sites in the lake. Among the six sampling sites, site 1#, 2# and 3# were selected from the north shore to the center of the lake, site 4# was selected near the outlet from the lake to the Huangli River, site 5# was selected near the inlet from Sudou River, while site 6# was chosen near the inlet from Xuebu River. The sampling locations were recorded (Latitudinal and Longitudinal position) using hand-held Global Positioning System (GPS) (Model: GARMIN GPS-12) unit. Sampling site is shown in **Error! Reference** source not found..



Fig. 1. Locations of the sampling sites in Changdang Lake.

# Sediments samples

Approximately the top 1cm of sediments was collected with the help of a plastic spoon. They were then kept into polyethylene bags, and transferred to the laboratory (Wu, 2002). All samples were air dried at room temperature and sieved through a 2mm nylon sieve to remove coarse debris. In order to analyze the level of heavy metals, 0.5 g of sediments were digested by microwave in Teflon vessels using 6 mL of supra-pure concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>, 2 mL of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 30% and 2 mL of concentrated HF; HF was then removed by the addition of an excess of  $H_3BO_3$  (US EPA Method 3052, 1996).

The solution was transferred into a polyethylene volumetric flask and diluted with milliQ water to 100 mL. 1mL of the solution was then diluted to 10mL by adding HNO<sub>3</sub> (Suresh 2011, 3050B December 1996). All glass wares and plastic containers were washed with 10% nitric acid solution and rinsed thoroughly with milliQ water. Heavy metal contents (Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, As, Mg, Ni and Zn) were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry (Perkin Elmer 2100DV) (USEPA Method 6020, 1996) (Agency, 1996).

The accuracy of the analytical determination was established using the reference material of GSD-9 and GSD-11, supplied by the Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences. The analytical results for all elements were found to be in agreement with the certified values, with measurement errors <5% (Zeng, 2009).

#### Water samples

Water sampling sites were collected from the same sites as the sediments sites. Samples were collected in pre-washed high-density polyethylene bottles in triplicate and subsequently well-mixed in-situ following standard methodology (WEF) 1995). The samples were filtered through pre-washed 0.45  $\mu$ m Millipore nitrocellulose filters to remove any remaining suspension, acidified with ultra-purified MNO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL/L) to keep pH <2, and stored at -4°C for elemental analysis (USEPA 2003).

The level of zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), iron (Fe), chromium (Cr), cadmium (Cd), and lead (Pb) were analyzed using a graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, AAS-PEA-700) under optimum analytical conditions (Muhammad, 2011; Shah, 2012).

Data treatment and multivariate statistical analysis Analytical data were processed using SPSS software. Basic statistics such as min., max., mean, and standard deviation (SD) were computed, along with the multivariate statistics. Principal component analyses (PCA) was used to identify the possible heavy metal sources. Risk assessment of heavy metals in water

As China has not yet set a standard for heavy metals health risk assessment, Therefore in this study USEPA recommended two models, including carcinogenic risk and non-carcinogenic risk models were used to evaluate the human health risk posed by heavy metals in water of Changdang Lake. Carcinogen risk model:

$$R_{i}^{c} = \frac{1 - e \times p (-D_{i} q_{i})}{7 0}$$

In equation,  $R^{c_i}$  is the average cancer risk caused by individual carcinogen through ingestion, Di refers to the referenced dose ingested through ingestion (mg/kg/day),q<sub>i</sub> refers to daily intake through ingestion, (mg/kg/day), and 70 refers to the average human life, a<sup>-1</sup>.

Non-carcinogenic risk model:

$$R_{j}^{n} = \frac{D'_{j} \times 10^{-6}}{R f D'_{j} \times 70}$$

Where  $R^{n_j}$  is the average cancer risk caused by individual non carcinogen through ingestion, D'<sub>j</sub> indicate the daily exposure to the non-carcinogens through ingestion, Rf D'jrefers to the noncarcinogens daily dose through ingestion, (mg/kg/day); 70 is the average human life.

The daily exposure dose through drinking water (D<sub>i</sub>, D<sub>j</sub>) can be calculated as follows:

$$D_{i} = \frac{2.2 \times C_{i}}{70}$$

In equation, 2.2 is the average daily water intake by adult;  $C_i$  is the concentration of carcinogens (or non-carcinogens, mg/kg/day), 70 is the average human life. Total health risks (R):

$$R^{c} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} R_{i}^{c}$$
$$R^{n} = \sum_{f=1}^{k} R_{f}^{n}$$

IARC (IARC) and the World Health Organization (WHO) have categorized the Cr, As and Cd as carcinogens and Pb, Cu, Zn and Hg non-carcinogens. In this study selected reference values for the carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic heavy metals are presented in (**Error! Reference source not found.**, 2).

# Risk assessment of heavy metals in sediments

Potential ecological risk index (PERI) proposed by Hakanson, (Hakanson, 1980) was used to evaluate the ecological risk posed by of heavy metals in sediments. This method comprehensively considers the synergy, toxic level, concentration of the heavy metals and ecological sensitivity of heavy metals (Nabholz, 1991; Singh, 2010; Douay, 2013). PERI is formed by three basic modules: degree of contamination (CD), toxic-response factor (TR) and potential ecological risk factor (ER). According to this method, the potential ecological risk index of a single element ( $E^{i}_{r}$ ) and comprehensive potential ecological risk index ( $C^{i}_{f}$ ) can be calculated via the following equations:

$$C_f^i = C_s^i / C_n^i$$

In formula,  $C^{i_s}$  is the measured value of individual element at sampling sites;  $C^{i_n}$  is the reference value for the metal. The evaluation reference value ( $C^{i_n}$ ) and toxic response ( $T^{i_r}$ ) of heavy metals coefficients are shown in (Table 3).

Heavy metal pollution comprehensive coefficient Cf is calculated as,

$$C_f = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i,f}$$

Potential ecological risk coefficient of individual element  $E^{i}_{r}$  is calculated as,

$$E_{i,r} = T_{i,r} \times C_{i,f}$$

In equation,  $T^{i}_{r}$  is the biological toxic factor of a single element, which is determined for Zn = 1, Cr = 2, Cu =

# Pb = 5 and Cd = 30 (Error! Reference source

# not found.) (Hakanson, 1980).

Comprehensive potential ecological risk index (I<sub>R</sub>) can be calculated via the following equations:

$$I_{R} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_{i,r} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{T_{i,r} \times C_{i,s}}{C_{i,n}}$$

Heavy metals pollution coefficient grading criteria are presented in (Error! Reference source not found.).Grading potential ecological risk index is shown in (Error! Reference source not found.).

#### Principle component analysis

Multivariate techniques have been used for evaluation and characterization of analytical data (Fadigas, 2010). Various multivariate techniques such as CA, HACA and PCA have been shown to be useful for identification of sources of heavy metals (Fu, 2014). In this study principal component analyses (PCA) was used to identify the possible heavy metal sources.

The PCA finds out the diagonalization of the covariance or correlation matrix transforming the original chemical measurements into linear combinations of these measurements, which are the principal components (PCs). It rotates the coordinate space axes so that the explained variance of each PC is maximized. This technique allows for data reduction from higher to lower dimensional spaces to simplify their representation. PCA was performed using Varimax Normalized Rotation on the dataset (Singh, 2005; Iqbal, 2011; Gielar, 2012).

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Heavy metals content in water

Concentrations of heavy metals in water in March (dry season), June (wet season) and September (Temperate season) in terms of statistical distribution parameters are shown in Fig.. Concentrations of heavy metal ranges in March can be ordered as

Fe>Mn>Cu>Zn>Hg>Ni>Cr>Cd>As>Pb. In June the order was as Fe>Mn>Cu>Ni>Zn>Hg>Cr>Cd>Pb>As>.

In September the heavy metals contents can be ordered as Fe>Hg>Mn>Cu>Zn>Ni>Cr>Cd>As>Pb. The total average concentration in different months was measured as Mn 0.08 mg/L, Fe 72.48 mg/L, Cu

Table 1. Carcinogens coefficient factor.

0.10 mg/L, Cr 0.001 mg/L, Cd 0.0002 mg/L, Ni 0.014 mg/L, Zn 0.022 mg/L, and Hg 0.058 mg/L Overall, the lowest total heavy metals content in water was found at sites 2# and 3# and the highest content was observed in site 6# as shown in

Fig.. Heavy metal concentrations in the water observed from Changdang Lake is lower by comparing with the results obtained from Poyang Lake, China (Cr 3.38 mg/kg, Cu 4.18 mg/kg, Zn 11.19 mg/kg, Cd Pb 4.06 mg/kg) (Hu, 2012).

Carcinogens	Cr	Cd	As
q <sub>ig</sub> / mg/kg <sup>-1</sup> /d <sup>-1</sup>	41	6.1	15

Table 2. Non- carcinogens coefficient factor.

Non-carcinogens	Pb	Cu	Zn	Hg
RfD <sub>ig</sub> /mg/ kg <sup>-1</sup> /d <sup>-1</sup>	1.4×10⁻ <sup>3</sup>	5.0×10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.3	3.0×10 <sup>-4</sup>

### Heavy metals content in sediments

Sediment contamination poses one of the worst environmental problems in ecosystems, acting as sinks and sources of contaminants in aquatic systems and sediment analysis plays an important role in assessing the pollution status of the environment (Mucha, 2003). The heavy metal concentrations in different sediment samples collected from Changdang Lake from different months are presented in Fig. Concentrations of heavy metal in March can be shown in increasing order as Zn>Cr>Cu>Pb>Ni>As>Hg>Cd. In June the heavy metals concentrations can be ordered as Zn>Cr>Cu>Ni>Pb>As>Hg>Cd, while the concentrations of heavy metals in September can be ordered as Zn>Cr>Cu>Ni>Pb>As<Hg>Cd.

Element	C <sup>i</sup> n mg/kg	Ti,r
Cu	34	5
Zn	90	1
Cr	60	2
Cd	0.5	30
Pb	25	5
As	7.5	10
Hg	0.15	30
Ni	40	5

In this study Zn and Cu showed the highest contend in all the seasons, while Hg and Cd were at the lowest concentration in sediments from Changdang Lake. The total average concentration of heavy metals in different months was calculated as Cu 70.50 mg/kg, Zn 255.55 mg/kg, As 12.83 mg/kg, Ni 42.50 mg/kg, Cr 96.39 mg/kg, Cd 0.02 mg/kg, Pb 41.50 mg/kg, Hg 0.25 mg/kg. These results suggest that, Cu, Zn and As content exceeds the "soil environmental quality standards" of China (GB15618-1995) in sediments in some locations. The highest content was found at sites 5# and 6#, while the lowest content was found at sites 2# and 3# as shown in Fig.

Heavy metal concentrations in the sediments observed from Changdang Lake is lower by comparing with the results obtained from Poyang Lake (Cr 28.05, Cu 61.53, Zn 194.11, Cd 1.54, Pb 48.17) (Hu, 2012) and Jinji Lake (Pb107 mg/kg, Zn 431 mg/kg and Cu 370 mg/k)

	5 F	
$C^{i}_{f}$	Cf	Contamination level
≤1	≤8	Low
>1-3	> 8-16	Moderate
> 3-6	> 16-32	Considerable
>6	> 32	Very high

Table 1. The adjusted grading standard of potential.

NOTE: C<sup>i</sup>f is the single element pollution factor; Cf comprehensive pollution factor.

#### Risk assessment of heavy metals in water

According to the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP, the maximum acceptable value of individual element is  $5.0 \times 10^{-5}a^{-1}$ , per million population per year due to various pollutants in drinking water, the number of harm or death cannot exceed 50 people. The average annual risk and the overall risk of heavy metal pollutants in each sampling sites are shown in Table 2. Which shows, that the average health risks value of carcinogens ranged as, Cr  $24.52 \times 10^{-6}-36.77 \times 10^{-6}a^{-1}$ , with an average of  $31.67 \times 10^{-6}a^{-1}$ , maximum risks value was measured at sites 1#, 4# and 6#; the range of health risks values caused by the carcinogens Cd was  $0.55 \times 10^{-6}-2.01 \times 10^{-6}a^{-1}$ , with an average of

1.23×10<sup>-6</sup>a<sup>-1</sup>, at site 3# emerged maximum; the range of health risks values caused by the carcinogen as of 1.57×10<sup>6</sup>-  $5.39\times10^{-6}a^{-1}$ , with an average of  $3.52\times10^{-6}a^{-1}$ , at site 6# emerged maximum. Among Pb, Cu, Zn and Hg, the maximum individual non-carcinogenic annual health hazards risk value was calculated for Pb with the range of  $1.2\times10^{-9}$ - $4.3\times10^{-9}a^{-1}$ , with an average of  $2.7\times10^{-9}a^{-1}$ -highest at site 6#; the range of Cu was  $4.2\times10^{-9}$ - $6.6\times10^{-9}a^{-1}$ , with an average of  $5.4\times10^{-9}a^{-1}$ , at 1# was maximum; Zn range of  $5.0\times10^{-10}a^{-1}$ , at 1# was maximum; Hg was in the range of  $6.0\times10^{-10}$ - $1.2\times10^{-9}a^{-1}$ , with an average of  $9.0\times10^{-10}a^{-1}$ , with the maximum value at 6#.

Tabl	l <b>e 5.</b> Heavy	metals poter	ntial eco	logical	risk i	index cl	lassificat	ion stand	ard.
------	---------------------	--------------	-----------	---------	--------	----------	------------	-----------	------

Potential ecological risk index of individual element, $E^{i}_{r}$	Potential ecological index (I <sub>R</sub> )	Potential ecological risk
≤40	≤150	Low
> 40-80	> 150-300	Moderate
> 80-160	> 300-600	Considerable
> 160-320	> 600	Sever
> 320		Very serious

The total health risk level of heavy metal in Changdang Lake was in the range of  $2.7 \times 10^{-5} - 4.3 \times 10^{-5}$ <sup>5a<sup>-1</sup></sup>, with an average of  $3.7 \times 10^{-5}$ <sup>a<sup>-1</sup></sup>, the highest at site 6#,  $4.3 \times 10^{-5}$ <sup>a<sup>-1</sup></sup>, the lowest at site 2#, was  $2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ <sup>a<sup>-1</sup></sup>, All the risks values were below the ICRP recommended maximum acceptable level. Overall the total highest heavy metals risk was found at site 6# and the lowest at site 2#.

# Risk assessment of heavy metals in sediments

Potential ecological risk index (PERI) proposed by Hakanson (Hakanson, 1980) was used to evaluate the ecological risk posed by of heavy metals in sediments. Based on the risk assessment formula results of Single element pollution factor coefficient  $C^{i}_{f}$  and comprehensive pollution coefficient Cf are shown in

Table . From the evaluation results Cu, Zn, Cr, Pb, As, Ni in sediments are at moderate risk level, Cd, Hg in low risk levels, The results can be ordered as follows, Zn>Cu> As >Pb>Cr>Ni>Hg>Cd.

From the heavy metals comprehensive risk coefficient (Cf), the contamination level in sediment of each site can be ranked as 6#>5#>1#>4#>2#>3#.

39 | Hussain *et al*.

J. Bio. Env. Sci. 2017

Sampling Sites		1#	2#	3#	4#	5#	6#
Carcinogenic	Cr	36.77	24.52	24.52	36.77	30.65	36.77
	Cd	1.37	0.55	1.55	2.01	1.19	0.73
	As	3.82	1.57	1.80	4.04	4.26	5.39
Non-Carcinogenic	Pb	0.0022	0.0012	0.0021	0.0036	0.0030	0.0043
	Cu	0.0066	0.0057	0.0048	0.0063	0.0048	0.0042
	Zn	0.0008	0.0005	0.0005	0.0009	0.0013	0.0006
	Hg	0.0009	0.0006	0.0006	0.0009	0.0012	0.0012
Total	-	41.96	26.65	27.88	42.83	36.11	42.90

Table 2. Results of the total heavy metal risks in water from Changdang Lake Unit: ×10-6a-1.

Overall heavy metal risk level in sediments was found at moderate level in Changdang Lake with the lowest risk at site 2# and 3#.

Based on results of potential ecological risk coefficient  $(E^{i}_{r})$ , the potential ecological risk can be arranged in the order of ER Cu>As>Pb>Ni>Hg>Zn>Cr>Cd, These results shows that the risk posed by heavy metals in is low in sediments from Changdang lake.

Results from heavy metal potential ecological risk index, shows that, total potential ecological risk of all sites are at low level, the degree of risk at each site can be ranked as 6#>5#>1#>4#>2#>3#.

From the evaluation it can be seen that the lowest potential ecological risk coefficient  $(E^{i}_{r})$  and potential ecological risk index is at site 2# and 3#.

Table	7. Single element	pollution factor	coefficient Cif an	d comprehensive	pollution coefficient. Cf.
-------	-------------------	------------------	--------------------	-----------------	----------------------------

$\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{i}}_{\mathrm{f}}$	1#	2#	3#	4#	5#	6#	Average Value
Cu	2.24	1.57	1.85	2.10	2.52	2.64	2.15
Zn	3.03	2.44	2.80	3.04	2.97	3.46	2.96
Cr	1.26	0.79	1.01	1.12	1.34	1.44	1.16
Cd	0.046	0.027	0.017	0.022	0.023	0.021	0.03
Pb	1.72	0.93	1.12	1.44	1.82	2.09	1.52
Ni	1.15	0.80	0.92	1.04	1.25	1.37	1.09
As	2.08	0.78	1.20	1.60	2.30	2.73	1.78
Hg	0.22	0.09	0.22	0.09	0.13	0.24	0.17
Cf	11.74	7.42	9.14	10.43	12.36	14.00	10.85

Table 8. Heavy metal potential ecological risk coefficient (E<sup>i</sup><sub>r</sub>) and potential ecological risk index (IR).

$\mathrm{E^{i}r}$	1#	2#	3#	4#	5#	6#	Average
Cu	11.18	7.84	9.26	10.49	12.60	13.19	10.76
Zn	3.03	2.44	2.80	3.04	2.97	3.46	2.96
Cr	2.51	1.57	2.02	2.23	2.68	2.89	2.32
Cd	0.046	0.027	0.017	0.022	0.023	0.021	0.03
Pb	8.58	4.64	5.62	7.19	9.10	10.47	7.60
Ni	5.74	3.99	4.58	5.18	6.25	6.83	5.43
As	20.84	7.82	12.00	16.00	23.02	27.33	17.84
Hg	6.67	2.67	6.67	2.67	4.00	7.33	5.00
Ir	58.60	31.01	42.96	46.81	60.64	71.52	51.92

#### Source analysis

One of the important aspect of the present study is the source analysis of the metals in sediments using PCA.

The principal component loadings of the heavy metals in sediments in March and June and September are given in (Table 9). Two PCs are extracted with eigenvalues more than 1 for each season. In March two PCs explaining about 83.96% of the cumulative variance.

The first PC (60.75% variance) reveals elevated loadings of As, Zn, Cu, Ni, Cr, Fe, supported. The second PC (23.21% variance) shows significant loadings of Hg and Pb.

	Ma	arch	Jı	une	September	
Heavy Metals	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2	PC1	PC2
As	0.984	0.146	.991	.096	.946	017
Zn	0.976	0.179	.975	.075	.882	.391
Cu	0.963	0.122	.971	.180	.856	.091
Ni	0.933	0.318	.955	.081	.850	.397
Hg	0.324	0.913	.084	.955	.702	.592
Pb	0.317	0.872	.097	.911	.024	.906
Cr	0.086	0.75	040	.866	.195	.798
Cd	-0.027	-0.676	.344	.831	578	631
Variance %	60.75	23.21	56.11	33.39	63.94	17.59
Cumulative %	60.75	83.96	56.11	89.50	63.94	81.53

Table 9. Principal component loadings of heavy metals in March, June and September.

The counterpart data in June also yield two PCs with eigenvalues greater than 1, explaining more than 89.50% of the total variance. PC1 (56.11% variance) exhibits higher loadings for As, Zn Cu, Ni,



Fig. 2. Heavy metals content in water from Changdang Lake.



Fig. 3. Heavy metals content in sediments from Changdang Lake.

# 41 | Hussain et al.

The second PC (33.39 % variance), shows significant loadings of Hg, Pb, Cr, and Cd. In September explaining about (81.53 % of the cumulative variance) first PC (63.94% variance) reveals elevated loadings of As, Zn, Cu, Ni and Hg, while the second PC with 17.59% variance exhibit higher loading of Pb and Cr. If we look at the (Table 9), almost same results was observed in different seasons, which show that the sources of heavy metals in Changdang Lake are the same in different seasons which are predominantly contributed by anthropogenic activities (Zhu, 2013). Today much of the anthropogenic Cu, Zn and Pb originates from smelters, fossil fuel uses, industrial discharges, mining and wastewaters (Bertin, 1995; Maldonado, 2008). Heavy metals have been used by humans for a variety of purposes throughout the 20th century.



Fig. 4. Human health risks values of heavy metals in water from Changdang Lake.

The results suggested that the urban and industrial activities have made great contributions to heavy metal inputs.

# Conclusion

The total average concentration of heavy metals in water was measured as Mn 0.08 mg/L, Fe 72.48mg/L, Cu 0.10 mg/L, Cr 0.001 mg/L, Cd 0.0002 mg/L, Ni 0.014 mg/L, Zn 0.022 mg/L, and Hg 0.058 mg/L, the major contributors were Mn 9% and Fe 72.48%. while the total average concentration of heavy metals in sediments was measured as Cu 70.50 mg/Kg, Zn 255.55 mg/Kg, As 12.83 mg/Kg, Ni 42.50 mg/Kg, Cr 96.39 mg/Kg, Cd 0.02 mg/Kg, Pb 41.50 mg/Kg, Hg 0.25 mg/Kg, respectively, where Zn 38.74 % and Cr 14.32 % were dominant.

The highest average content of heavy metal was found at site 6# both in water and sediment in different months, while the lowest concentrations were found at site 3#. Results obtained from the ecological risk models showed that the risk posed heavy metals was low in water and sediments. The PCA results indicated that the sources of heavy metals in Changdang Lake are mainly comes from lithogenic and anthropogenic activities, such as fossil fuel uses, industrial discharges, mining and wastewaters. Overall the water and sediments are safe, however further investigation and protective measures are necessary to protect the ecology and public health from heavy metals pollution in Jintan City.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41371307, 51509129), the Opening Funding of State Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resource Reuse (PCRRF12010), the State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture (Institute of Soil Science, Academy of Chinese Sciences Foundation (0812201228)), and a Project Funded by the Priority Academic Program Development of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (PAPD).

#### References

Arup LJ. 2003. Hazards of heavy metal contamination. British Medical Bulletin **68(1)**, 167–182.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldg032

**Atkinson CA, Jolley DF, Simpso SLE.** 2007. Effect of overlying water pH, dissolved oxygen, salinity and sediment disturbances on metal release and sequestration from metal contaminated marine sediments. Chemosphere **69(9)**, 1428-1437.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.04.06 8

AlkortaI, Hernández-Allica J, BecerrilJ, Amezaga I, Albizu I, Garbisu C. 2004. Recent findings on the phytoremediation of soils contaminated with environmentally toxic heavy metals and metalloids such as zinc, cadmium, lead, and arsenic. Review. Environmental Science and Biotechnology,**3**, 71–90.

**APHA. Water Environment Federation** (**APHA/AWWA/ WEF).** 1995. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 9-31.

**Bertin C, Bourg ACM.** 1995. Trends in the heavy metal content (Cd, Pb, Zn) of river sediments in the drainage basin of smelting activities. Water Research, **29**, 1729-1736.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(94)00327-4

**Bundschuh J, Litter MI, Parvez F.** 2012. One century of arsenic exposure in Latin America: a review of history and occurrence from14 countries, Science of the Total Environment,**429**, 2-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.06.024

**Douay F, Pelfrene A, Planque J, Fourrier H, Richard A, Roussel H, Girondelot B.** 2013. Assessment of potential health isk for inhabitants living near a former lead smelter, Part 1: metal concentrations in soils, agricultural crops, and homegrown vegetables. Environmental. Monitoring and. Assessment **185**, 3665-3680.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10661-012-2818-3

**EPA Method 3050 B.** December 1996. Acid digestion of sediments, sludge, and oils.

Fadigas JC, dos Santos AMP, de Jesus RM, Lima DC, Fragoso WD, David JM, Ferreira SLC. 2010. Use of multivariate analysis techniques for the characterization of analytical results for the determination of the mineral composition of kale. Journal of Microchemical **96(2)**, 352–356.

**Fu J, Zhao C, Luo Y, Liu C, Kyzas GZ, Luo Y, Zhao D, An S, Zhu H.** 2014. Heavy metals in surface sediments of the Jialu River, China: Their relations to environmental factors. Journal of Hazardous Material,**270**, 102-109.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2014.01.044

**Gielar A, Rybicka EH, Moller S, Einax JW.** 2012. Multivariate analysis of sediment data from the upper and middle Odra River (Poland). Applied Geochemitry **27(8)**, 1540–1545.

Hu CH, Zhou P, Huang P, Du J, Zhou WB. 2012. Behavior characteristics of dissolved heavy metals and health risks assessment from Poyang Lake basin, China, [In Chinese]. Journal of. Agro-Environment Science,**31(5)**, 1009. Hakanson LL. 1980. An ecological risk index aquatic pollution control, a sedimentological approach. Water Research **14(8)**, 975–1001. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(80)90143-8

Hopenhayn C. 2006. Arsenic in drinking water: impact on human health. Elements **2(2)**, 103-107.

**Ikem A, Egiebor NO.** 2005. Assessment of trace elements in canned fishes (mackerel, tuna, salmon, sardines and herrings) marketed in Georgia and Alabama (United States of America). Journal of Food Composition and Analyis, **18**, 771-787. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2004.11.002

InstituteofMedicine,PanelonMicronutrientsWashington,DC.US.2001.Dietary ReferenceIntakes for Vitamin A, Vitamin K,Arsenic, Boron,Chromium,Copper,Iodine,Iron,Manganese,Molybdenum,Nickel,Silicon,Vanadium,andZinc.

http://dx.doi.org/10.17226/10026

Jain CK, Harish G, Chakrapani GJ. 2008. Enrichment and fractionation of heavy metals in bedsediments of river Narmada, India. Environmental Monitoring and Assessessment 141, 35-47.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10661-007-9876-y

Jain CK. 2004. Metal fractionation study on bed sediments of River Yamuna, India. Water Research **38(3)**, 569-578. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j

Llobet JM, Falco G, Casas C, Teixido A, Domingo JL. 2003. Concentration of arsenic, cadmium, mercury, and lead in common foods and estimated daily intake by children, adolescents, adults, and seniors of Catalonia, Spain. Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry, **51**, 838-842. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf020734q

Mucha AP, Vasconcelos MTSD, Bordalo AA. 2003.Macro benthic community in the Douro Estuary: relations with heavy metals and natural sediment characteristics. Environmental. Pollution, 121, 169–180. **Muhammad S, Shah MT, Khan S.** 2011. Health risk assessment of heavy metals and their source apportionment in drinking water of Kohistan region, northern Pakistan. Journal of Microchemical **98(2)**, 334–343.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.microc.2011.03.003

Maldonado VM, Rubio Arias HO, Quintana R, Saucedo RA, Gutierrez M, Ortega JA, Nevarez GV. 2008. Heavy metal content in soils under different wastewater irrigation patterns in Chihuahua, Mexico. International. Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, **5**, 441-449.

**Ma Z, Chen K, Yuan Z, Bi J, Huang LEJ.** 2013. Ecological risk assessment of heavy metals in surface sediments of six major Chinese freshwater lakes. Environmental Quality, **42(2)**, 341–350. http://dx.doi.org/10.2134/jeq2012.0178

**Nabholz JV.** 1991. Environmental hazard and risk assessment under the United States Toxic Substances Control Act. Science of the. Total Environment **109**, 649-665.

**Powers KM, Smith-Welle T, Franklin GM, Longstreth WT, Swanson PD, Checkoway H.** 2003. Parkinson's disease risks associated with dietary iron, manganese, and other nutrient intakes.Journal of Neurology **60(11)**, 1761-1766. http://dx.doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.0000068021.139 45.7F

**Suresh G, Sutharsan P, Ramasamy V, Venkatachalapathy R.** 2012. Assessment of spatial distribution and potential ecological risk of the heavy metals in relation to granulometric contents of Veeranam lake sediments, India. Ecotoxicology and Environ. Safety **84**, 117-124.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2012.06.027

Shah MH, Iqbal J, Shaheen LN, Khan N, Choudhary MA, Akhter G. 2011. Distribution, correlation and risk assessment of selected metals in urban soils from Islamabad, Pakistan. Journal of Hazardous Material, **192(2)**, 887–898.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.05.105

Singh KP, Malik A, Sinha S, Singh VK, Murthy RC. 2005. Estimation of source of heavy metal contamination in sediments of Gomti River (India) using principal component analysis. Water Air Soil Pollution,166, 321–341.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11270-005-5268-5

Shah MH, Iqba J, Shaheen LN, Khan N, Choudhary MA, Akhter G. 2012. Assessment of background levels of trace metals in water and soil from a remote region of Himalaya. Environmental. Monitoring and Assessessment **184(3)**, 1243. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10661-011-2036-4

**Smedley PL, Nicolli HB, Macdonald DM, Barros AJ, Tullio JO.** 2002. Hydrogeochemistry of arsenic and other inorganic constituents in groundwaters from La Pampa, Argentina. Applied Geochemistry,**17(3)**, 259–284.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0883-2927(01)00082-8

Singh A, Sharma, RK, Agrawal M, and Marshall FM. 2010.Health risk assessment of heavy metals via dietary intake of foodstuffs from the wastewater irrigated site of a dry tropical area of India, Food Chemistry and Toxicology **48**, 611-619. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2009.11.041

**Suresh G, Ramasamy V, Meenakshi sundaram V, Venkatachalapathy R, Ponnu-samy V.** 2011. Influence of mineralogical and heavy metal compositionon natural radionuclide contents in the rivers ediments. Applied. Radiation and. Isotopes, **69(10)**, 1466–1474.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apradiso.2011.05.020

**Singh V, Garg AN.** 2006. Availability of essential trace elements in Indian cereals, vegetables and spices using INAA and the contribution of spices to daily dietary intake. Food Chemistry **94(1)**, 81-89. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.10.053

Tack FM, Verloo MG. 1995. Chemical speciation and fractionation in soil and sediment heavy metal analysis: A review. International Journal of Environmental andAnalyticalChemistry **59**, 225– 238.

**United States Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC, USA.** USEPA Method 3052. Microwave Assisted Acid Digestion of Siliceous and Organically Based Matrices [CD-ROM].

**USEPA Washington, DC, USA.** 2003. Technical Standard Operating Procedure. SOP EH- 01. Adapted from ERT/REAC SOP.

Wu JL, Li SJ, Luecke A, Wang SM. 2002.

Climatic signals in the last 200 years from stable isotope record in the shells of freshwater snails in Lake Xingcuo, eastern Tibet Plateau, China. Journal of. Geochemistry **21**, 234-243.

**Zeng HA, Wu JL.** 2009. Sedimentry records of heavy metal pollution in Fuxian Lake, Yunnan, China: The intensity, history and source. Pedosphere **19(5)**, 562-569.

Zhu Z, Li Z, Bi X, Han Z, Yu G. 2013. Response of magnetic properties to heavy metal pollution in dust from three industrial cities in China. Journal of Hazardous Materials, 189–198.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2012.12.024

J. Bio. Env. Sci. 2017

**ISSN 2220-6663** (Print) **ISSN 2222-3045** (Online)

**155N 2222-3045** (Online)

Journal of Biodiversity and Environmental Sciences

JBES © Off Print

BES

Volume 11, Number 6, December 2017





46 | Hussain *et al*.